

# 1 Implementation

In this section we show the reader all the details about the implementation starting from the employed software. We will first present all the main tools used in the implementation and then describe in detail each pipeline's step.

## 1.1 Tools

We quickly list the most important tools and libraries adopted in this project

- ROS Melodic
- Numpy
- Matplotlib
- Pandas
- OpenCV
- PyTorch
- FastAI
- imgaug
- Blender

The framework was entirely developed on Ubuntu 18.10 with Python 3.6.

### 1.1.1 ROS Melodic

The Robot Operating System (ROS) [ROS] is a flexible framework for writing robot software. It is *de facto* the industry and research standard framework for robotics due to its simple yet effective interface that facilitates the task of creating a robust and complex robot behavior regardless of the platforms. ROS works by establishing a peer-to-peer connection where each *node* is to communicate between the others by exposing sockets endpoints, called *topics*, to stream data or send *messages*.

Each *node* can subscribe to a *topic* to receive or publish new messages. In our case, *Krock* exposes different topics on which we can subscribe in order to get real-time information about the state of the robot. Unfortunately, ROS does not natively support Python3, so we had to compile it by hand. Because it was a difficult and time-consuming operation, we decided to share the ready-to-go binaries as a docker image.

### 1.1.2 Numpy

Numpy is a fundamental package for any scientific use. It allows to express efficiently any matrix operation using its broadcasting functions. Numpy is used across the whole pipeline to manipulate matrices.

### 1.1.3 Matplotlib

To create almost all the plots in this report we used Matplotlib, is a Python 2D plotting library. It provides a similar functional interface to MATLAB and a deep ability to customize every region of the figure. All It is worth citing *seaborn* a data visualization library that we inglobate in our work-flow to create the heatmaps. It is based on Matplotlib and it provides an high-level interface.

### 1.1.4 Pandas

To process the data from the simulations we rely on Pandas, a Python library providing fast, flexible, and expressive data structures in a tabular form. Pandas is well suited for many different kinds of data such as handle tabular data with heterogeneously-typed columns, similar to SQL table or Excel spreadsheet, time series and matrices. We take advantages of the relationa data structure to perform custom manipulation on the rows by removing the outliears and computing the advancement.

Generally, pandas does not scale well and it is mostly used to handle small dataset while relegating big data to other frameworks such as Spark or Hadoop. We used Pandas to store the results from the simulator and inside a Thread Queue to parse each .csv file efficiently.

### 1.1.5 OpenCV

Open Source Computer Vision Library, OpenCV, is an open source computer vision library with a rich collection of highly optimized algorithms. It includes classic and state-of-the-art computer vision and machine learning methods applied in a wide array of tasks, such as object detection and face recognition. We adopt this library to handle image data, mostly to pre and post-process the heightmaps and the patches.

### 1.1.6 PyTorch

PyTorch is a Python open source deep learning framework. It allows Tensor computation (like NumPy) with strong GPU acceleration and Deep neural networks built on a tape-based auto grad system. Due to its Python-first philosophy, it is easy to use, expressive and predictable it is widely used amoung researches and enthusiast. Moreover, its main advantages over other mainstream frameworks such as TensorFlow [**tensorflow**] are a cleaner API structure, better debugging, code shareability and an enormous number of high-quality third-party packages.

### 1.1.7 FastAI

FastAI is library based on PyTorch that simplifies fast and accurate neural nets training using modern best practices. It provides a high-level API to train,

evaluate and test deep learning models on any type of dataset. We used it to train, test, and evaluate our models.

### 1.1.8 imgaug

Image augmentation (imgaug) is a python library to perform image augmenting operations on images. It provides a variety of methodologies, such as affine transformations, perspective transformations, contrast changes and Gaussian noise, to build sophisticated pipelines. It supports images, heatmaps, segmentation maps, masks, key points/landmarks, bounding boxes, polygons, and line strings. We used it to augment the heightmap, details are in section 1.4.7

### 1.1.9 Blender

Blender is the free and open source 3D creation suite. It supports the entirety of the 3D pipeline modeling, rigging, animation, simulation, rendering, compositing and motion tracking, even video editing and game creation. We used Blender to render some of the 3D terrain utilized to evaluate the trained model.

## 1.2 Data Gathering

In this section we first describe how we generated the synthetic maps, how we let the robot interact with them and all the postprocessing needed to generate the final dataset.

### 1.2.1 Heightmap generation

To collect the data through simulation we first need to generate meaningful terrain to be explored by the robot those maps are created using heightmaps. A heightmap is a 2D array, an image, where each pixel's value represents the terrain height. The following figure shows an heightmap and the relative terrain.




Figure 1: A heightmap

We used thirty maps of  $513 \times 513$  pixel with a resolution of  $0.02\text{cm}/\text{pixel}$  in order to represent a  $10 \times 10\text{m}$  region. We generated them by 2D simplex noise [**simplex**], a variant of Perlin noise [**perlin**], a widely used technique in terrain generation litterature. We created four main categories of terrains: *bumps*, *rails*, *steps* and *slopes/ramps*. For each map we add three different rocky texture to create even more different situations.

**Bumps:** We generated four different maps with increasing bumps using simplex noise with features size  $\in [200, 100, 50, 25]$ .




Figure 2: Bumps maps ( $10 \times 10$ m).

**Bars:** In these maps there are wall with different shapes and heights. In




Figure 3: Bars maps ( $10 \times 10$ m).

**Rails:** Flat grounds with slots.




Figure 4: Rails maps ( $10 \times 10$ m).

**Steps:** These are maps have huge wall and holes




Figure 5: Steps maps ( $10 \times 10$ m).

**Slopes/Ramps:** Maps composed by uneven terrain scaled by different height factors from 3 to 5 used to include samples where *Krock* has to climb.





Figure 6: Slopes maps ( $10 \times 10$ m).

**Holes** We also included a map with holes



(a) *holes1*

Figure 7: Holes map (10 × 10m).

### 1.2.2 Simulator

We used Webots to move *Krock* on the generated terrain. The robot controlled was implemented by EPFL and handed to IDSIA . The controller implements a ROS’ node to publish *Krock* status including its pose at a rate of 250hz. We decide to reduce it 50hz by using ROS build it `throttle` command. To load the map into the simulator we had to convert it to Webots’s `.wbt` file. Unfortunately, the simulator lacks support for heightmap so we had to use a script to read the image and perform the conversion.

To communicate with the simulator, Webots exposes a wide number of ROS services, similar to HTTP endpoints, with which we can communicate. The client can use the services to get the value of a field of a Webots’ Node, for example, if we want to get the terrain height, we have to ask for the field value `height` from `TERRAIN` node. In addition, to call one service, we first have to get the correct type of message we wish to send and then we can call it. We decided to implement a little library called `webots2ros` to hide all the complexity needed to fetch a field value from a node.

We also implement one additional library called `Agent` to create reusable robot’s interfaces independent from the simulator. The package supports callbacks that can be attached to each agent adding additional features. Finally, we used *Gym* [gym], a toolkit to develop and evaluate reinforcement learning algorithms, to define our environment. Due to the library’s popularity, the code can easily be shared with other researches or we may directly experiment with already made RL algorithm in the future without changing the code.

### 1.2.3 Simulation

To collect *Krock*’s interaction with the environment, we spawn the robot on the ground and let it move forward for  $t$  seconds. We repeat this process  $n$  times per each map. Unfortunately, spawning the robot is not a trivial task. In certain maps, for instance, `bars1`, we must avoid spawning on an obstacle otherwise the run will be ruined by *Krock* getting stuck at the beginning. To solve the problem, we define a random spawn strategy used in most of the maps without

cite them?

cite?

big obstacles such as *slope\_rocks*, and a flat ground spawn strategy for the others. The random spawn just selects a random position and rotation for the robot. On the other hand, the flat ground strategy first selects suitable spawn positions by using a sliding window on the heightmap of size equal *Krock*'s footprint and check if the mean pixel value is lower than a small threshold. If so, we store the center coordinates of the patch. Intuitively, if a patch is flat the mean value will be close to zero.

Since there may be more flat spawning positions than simulations we need to run we have to reduce the size of the candidate points. To maintain the correct distribution on the map to avoiding spawning the robot always in the same cloud of points, we used K-Means with  $k$  clusters where  $k$  is of simulations we wish to run. By clustering, we guarantee to cover all region of the map avoiding adding bias. The following picture shows this strategy on *bars1*.




Figure 8: Examples of the spawning selection process (marked as red blobs) for the map *bars1*

The following table shows the maps configuration used in the simulator.

Map	Height(m)	Spawn	Texture	Simulations	max time(s)
<i>bumps0</i>	2	random	- rocks1 rocks2	50	10
<i>bumps1</i>	1	random	- rocks1 rocks2	50	10
<i>bumps2</i>	1	random	- rocks1 rocks2	50	10
	2		-		
<i>bumps3</i>	1	random	- rocks1 rocks2	50	10
<i>steps1</i>	1	random	-	50	10
<i>steps2</i>	1	flat	-	50	10
<i>steps3</i>	1	random	-	50	10
<i>rails1</i>	1	flat	-	50	20
<i>rails2</i>	1		flat	-	10
<i>rails3</i>	1		flat	-	10
<i>bars1</i>	1	flat	-	50	10
	2		-		
<i>bars3</i>	1	flat	-		
<i>ramp0</i>	1	random	- rocks1 rocks2	50 50	10
	3				
<i>ramp1</i>	4	random	-	50	10
	5				
	3				
<i>slope_rocks1</i>	4	random	-	50	10
	5				
<i>holes1</i>	1	random	-	50	10
<i>quarry</i>	10	random	-	50	10
Total: 1600					

Table 1: Maps configuration used in the simulator.

## 1.3 Postprocessing

We now need to extract the patches for each pose  $p_t$  of *Krock* and compute the advancement for a given time window. All the handles are available as a python package. We create an easy to use API called `pipeline` to define a cascade stream of function that is applied one after the other using a multi-thread queue to speed-up the process

### 1.3.1 Parse simulation data

First, we turn each `.bag` file into a pandas dataframe and cache them into `.csv` files. We used `rosbag-pandas`, an open source library we ported to python3, to perform the conversion. Then, we load the dataframes with the respective heightmaps and start the data cleaning process. We remove the rows corresponding to the first second of the simulation time to account for the robot spawning time. Then we eliminate all the entries where the *Krock* pose was near the edges of a map, we used a threshold of 22 pixels since we notice *Krock* getting stuck in the borders of the terrain during a simulation. After cleaning the data, we convert *Krock* quaternion rotation to Euler notation using the `tf` package from ROS. Then, we extract the sin and cos from the Euler orientation last component and store them in a column. Before caching again the resulting dataframes into `.csv` files, we convert the robot's position into heightmap's coordinates used later to crop the correct region of the map.

Finally, we load again the last stage and compute the advancement by projecting the pose's position,  $x$  and  $y$ , in the current line. Then, we select a time window according to the store rate, for if we select two seconds we need to multiply the rate by two, so  $50 * 2 = 100$  since *Krock* published with a 50hz frequency. Once the time window is defined, we project  $x$  and  $y$  on the current line. The Robot Operating System (ROS) [ROS] is a flexible framework for writing robot software. It is *de facto* the industry and research standard framework for robotics due to its simple yet effective interface that facilitates the task of creating a robust and complex robot behavior regardless of the platforms. ROS works by establishing a peer-to-peer connection where each *node* is to communicate between the others by exposing sockets endpoints, called *topics*, to stream data or send *messages*. used the sin and cos values calculated before to get the advancement.

### 1.3.2 Extract patches

To create the patches, we first compute the maximum advancement for a given time window,  $\Delta t$ , by running some simulations of *Krock* on flat ground and averaging the advancement. For our robot, the maximum speed is  $33\text{cm/s}$ . Then, we multiply the maximum displacement by the number of seconds we are interested in. We can now crop the corresponding region in the heightmap by including the whole *Krock*'s footprint and the maximum advancement for a specific  $\Delta t$ . The following figure visualizes the patch extraction process. We decided to use

figure that shows Krock somewhere with the patch bounding boxed

. We decided to use  $\Delta t = 2$  and  $\Delta t = 3$  since they are big enough to include two and tree full legs motion. Lastly, we create a final dataframe containing the map coordinates, the advancement, and the patches paths for each simulation and store them to disk as .csv files.

The whole pipeline takes less than one hour to run the first time with 16 threads, and, once it is cached, less than fifteen minutes to extract all the patches.

Once we extract the patches, we can always re-compute the advancement without re-running the whole pipeline. The next figure show proposed pipeline.



Figure 9: Postprocessing pipeline flow from top to bottom

add arrows!

Here on in interpretability???

The following figure shows the mean advancement across all the maps used to train the model in a range of  $\pm 0.71\text{cm}$ , the maximum advancement on this

time window. The robot traverse from left to right.




Figure 10: Advancement on each map with a time window of 2s in ascendent order.

The following pictures show some of the extracted ground patches from *Quarry*.




Figure 11: Patches with high advancement *Quarry* using a time window of 2seconds.




Figure 12: Patches with low advancement *Quarry* using a time window of 2seconds.

Corretly, we can notice that the patches with a lower advancement values have some obstacle in front of the robot, while the other set of inputs are almost flat.

### 1.3.3 Label the Patches

To decide wather a patch is traversable or not traversable we need to decide a *threshold*,  $tr$ , such as if a patches has an advancement major than  $tr$  it is label as traversable and viceversa. Ideally, the trashold should small enough to include as less as possible false positive and big enough to cover all the cases where Krock gets stuck. We empirically compute the treshold's value by spawning Krock in front of a bumps and a ramp and let it walk.

**Bumps** We spawned the robot on the *bumps3* map close to the end and let it go for 20 seconds. The following figure shows Krock in the simulated environment.




Figure 13: Krock tries to overcome an obstacle in the *bumps3* map.

Due to its characteristic locomotion, Krock tries to first overcome the obstacle using the legs to move itself to the top and then it falls back producing a spiky advancement where first it is positive and then negative. The following picture shows the advancement on this map with different time windows,  $\Delta t$ , the greater the smoother.




Figure 14: Advancement over time with different  $\Delta t$  on *bumps3*.

A wheel robot, on the other hand, will not produce such graph since it cannot free itself easily from an obstacle.

**Ramps** To correctly decide the threshold we must be sure it will not create false negative. For this reason, we check the advancement on the *slope\_rocks1* map with a height scaling factor set to 5.




Figure 15: Advancement over time with different  $\Delta t$  on *slope\_rocks3*.

We know that those patches are traversable even if sometimes the robot may find it hard to climb them. So, we want to choose a threshold that is between

the upper bound of *bumps* and between the lower bound of *slope\_rocks1*. Thus, good thresholds values are  $tr_{\Delta t=2s} = 20cm$  and  $tr_{\Delta t=3s} = 30cm$ .

Thus a patch  $p_i$  is labeled as *not traversable* if the advancement in a given time window  $\Delta t = n$  is less than the threshold  $tr_{\Delta t=n}$

## 1.4 Estimator

In this section we described the choices behind the adopted network architecture.

### 1.4.1 Vanilla Model

### 1.4.2 ResNet

We decide to use a Residual Network, ResNet [he2015deep], variant. Residual networks are deep convolutional networks consisting of many stacked Residual Units. Intuitively, the residual unit allows the input of a layer to contribute to the next layer's input by being added to the current layer's output. Due to possible different features dimension, the input must go through an identity map to make the addition possible. This allows a stronger gradient flows and mitigates the degradation problem. A Residual Unit is composed by a two  $3 \times 3$  Convolution, Batchnorm [ioffe2015batch] and a Relu blocks. Formally, it is defined as:

$$y = \mathcal{F}(x, \{W_i\}) + h(x) \quad (1)$$

Where,  $x$  and  $y$  are the input and output vector of the layers considered. The function  $\mathcal{F}(x, \{W_i\})$  is the residual mapping to be learned and  $h$  is the identity mapping. The next figure visualizes the equation.

add resnet image or table




Figure 16: Resnet block [he2015deep]

When the input and output shapes mismatch, the *identity map* is applied to the input as a  $3 \times 3$  Convolution with a stride of 2 to mimic the polling operator. A single block is composed by a  $3 \times 3$  Convolution, Batchnorm and a Relu activation function.

### 1.4.3 Preactivation

Following the recent work of He et al. [he2015identity] we adopt *pre-activation* in each block. *Pre-activation* works by just reversing the order of the operations in a block.




Figure 17: *Preactivation* [he2015identity]

### 1.4.4 Squeeze and Excitation

Finally, we also used the *Squeeze and Excitation* (SE) module [hu2017squeeze]. It is a form of attention that weights the channel of each convolutional operation by learnable scaling factors. Formally, for a given transformation, e.g. Convolution, defined as  $\mathbf{F}_{tr} : \mathbf{X} \mapsto \mathbf{U}$ ,  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{H' \times W' \times C'}$ ,  $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C}$ , the SE module first squeeze the information by using average pooling,  $\mathbf{F}_{sq}$ , the it excites them using learnable weights,  $\mathbf{F}_{ex}$  and finally, adaptive recalibration is performed,  $\mathbf{F}_{scale}$ . The next figure visualises the SE module.




Figure 18: *Squeeze and Excitation* [hu2017squeeze]

### 1.4.5 Micro resnet

Our network is composed by  $n$  ResNet blocks, a depth of  $d$  and a channel incrementing factor of 2. Since ResNet assumed an input size of  $224 \times 224$  and perform an aggressive features extraction in the first layer, we called it *head*, as showed in we decided to adopt a less aggressive convolution. We tested two

ref to Resnet  
Table

kernel sized of  $7 \times 7$  and  $3 \times 3$  with stride of 2 and 1 respectively. Lastly, we used LeakyReLU with a negative slope of 0.1 instead of ReLU, defined as following. We called this model architecture *micro-resnet*.

We evaluated  $n = [1, 2], d = 3$  with and without squeeze and excitation and with the two different heads convolution. All the networks have a starting channel size of 16.

Input	(1, 79, 79)							
3 $\times$ 3, 16 stride 1      7 $\times$ 7 16 stride 2								
2 $\times$ 2 max-pool								
		$3 \times 3, 16$	x 1					
		$3 \times 3, 32$						
SE - SE -								
Layers			$3 \times 3, 32$	x 1				
			$3 \times 3, 64$					
SE - SE -								
		$3 \times 3, 64$	x 1					
		$3 \times 3, 128$						
SE - SE -								
average pool, 1-d fc, softmax								
Parameters	313,642	302,610	<b>314,282</b>	303,250				
Size (MB)	5.93	5.71	<b>2.41</b>	2.32				

Table 2: Micro-resnet architecture

To simplicity we use the following notation to describe each architecture variant: **micro-resnet-/se-3x3/7x7**

ask omar help to add margin in the rows

add model picture

#### 1.4.6 Normalization

Before feeding the data to the models, we need to make the patches height invariant. This must be done to correctly normalize different patches taken from different maps with different height scaling factor. We subtract the height of the map corresponding *Krock*'s position from the patch to correctly center it. The following figure shows the normalization process on the patch with the square in the middle.




Figure 19: Normalization process

#### 1.4.7 Data Augmentation

Data augmentation is used to change the input of a model using different techniques to change it in order to produce more training examples. Since our inputs are heightmaps we cannot utilize the classic image manipulations such as shifts, flips, and zooms. Imagine that we have a patch with a wall in front of it, if we random rotate the image the wall may go in a position where the wall is not facing the robot anymore, making the image now traversable with a wrong target. We decided to apply dropout, coarse dropout, and random simplex noise since they are traversability invariant. To illustrate those techniques we are going to use the same square patch showed before ??.

**Dropout** is a technique to randomly set some pixels to zero, in our case we flat some random pixel in the patch.

**Coarse Dropout** similar to dropout, it sets to zero random regions of pixels.

**Simplex Noise** is a form of Perlin noise that is mostly used in ground generation. Our idea is to add some noise to make the network generalize better since lots of training maps have only obstacles in flat ground. Since it is computationally expensive, we randomly fist apply the noise to five hundred images with only zeros. Then, we randomly scaled them and add to the input image.




Figure 20: Simplex Noise on flat ground

The following images show the tree data augmentation techniques used applied the input image.




Figure 21: Data augmentation

It follows an other set of figures that shows the data augmentation applied on different inputs.




Figure 22: Wall

In all the traning epochs, we apply data-augmentation to each input image  $x$  with a probability of 0.8. Dropout has a probability between 0.05 and 0.1. Coarse dropout with a probability of 0.02 and 0.1 with a size of the lower resolution image from which to sample the dropout between 0.6 and 0.8. Simplex noise with a feature size between 1 and 50 with a random scaling factor between 6 and 10.