

Exploration librairie swirl : Multivar Examples

> swirl()

Welcome to swirl! Please sign in. If you've been here before, use the same name as you did then. If you are new, call yourself something unique.

*What shall I call you? **jlbellier***

Would you like to continue with one of these lessons?

1: Regression Models Least Squares Estimation

2: No. Let me start something new.

*Selection: **2***

Please choose a course, or type 0 to exit swirl.

1: Regression Models

2: Statistical Inference

3: Take me to the swirl course repository!

*Selection: **1***

Please choose a lesson, or type 0 to return to course menu.

1: Introduction

3: Least Squares Estimation

5: Introduction to Multivariable Regression

7: MultiVar Examples2

9: Residuals Diagnostics and Variation

11: Overfitting and Underfitting

13: Count Outcomes

2: Residuals

4: Residual Variation

6: MultiVar Examples

8: MultiVar Examples3

10: Variance Inflation Factors

12: Binary Outcomes

*Selection: **6***

0%

MultiVar_Examples. (Slides for this and other Data Science courses may be found at github <https://github.com/DataScienceSpecialization/courses>. If you care to use them, they must be downloaded as a zip file and viewed locally. This lesson corresponds to Regression_Models/02_02_multivariateExamples.)

====

4%

In this lesson, we'll look at some examples of regression models with more than one variable.

We'll begin with the Swiss data which we've taken the liberty to load for you. This data is part of R's datasets package. It was gathered in 1888, a time of demographic change in Switzerland, and measured six quantities in 47 French-speaking provinces of Switzerland. We used the code from the slides (the R function pairs) to display here a 6 by 6 array of scatterplots showing pairwise relationships between the variables. All of the variables, except for fertility, are proportions of population. For example, "Examination" shows the percentage of draftees receiving the highest mark on an army exam, and "Education" the percentage of draftees with education beyond primary school.

=====

9%

From the plot, which is NOT one of the factors measured?

- 1: Infant Mortality
- 2: Fertility
- 3: Catholic
- 4: Obesity

Selection: 4

You are really on a roll!

=====

13%

First, use the R function `lm` to generate the linear model "all" in which Fertility is the variable dependent on all the others. Use the R shorthand "." to represent the five independent variables in the formula passed to `lm`. Remember the data is "swiss".

> `all <- lm(Fertility~.,swiss)`

Nice work!

=====

17%

Now look at the summary of the linear model all.

> `summary(all)`

Call:

`lm(formula = Fertility ~ ., data = swiss)`

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-15.2743	-5.2617	0.5032	4.1198	15.3213

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(>t)
(Intercept)	66.91518	10.70604	6.250	1.91e-07 ***
Agriculture	-0.17211	0.07030	-2.448	0.01873 *
Examination	-0.25801	0.25388	-1.016	0.31546
Education	-0.87094	0.18303	-4.758	2.43e-05 ***
Catholic	0.10412	0.03526	2.953	0.00519 **
Infant.Mortality	1.07705	0.38172	2.822	0.00734 **

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 7.165 on 41 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.7067, Adjusted R-squared: 0.671

F-statistic: 19.76 on 5 and 41 DF, p-value: 5.594e-10

You got it right!

=====

22%

Recall that the Estimates are the coefficients of the independent variables of the linear model (all of which are percentages) and they reflect an estimated change in the dependent variable (fertility) when the corresponding independent variable changes. So, for every 1% increase in percent of males involved in agriculture as an occupation we expect a .17 decrease in fertility, holding all the other variables constant; for every 1% increase in Catholicism, we expect a .10 increase in fertility, holding all other variables constant.

=====

26%

The "*" at the far end of the row indicates that the influence of Agriculture on Fertility is significant. At what alpha level is the t-test of Agriculture significant?

1: 0.01

2: 0.1

3: R doesn't say

4: 0.05

Selection: **1**

Not quite! Try again.

Look at the "Signif. codes" line in the summary output.

1: R doesn't say

2: 0.01

3: 0.1

4: 0.05

Selection: **4**

Keep up the great work!

=====

30%

Now generate the summary of another linear model (don't store it in a new variable) in which Fertility depends only on agriculture.

> **summary(lm(Fertility~Agriculture,swiss))**

Call:

lm(formula = Fertility ~ Agriculture, data = swiss)

Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
-25.5374 -7.8685 -0.6362 9.0464 24.4858

Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>t)
(Intercept) 60.30438 4.25126 14.185 <2e-16 ***
Agriculture 0.19420 0.07671 2.532 0.0149 *

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 11.82 on 45 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.1247, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1052
F-statistic: 6.409 on 1 and 45 DF, p-value: 0.01492

You got it right!

=====

35%

What is the coefficient of agriculture in this new model?

- 1: *
- 2: 60.30438
- 3: 0.19420
- 4: 0.07671

Selection: **3**

All that hard work is paying off!

=====

39%

The interesting point is that the sign of the Agriculture coefficient changed from negative (when all the variables were included in the model) to positive (when the model only considered Agriculture). Obviously the presence of the other factors affects the influence Agriculture has on Fertility.

=====

43%

Let's consider the relationship between some of the factors. How would you expect level Education and performance on an Examination to be related?

- 1: They would be correlated
- 2: I would not be able to guess without more information
- 3: They would be uncorrelated

Selection: **1**

You nailed it! Good job!

=====

48%

Now check your intuition with the R command "cor". This computes the correlation between Examination and Education.

> **cor(Examination, Education)**

```
Error in is.data.frame(y) : object 'Education' not found
> cor(swiss$Examination,swiss$Education)
[1] 0.6984153
```

You got it right!

===== 52%

The correlation of .6984 shows the two are correlated. Now find the correlation between Agriculture and Education.

```
> cor(swiss$Agriculture,swiss$Education)
[1] -0.6395225
```

Excellent job!

===== 57%

The negative correlation (-.6395) between Agriculture and Education might be affecting Agriculture's influence on Fertility. I've loaded and sourced the file `swissLMs.R` in your working directory. In it is a function `makelms()` which generates a sequence of five linear models. Each model has one more independent variable than the preceding model, so the first has just one independent variable, Agriculture, and the last has all 5. I've tried loading the source code in your editor. If I haven't done this, open the file manually so you can look at the code.

===== 61%

Now run the function `makelms()` to see how the addition of variables affects the coefficient of Agriculture in the models.

```
> makelms()
Agriculture Agriculture Agriculture Agriculture Agriculture
0.1942017 0.1095281 -0.2030377 -0.2206455 -0.1721140
```

Perseverance, that's the answer.

===== 65%

The addition of which variable changes the sign of Agriculture's coefficient from positive to negative?

- 1: Infant.Mortality
- 2: Education
- 3: Catholic
- 4: Examination

Selection: 2

That's a job well done!

===== 70%

Now we'll show what happens when we add a variable that provides no new linear information to a model. Create a variable `ec` that is the sum of `swiss$Examination` and `swiss$Catholic`.

```
> ec <- swiss$Examination + swiss$Catholic
```

You are really on a roll!

===== 74%

Now generate a new model *efit* with Fertility as the dependent variable and the remaining 5 of the original variables AND *ec* as the independent variables. Use the R shorthand ". + *ec*" for the righthand side of the formula.

```
> efit <- lm(Fertility~. + ec,swiss)
```

Your dedication is inspiring!

===== 78%

We'll see that R ignores this new term since it doesn't add any information to the model.

===== 83%

Subtract the *efit* coefficients from the coefficients of the first model you created, *all*.

```
> all$coefficients-efit$coefficients
```

(Intercept)	Agriculture	Examination	Education	Catholic
0	0	0	0	0
Infant.Mortality	ec			
0	NA			

Warning message:

In *all\$coefficients - efit\$coefficients* :

longer object length is not a multiple of shorter object length

Excellent work!

===== 87%

Which is the coefficient of *ec*?

1: I haven't a clue.

2: 0

3: NA

Selection: 3

You are quite good my friend!

===== 91%

This tells us that

1: R is really cool

2: Adding *ec* zeroes out the coefficients

3: Adding *ec* doesn't change the model

Selection: 3

You got it!

===== 96%

Congrats! You've concluded this first lesson on multivariable linear models.

===== 100%

Would you like to receive credit for completing this course on Coursera.org?

1: Yes

2: No

Selection: **1**

What is your email address? **jeanluc.bellier@sfr.fr**

What is your assignment token? **fWLPLK2rfXTmqioF**

Grade submission succeeded!

That's the answer I was looking for.

You've reached the end of this lesson! Returning to the main menu...