

5.1

Let CFG G_0 be $G_0 = (V, \bar{\Sigma}, R, S)$

$$S \rightarrow cS \mid \epsilon \text{ for } c \text{ in } \bar{\Sigma}$$

Assume G_0 is decidable by Turing machine T_1 . To make a contradiction we need to generate another Turing machine T_2 that uses R of G_0 to decide ALL CFG by running R on $\langle G_0, G_1 \rangle$ G_1 is another CFG generated ϵ^* if R accept, Accept grammar, else reject.

if T_2 decide ALL CFG, then it is impossible that T_1 decides EQ CFG

5.2

To prove co-Turing-recognizable, we need to prove the complement of EQ_{CFG} is also Turing recognizable language.

Since Turing recognizable languages are closed under union, EQ_{CFG} is Turing recognizable language.

Let $G_1 = L(G_1)$ $G_2 \neq L(G_2)$

Let Turing machine that recognize EQ_{CFG} be M

To prove co-turing recognizable, we first test whether both G_1, G_2 are valid CFG. if not accept. Else, transform them to CNF and check any of G_1 or G_2 produces s on Σ^* . if yes, accept, Repeat.

At the end, EQ is proven as co-Turing-recognizable language

5.3

5.3

$$\left[\frac{aa}{a}, \frac{aa}{a}, \frac{b}{a}, \frac{ab}{abab} \right]$$

4 4 2 1

$$\text{Top} = aa + aa + b + ab = aaabab$$

$$\text{bot} = a + a + a + abab = aaabab$$

So here is a match.

5.4

Assume that

$$A = \{a^n \mid n \geq 0\} \quad B = \{a\}$$

$$A \leq_m B$$

However B is finite so it is regular, while A is not finite. therefore A is not regular

5.9

By theorem 4.11

$$L = \{ (w, M) : w \text{ is accepted by } M \}$$

is undecidable

There must be a TM decides T

We need to prove L can be reduced
to T .

5.15

$$L = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ moves its head left} \}$$

Build a Turing machine

$$T = \langle M, w \rangle$$

1. Run w + num of states + 1 steps
2. If head move to left accept
else reject

There exists a Turing machine

so it is decidable

5.17

Build a turing machine:

1, check whether there are
dominos with the same top and bot
values, if so, accept.

2, check whether there is a domino
is a multiple of another domino.
if so, accept.

5.14

$$\left\{ \left[\frac{a_1}{b_1}, \frac{a_2}{b_2} \dots \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right] \right\}$$

Because it is silly post correspondence
all a_s and b_s are in the same
length.

If a match is found, top length will
be similar to bot length,

Therefore, it is easy to check whether
these strings are the same.

If they are, it is decidable. while
dominos are as deciders to find whether
top and bot are the same