

# Formal methods - Temporal logic

Francesco Penasa

March 19, 2020

2020 03 17

## 1 CTL model checking

### 1.1 general ideas

CTL Model Checking is a formal verification technique where:

1. the system is represented as a **Finite State Machine**  $M$
2. the property is as CTL formula  $\phi$

$$AG(p \rightarrow AFq)$$

in all possible initial states, in all possible paths starting from the initial state (AG = in all reachable states), if p holds then for all path sooner or later we hold q.

3. the model checking algorithm checks whether in **all initial states** of M **all the executions** of the model **satisfy** the formula ( $M \models \phi$ ).

In general it works in two macro steps:

1. construct the set of states where the formula holds:

$$[\phi] := s \in S : M, s \models \phi$$

( $[\phi]$  is called the **denotation** of  $\phi$ )

2. then compare with the set of **initial states**:

$$I \subseteq [\phi]?$$

In order to compute  $[\phi]$  we proceed bottom up, this way.

1.  $[q]$
2.  $[AFq]$
3.  $[p]$
4.  $[p \rightarrow AFq]$
5.  $[AG(p \rightarrow AFq)]$

To compute each  $[\phi_i]$

1. labeling function: in which state a given proposition holds.
2. use set operations
3. compute **pre-images**
4. applying **tableaux rules**, until a fixpoint is reached: recursive definition of the propositions

## 1.2 A simple example

1.  $q$  We find the states where  $q$  holds : state 2
2.  $AFq$  recall the AF tableau rule  $AFq \leftrightarrow (q \vee AXAFq)$

## 1.3 Some theoretical issues

stuff

## 1.4 Algorithms

Assume using only  $\neg \wedge EXEUEG$

1. for every  $\phi_i \in Sub(\phi)$ , find  $[\phi_i]$
2. Check if  $I \subseteq [\phi]$
1. propositional atoms: apply labeling function
2. boolean operator: apply standard set operations
3. temporal operator: apply recursively the tableaux rules, until a **fixpoint** is reached.

```

state_set Check(CTL_formula  $\beta$ ){
    case  $\beta$  of
    true: return S;
    false: return {};
     $\neg\beta_1$ : return  $S \setminus \text{Check}(\beta_1)$ ;
     $\beta_1 \wedge \beta_2$ : return  $\text{Check}(\beta_1) \cap \text{Check}(\beta_2)$ ;
     $EX\beta_1$ : return  $\text{PreImage}(\text{Check}(\beta_1))$ ;
     $EG\beta_1$ : return  $\text{Check\_EG}(\text{Check}(\beta_1))$ ;
     $E(\beta_1 U \beta_2)$ : return  $\text{Check\_EU}(\text{Check}(\beta_1), \text{Check}(\beta_2))$ ;
}

```

**PreImage** Add to the S if it is a successor and it holds

**Check\_EG** Intersect to the S if it is a successor and it holds

**Check\_EU** Add to the S if it Intersect is a successor and it holds

Check EF

$$X' := X \cup PreImage(X)$$

## 1.5 Some examples

### 1.5.1 Mutual exclusion

INSERT figure

$$M \models AGAFC_1?$$

To use the algorithm we rewrite it in terms of EG

$$\Rightarrow M \models \neg EFEG\neg C_1?$$

Compute the denotations of

$$[\neg C_1]$$

easy. Now this

$$[EG\neg C_1]$$

We take our current set of states and **intersect** with its preimage. Drop all states that does not hold this *EXS* next step *EXS*, repeat until there are no changes. Fixpoint reached!

$$[EFEG\neg C_1]$$

We do the **union** of our current approximation with the preimage. *EXS*, repeat until we reach a fixed point.

$$[\neg EFEG\neg C_1]$$

Easy af. we can prove that the property is not verified.

### 1.5.2 liveness

$$M \models AG(T_1 \rightarrow AFC_1)? \Rightarrow M \models \neg EF(T_1 \wedge EG\neg C_1)?$$

### 1.5.3 Complexity of CTL model checking

$O(|\phi|)$  steps  $O(|M|)$  states to explore.  $O(|M| * |\phi|)$  Typically the number of states is huge.

## 1.6 Subcase: invariants

Invariant properties have the form *AGp* (e.g., *AG¬bad*). To check that a bad state is not reachable (*AG¬bad* == *¬EFbad*). In this very particular case we can reason that it is possible to stop when we intersect with the initial step OR when we reach a fixed point. But we can do better.

### 1.6.1 Symbolic forward model checking of invariants

Forward checking

1. compute [bad]
2. compute the set of initial states *I*

3. compute the set of reachable states from  $I$  until it intersect  $[bad]$  or a fixed point is reached.

Basic step is the **Forward Image**

$$Image(Y) := \{s' | s \in Y \text{ and } R(s, s') \text{ holds}\}$$

Simplest form: compute the set of reachable states.

## 1.7 Exercises

**CTL model checking**  $\phi = AG((p \wedge q) \rightarrow EGq)$  TODO ADD figure

Rewrite  $\phi$  into an equivalent formula  $\phi'$  expressed in terms of EX, EG, EU/ EF only.

Compute bottom-up the denotations

$$p \wedge q = s_1$$

$$q = s_1 \cup s_0$$

next step

$$EGq = s_1 \cup s_0 = s_0, s_1$$

next step

$$\neg EGq = s_2$$

next steps

$$(p \wedge q) \wedge (\neg EGq) = \emptyset$$

next step

$$EF((p \wedge q) \wedge (\neg EGq)) = \emptyset$$

next step

$$\neg EF((p \wedge q) \wedge (\neg EGq)) = S = s_1, s_2, s_3$$

finished.

As consequence of the previous point, say wheter  $M \models \phi$  **Yes**

## CTL model checking 2

$$AG(AFp \rightarrow q)$$

Rewrite

$$p \text{ irpippir}$$

Compute time

$$\neg p = s_2, s_1$$

$$EG\neg p = s_1, s_2 \cup s_0, s_1, s_2 = s_1, s_2$$

$$\neg EG\neg p = s_0$$

$$\neg q = s_1$$

$$EG\neg q = s_1 \cup s_0, s_1, s_2 = s_1$$

$$(\neg EG\neg p) \wedge (EG\neg q) = s_1 \cup s_0, s_2 = \emptyset$$

$$EF((\neg EG\neg p) \wedge (EG\neg q)) = \emptyset$$

$$\neg EF((\neg EG\neg p) \wedge (EG\neg q)) = s_0, s_1, s_2$$

## 2 Homework

Apply the same process to all the CTL examples of Chapter 3  $EX = \text{pre image}$ ;  $EG = \text{intersection}$ ,  $EF$  equal Union to what we have;  $E(a \cup b) = b \cup (a \text{ inter image}(a))$