Advanced Operating Systems
MS degree in Computer Engineering
University of Rome Tor Vergata 
Lecturer: Francesco Quaglia

Trap/interrupt architecture

1. Architectural hints
2. Relations with software and its layering
3. Bindind to the Linux kernel internals

Single-core traditional concepts

- Traditional single-core machines only relied on
  - ➢ Traps (synchronous events wrt software execution)
  - ➢ Interrupts from external devices (asynchronous events)
- The classical way of handling the event has been based on running operating system code on the **unique CPU** in the system (single CPU systems) upon event acceptance
- This has been enough (in terms of consistency) even for concurrent (multi-thread) applications given that the state of the hardware was time-shared across threads

Some more insights



An example with traps (e.g. syscalls)



Moving to multiple CPU systems



Core issues

- If the system state is distributed/replicated within the hardware architecture we need mechanisms for allowing state changes by traps/interrupts to be propagated
- As an example, a trap on CPU-0 needs to be propagated to CPU-1 etc.
- In some cases this is addressed by pure firmware protocols (such as when the event **is bound to deterministic handling**)
- Otherwise we need mechanisms to propagate and handle the event at the operating system (software) level

The IPI (Inter Processor Interrupt) support

- IPI is a third type of event (beyond traps and classical interrupts) that may trigger the execution of specific operating system software on any CPU
- An IPI is a **synchronous event at the sender** CPU and an **asynchronous one at the recipient** CPU
- On the other hand, IPI is typically used to put in place cross CPU activities (e.g. request/reply protocols) allowing, e.g., a specific CPU to trigger a change in the state of another one
- Or to trigger a change on the hardware portion only observable by the other CPU

Priorities

- IPIs are generated via firmware support, but are finally processed at software level (it becomes an OS matter)
- Classically, at least two priority levels are admitted
  - ✓ High
  - ✓ Low
- High priority leads to immediate processing of the IPI at the recipient (a single IPI is accepted and stands out at any point in time)
- Low priority generally leads to queue the requests and process them via sequentialization

Actual support in x86 machines

- In x86 processors, the basic firmware support for interrupts is the so called APIC (Advanced Programmable Interrupt Controller)
- This offers a local instance to any CPU (called LAPIC – Local APIC)
- As an example, LAPIC offers a “CPU-local” programmable timer (for time tracking and time-sharing purposes) …. the LAPIC-T we already met
- It also offers pseudo-registers to be used for posting IPI requests in the system
- IPI requests travel along an ad-hoc APIC bus

The architectural scheme



Nomenclature

- IRQ is the actual code associated with the interrupt request (depending on hardware configuration carried out by the OS kernel)
- INT is the “interrupt line” as seen by the OS-kernel software
- In the essence INT = F(IRQ) or INT = kernel-decided-code
- The evaluation of the function F is typically hardware specific
- As it will be clear in a few slides, on x86 processors INT > IRQ+32
- Or the kernel-decided-code is larger than 32
- This means that the first 32 INT lines are reserved for something else – these are the predefined traps of the hardware architecture

I/O APIC insights

- I/O APIC tracks how many CPUs are in the current chipset
- It can selectively direct interrupts to the different CPUs
- It uses so called local APIC-ID as an identifier of the CPU
- Fixed/physical operations
  - ✓ it sends interrupts from certain device to some single, predefined CPU
  - ✓ Thi occurs, e.g., when sarting Linux with the **noapic pci=nomsi** command (all external devices go to the PIC - CPU0)
- Logical/low priority operations
  - ✓ it can deliver interrupts from certain device to multiple CPUs in a round robin fashion

The Linux interface for APIC

- `/proc/interrupt` tells the actual accounting of the interrupt delivery to the different CPUs
- `/proc/irq/<IRQ#>/smp_affinity` tells what is the affinity of interrupts to CPUs in the logical/low priority operating mode
- The actual setup of the I/O APIC working mode is hard-coded into kernel boot rules and is generally observable via the `dmesg` buffer

Linux core data structures - the IDT

- It is a table of entries that are used to describe the entry point (the GATE) for the handling of any interrupt
- x86 machines have IDTs formed by 256 entries
- the max amount of IRQ vectors we can generate with the I/O APIC architecture is 24 (standard case) or up to 48-64 (Multi-IOAPIC systems)
- The actual size and structure of the entries depends on the type of machine we are working with (say 32 vs 64 bit machines)
- Here is a high level view of the actual usage of the entries …..

Linux IDT bindings

Back here in a while

Vector range	Use
0-19 (0x0-0x13)	Nonmaskable interrupts and exceptions
20-31 (0x14-0x1f)	Intel-reserved
32-127 (0x20-0x7f)	External interrupts (IRQs)
128 (0x80)	Programmed exception for system calls (segmented style)
129-238 (0x81-0xee)	External interrupts (IRQs)
239 (0xef)	**Local APIC timer interrupt**
240-250 (0xf0-0xfa)	Reserved by Linux for future use
251-255 (0xfb-0xff)	**Inter-processor interrupts**

The mixture changes with kernel releases (e.g. 255 is spurious)

What we already saw - idtr

- The **idtr** register (interrupt descriptor table register) keeps on each CPU-core
  - ✓ the IDT **virtual address (expressed as up to 6 bytes – 48bit – linear address)**
  - ✓ **The number of entries currently present in the IDT (expressed as 2 bytes – up to 256)**
- This is a packed structure that we can manipulate with the LIDT (Load IDT) and SIDT (Store IDT) x86 machine instructions

x86 protected mode

- The elements of the IDT are made up by 64-bit data structures
- In more detail, the data stucture is of type `struct desc_struct`
- It is defined in `include/asm-i386/desc.h` as

```
struct desc_struct {
 unsigned long a,b;
}
```

Structure of the x86 protected mode IDT entry



difference

IDT entry, Interrupt Gates

Name	Bit	Full Name	Description
Offset	48..63	Offset 16..31	Higher part of the offset.
P	47	Present	can be set to **0** for unused interrupts or for Paging.
DPL	45,46	Descriptor Privilege Level	Gate call protection. Specifies which privilege Level the calling Descriptor minimum should have. So hardware and CPU interrupts can be protected from beeing called out of userspace.
S	44	Storage Segment	**= 0** for interrupt gates.
Type	40..43	Gate Type 0..3	Possible IDT gate types : 0b0101 | 0x5 | 5 | 80386 32 bit Task gate 0b0110 | 0x6 | 6 | 80286 16-bit interrupt gate 0b0111 | 0x7 | 7 | 80286 16-bit trap gate 0b1110 | 0xE | 14 | 80386 32-bit interrupt gate 0b1111 | 0xF | 15 | 80386 32-bit trap gate
0	32..39	Unused 0..7	Have to be **0**.
Selector	16..31	Selector 0..15	Selector of the interrupt function (to make sense - the kernel's selector). The selector's descriptor's DPL field has to be **0**.
Offset	0..15	Offset 0..15	Lower part of the interrupt function's offset address (also known as pointer).

Recap on relations with the GDT

- The segment identifier/selector allows accessing the entry of the GDT where we can find the base value for the target segment
- **NOTE**
  - ➢ As we already know, there are 4 valid data/code segments, all mapped to base 0x0
  - ➢ This is done in order to make **Linux portable on architectures offering no segmentation support** (i.e. only offering paging)
  - ➢ This is one reason why
    - ✓ Protection meta-data are also kept within page table entries
    - ✓ Setting up the offset for a GATE requires a **displacement referring to 0x0**, which can be denoted to the linker by the `&` operator

Long mode IDT entry structure



DPL	Descriptor Privilege Level
Offset	Offset to procedure entry point
P	Segment Present flag
Selector	Segment Selector for destination code segment
IST	Interrupt Stack Table

Fully new

Accessing the gate address - long mode

```
#define HML_TO_ADDR(h,m,l)    \
   ((unsigned long) (l) | ((unsigned long) (m) << 16) | \
   ((unsigned long) (h) << 32))

……
gate_desc *gate_ptr;


gate_ptr = ……;

HML_TO_ADDR(gate_ptr->offset_high, gate_ptr->offset_middle,
   gate_ptr->offset_low);
```

x86 long mode fully new concepts - IST

- The **Interrupt Stack Table (IST)** is available as an alternative to handle stack switch upon traps/interrupts
- This mechanism unconditionally switches stacks when it is enabled on each individual interrupt-vector basis using a field in the IDT entry
- This means that some interrupt vectors can selectively use the IST mechanism
- IST provides a method for specific interrupts (such as NMI, double-fault, and machine-check) to always execute on a known good stack …. which is also visible when accessing the kernel
- The IST mechanism provides **up to seven IST pointers** in the TSS

A scheme



These are typically the primary stacks (possibly of different size) for processing a given trap/interrupts
Software will then switch to the classical kernel level stack of the running task if nothing prevents it (e.g. a double fault)

Macros for setting IDT entries - x86 protected mode

- within the `arch/i386/kernel/traps.c` file we can find the declaration of the following macros that can be used for setting up one entry of the IDT
  - `set_trap_gate(displacement,&symbol_name)`
  - `set_intr_gate(displacement,&symbol_name)`
  - `set_system_gate(displacement,&symbol_name)`
- `displacement` indicates the target entry of the IDT
- `&simbol_name` identifies the segment displacement (starting from 0x0) which determines the address of the software module to be invoked for handling the trap or the interrupt

Main differences among the modules

- The `set_trap_gate()` function initializes one IDT entry such in away to define the value 0 as the privilege level admitted for accessing the GATE via software
- Therefore we cannot rely on the INT assembly instruction unless we are already executing in kernel mode
- The `set_intr_gate()` function looks similar, however the handler activation relies on interrupt masking
- `set_system_gate()` is similar to `set_trap_gate()` however it defines the value 3 as the level of privilege admitted for accessing the GATE

i386/kernel-2.4 examples

Handler managing division errors

```
set_trap_gate(0,&divide_error)
```

Handler for non-maskable interrupts

```
set_intr_gate(2,&nmi)
```

Handler used for dispatching system calls

```
set_system_gate(SYSCALL_VECTOR,&system_call)
```

Variants for x86 long mode - kernel 3

CODE SNIPPET FROM desc.h

```
409 /*
410  * This routine sets up an interrupt gate at directory privilege level 3.
411  */
412 static inline void set_system_intr_gate(unsigned int n, void *addr)
413 {
414         BUG_ON((unsigned)n > 0xFF);
415         _set_gate(n, GATE_INTERRUPT, addr, 0x3, 0, __KERNEL_CS);
416 }
417
418 static inline void set_system_trap_gate(unsigned int n, void *addr)
419 {
420         BUG_ON((unsigned)n > 0xFF);
421         _set_gate(n, GATE_TRAP, addr, 0x3, 0, __KERNEL_CS);
422 }
423
424 static inline void set_trap_gate(unsigned int n, void *addr)
425 {
426         BUG_ON((unsigned)n > 0xFF);
427         _set_gate(n, GATE_TRAP, addr, 0, 0, __KERNEL_CS);
428 }
```

Variants for x86 long mode - kernel 4/5

```
#define write_ldt_entry(dt, entry, desc) \
        native_write_idt_entry(dt, entry, desc)

static inline void native_write_idt_entry(gate_desc *idt,
        int entry, const gate_desc *gate) {
            memcpy(&idt[entry], gate, sizeof(*gate));
}
```

Reserved vs available IDT entries (i)

- The entries from 0 to 31 are reserved for handlers that are used to manage specific (predefined) events/conditions (such as divide by 0 or page fault) or are already planned for future use … these are mostly traps
- This is based on hardware design/requirements
- All the other entries are available for system programming purposes
- As an example, the entry at displacement 0x80 has been traditionally used for kernel level access via system calls
- We note that for some of the reserved entries, microcode tasks generate a **so called error-code to be passed to the handler** ……

Reserved vs available IDT entries (ii)

- If needed, the handler needs to be structured such in a way to be aware of the production of the error-code
- Particularly, beyond exploiting the error-code value, it needs to remove it from, e.g., the stack right before returning from trap/interrupt (IRET)
- Non-reserved entries area managed by the microcode with no generation of any error-code value

Recap on actions of trap/interrupt handlers



In modern kernels we also have the need for handling kernel isolation on page tables

CPU snapshot generation on the stack? YES

Management of the presence/absence of error code? YES

Additional stack change? YES/ NO

Control passage to a second level handler? Typically YES (for sure if we are running a non top/bottom half task)

Modular handler management

- The interrupt handlers are managed via an additional dispatcher
- Initially, each handler
  - Manages the actual access to kernel code (e.g. calling the PTI manager)
  - Logs a dummy-value into the target stack in case no error-code is generated in relation to the specific trap/interrupt – otherwise logs the generated one
  - Runs the CPU snapshot logger
- Then it passes control to the actual C-style interrupt handler

The actual scheme



x86-64 early trap/interrupt stack layout details



Coming from where?

Examples (dated)

```
ENTRY(overflow)
    pushl $0
    pushl $ SYMBOL_NAME(do_overflow)
    jmp error_code

ENTRY(general_protection)
    pushl $ SYMBOL_NAME(do_general_protection)
    jmp error_code

ENTRY(page_fault)
    pushl $ SYMBOL_NAME(do_page_fault)
    jmp error_code
```

No error code by firmware

Error code already posted firmware

The error_code block - still i386 case

- The assembler code block called `error_code` is in charge of logging the CPU context into the stack
- This is done by aligning the stack content with the following data structure defined in `include/asm-i386/ptrace.h`

```
struct pt_regs {
   long ebx;    long ecx;
   long edx; long esi;
   long edi; long ebp;
   long eax; int  xds; int  xes;
   long orig_eax; long eip; int  xcs;
   long eflags; long esp;    int  xss;
}
```

- The actual handler can take as input a `pt_regs*` pointer and, if needed, an `unsigned long` representing the error-code

struct pt_regs for x86 long mode

```
struct pt_regs {
    unsigned long r15;  …  unsigned long r12;
    unsigned long bp;
    unsigned long bx; /* arguments: non interrupts/non tracing syscalls only save up
to here*/
    unsigned long r11;  … unsigned long r8;
    unsigned long ax;
    unsigned long cx;
    unsigned long dx;
    unsigned long si;
    unsigned long di;
    unsigned long orig_ax; /* end of arguments */ /* cpu exception frame or undefined
*/
    unsigned long ip;
    unsigned long cs;
    unsigned long flags;
    unsigned long sp;
    unsigned long ss; /* top of stack page */
}
```

The page fault handler - main features

```
asmlinkage void __kprobes do_page_fault(struct pt_regs *regs,
        unsigned long write, unsigned long address);
```

Newer higher level interface compared to the below shown classical one

```
void do_page_fault(struct pt_regs *regs, unsigned long error_code);
```

bit 0 == 0 means no page found, 1 means protection fault
bit 1 == 0 means read, 1 means write
bit 2 == 0 means kernel, 1 means user-mode

Back to IPI

- Immediate handling is allowed for the case in which there are no data structures that are shared across CPU-cores that need to be accessed for the handling (kind of stateless scenarios)
- An example is the system-halt (e.g. upon panic)
- Other classical usages of IPI are
  - ✓ Execution of a same function across all the CPU-cores (like the initialization of per-CPU variables)
  - ✓ Change of the state of hardware components across multiple CPU-cores in the system (e.g. the TLB state)
  - ✓ Ask some CPU to preempt the current thread

Actual IPI usage in Linux - a few examples

CALL_FUNCTION_VECTOR
Sent to all CPUs but the sender, forcing those CPUs to run a function passed by the sender. The corresponding interrupt handler is named `call_function_interrupt()`. Usually this interrupt is sent to all CPUs except the CPU executing the calling function by means of the `smp_call_function()` facility function.

RESCHEDULE_VECTOR
When a CPU receives this type of interrupt, the corresponding handler limits itself to acknowledge the interrupt.

INVALIDATE_TLB_VECTOR
Sent to all CPUs but the sender, forcing them to invalidate their TLB.

Actual IPI API in the APIC driver

`send_IPI_all( )`

Sends an IPI to all CPUs (including the sender)

`send_IPI_allbutself( )`

Sends an IPI to all CPUs except the sender

`send_IPI_self( )`

Sends an IPI to the sender CPU

`send_IPI_mask( )`

Sends an IPI to a group of CPUs specified by a bit mask

Sequentialization of IPI management

- The sequentializing approach is used in case the IPI requires managing a shared data structure across the threads
- This is the typical case of an IPI that requires specific parameters for correct management
- These parameters are in fact passed into predetermined memory locations accessible to all the CPU-cores, whose position in memory is predetermined
- The classical case is the one of smp-call-function, whose function pointer and parameter are both passed into a global table

The scheme


Shared data structure
Trigger IPI
CPU-0
Get
spinlock
Post data
CPU-1
handle IPI possibly accessing
shared data

```
207 int smp_call_function(void (*_func)(void *info), void *_info, int wait)
208 {
……
215     /* Can deadlock when called with interrupts disabled */
216     WARN_ON(irqs_disabled());
217
218     spin_lock_bh(&call_lock);
219     atomic_set(&scf_started, 0);
220     atomic_set(&scf_finished, 0);
221     func = _func;
222     info = _info;
223
224     for_each_online_cpu(i)
225         os_write_file(cpu_data[i].ipi_pipe[1], "C", 1);
226
227     while (atomic_read(&scf_started) != cpus)
228         barrier();
229
230     if (wait)
231         while (atomic_read(&scf_finished) != cpus)
232             barrier();
233
234     spin_unlock_bh(&call_lock);
235     return 0;
```



Beware this!!

IPI additional effects

- As noted before, one IPI used by Linux is the **reschedule** one
- This may lead to preemption of the task running on the CPU targeted by the IPI
- This may have effects on both
  - ✓ Correctness/consistency
  - ✓ Performance

Consistency aspects

- What about running a piece of code which is CPU-specific and preemption occurs??
- One example

```
struct _the_struct v[NR_CPUS];
v[smp_processor_id()] = some_value;
/* task is preempted here... */
something = v[smp_processor_id()];
```



We may be targeting different entries

Performance aspects

- `smp_call_function()` tipcally runs with interrupts allowed … just remember the deadlock issue!!
- But we cannot risk to have some `smp_call_function()` runner getting context switched off the CPU
- Otherwise the release of the `smp_call_function()` resources (e.g. the spinlock) might be delayed
- …. and we might even deadlock anyhow!!

How to run with interrupts but no actual preemption

- We use per-thread atomic counters (we already saw)
- If the counter is not zero then no preemption will take place (although we can be targeted by interrupts)
- The check is clearly done via software upon attempting to process the preemption interrupt
- Beware managing the preemption counter explicitly if required!!

Preemption enabling/disabling API recall

```
preempt_enable() // decrement the preempt counter

preempt_disable() // increment the preempt counter

preempt_enable_no_resched() decrement, but do not
immediately preempt

preempt_check_resched() // if needed, reschedule

preempt_count() return the preempt counter

put_cpu() /get_cpu()  //decrase/increase the counter
(enable/disable preemption)
```



Variants of each other

Preemption vs SMP function calls

```
int smp_call_function(void (*func) (void *info), void *info, int wait)
{
    preempt_disable();
    smp_call_function_many(cpu_online_mask, func, info, wait );
    preempt_enable();
    return 0;
}
```



Internal structure with preemption awareness

Be careful

- IPI is an extremely powerful technology
- However you need to consider scalability aspects
- This leads to conclude that IPI schemes involving large counts of CPU-cores need to be used only when mandatorily needed
- The classical example is when patching the kernel on line, e.g. upon mounting a module