Code for problem 7 To access the class for the ARMA models visit: <a href="https://github.com/FrancoCalle/EconomicsPhD/tree/main/Third">https://github.com/FrancoCalle/EconomicsPhD/tree/main/Third</a> Quarter/pset6 Consider the panel problem set on earnings posted on Canvas. Compute the parameters of the following earnings dynamic models using the NLSY.  $X_{it}\perp U_{i't}$  at all t for all i, and  $U_{it}\perp U_{i't''}$  for all i, i' for t t
eq t' In all cases,  $E(\epsilon_{it})=0$ .  $i=1,\ldots,I$ ;  $t=1,\ldots,T$ . In [1]: import pandas as pd import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt from functools import reduce df full = pd.read stata('https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/s/reqqx19nliefyw4/NLSY.dta') df extract = pd.read stata('https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/s/v7dt5q45eysau9y/nlsy79 extract.dta') df\_extract['male\_raw'] = df\_extract['male'] df extract['male'] = (df extract['male raw'] == 'MALE') df = df full.copy() **Data Cleaning:** In [2]: | ## Data cleaning: ##----df\_educ = df\_extract.groupby('id').apply(lambda df: df['yearseduc\_age30'].mean()) df educ.name = 'yearseduc age30' df = df.join(df educ, on=['id']) # Drop Missings: df.dropna( subset=[ 'hrs worked pastyear', 'yearly\_inc', 'nchld', 'marstat', 'yearseduc age30', 'family net wealth' inplace=True # Define number of children, capped at 5 df['children max5'] = df['nchld'].clip(upper=5) # Define marital status indicators df['marstat 2'] = (df['marstat'] == 2).astype(np.float64) df['marstat 3'] = (df['marstat'] == 3).astype(np.float64) # Define family net worth (in millions) df['family net wealth millions'] = df['family net wealth'] / 1e6 # Define people who worked as people who worked 100 or more hours (lower values # are possibly misresponses or otherwise edge cases) AND who earned more than \$500 # dollars (same rationale) df['worked'] = (df['hrs worked pastyear'] > 100.) & (df['yearly inc'] > 500.) df['hourly wage'] = (df['yearly inc'] / (df['hrs worked pastyear'] + 1e-50)) \*df['worked'] df['ln\_hourly\_wage'] = np.log(df['hourly wage']) df = df.loc[df['ln\_hourly\_wage']>-np.inf] # People are identified by id: df.sort\_values('id').head() # Check how many obs are by year: df['year'].sort values().value counts() # Check observations by id: id counts = (df['id'].value counts() .reset index() .rename(columns={'id': 'counts', 'index': 'id'}) .query('counts == 16') .id ) nlsyBalancedDataFrame = df.loc[df['id'].isin(id counts),:] # Add year and individual dummies for fixed effects (Dropped first): df year dummies = pd.get dummies( nlsyBalancedDataFrame['year'].astype(int), drop first=True, prefix='year', dtype=np.float64 df individual dummies = pd.get dummies( nlsyBalancedDataFrame['id'].astype(int), drop first=True, prefix='id', dtype=np.float64 year dummy columns = list(df year dummies.columns)[1:] individual dummy columns = list(df individual dummies.columns) # Sort data nlsyBalancedDataFrame = pd.concat([nlsyBalancedDataFrame, df\_year\_dummies, df\_individual\_dummies], axis =1).sort\_values(by = ['id', 'year']) # Get lagged outcome and other covariates: lagedDataFrame = nlsyBalancedDataFrame[['id', 'year', 'In hourly wage', 'age', 'yearseduc age30', 'family net wealth millions' ]].rename(columns={'ln hourly wage':'ln hourly wage lag', 'age':'age\_lag', 'yearseduc age30':'yearseduc age30 lag', 'family net wealth millions':'family net wealth millions lag'}) lagedDataFrame['year'] = (lagedDataFrame['year'] + 1) #Obtain lagged variable: nlsyBalancedDataFrame = nlsyBalancedDataFrame.merge(lagedDataFrame, how = 'left', on=['id','year']) #Drop observations for first year nlsyBalancedDataFrame = nlsyBalancedDataFrame.dropna(subset=['In hourly wage lag']) nlsyBalancedDataFrame['constant'] = 1 ## Construct matrices for estimation: marketWageDeterminants = [ 'constant', 'age', 'family net wealth millions', #'yearseduc age30', marketWageDeterminantsLag = [ 'age\_lag','family\_net\_wealth\_millions\_lag', laggedDependentVariable = ['In hourly wage lag'] dependentVariable = ['In hourly wage'] yLog = nlsyBalancedDataFrame[dependentVariable].values yLogLagged = nlsyBalancedDataFrame[laggedDependentVariable].values C:\ProgramData\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\pandas\core\series.py:679: RuntimeWarning: divide by zero encountered in log result = getattr(ufunc, method)(\*inputs, \*\*kwargs) Arrange data to feed the AR and ARMA functions In [3]: #Matrices are of N X T dimensions: y = (nlsyBalancedDataFrame .sort\_values(by=['id','year']) .pivot\_table(index = 'id', columns='year', values = dependentVariable)).values.T x1 = (nlsyBalancedDataFrame.sort values(by=['id','year']) .pivot table(index = 'id', columns='year', values = marketWageDeterminants[1])).values.T x2 = (nlsyBalancedDataFrame.sort\_values(by=['id','year']) .pivot\_table(index = 'id', columns='year', values = marketWageDeterminants[2])).values.T X = [x1, x2]Part A: Fit model with fixed effects:  $\ln Y_{it} = \alpha' X_{it} + \beta \ln Y_{i,t-1} + f_i + \epsilon_{it}$ We can modify this model to have Ln(Y) instead of Y.  $\ln Y_{it} = lpha' X_{it} + eta \ln Y_{i,t-1} + f_i + \epsilon_{it}$ for all t and i. We can demean both the dependent and explanatory variables so that we can get rid of fixed effects. Denote them  $\ln Y_i$ ,  $X_i$ and  $Y_i$  $(\ln Y_{it} - \overline{\ln Y}_i) = \alpha'(X_{it} - \overline{X}_i) + \beta(\ln Y_{i,t-1} - \overline{\ln Y}_i) + \epsilon_{it}$ In [4]: from ar mle import mlePanelAR from functools import reduce # Test Model E.A: ar = mlePanelAR()In [5]: y\_demean, xx\_demean, YY\_mean, XX\_mean = ar.demeanVariables(y,X) initial parameters = np.ones(4)\*.5fun = lambda parameters: ar.objectiveFunction( y demean, xx demean, parameters, ar.maximumLikelihood # Optimize result = ar.optimize(fun, initial parameters) #Retrieve Parameters parameters\_hat\_1A = result.x #Predict model using estimates: predictionError1A = ar.predict model(y demean, xx demean, parameters hat 1A).flatten() print('Parameters:', parameters hat 1A) print('MSE:', np.mean(predictionError1A\*\*2)) Optimization terminated successfully. Current function value: 1191.418496 Iterations: 330 Function evaluations: 651 Parameters: [0.05729361 0.03444936 0.27854534 0.36149134] MSE: 0.13067598529132088 Part B: Fit model with  $\beta=0$  and autorregressive error term:  $\ln Y_{it} = lpha' X_{it} + 
ho \ln Y_{i,t-1} - 
ho lpha' X_{it-1} + \epsilon_{it}$ In [6]: | initial parameters = np.ones(6)\*.5 initial parameters[0] = parameters hat 1A[0] initial\_parameters[1] = parameters\_hat\_1A[1] initial\_parameters[-1] = parameters\_hat\_1A[-1] fun = lambda parameters: ar.objectiveFunction( y\_demean, xx demean, parameters, ar.maximumLikelihoodModelB result = ar.optimize(fun, initial parameters) parameters\_hat\_1B = result.x predictionError1B = ar.predict modelB(y demean, xx demean, parameters hat 1B).flatten() print('Parameters:', parameters\_hat\_1B) print('MSE:', np.mean(predictionError1B\*\*2)) Optimization terminated successfully. Current function value: 1173.733032 Iterations: 715 Function evaluations: 1248 Parameters: [ 0.09489819 0.02015229 0.04113768 -0.04085347 0.29738176 0.35934371] MSE: 0.12912790648715922 Part C: Fit model with  $\beta=1$  and autorregressive error term:  $\Delta \ln Y_{it} = lpha' X_{it} + 
ho \ln Y_{i,t-1} - 
ho lpha' X_{it-1} + \epsilon_{it}$ In [7]: | initial\_parameters = parameters\_hat\_1B.copy() fun = lambda parameters: ar.objectiveFunction( y\_demean, xx demean, parameters, ar.maximumLikelihoodModelC result = ar.optimize(fun, initial parameters) parameters hat 1C = result.x predictionError1C = ar.predict modelC(y demean, xx demean, parameters hat 1C).flatten() print('Parameters:', parameters hat 1C) print('MSE:', np.mean(predictionError1C\*\*2)) Optimization terminated successfully. Current function value: 1173.733032 Iterations: 988 Function evaluations: 1649 Parameters: [ 0.09489819 0.02015225 0.04113768 -0.04085351 -0.70261826 0.35934372] MSE: 0.12912790648715916 Part D: Fit model with eta=0 and unit root error term :  $\ln Y_{it} = \alpha' X_{it} + U_{it}$  $= lpha' X_{it} + U_{i,t-1} + \epsilon_{it}$  $=lpha'X_{it}+(\ln Y_{i,t-1}-lpha'X_{it-1})+\epsilon_{it}$  $= \alpha' X_{it} + \ln Y_{i,t-1} - \alpha' X_{it-1} + \epsilon_{it}$  $\implies \Delta \ln Y_{it} = \alpha' \Delta \ln X_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$ In [8]: initial parameters = parameters hat 1C.copy() initial parameters = np.append(initial parameters[:2],initial parameters[4:]) fun = lambda parameters: ar.objectiveFunction( Χ, parameters, ar.maximumLikelihoodModelD result = ar.optimize(fun, initial parameters) parameters\_hat\_1D = result.x predictionError1D = ar.predict modelD(y demean, xx demean, parameters hat 1D).flatten() print('Parameters:', parameters hat 1D) print('MSE:', np.mean(predictionError1D\*\*2)) Optimization terminated successfully. Current function value: 1872.212127 Iterations: 232 Function evaluations: 503 Parameters: [ 0.0924979 -0.00379407 -0.73433779 0.45469006] MSE: 0.2067430422913959 Now income shock  $\epsilon_{it}$  follows a MA(1) process: First, arrange data so we can estimate the new models. Also, load the mlePanelARMA package. In [20]: from arma\_mle import mlePanelARMA # Test Model E.A: arma = mlePanelARMA() Part E.A: Fit model A with MA(1).  $\ln Y_{it} = \alpha' X_{it} + \beta Y_{it-1} + f_i + \epsilon_{it} - \phi \epsilon_{it-1}$ In [21]: y\_demean, xx\_demean, YY\_mean, XX\_mean = arma.demeanVariables(y,X) initial parameters = np.ones(5)\*.5fun = lambda parameters: arma.objectiveFunction( y demean, xx demean, parameters, arma.maximumLikelihood result = arma.optimize(fun, initial parameters) #Retrieve Parameters parameters hat A = result.x#Predict model using estimates: predictionErrorA = arma.predict\_model(y\_demean, xx\_demean, parameters\_hat\_A).flatten() print('Parameters:', parameters hat A) print('MSE:', np.mean(predictionErrorA\*\*2)) Optimization terminated successfully. Current function value: 1190.987850 Iterations: 462 Function evaluations: 803 Parameters: [0.05528268 0.03351843 0.30132434 0.0300132 0.36143889] MSE: 0.13063806957191704 Part E.B: Fit model B with MA(1):  $\ln Y_{it} = \alpha' X_{it} + \rho U_{i,t-1} + \epsilon_{it} - \phi \epsilon_{it-1}$  $X_{it} = lpha' X_{it} + 
ho (\ln Y_{it-1} - lpha' X_{it-1} - \epsilon_{it-1} + \phi \epsilon_{it-2}) + \epsilon_{it} - \phi \epsilon_{it-1}$  $Y_{it} = lpha' X_{it} + 
ho \ln Y_{it-1} - 
ho lpha' X_{it-1} - 
ho \epsilon_{it-1} + 
ho \phi \epsilon_{it-2} + \epsilon_{it} - \phi \epsilon_{it-1}$  $Y_{it-1} = lpha' X_{it} + 
ho \ln Y_{it-1} - 
ho lpha' X_{it-1} + \epsilon_{it} - (\phi + 
ho) \epsilon_{it-1} + 
ho \phi \epsilon_{it-2}$ In [22]: initial\_parameters = np.ones(8)\*.5 initial\_parameters[0] = parameters\_hat\_A[0] initial\_parameters[1] = parameters\_hat\_A[1] initial\_parameters[-1] = parameters\_hat\_A[-1] fun = lambda parameters: arma.objectiveFunction( y demean, xx demean, parameters, arma.maximumLikelihoodModelB result = arma.optimize(fun, initial parameters) parameters hat B = result.xpredictionErrorB = arma.predict\_modelB(y\_demean, xx\_demean, parameters\_hat\_B).flatten() print('Parameters:', parameters\_hat\_B) print('MSE:', np.mean(predictionErrorB\*\*2)) Optimization terminated successfully. Current function value: 1007.849151 Iterations: 1895 Function evaluations: 2878 0.0044975 0.34880376] MSE: 0.12166406277971031 Part E.C: Fit model C with MA(1):  $\Delta \ln Y_{it} = lpha' X_{it} + 
ho \ln Y_{it-1} - 
ho lpha' X_{it-1} + \epsilon_{it} - (\phi + 
ho) \epsilon_{it-1} + 
ho \phi \epsilon_{it-2}$ In [23]: | initial\_parameters = parameters\_hat\_B.copy() fun = lambda parameters: arma.objectiveFunction( y\_demean, xx\_demean, parameters, arma.maximumLikelihoodModelC result = arma.optimize(fun, initial parameters) parameters\_hat\_C = result.x predictionErrorC = arma.predict\_modelC(y\_demean, xx\_demean, parameters\_hat\_C).flatten() print('Parameters:', parameters hat C) print('MSE:', np.mean(predictionErrorC\*\*2)) Optimization terminated successfully. Current function value: 1007.849151 Iterations: 2566 Function evaluations: 3797 0.00449753 0.34880376] MSE: 0.1216640627797103 Part E.D: Fit model D with MA(1):  $\ln Y_{it} = lpha' X_{it} + \ln Y_{it-1} - lpha' X_{it-1} + \epsilon_{it} - (1+\phi)\epsilon_{it-1} + \phi\epsilon_{it-2}$  $\implies \Delta \ln Y_{it} = \alpha' \Delta X_{it} + \epsilon_{it} - (1+\phi)\epsilon_{it-1} + \phi \epsilon_{it-2}$ In [24]: | initial parameters = parameters\_hat\_C.copy() initial parameters = np.append(initial parameters[:2],initial parameters[5:]) fun = lambda parameters: arma.objectiveFunction( У, Χ, parameters, arma.maximumLikelihoodModelD result = arma.optimize(fun, initial parameters) parameters hat D = result.xpredictionErrorD = arma.predict\_modelD(y\_demean, xx\_demean, parameters\_hat\_D).flatten() print('Parameters:', parameters\_hat\_D) print('MSE:', np.mean(predictionErrorD\*\*2)) Optimization terminated successfully. Current function value: 1413.424238 Iterations: 474 Function evaluations: 801 Parameters: [ 0.08593939 -0.00615268 0.48340014 -0.054898 0.40410317] MSE: 0.1632993805820219 Compute Likelihood Ratios: The objective of this section is to learn which specification fits the data better. Recall that the likelihood ratio test is as follows:  $LR = -2(\ln\left(L(\theta_A)\right) - \ln\left(L(\theta_0)\right))$ In [25]: # Get all errors: predictionError1A = ar.predict\_model(y\_demean, xx\_demean, parameters\_hat\_1A)[1:,:].flatten() predictionError1B = ar.predict\_modelB(y\_demean, xx\_demean, parameters\_hat\_1B)[1:,:].flatten() predictionError1C = ar.predict\_modelC(y\_demean, xx\_demean, parameters\_hat\_1C)[1:,:].flatten() predictionError1D = ar.predict modelD(y demean, xx demean, parameters hat 1D)[1:,:].flatten() predictionErrorList = [ predictionError1A, predictionError1B, predictionError1C, predictionError1D, predictionErrorA, predictionErrorB, predictionErrorC, predictionErrorD predictionErrorList = [ parameters\_hat\_1A, parameters hat 1B, parameters hat 1C, parameters hat 1D. parameters\_hat\_A, parameters\_hat\_B, parameters hat C, parameters hat D nModels = len(predictionErrorList) ModelComparison = np.zeros((nModels, nModels)) for ii in range(nModels): for jj in range(nModels): **if** ii != jj: ModelComparison[ii,jj] = arma.computeLikelihoodRatio( predictionErrorList[ii], predictionErrorList[jj], predictionErrorList[ii][-1], predictionErrorList[jj][-1]) In [167]: print(np.around(ModelComparison, 3)) # Positive LR ratio base model fits better pd.DataFrame(np.around(ModelComparison,3)).to csv('LikelihoodRatioTest.csv') -0.31 2.828 3.857 -0.093 -0.783 1.683 1.784] [[ 0. 3.138 4.167 0.217 -0.473 1.993 2.094] 0. [ 0.31  $[-2.828 -3.138 \ 0.$  1.029 -2.921 -3.612 -1.145 -1.044][-3.857 - 4.167 - 1.029 0. -3.949 - 4.64 - 2.174 - 2.073][ 0.093 -0.217 2.921 3.949 0. -0.691 1.775 1.877] [ 0.783 0.473 3.612 4.64 0.691 0. 2.466 2.567] [-1.683 -1.993 1.145 2.174 -1.775 -2.466 0. 0.101] [-1.784 -2.094 1.044 2.073 -1.877 -2.567 -0.101 0. In []: (ModelComparison>=0).sum(1) # Model B with MA(1) defeats all other models Trace out the implied dynamics of an exogenous unit change in  $Y_{it-1}$  for each model. In [153]: # Simple dynamics: def compute dynamics(change, ar parameter, T): dynamic = []parameter update = 1 for ii in range(T): parameter update = parameter update \* ar parameter change += parameter update\*change dynamic.append(change) return np.array(dynamic) def compute\_dynamics\_shocked(change, ar\_parameter, shock, phi1, phi2, T): dynamic = []parameter update = 1 for tt in range(T): parameter update = parameter\_update \* ar\_parameter change += parameter\_update\*change - phi1 \* shock[tt+1] + phi2 \* shock[tt] dynamic.append(change) return np.array(dynamic) In [169]: T = 6change = 1ar component = [ parameters hat 1A[2], parameters hat 1B[4], (1+parameters hat 1C[4]), parameters hat A[2], parameters hat B[4], (1+parameters hat C[4]), model name = ['Model AR(1) A', 'Model AR(1) B', 'Model AR(1) C', 'Model ARMA(1,1) A', 'Model ARMA(1,1) B', 'Model ARMA(1,1) C', print(ar component) 0.34997442779239707] In [168]: for rrho, name in zip(ar\_component, model\_name): dynamic = compute dynamics(change, rrho, T) plt.plot(dynamic-1, label = name +': ' + str(round(max(dynamic)-1,3))) plt.xlim([-0.1, 5.1])plt.ylim([0.15, .7]) plt.legend() plt.savefig('Income dynamics stationary models.png') print('An increase 1 pp in Y(t-1) increases an accumulated of 0.615 pp future income in approximately 5 An increase 1 pp in Y(t-1) increases an accumulated of 0.615 pp future income in approximately 5 year 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 Model AR(1) A: 0.419 Model AR(1) B: 0.465 0.3 Model AR(1) C: 0.465 Model ARMA(1,1) A: 0.475 Model ARMA(1,1) B: 0.615 0.2 Model ARMA(1,1) C: 0.615 Income dynamics including unobserved shocks (Model B with MA component): In [156]: predictionError1B = ar.predict modelB(y demean, xx demean, parameters hat 1B)[1:,:] dynamicList = [] for ii in range(predictionError1B.shape[1]): dynamic = compute dynamics shocked(change, parameters\_hat\_B[4], predictionError1B[:,ii], parameters hat B[5], parameters hat B[6], 11) dynamicList.append(dynamic) dynamicList = np.array(dynamicList) for ii in range(5): plt.plot(dynamicList[ii\*10:(ii+1)\*20].mean(0) -1, label = str(ii)) In [166]: 0.60 0.55 0.50 0.45 0.40 0.35 10 In [174]: | print([ parameters hat A[-2], (parameters hat B[-3], parameters hat B[-2]), (parameters\_hat\_C[-3], parameters\_hat\_C[-2]), (parameters\_hat\_D[-3],parameters\_hat\_D[-2]),  $[0.03001320410036725, \ (0.10186575196210666, \ 0.004497495869354055), \ (0.10186578670645652, \ 0.0044975259) ]$ 58107975), (0.4834001439763316, -0.0548980023406485)] In [172]: sigmaList = [ parameters\_hat\_1A[-1], parameters hat 1B[-1], parameters hat 1C[-1], parameters hat 1D[-1], parameters hat A[-1], parameters hat B[-1], parameters hat C[-1], parameters hat D[-1]print(sigmaList) [0.36149133632424263, 0.3593437098290835, 0.35934372245892193, 0.4546900635357474, 0.361438889718106,0.3488037623478042, 0.3488037553325901, 0.40410317258796585]