• • •

December 4, 2020

## 1 METHODS

For each Functional connectivity metric, two network measures of centrality have been implemented: the Strength and the Betweenness centrality. The former is the weighted variant of the Degree and it is defined for each node as the sum of all neighboring link weights [A].

Weighted degree of a node i,

$$k_i^w = \sum_{j \in n} w_{ij}$$

The latter is defined as the fraction of all the shortest paths in the network that pass through a node[A].

Betweenness centrality of node i (e.g., Freeman, 1978)[B],

$$b_i = \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{h,j \in N, h \neq j, h \neq i, j \neq i} \frac{\rho_{hj}(i)}{\rho_{hj}},$$

where  $\rho_{hj}$  is the number of shortest paths between h and j, and  $\rho_{hj}(i)$  is the number of shortest paths between h and j that pass through i

Furthermore, the functional integration of the networks has been estimated with the global efficiency (Latora and Marchiori, 2001)[C], defined as the average inverse shortest path length [A].

$$E^{w} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} E_{i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} \frac{\sum_{j \in N, j \neq i} (d_{ij}^{w})^{-1}}{n - 1}$$

where  $E_i$  is the efficiency of node i.

Moreover, the small world properties of the networks have been investigated with the Small-Worldness (Humphries and Gurney, 2008)[D] and with an alternative version proposed by Muldoon et al. [E].

Small-Worldness:

$$S = \frac{C/C_{rand}}{L/L_{rand}},$$

where C and  $C_{rand}$  are the clustering coefficients, and L and  $L_{rand}$  are the characteristic path lengths of the respective tested network and a random network.

Small-World propensity:

$$SWP = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_C^2 + \Delta_L^2}{2}}$$

The ratios  $\Delta_C$  and  $\Delta_L$  represent the fractional deviation of the metric  $(C_{obs} \text{ or } L_{obs})$  from its respective null model (a lattice or random network).

Finally, a normalized version of Strength and Global efficiency has been performed, dividing the original metric with one obtained from a random network (which preserves weight, degree and strength distributions of the original) and iterating the process 100 times for each subject.

## 2 References

- [A] Rubinov, M., & Sporns, O. (2010). Complex network measures of brain connectivity: uses and interpretations. Neuroimage, 52(3), 1059-1069.
- [B] Freeman, L. C. (1978). Centrality in social networks conceptual clarification. Social networks, 1(3), 215-239.
- [C] Latora, V., & Marchiori, M. (2001). Efficient behavior of small-world networks. Physical review letters, 87(19), 198701.
- [D] Humphries, M. D., & Gurney, K. (2008). Network 'small-world-ness': a quantitative method for determining canonical network equivalence. PloS one, 3(4), e0002051.
- [E] Muldoon, S. F., Bridgeford, E. W., & Bassett, D. S. (2016). Smallworld propensity and weighted brain networks. Scientific reports, 6, 22057.