

Homework3 for EECS 340

Yu Mi, yxm319

February 26, 2018

1 Sorting Leftover Elements

Question: Suppose that you are given an array consisting of n sorted elements followed by $f(n)$ elements in an arbitrary order, where $f(n) \in O(n^{1-\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Describe a method to sort the array in $O(n)$ time.

Answer: This question describes a scenario similar to the intermediate steps of a merge sort, so that we need to solve this problem similar to the approach of a merge sort. First, we need to sort the leftover elements with merge sort, which takes $O(n^{1-\epsilon} \cdot \log n^{1-\epsilon})$ time. After that, we will need to merge the two sequence (original sorted one and the left over part) into a whole sorted sequence, which takes $O(n)$ time. To show that $O(n^{1-\epsilon} \cdot \log n^{1-\epsilon})$ takes less time than $O(n)$, we assign $g(n) = n^{1-\epsilon} \cdot \log n^{1-\epsilon}$, $h(n) = n$. Thus we have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(n)}{h(n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1-\epsilon) \cdot n^{1-\epsilon} \cdot \ln n}{n \cdot \ln 2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-\epsilon}{\ln 2} \cdot (n^{-\epsilon} \cdot \ln n + n^{-\epsilon}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-\epsilon}{\ln 2} \cdot \frac{\ln n + 1}{n^\epsilon} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-\epsilon}{\ln 2} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon n^\epsilon}$$

L'Hospital's rule is used in the second and forth step. The equation above will approach 0 when $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that $O(n^{1-\epsilon} \cdot \log n^{1-\epsilon})$ takes less time than $O(n)$, we can conclude that $O(n^{1-\epsilon} \cdot \log n^{1-\epsilon}) + O(n)$ is $O(n)$.

2 Theory: Sorting Algorithm Run-times

2.1 Give a tight asymptotic bound on $f(n)$

Answer: Since the total amount of permutation of n elements is $n!$, and binary encoding will use $\log(n!)$ bits to encode such permutations, our tight asymptotic bound should be

$$\log(n!) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log i$$

Such time bound make sense because it is always smaller than $n \log n$, which is the time of fastest sorting algorithm (at least I know).

2.2 Why doesn't the derived lower bound, from the previous part hold for non-comparison-based sorting algorithms like radix sort.

Answer: Since non-comparison-based is not based on comparisons to make a sort, they cannot be viewed as a comparison-based approach where each comparison can be treated as searching for a bit in the permutation. The lower bound derived above only stands for the comparison based sorting algorithm where we use each comparison to compose a 'bit' for the ultimate answer.

3 Post Office Placement

Question: Is the bucket-sort algorithm in-place? Why or why not?

Answer: Bucket-sort is **not** an in-place algorithm. Since the number of buckets is only bounded by n , which is the length of the input sequence S , so that this algorithm will need linear additional space and consequently not in-place. In some worse implementations, the algorithm will allocate $O(n \cdot k)$ space where k is the number of buckets.

4 Sorting Sequences

Question: Suppose we are given a sequence S of n elements, each of which is an integer in the range $[0, n^2 - 1]$. Describe a simple method for sorting S in $O(n)$ time.

Hint: Think of alternate ways of viewing the elements. *Answer:* The approach is based on Radix sort:

Algorithm Radix-sort(S, n)

Data: A unsorted sequence S , and its length n

Result: The sorted sequence S'

$buckets \leftarrow n$ lists

for $i \leftarrow 0$ to n **do**

$digit \leftarrow \text{int}((S[i])/n)$

$buckets[digit].\text{append}(S[i])$

end for

for $i \leftarrow 0$ to n **do**

$result \leftarrow n$ lists

for $j \leftarrow 0$ to $\text{length}(buckets[i])$ **do**

$result[buckets[i][j]\%n].\text{append}(buckets[i][j])$

end for

$bucket \leftarrow$ empty list

for $j \leftarrow 0$ to n **do**

$bucket.\text{append}(result[j])$

end for

$buckets[i] \leftarrow bucket$

end for

$result \leftarrow$ empty list

for $i \leftarrow 0$ to n **do**

for $number$ in $buckets[i]$ **do**

$result.\text{append}(number)$

end for

end for

5 Median From Two Lists

Question: Suppose you are given two sorted lists, A and B , of n elements each all of which are distinct. Describe a method that runs in $O(\log n)$ time for finding the median in the set defined by the union of A and B . Note that merging or concatenating the arrays would take $O(n)$ time.

6 Warm-up: Graphs

6.1 R-7.1

Question: Suppose we have a social network with members A, B, C, D, E, F , and G , and the set of friendship ties,

$$\{(A, B), (B, C), (C, A), (D, E), (F, G)\}.$$

Where are the connected components?

6.2 R-13.2

Question: Let G be a simple connected graph with n vertices and m edges. Explain why $O(\log m)$ is $O(\log n)$.

6.3 Given the graph

6.3.1 Draw the graph where all nodes are annotated with the order that they are visited in a depth-first search from A .

6.3.2 Draw a representation of the tree generated by the DFS annotated over the previous part.

7 Application: Chess

8 Application: A World of Voxels

9 Practice: Topological Sorting

10 EECS 454 only

10.1 C-17.4

Question: Consider the problem **DNF-SAT**, which takes a Boolean formula S in disjunctive normal form (DNF) as input and asks whether S is satisfiable. Describe a deterministic polynomial-time algorithm for **DNF-SAT**.

10.2 C-17.5

Question: Consider the problem **DNF-DISSAT**, which takes a Boolean formula S in disjunctive normal form (DNF) as input and asks whether S is dissatisfiable, that is, there is an assignment of Boolean values to the variables of S so that it evaluates to 0. Show that **DNF-DISSAT** is NP -complete.