

# Vulnerability Scanning

## Obbiettivo :

Trovare eventuali vulnerabilità su un target Metasploitable di sole porte comuni analizzando però solo le porte “comuni”.

## Overview :

- Vulnerability Scanning su un target Metasploitable di sole porte comuni.
- **Porte scansionate** : 21, 22, 23, 25, 80, 110, 139, 443, 445, 3389
- **Tipo scansione** : Basic Network Scan

Si è deciso di effettuare un vulnerability scanning su un target Metasploitable ( *Ip 192.168.50.152/24* ) delle porte comuni.

Per porte comuni si intendono tutte quelle **porte comunemente usate per servizi** come SSH, HTTP, HTTPS.

Per effettuare il Vulnerability Scanning si utilizza il software **Nessus** che permette lo scansione di un target e la catalogazione delle minacce trovate con eventuali risoluzioni.

## Results :



Figura 1 : ScreenShot del risultato della Vulnerability Scanning su Nessus

- 4 Vulnerabilità critiche
- 2 vulnerabilità alte
- 13 vulnerabilità medie
- 5 vulnerabilità basse
- 66 informazioni

# Analisi di alcune vulnerabilità critiche :

## Canonical Ubuntu Linux SEoL (8.04.x)

**CRITICAL** Canonical Ubuntu Linux SEoL (8.04.x)

**Description**

According to its version, Canonical Ubuntu Linux is 8.04.x. It is, therefore, no longer maintained by its vendor or provider.

Lack of support implies that no new security patches for the product will be released by the vendor. As a result, it may contain security vulnerabilities.

**Solution**

Upgrade to a version of Canonical Ubuntu Linux that is currently supported.

**See Also**

<http://www.nessus.org/u73bdb2d2e>

**Output**

```
OS : Ubuntu Linux 8.04
Security End of Life : May 9, 2013
Time since Security End of Life (Est.) : >= 12 years
```

To see debug logs, please visit individual host


Port ▲	Hosts
80 / tcp / www	192.168.50.152 

Figure 2 : Versione Obsoleta e non più aggiornata

Soluzione : Aggiornare ad una versione supportata e aggiornata

# SSL Version 2 and 3 Protocol Detection

**CRITICAL** SSL Version 2 and 3 Protocol Detection

**Description**

The remote service accepts connections encrypted using SSL 2.0 and/or SSL 3.0. These versions of SSL are affected by several cryptographic flaws, including:

- An insecure padding scheme with CBC ciphers.
- Insecure session renegotiation and resumption schemes.

An attacker can exploit these flaws to conduct man-in-the-middle attacks or to decrypt communications between the affected service and clients.

Although SSL/TLS has a secure means for choosing the highest supported version of the protocol (so that these versions will be used only if the client or server support nothing better), many web browsers implement this in an unsafe way that allows an attacker to downgrade a connection (such as in POODLE). Therefore, it is recommended that these protocols be disabled entirely.

NIST has determined that SSL 3.0 is no longer acceptable for secure communications. As of the date of enforcement found in PCI DSS v3.1, any version of SSL will not meet the PCI SSC's definition of 'strong cryptography'.

**Solution**

Consult the application's documentation to disable SSL 2.0 and 3.0.  
Use TLS 1.2 (with approved cipher suites) or higher instead.

**See Also**

<https://www.schneier.com/academic/paperfiles/paper-ssl.pdf>  
<http://www.nessus.org/u?b06c7e95>  
<http://www.nessus.org/u?247c4540>  
<https://www.openssl.org/~bodo/ssl-poodle.pdf>  
<http://www.nessus.org/u?5d15ba70>  
<https://www.imperialviolet.org/2014/10/14/poodle.html>  
<https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7507>  
<https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7568>

**Output**

```
- SSLv2 is enabled and the server supports at least one cipher.

Low Strength Ciphers (<= 64-bit key)

  Name                               Code          KEX          Auth          Encryption          MAC
  -----
  EXP-RC2-CBC-MD5                     RSA           RSA          RSA          RC2-CBC (40)        MD5            export
  EXP-RC4-MD5                         RSA           RSA          RSA          RC4 (40)            MD5            export

more...
```

To see debug logs, please visit individual host

Port ▲	Hosts
25 / tcp / smtp	192.168.50.152

Figure 3 : Protocolli SSL obsoleti ciò crea possibilità di attacchi Man-in-the-Middle e cifrature deboli.

Soluzione : Aggiornare o disabilitare protocolli, disabilitare cifrature deboli

# Debian OpenSSH/OpenSSL Package Random Number Generator Weakness

**CRITICAL** Debian OpenSSH/OpenSSL Package Random Number Generator Weakness

**Description**

The remote SSH host key has been generated on a Debian or Ubuntu system which contains a bug in the random number generator of its OpenSSL library.

The problem is due to a Debian packager removing nearly all sources of entropy in the remote version of OpenSSL.

An attacker can easily obtain the private part of the remote key and use this to set up decipher the remote session or set up a man in the middle attack.

**Solution**

Consider all cryptographic material generated on the remote host to be guessable. In particular, all SSH, SSL and OpenVPN key material should be re-generated.

**See Also**

<http://www.nessus.org/u?107f9bdc>  
<http://www.nessus.org/u?f14f4224>

**Output**

No output recorded.

To see debug logs, please visit individual host


Port ▲	Hosts
22 / tcp / ssh	192.168.50.152 

Figure 4 : La chiave host SSH remota è stata generata su un sistema Debian o Ubuntu che contiene un bug nel generatore di numeri casuali della sua libreria OpenSSL. Un aggressore può facilmente ottenere la parte privata della chiave remota e utilizzarla per impostare la decifrazione della sessione remota o per impostare un attacco man in the middle.  
Soluzione : Aggiornare sistema, generare chiavi Host e controllare le chiavi generate.

# Samba Badlock Vulnerability

**HIGH** Samba Badlock Vulnerability

**Description**

The version of Samba, a CIFS/SMB server for Linux and Unix, running on the remote host is affected by a flaw, known as Badlock, that exists in the Security Account Manager (SAM) and Local Security Authority (Domain Policy) (LSAD) protocols due to improper authentication level negotiation over Remote Procedure Call (RPC) channels. A man-in-the-middle attacker who is able to intercept the traffic between a client and a server hosting a SAM database can exploit this flaw to force a downgrade of the authentication level, which allows the execution of arbitrary Samba network calls in the context of the intercepted user, such as viewing or modifying sensitive security data in the Active Directory (AD) database or disabling critical services.

**Solution**

Upgrade to Samba version 4.2.11 / 4.3.8 / 4.4.2 or later.

**See Also**

<https://www.samba.org/samba/security/CVE-2016-2118.html>

**Output**

```
Nessus detected that the Samba Badlock patch has not been applied.
```

To see debug logs, please visit individual host

Port ▲	Hosts
445 / tcp / cifs	192.168.50.152 <a href="#">🔗</a>

Figura 5 : La versione di Samba in esecuzione sull'host remoto è affetta da un difetto, noto come Badlock, presente nei protocolli Security Account Manager (SAM) e Local Security Authority (Domain Policy) (LSAD) a causa di una negoziazione non corretta del livello di autenticazione sui canali Remote Procedure Call (RPC).  
Soluzione : Aggiornare a Samba a versione successiva.

# SSL Medium Strength Cipher Suites Supported (SWEET32)

**HIGH** SSL Medium Strength Cipher Suites Supported (SWEET32)

**Description**

The remote host supports the use of SSL ciphers that offer medium strength encryption. Nessus regards medium strength as any encryption that uses key lengths at least 64 bits and less than 112 bits, or else that uses the 3DES encryption suite.

Note that it is considerably easier to circumvent medium strength encryption if the attacker is on the same physical network.

**Solution**

Reconfigure the affected application if possible to avoid use of medium strength ciphers.

**See Also**

<http://www.nessus.org/u?df5555f5>  
<https://sweet32.info>

**Output**

```
Medium Strength Ciphers (> 64-bit and < 112-bit key, or 3DES)

Name                               Code                KEX          Auth      Encryption          MAC
-----
DES-CBC3-MD5                       0x07, 0x00, 0xC0   RSA          RSA       3DES-CBC(168)       MD5
EDH-RSA-DES-CBC3-SHA               0x00, 0x16         DHE          RSA       3DES-CBC(168)       SHA1
ADH-DES-CBC3-SHA                   0x00, 0x1B         DH           None      3DES-CBC(168)       SHA1
DES-CBC3-SHA                       0x00, 0x0A         RSA          RSA       3DES-CBC(168)       SHA1

more...
```

To see debug logs, please visit individual host


Port ▲	Hosts
25 / tcp / smtp	192.168.50.152 

Figura 6 : L'host remoto supporta l'uso di cifrari SSL che offrono una crittografia di media potenza.

Soluzione : Configurare l'app correttamente

# Conclusion :

L'analisi di vulnerabilità su Metasploitable (limitata alle porte comuni) ha rivelato **4 criticità e 2 alti rischi**, confermando l'estrema vulnerabilità del sistema. Le falle includono sistema operativo obsoleto (Canonical Ubuntu SEoL), protocolli crittografici deboli (SSL v2/v3, cifrature medie), e difetti specifici in servizi chiave come Samba (Badlock) e la generazione di chiavi SSH/OpenSSL.

Queste debolezze offrono molteplici vie di accesso agli attaccanti.

## Raccomandazioni Urgenti:

1. **Aggiornare il S.O.** a una versione supportata.
2. **Disabilitare SSL v2/v3** e cifrature deboli, utilizzando **solo TLS 1.2+**.
3. **Aggiornare OpenSSL/OpenSSH e rigenerare immediatamente tutte le chiavi SSH host** a causa del difetto del generatore di numeri casuali.
4. **Aggiornare Samba** per risolvere Badlock.