# Reinforcement Learning I

Lecture 19

# Types of machine learning

	Supervised Learning	Unsupervised Learning	Reinforcement Learning
Goal	Predictfrom examples	<b>Describe</b> structure in data	<b>Strategize</b> learn by trial and error
Data	(x,y)	$\boldsymbol{\chi}$	delayed feedback
Types	<ul><li>Classification</li><li>Regression</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Density estimation</li> <li>Clustering</li> <li>Dimensionality reduction</li> <li>Anomaly detection</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Model-free learning</li><li>Model-based learning</li></ul>

# Reinforcement learning

Control Theory (optimal control)

Psychology and Neuroscience

Reinforcement Learning Machine Learning / Artificial Intelligence

Operations Research

(dynamic programming)


## Resources

## Sutton and Barto, 1998

Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction

Draft of 2018 edition available free online:

http://www.incompleteideas.net/book/the-book-2nd.html



### David Silver, 2015

University College London Advanced Topics 2015 (COMPM050/COMPGI13)

#### Course website:

http://www0.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/D.Silver/web/Teaching.html

#### Video series:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2pWv7GOvuf0&list= PL7-jPKtc4r78-wCZcQn5lgyuWhBZ8fOxT




Image from Amazon.com (where the book may be purchased)

Image from Youtube.com

# Reinforcement Learning

## Goal: select actions to maximize total long-term rewards

## Sequential decision making

Challenge: an action needs to be taken at each step

Evaluation of rewards versus instruction (examples of correct actions)

Challenge: this leads to a trial-and-error approach to learning

May be better to sacrifice immediate reward for long-term gains

Challenge: exploration (of untried actions) vs exploitation (of current knowledge)

## Rewards may be delayed

Challenge: credit assignment: which action(s) led to the reward(s)?

David Silver, 2015

# Reinforcement Learning Examples

Winning at Atari: <a href="https://youtu.be/V1eYniJ0Rnk">https://youtu.be/V1eYniJ0Rnk</a>

Balancing an inverted pendulum: <a href="https://youtu.be/b1c0N\_Fs9wc">https://youtu.be/b1c0N\_Fs9wc</a>

Flipping pancakes: <a href="https://youtu.be/W\_gxLKSsSIE">https://youtu.be/W\_gxLKSsSIE</a>

Car Drifting: <a href="https://youtu.be/opsmd5yuBF0">https://youtu.be/opsmd5yuBF0</a>

RL is a unifying framework for a wide range of problems



# You walk into a casino...

Slot Machine (a.k.a. one-armed bandit)



Define this as reward is "1" for win or "0" for lose

# Multi-armed bandit problem



Trial/episode: play one machine

**Action**: pick one machine to play (one action per trial/episode)

Reward: how much you win or lose

- Each machine has an unknown probability of payoff/reward
- The rewards are stochastic (their distributions are unknown)

Action-Value: expected reward for taking each action

**Policy**: How do we choose actions to maximize our total rewards?

- If we knew the best machine, we'd always pick it
- This is what we want to learn

# **Multi-armed Bandit Demo**

https://dataorigami.net/blogs/napkin-folding/79031811-multi-armed-bandits

# **Multi-armed bandit**

The "true" **action-value** of an action is  $q_*(a)$ 

Our estimated **action-value** at the  $t^{th}$  play is  $q_t(a)$ 

If action a has been chosen  $k_a$  times prior to t:

$$q_t(a) = \frac{r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_{k_a}}{k_a}$$

As we take action a more, our action-value estimates improve

# Multi-armed bandit policies, $\pi(s)$

## **Greedy action:**

Select  $a^* = \arg \max_{a} q_t(a)$ 

Problem: if the initial rewards are not representative, this will be suboptimal

## *ϵ*-Greedy methods:

Select a\* with probability  $1 - \epsilon$ , otherwise, randomly select another option


Problem: in the long run, this will waste reward once the best action is known

Solution: reduce  $\epsilon$  over time

#### **Alternative:**

Select the action probabilities based on the expected value

Probability of selecting action 
$$P(a) = \frac{\exp(q_t(a))}{\sum_{b=1}^n \exp(q_t(b))}$$



# **Next steps**


The multi-armed bandit only has 1 state, but the full RL problem learns policies when there are many states

State representations and Markov decision processes (MDPs) (with an aside on Markov processes)

Mathematically formulating the RL problem with MDPs

Methods for solving RL problems in practice

# **Agent-environment Interaction**



**Kyle Bradbury** 

**Agent** at each step t...

Executes action  $a_t$ Receives state,  $s_t$ Receives scalar reward,  $r_t$ 


**Environment** at each step t...

Receives action  $a_t$ Emits state,  $s_{t+1}$ Emits scalar reward,  $r_{t+1}$ 

**Actions**: choices made by the agent **States**: basis on which choices are made **Rewards**: define the agent's goals

David Silver, 2015

# Reinforcement Learning Components




**Policy** (agent behavior),  $\pi(s)$ 

**Reward function** (the goal),  $r_t$ 

**Value functions** (state reward), v(s), q(s)

# **Policy**



## Policy, $\pi(s)$

- Agent's way of behaving at a given time
- Maps state to actions

Deterministic:  $a = \pi(s)$ 

Stochastic:  $\pi(a|s) = P(a_t = a|s_t = s)$ Helps us "explore" the state space

RL tries to learn the "best" policy

# Goals and rewards

Rewards are the only way of communicating what to accomplish

Ex 1: Robot learning a maze

- 0 until it escapes, then +1 when it does
- -1 until it escapes (encourages it to escape quickly)

Ex 2: Robot collecting empty soda cans

- +1 for each empty soda can
- Negative rewards for bumping into things

Chess: what if we set +1 for capturing a piece? (it may not win the game and still maximize rewards)

What you want achieved not how

# Returns / cumulative reward

**Episodic** tasks (finite number, T, of steps, then reset)

$$G_t = r_{t+1} + r_{t+2} + \dots + r_T$$

**Continuous** tasks with discounting  $(T \rightarrow \infty)$ 

$$G_t=r_{t+1}+\gamma r_{t+2}+\gamma^2 r_{t+3} \ldots =\sum_{k=0}^\infty \gamma^k r_{t+k+1}$$
 where  $0\leq \gamma \leq 1$  is the discount rate

This makes the agent care more about immediate rewards

# Value functions

# state Agent action $s_t$ reward $r_t$ Environment (internal state, $s_t^e$ )

## State Value function, $v_{\pi}(s)$

- How good is it to be in a state,  $s_t$  then follow policy  $\pi$  to choose actions
- Total expected rewards

$$v_{\pi}(s) = E_{\pi}[G_t | s_t = s]$$

## Action Value function, $q_{\pi}(s)$

- How good is it to be in a state, s, take action a, then follow policy  $\pi$  to choose actions
- Total expected rewards

$$q_{\pi}(s, a) = E_{\pi}[G_t | s_t = s, a_t = a]$$

Where 
$$G_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k+1}$$


# Model

state

 $S_t$ 

#### Model

Transitions: predicts what state the environment will transition to next



Rewards: predicts the next reward given an action

$$R_s^a = E[r_{t+1}|s_t = s, a_t = a]$$

"Planning" is the process of using these predictions

Environment (internal state,  $s_t^e$ ) Model-based RL uses a model Model-free RL does not use a model


action

 $a_t$ 

Agent

reward

# Reinforcement Learning Components



## **Policy** (agent behavior), $\pi(s)$

- Determines action given current state
- Agent's way of behaving at a given time

## **Reward function** (the goal), $r_t$

- Maps state of the environment to a reward that describes the state desirability
- Objective is to maximize total rewards

# **Value functions** (state reward), v(s), q(s)

- Total expected reward from a state
- How "good" is each state