

# Proof that the derivative of $e^x$ is itself

Francesco Sacco

24 Aprile 2019

In this document i'm going to use just the definition of derivative and basic algebraic rules to prove that the solution to  $f'(x) = \tau f(x)$  is  $e^{\tau x}$ .

## Proof

let's start by writing  $f'(x)$  in terms of the limit.

$$f'(x) = \lim_{dx \rightarrow 0} f'(x - dx) = \lim_{dx \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x) - f(x - dx)}{dx} = \lim_{dx \rightarrow 0} \tau f(x - dx) \quad (1)$$

I've already used the fact that the derivative must be continuous<sup>1</sup>.

Now we can isolate  $f(x)$  by bringing on the right side everything else.

$$f(x) = \lim_{dx \rightarrow 0} f(x - dx)(\tau dx + 1) \quad (2)$$

that means that

$$\lim_{dx \rightarrow 0} f(x - dx) = \lim_{dx \rightarrow 0} f(x - 2dx)(\tau dx + 1) \rightarrow f(x) = \lim_{dx \rightarrow 0} f(x - 2dx)(\tau dx + 1)^2$$

and

$$\lim_{dx \rightarrow 0} f(x - 2dx) = \lim_{dx \rightarrow 0} f(x - 3dx)(\tau dx + 1) \rightarrow f(x) = \lim_{dx \rightarrow 0} f(x - 3dx)(\tau dx + 1)^3$$

continuing this thing  $n$  times we get

$$f(x) = \lim_{dx \rightarrow 0} f(x - ndx)(\tau dx + 1)^n \quad (3)$$

that is true for every  $n^2$ , if we choose to set  $n = \frac{x}{dx}$  we get that

$$f(x) = \lim_{dx \rightarrow 0} f(0)(\tau dx + 1)^{\frac{x}{dx}} \quad (4)$$

if we multiply and divide the exponent by  $\tau$  e define  $k = \tau dx$  we get

$$f(x) = f(0) \left[ \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} (k + 1)^{1/k} \right]^{\tau x} \quad (5)$$

if we define  $e$  the number to witch the limit  $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} (k + 1)^{1/k}$  converges we can write<sup>3</sup>

$$f(x) = f(0)e^{\tau x} \quad (6)$$

## Appendix

Scrivi quelle cose

---

<sup>1</sup>Look at the appendix to see why that must be true, but for now i think you can survive by assuming it to be true

<sup>2</sup>To be fear  $n$  should be an integer, but we can choose either  $dx$  to be a integer divisor of  $x$  or we can do some trickery whidt some  $\epsilon$ s and  $\delta$ s. For now i don't think this is really important

<sup>3</sup>How can we be so sure that the limit converges? Look at the appendix.