



POLITECNICO
MILANO 1863

Is Dementia predictable?

F. Di Filippo, E. Manfrin, E. Musiari, E. Palli

17 december 2021

Dataset Dementia and Alzheimer longitudinal

| Subject.ID | MRI.ID | Group | Visit | MR.Delay | M.F | Hand | Age | EDUC | SES | MMSE | CDR | eTIV | nWBV | ASF |
|------------|---------------|-------------|-------|----------|-----|------|-----|------|-----------|------|-----|------|-------|-------|
| OAS2_0001 | OAS2_0001_MR1 | Nondemented | 1 | 0 | M | R | 87 | 14 | 2 | 27 | 0.0 | 1987 | 0.696 | 0.883 |
| OAS2_0001 | OAS2_0001_MR2 | Nondemented | 2 | 457 | M | R | 88 | 14 | 2 | 30 | 0.0 | 2004 | 0.681 | 0.876 |
| OAS2_0002 | OAS2_0002_MR1 | Demented | 1 | 0 | M | R | 75 | 12 | <i>NA</i> | 23 | 0.5 | 1678 | 0.736 | 1.046 |
| OAS2_0002 | OAS2_0002_MR2 | Demented | 2 | 560 | M | R | 76 | 12 | <i>NA</i> | 28 | 0.5 | 1738 | 0.713 | 1.010 |
| OAS2_0002 | OAS2_0002_MR3 | Demented | 3 | 1895 | M | R | 80 | 12 | <i>NA</i> | 22 | 0.5 | 1698 | 0.701 | 1.034 |
| OAS2_0004 | OAS2_0004_MR1 | Nondemented | 1 | 0 | F | R | 88 | 18 | 3 | 28 | 0.0 | 1215 | 0.710 | 1.444 |
| OAS2_0004 | OAS2_0004_MR2 | Nondemented | 2 | 538 | F | R | 90 | 18 | 3 | 27 | 0.0 | 1200 | 0.718 | 1.462 |
| OAS2_0005 | OAS2_0005_MR1 | Nondemented | 1 | 0 | M | R | 80 | 12 | 4 | 28 | 0.0 | 1689 | 0.712 | 1.039 |
| OAS2_0005 | OAS2_0005_MR2 | Nondemented | 2 | 1010 | M | R | 83 | 12 | 4 | 29 | 0.5 | 1701 | 0.711 | 1.032 |

where SES is Socioeconomic Status, MMSE is Mini Mental State Examination, CDR is Clinical Dementia Rating, eTIV is Estimated Total Intracranial Volume, nWBV is Normalize Whole Brain Volume and ASF is Atlas Scaling Factor.

Source: Kaggle

We tried to solve the problem of correlation using the PCA method.

VARIABLE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION

[*Age*, *EDUC*, *MMSE*, *eTIV*, *nWBV*, *ASF*]

$$H_0 : \mathbf{Y}_{female} \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{Y}_{male} \text{ vs } H_1 : \mathbf{Y}_{female} \stackrel{d}{\neq} \mathbf{Y}_{male}$$

$pvalue = 0$

$$H_0 : \mathbf{Y}_{Demented} \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{Y}_{NonDemented} \text{ vs } H_1 : \mathbf{Y}_{Demented} \stackrel{d}{\neq} \mathbf{Y}_{NonDemented}$$

$$pvalue = 0.9$$

Demented VS Nondemented only Male(2)

5/13

$$H_0 : \mathbf{M}_{Demented} \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{M}_{NonDemented} \text{ vs } H_1 : \mathbf{M}_{Demented} \stackrel{d}{\neq} \mathbf{M}_{NonDemented}$$

$$pvalue = 0$$

$$H_0 : \mathbf{F}_{Demented} \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{F}_{NonDemented} \text{ vs } H_1 : \mathbf{F}_{Demented} \stackrel{d}{\neq} \mathbf{F}_{NonDemented}$$

$$pvalue = 0.37$$

$$EDUC = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon$$

$i = \text{male, female}$ $j = \text{Demented, NonDemented}$

$\alpha = \text{sex}$

$\beta = \text{diagnostic}$

$\gamma = \text{interaction}$

$$H_0 : \gamma_{ij} = 0 \text{ vs } H_1 : \gamma_{ij} \neq 0$$

TEST STATISTIC: $T_0 = F - \text{STATISTICS}$ $p - \text{value} = 0.082$ at level of confidence 95% there's no evidence to reject H_0 so we reduce the model

$$EDUC = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \epsilon$$

$$H_0 : \beta_j = 0 \text{ vs } H_1 : \beta_j \neq 0$$

$p - \text{value} = 0.069$ at level of confidence 95% there's no evidence to

$$MMSE = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon$$

$i = \text{male, female } j = \text{Demented, NonDemented}$

$$H_0 : \gamma_{ij} = 0 \text{ vs } H_1 : \gamma_{ij} \neq 0$$

TEST STATISTIC: $T_0 = F - \text{STATISTICS}$ $p - \text{value} = 0.875$ there's no evidence to reject H_0 so we reduce the model

$$EDUC = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \epsilon$$

$$H_0 : \beta_j = 0 \text{ vs } H_1 : \beta_j \neq 0$$

$p - \text{value} = 0.446$ there's no evidence to reject H_0 there's no evidence to reject H_0

$$EDUC = \mu + \alpha_i$$

Event: disease occurred



