

# Distributed System 1

Francesco Penasa

February 18, 2020

18/02/2020

## 1 Synchronization in Distributed Systems

Time plays a fundamental role in many applications. At some point the clock diverge

**clock drift**  $\rho$  constant of variation of time.

For example

$$\rho = 10^{-6} \frac{s}{s}$$

1s every 11.6 days

**clock skew**  $\delta$  maximum clock drift allowed.

**accuracy**: synchronize all clocks against a single one, which is usually the more accurate

**agreement** synchronize all clocks among themselves.

**Monocity must be preserved**

### 1.1 Protocols

1. Server-based solution: periodically each client update himself with a server clock adding the offset  $\theta$ .
2. Network Time Protocol (NTP): protocol to synch time over large-scale networks [ntp.org](http://ntp.org). The NTP servers are in Hierarchical structure (as DNS). Synchronization mechanisms: multicast, procedure-call mode, symmetric mode Symmetric Mode: server exchange their roles and exchange the time.

### 1.2 Observation

1. Often is sufficient to agree on a time, even if it is not accurate.
2. what matters is ordering and causality (relative order). (example: alarm  $\rightarrow$  fire; fire  $\rightarrow$  alarm).
3. if there is no interaction, no synchronization is required.

## 2 Modeling a distributed execution

A distributed algorithm can be modeled as a collection of distributed automata.

## relevant event in distributed algorithms

1. send event:  $send(m, p)$
2. receive event:  $receive(m)$
3. local event: everything else (set a variable, write a file...)

## Histories

1. local history: history of a process  $p_i$
2. partial history: ...

**Happens-before** causality, it is useful only if we don't have global time.

**Logical clocks** enable coordination among processes without synchronization of physical clocks, essentially a counter. **Definition:** Logical clock  $LC$  is a map function for the events  $e$  of the history  $H$  to an element of a time domain  $T$

$$LC : H \rightarrow T$$

**Clock consistency:** events could be concurrent

$$e \rightarrow e' \Rightarrow LC(e) < LC(e')$$

**Strong clock consistency** events can not be concurrent

$$e \rightarrow e' \Leftrightarrow LC(e) < LC(e')$$

**Scalar Clocks** How to assign logical clocks in a way that guarantees **clock consistency**.

**Definition Scalar logical clocks:** an increasing counter

**Update rule...** which guarantees clock consistency by design.

**Partial vs Total Order** I can add the name of the process to the time to disambiguate the order of the events.

## 3 Questions

**Scalar clock EXERCISE** put the number on the events...

**what are the problems on the server-based solutions?**

1. **Minor:** There is already a mismatch for the "travel" time, to fix this we can use timestamps T1, T2, T3, T4 to measure the RTT.
2. **Major:** We could break monotonicity of time, to fix the clock we should slow down until the time is fixed.

**Definition of Happen-before** We say that an event  $e$  happens-before an event  $e'$ , and write  $e \rightarrow e'$ , if one of the following three cases is true:

$$\exists p_i \in \Pi : e = e_i^r, e' = e_i^s, r < s$$

$$e = \text{send}(m, *) \wedge e' = \text{receive}(m)$$

$$\exists e'' : e \rightarrow e'' \rightarrow e'$$