



*Power EnJoy*  
Integration Test Plan Document

Version 1.0.0

Redaelli Marco 877622      Zanolli Francesco 877471

13/01/2017

# Contents

|           |  |          |
|-----------|--|----------|
| <b>1</b>  | <b>Introduction</b>                                  | <b>4</b> |
| 1.0.1     | Purpose and Scope . . . . .                          | 4        |
| 1.1       | List of definitions and abbreviations . . . . .      | 4        |
| 1.1.0.0.1 | Definitions . . . . .                                | 4        |
| 1.1.0.0.2 | Acronyms . . . . .                                   | 5        |
| 1.2       | List of reference documents . . . . .                | 5        |
| <b>2</b>  | <b>Integration Strategy</b>                          | <b>6</b> |
| 2.1       | Entry Criteria . . . . .                             | 6        |
| 2.2       | Elements to be integrated . . . . .                  | 6        |
| 2.3       | Integration testing strategy . . . . .               | 7        |
| 2.4       | Sequence of component/function integration . . . . . | 7        |
| 2.4.1     | Software integration sequence . . . . .              | 7        |
| 2.4.2     | Subsystem integration sequence . . . . .             | 7        |
| <b>3</b>  | <b>Individual steps and test description</b>         | <b>8</b> |
| 3.0.1     | Model Integration Test Cases . . . . .               | 8        |
| 3.0.1.1   | I1 - . . . . .                                       | 8        |
| 3.0.1.1.1 | Test Case T1 . . . . .                               | 8        |
| 3.0.1.2   | I2 - . . . . .                                       | 9        |
| 3.0.1.2.1 | Test Case T2 . . . . .                               | 9        |
| 3.0.1.3   | I3 - . . . . .                                       | 9        |
| 3.0.1.3.1 | Test Case T3 . . . . .                               | 9        |
| 3.0.1.4   | I4 - . . . . .                                       | 9        |
| 3.0.1.4.1 | Test Case T4 . . . . .                               | 9        |
| 3.0.1.5   | I5 - . . . . .                                       | 10       |
| 3.0.1.5.1 | Test Case T5 . . . . .                               | 10       |
| 3.0.1.6   | I6 - . . . . .                                       | 10       |
| 3.0.1.6.1 | Test Case T6 . . . . .                               | 10       |
| 3.0.1.7   | I7 - . . . . .                                       | 10       |
| 3.0.1.7.1 | Test Case T7 . . . . .                               | 10       |
| 3.0.1.8   | I8 - . . . . .                                       | 11       |
| 3.0.1.8.1 | Test Case T8 . . . . .                               | 11       |
| 3.0.1.9   | I9 - . . . . .                                       | 11       |

|            |   |           |
|------------|---|-----------|
| 3.0.1.9.1  | Test Case T9 . . . . .                      | 11        |
| 3.0.1.10   | I10 - . . . . .                             | 11        |
| 3.0.1.10.1 | Test Case T10 . . . . .                     | 11        |
| 3.0.1.11   | I11 - . . . . .                             | 12        |
| 3.0.1.11.1 | Test Case T11 . . . . .                     | 12        |
| 3.0.1.12   | I12 - . . . . .                             | 12        |
| 3.0.1.12.1 | Test Case T12 . . . . .                     | 12        |
| 3.0.1.13   | I13 - . . . . .                             | 12        |
| 3.0.1.13.1 | Test Case T13 . . . . .                     | 12        |
| 3.0.1.14   | I14 - . . . . .                             | 13        |
| 3.0.1.14.1 | Test Case T14 . . . . .                     | 13        |
| 3.0.1.15   | I15 - . . . . .                             | 13        |
| 3.0.1.15.1 | Test Case T15 . . . . .                     | 13        |
| 3.0.1.16   | I16 - . . . . .                             | 13        |
| 3.0.1.16.1 | Test Case T16 . . . . .                     | 13        |
| 3.0.1.17   | I17 - . . . . .                             | 14        |
| 3.0.1.17.1 | Test Case T17 . . . . .                     | 14        |
| 3.0.1.18   | I18 - . . . . .                             | 14        |
| 3.0.1.18.1 | Test Case T19 . . . . .                     | 14        |
| <b>4</b>   | <b>Tools and test equipment required</b>    | <b>15</b> |
| <b>5</b>   | <b>Program stubs and test data required</b> | <b>16</b> |
| <b>A</b>   | <b>Appendix</b>                             | <b>17</b> |
| A.1        | Tools . . . . .                             | 17        |
| A.2        | Hours of work . . . . .                     | 17        |
| A.3        | Version History . . . . .                   | 17        |

# Figure Contents

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

This section contains a brief introduction to the **Integration Test Plan Document**.

### 1.0.1 Purpose and Scope

This document is mainly based on the **Design Document**. In fact the purpose of the **Integration Test Plan Document** is to clearly the order in which the software components identified in the **Component View** of the **DD** have to be integrated one with each other. It is also used to guarantee a well tested final software. Following the exposed procedure ensures that all the software components explained in the **DD** will communicate and cooperate in the proper way.

### 1.1 List of definitions and abbreviations

**1.1.0.0.1 Definitions** In the document are often used some specific terms whose definitions are reported below:

- Server Database: data layer
- Server Application: application layer
- Client: client layer
- Mobile App: *PowerEnJoy* mobile application, in Client
- Web App: *PowerEnJoy* web application, in Client
- System: the union of software and hardware to be developed and implemented
- Integration Test Case An atomic procedure done to test the integration of a component on the top of another one.
- Integration Test Suite A collection of **Integration Test Cases**.

- See the correspondent section in the **RASD** and the **DD** for more definitions.

#### 1.1.0.0.2 Acronyms

- **RASD**: Requirements Analysis and Specification Document
- **DD**: Design Document
- **API**: Application Programming Interface
- **DBMS**: DataBase Management System
- **ITPD**: Integration Test Plan Document.
- **In**: Integration Test Suite number n.
- **InTm**: Integration Test Case number m of the Integration Test Suite number n.
- **JS**: JavaScript.
- **UI**: User Interface.
- See the correspondent section in the **RASD** and the **DD** for more acronyms and abbreviations.

## 1.2 List of reference documents

- Software Engineering 2 Project AA 2016/2017: Project Description And Rules and Assignment 4 - integration test plan
- PowerEnJoy's Requirement Analysis and Specification Document (RASD)
- PowerEnJoy's Design Document (DD)

## Chapter 2

# Integration Strategy

### 2.1 Entry Criteria

Before starting the integration testing of any software component that has been designed for myTaxiService system, the internal functions of the considered component (i.e. public or protected methods that are exposed within the package of the component but are not part of any external public interface) must be unit tested using an appropriate framework.

### 2.2 Elements to be integrated

*PowerEnJoy* as shown in the **DD** is a three-tier system composed by:

- **DBMS:** The memory of *PowerEnJoy* entire system
- **PowerEnJoy System:** The main server and the main core composed by:
  1. Data Manager
  2. Account Manager
  3. Ride Manager
  4. Bill Manager
  5. Map Services
  6. Notification
  7. Car Manager
  8. Zone Manager
  9. Problem Manager
- **Client Application:** Subdivided in **Car System** and **Mobile Application**

Moreover we assume that that Google Maps API and Paypal are well tested by their owner and thus we can use them without testing any further.

## 2.3 Integration testing strategy

The integration testing strategy, conducted in this project, is a **bottom-up** approach. This strategy tests the lower level components and start testing a way upwards to higher level components. The advantage of this strategy is that allow us to maintain the code easier, smaller modules have unit tests and there is a clearer structure of how to do things. The disadvantage is that when releasing a prototype it's impossible to see a working prototype until nearly all the program has been completed so that may take a long time before this happens. In early development, testing tools as Mockito and Arquillian (described in Chapter 4) allow us to test components which depend on incomplete ones through stubs and drivers (Chapter 5). The usage of the selected approach will create a robust application with efforts concentrated in testing the Server parts before all.

## 2.4 Sequence of component/function integration

### 2.4.1 Software integration sequence

The following diagram illustrates the integration sequence of the various components, following the integration testing strategy described above. This means that in each subsystem, components are integrated starting from the most independent to the less independent, in order to prompt the chosen approach and improving modularity. The colors chosen for the block are standardise and refer to the legend bellow:

- **Green:**
- **Yellow:**
- **Orange:**
- **Red:**

### 2.4.2 Subsystem integration sequence

The following diagram illustrates the integration sequence of the various subsystems, following the integration testing strategy described above. In particular, the Server Database is integrated before the Client, because the former does not need an actual functioning system in order to be tested efficiently, contrary to the latter.



## Chapter 3

# Individual steps and test description

### 3.0.1 Model Integration Test Cases

#### 3.0.1.0.1 Test Case I1

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Data Manager → Account Manager                                       |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | A set of methods calls on Data Manager to retrieve user information  |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Check if the user's information are correct                          |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | Glassfish Server, a test Database, I1 successful                     |
| <b>Target</b>               | Verify that the user information are retrieved from the Data Manager |

#### 3.0.1.0.2 Test Case I2

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Data Manager → Ride Manager  |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | A set of methods calls on Data Manager to retrieve reserved ride information |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Check if the user's information are correct                                  |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | Glassfish Server, a test Database, I1 successful                             |
| <b>Target</b>               | Verify that the reserved ride info are retrieved from the Data Manager       |

**3.0.1.0.3 Test Case I3**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Data Manager → Map Service  |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | A set of methods calls on Data Manager to retrieve informations about coordinates |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Check if the user's information are correct                                       |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | Glassfish Server, a test Database, I1 successful                                  |
| <b>Target</b>               | Verify that the info of coordinates are retrieved from the Data Manager           |

**3.0.1.0.4 Test Case I4**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Ride Manager → Car Manager                   |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | A set of methods calls on Car Manager        |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Check if an available Car is returned        |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | Ride/Notification and Data/Account satisfied |
| <b>Target</b>               | Retrieve an available Car                    |

**3.0.1.0.5 Test Case I5**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Ride Manager → Zone Manager                      |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | A set of methods calls on Zone Manager           |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Verify that the returned zone is the correct one |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | Map Service/EXTApi and Car mng/Ride satisfied    |
| <b>Target</b>               | Find the position in a certain zone              |

**3.0.1.0.6 Test Case I6**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Ride Manager → Bill Manager   |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | A set of methods calls on Bill Manager                                |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Verify that the total amount is correct                               |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | Map/EXTApi, CarManager/System and Data/Account satisfied              |
| <b>Target</b>               | Verify that the Bill Manager calculate the correct amount of the ride |

**3.0.1.0.7 Test Case I7**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Ride Manager → Notification   |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | A set of methods calls in order to create a Notification                            |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Check if the correct notification is created  |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | Data/Account and Ride/Car satisfied   |
| <b>Target</b>               | Verify that the Notification Manager creates the notification from the Ride Manager |

**3.0.1.0.8 Test Case I8**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Ride Manager → Problem Manager   |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | A set of methods calls on Problem Manager  |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Verify that the problem belong to the provided list  |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | Data/Account and Notification/Mobile satisfied   |
| <b>Target</b>               | Check if Problem Manager can handle correctly the calls, returning an element belong to the provided listbox |

**3.0.1.0.9 Test Case I9**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Map Service → External API   |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | Create a typical set of methods calls by Map Service on External API           |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Check if all the methods of External APIs Manager produce the expected results |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | N/A  |
| <b>Target</b>               | Verify that the External APIs Manager works with the Map Services Manager      |

**3.0.1.0.10 Test Case I10**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Bill Manager → External API  |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | Create a typical set of methods calls by Bill Manager on External API          |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Check if all the methods of External APIs Manager produce the expected results |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | N/A  |
| <b>Target</b>               | Verify that the External APIs Manager works with the Bill Manager              |

**3.0.1.0.11 Test Case I11**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Problem Manager → External API   |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | Create a typical set of methods calls by Problem Manager on External API         |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Check that all the methods of External APIs Manager produce the expected results |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | N/A  |
| <b>Target</b>               | Verify that the External APIs Manager works with the Problem Manager             |

**3.0.1.0.12 Test Case I12**

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Car Manager → Map Service                                       |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | A set of methods calls on Map Services Manager                  |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Verify that the position of the car is correct                  |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | Map/EXT and Data/Account succesful                              |
| <b>Target</b>               | Retrieve the position of a car in a certain position on the map |

**3.0.1.0.13 Test Case I13**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Car Manager → Car System   |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | A set of methods calls Car Manager                                 |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Verify if the state of the car is correct                          |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | Car with GPS and Data Connection enabled                           |
| <b>Target</b>               | Verify that the information exchanged between car and system works |

**3.0.1.0.14 Test Case I14**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Database Server → Application Server                         |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | Queries on the DBMS for the table Driver, Car, Ride and Zone |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | The queries return the expected results                      |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | Glassfish Server, a test Database                            |
| <b>Target</b>               | Verify that the typical queries to the DBMS works            |

**3.0.1.0.15 Test Case I15**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Application Server → Mobile App  |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | A set of methods calls on both Server Application and Mobile App                     |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Check if methods calls mentioned in Input Specification produce the expected results |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | Glassfish, a test Database   |
| <b>Target</b>               | Verify the interaction between Server Application and Mobile App works               |

**3.0.1.0.16 Test Case I16**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Mobile App → Account Manager   |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | Create a typical set of methods calls performed by Mobile App on Account Manager     |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Check if methods calls mentioned in Input Specification produce the expected results |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | A device that can run Mobile App   |
| <b>Target</b>               | Verify if Account Manager can handle correctly Mobile App methods calls              |

**3.0.1.0.17 Test Case I17**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Mobile App → Ride Manager  |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | Create a typical set of methods calls performed by Mobile App on Ride Manager        |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Check if methods calls mentioned in Input Specification produce the expected results |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | A device that can run Mobile App   |
| <b>Target</b>               | Verify if Ride Manager can handle correctly Mobile App methods calls                 |

**3.0.1.0.18 Test Case I19**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Item(s)</b>         | Mobile App → Notification  |
| <b>Input Specification</b>  | Create a typical set of methods calls performed by Mobile App on Notification          |
| <b>Output Specification</b> | Check that methods calls mentioned in Input Specification produce the expected results |
| <b>Environmental Needs</b>  | A device that can run Mobile App   |
| <b>Target</b>               | Verify if Notification can handle correctly Mobile App methods calls                   |

## Chapter 4

# Tools and test equipment required

The following part of the document contains a set of recommended software that can be used to implement the concrete procedure of testing. Moreover, because the high-level architecture proposed in the **DD** is designed using a **Java-based** style, the programming language that better adapts to this style is **Java**, but a lot of other emerging languages can be used in order to build a proper software, like **Swift**.

If it is decided to use **Java**, the proposed and well-known tools are:

- **JUnit:** Unit testing framework.
  - <http://junit.org/>
- **Mockito:** Another unit testing framework.
  - <http://site.mockito.org/>
- **Arquillian:** Integration testing framework.
  - <http://arquillian.org/>
- **Espresso:** Android UI testing automation.
  - <http://developer.android.com/training/testing/ui-testing/espresso-testing.html>

## Chapter 5

# Program stubs and test data required

This section describes the specification of stubs and drivers needed to replace the part of software components that still don't exist and test the others. This is necessary to perform the integration steps. DBMS should contain sample data in order to perform proper test cases. We assume that the **Integration testing** comes after **Developing** and **Unit testing**. In this way we don't need any **Driver** because the software components are already developed.

On the other hand we need few **Stubs** in order to make the not yet integrated components work, because we want to respect the **Bottom-Up** strategy.

To better catch the need for introducing **Stubs**, an example of a specific **Stub** usage is proposed below.

In order to integrate the **Map Service** in **T9** we need a component that mocks **Map Service** functionalities in a predefined way. To respect the **Bottom-Up** strategy, given the fact that the **TD Locator** is a component of the **TD View**, we have decided to introduce its **Stub**. The real **Map Service** will be integrated when the integration procedure arrives to **Map Service**.

In **I1** there is the need for some sample data to be in the **Database**, and in **T13** some sample GPS data are needed.



# Appendix A

## Appendix

### A.1 Tools

- **TeXstudio:** L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X editor used to write the document.
- **StarUML:** To draw diagram.

### A.2 Hours of work

In the following are listed the hours of work that each member of the group did:

1. Marco Redaelli: 19 *hours*
2. Francesco Zanolli: 19 *hours*

### A.3 Version History

In the following are listed the differences between versions:

1. **15/01/2017:** First version