

# Numerical Optimization HW1

Sheng-Yen Chou

November 11, 2021

## 1 Problem 1.

Consider a function  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 x_2 - 2x_1 x_2^2 + x_1 x_2^3$

### 1.1 (a)

Compute the gradient and Hessian of  $f$ .

The gradient

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_x f &= \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3x_2 x_1^2 - 2x_2^2 + x_2^3 \\ x_1^3 - 4x_1 x_2 + 3x_1 x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

The Hessian

$$\begin{aligned}H_f &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 6x_2 x_1 & 3x_1^2 - 4x_2 + 3x_2^2 \\ 3x_1^2 - 4x_2 + 3x_2^2 & -4x_1 + 6x_1 x_2 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

### 1.2 (b)

Gradient at  $(1, 1)$

$$\nabla_x f(1, 1) = [3 \times 1 \times 1 - 2 \times 1 + 1, 1 - 4 \times 1 + 3 \times 1] = [2, 0]$$

Hessian at  $(1, 1)$

$$H_f(1, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 - 4 + 3 \\ 3 - 4 + 3 & -4 + 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

### 1.3 (c)

The Taylor expansion of a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ .

$$f(x + \Delta x) = f(x) + \nabla_x f(x)^T \Delta x$$

The steepest descent direction is the negative gradient since it can make the  $f(x + \Delta x)$  decrease most. The detail is

$$\begin{aligned}\min_{\Delta x} f(x + \Delta x) \quad \text{subject to} \quad ||\Delta x|| &= 1 \\ &= \min_{\Delta x} f(x) + \nabla_x f(x)^T \Delta x\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\nabla_x f(x)$  aren't related to  $\Delta x$ , so we can shorten the objective function

$$\min_{\Delta x} \nabla_x f(x)^T \Delta x = \min_{\Delta x} \langle \nabla_x f(x), \Delta x \rangle$$

We know that the inner product will reach its minimal while the 2 vector are opposite  $-\nabla_x f(x)$  and we can normalize it  $-\frac{\nabla_x f(x)}{\|\nabla_x f(x)\|_2}$ . Let  $\Delta x = -\frac{\nabla_x f(x)}{\|\nabla_x f(x)\|_2}$ , thus,

$$f(x + \Delta x) = f(x) - \nabla_x f(x)^T \frac{\nabla_x f(x)}{\|\nabla_x f(x)\|_2}$$

And  $-\frac{\nabla_x f(x)}{\|\nabla_x f(x)\|_2}$  is called the **steepest descent direction**.

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\nabla_x f(1, 2)}{\|\nabla_x f(1, 2)\|_2} &= -\frac{[3 \times 2 \times 1 - 2 \times 2^2 + 2^3, 1^3 - 4 \times 1 \times 2 + 3 \times 1 \times 2^2]}{\sqrt{61}} \\ &= -\frac{[6, 5]}{\sqrt{61}} \end{aligned}$$

#### 1.4 (d)

A quadratic model  $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be written as

$$f(x) = x^T A x + B^T x + C$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $C \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Derive the gradient

$$g(x) = \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} = Ax + B$$

$$H(x) = \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x^2} = 2A = H(0)$$

Denote  $H(0)$  as  $H_0$  and  $g(0)$  as  $g_0$ , Thus, we can rewrite the formula

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T H_0 x + g_0^T x + f(0)$$

$$g(x) = H_0 x + g_0$$

If we want to take a step further in the quadratic model  $f(x_k + \alpha p_k)$

$$f(x_k + \alpha p_k) = \frac{1}{2} (x_k + \alpha p_k)^T H_0 (x_k + \alpha p_k) + g_0^T (x_k + \alpha p_k) + f(0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (x_k + \alpha p_k)^T H_0 (x_k + \alpha p_k) + g_0^T (x_k + \alpha p_k) + f(0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} x_k^T H_0 x_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 p_k^T H_0 p_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha x_k^T H_0 p_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha p_k^T H_0 x_k + g_0^T (x_k + \alpha p_k) + f(0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} x_k^T H_0 x_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 p_k^T H_0 p_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha (p_k^T H_0 x_k)^T + \frac{1}{2} \alpha p_k^T H_0 x_k + g_0^T (x_k + \alpha p_k) + f(0)$$

Since  $p_k^T H_0 x_k$  is a scalar, it's equal to its transpose  $(H_0 x_k)^T p_k$

$$= \frac{1}{2} x_k^T H_0 x_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 p_k^T H_0 p_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha (H_0 x_k)^T p_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha (H_0 x_k)^T p_k + g_0^T x_k + \alpha g_0^T p_k + f(0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} x_k^T H_0 x_k + g_0^T x_k + f(0) + \alpha (H_0 x_k)^T p_k + \alpha g_0^T p_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 p_k^T H_0 p_k$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} x_k^T H_0 x_k + g_0^T x_k + f(0) + \alpha ((H_0 x_k) + g_0)^T p_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 p_k^T H_0 p_k$$

Since we've known that  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T H_0 x + g_0^T x + f(0)$  and  $g(x) = H_0 x + g_0$

$$= f(x_k) + \alpha g(x_k)^T p_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 p_k^T H_0 p_k$$

Derive the gradient of the quadratic model  $\nabla_\alpha f(x_k + \alpha p_k)$  over  $\alpha$

$$\nabla_\alpha f(x_k + \alpha p_k) = g(x_k)^T p_k + \alpha p_k^T H_0 p_k$$

### Step Length of The Steepest Descent Method

The step length of the steepest descent method

$$\nabla_\alpha f(x_k + \alpha p_k) = g(x_k)^T p_k + \alpha p_k^T H_0 p_k = 0$$

$$\alpha p_k^T H_0 p_k = -g(x_k)^T p_k$$

$$\alpha = -\frac{g(x_k)^T p_k}{p_k^T H_0 p_k}$$

### Newton's Direction

The Newton's method use quadratic model to compute Newton's direction

$$f(x_k + p_k) = f(x_k) + g(x_k)^T p_k + \frac{1}{2} p_k^T H_0 p_k$$

Derive the gradient

$$\nabla_{p_k} f(x_k + p_k) = g(x_k) + H_0 p_k$$

Thus, the Newton's direction is

$$\nabla_{p_k} f(x_k + p_k) = g(x_k) + H_0 p_k = 0$$

$$H_0 p_k = -g(x_k)$$

$$p_k = -H_0^{-1} g(x_k)$$

Where  $p_k = -H_0^{-1} g(x_k)$  is Newton's direction

As a result, the Newton's direction at  $(1, 2)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} p_k &= -H(1, 2)^{-1} g_0(1, 2) \\ &= - \begin{bmatrix} 6 * 2 * 1 & 3 - 4 * 2 + 3 * 2^2 \\ 3 * 1 - 4 * 2 + 3 * 2^2 & -4 * 1 + 6 * 1 * 2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 3 * 2 * 1^2 - 2 * 2^2 + 2^3 \\ 1^3 - 4 * 1 * 2 + 3 * 1 * 2^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= - \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 7 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{8}{47} & -\frac{7}{47} \\ -\frac{7}{47} & \frac{13}{47} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{13}{47} \\ \frac{18}{47} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

## 1.5 (e)

The Hessian matrix  $H_f$  is

$$\begin{aligned} H_f(x_1, x_2) &= \begin{bmatrix} 6x_2x_1 & 3x_1^2 - 4x_2 + 3x_2^2 \\ 3x_1^2 - 4x_2 + 3x_2^2 & -4x_1 + 6x_1x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ H_f(1, 2) &= \begin{bmatrix} 6 * 2 * 1 & 3 * 1^2 - 4 * 2 + 3 * 2^2 \\ 3 * 1^2 - 4 * 2 + 3 * 2^2 & -4 * 1 + 6 * 1 * 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 7 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

First of all, we need to compute the LU decomposition for  $H_f(1, 2)$ . Let  $E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{7}{12} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned} U &= E_1 H_f(1, 2) = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 7 \\ 0 & -\frac{7}{12} * 7 + 1 * 8 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 7 \\ 0 & \frac{47}{12} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $H_f(1, 2) = E_1^{-1}U$  and  $L = E_1^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{7}{12} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . We get the LU decomposition

$$H_f(1, 2) = LU \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{7}{12} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 7 \\ 0 & \frac{47}{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

We can move further to LDL decomposition

$$H_f(1, 2) = LDL^T \quad U = DL^T$$

Where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix and we can compute

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{47}{12} \end{bmatrix} \quad L^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{7}{12} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, we can get the LDL decomposition

$$H_f(1, 2) = LDL^T \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{47}{12} \end{bmatrix} \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{7}{12} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## 1.6 (f)

The direction  $p$  is called descent direction of  $f(x)$  at  $x$  if its directional derivative  $D(f(x), p) < 0$ . The directional derivative is defined as

$$D(f(x), p) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + hp) - f(x)}{h}$$

Then expand the directional derivative for 2 dimensional space

$$\begin{aligned} D(f(x), p) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + hp) - f(x)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a + hp_x, b + hp_y) - f(x)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a + hp_x, b + hp_y) - f(a, b + hp_y)}{h} + \frac{f(a, b + hp_y) - f(x)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} p_x \frac{f(a + hp_x, b + hp_y) - f(a, b + hp_y)}{p_x h} + p_y \frac{f(a, b + hp_y) - f(x)}{p_y h} \\ &= p_x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + p_y \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} p_x \\ p_y \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

For the function  $f(x_1, x_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 3x_2x_1^2 - 2x_2^2 + x_2^3 \\ x_1^3 - 4x_1x_2 + 3x_1x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}, p_k \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 3 * 2 * 1^2 - 2 * 2^2 + 2^3 \\ 1^3 - 4 * 1 * 2 + 3 * 1 * 2^2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{13}{47} \\ -\frac{18}{47} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{13}{47} \\ -\frac{18}{47} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{-78}{47} + \frac{-90}{47} \\ &= \frac{-168}{47} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the Newton's direction of  $f$  at  $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 2)$  is a descent direction.

### 1.7 (g)

We've known the LDL decomposition for the Hessian is  $H = LDL^\top$ . The Modified  $LDL^\top$  decomposition would be  $\hat{H} = L\hat{D}L^\top$  and  $\hat{H}$  is the modified Hessian matrix and the elements of the diagonal matrix  $\hat{D}$  are larger than a certain value  $\epsilon$ . The Hessian matrix may be less ill condition and positive definite. The inverse matrix of the modified Hessian matrix is  $\hat{H}^{-1} = L^{-\top}\hat{D}^{-1}L^{-1}$ . Thus, we can derive the modified Newton's direction based on the  $LDL^\top$  decomposition  $\hat{p} = -g\hat{H}^{-1} = -gL^{-\top}\hat{D}^{-1}L^{-1}$ . From subject (e) we've computed the  $LDL^\top$  decomposition of the Hessian matrix  $H_f(1, 2)$ .

$$H_f(1, 2) = LDL^\top$$

where  $H_f(1, 2) = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 7 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{7}{12} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{47}{12} \end{bmatrix}$ . Since the elements of the diagonal matrix  $D$  are all larger than 1, we don't need to modify the diagonal elements and  $\hat{D} = D$ . Thus, the modified Newton's direction is the same as the Newton's direction.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p} &= -gL^{-\top}\hat{D}^{-1}L^{-1} \\ &= -gL^{-\top}\hat{D}^{-1}L^{-1} \\ &= -\begin{bmatrix} \frac{13}{47} \\ \frac{18}{47} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

### 1.8 (h)

Since the computing a Hessian matrix is too expensive, thus we can use first order derivative to approximate the Hessian matrix. It is also called the "secant method". For a Hessian matrix  $\hat{H}_k$ , it can be approximated by  $\hat{H}_k = \frac{\nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_{k-1})}{x_k - x_{k-1}}$ . We can rewrite the formula as  $\hat{H}_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) = \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_{k-1})$ . As for Quasi-Newton, we use approximated Hessian matrix  $\hat{H}_k$  to compute the Newton's direction instead of the original Hessian matrix  $H_k$ . Here we use SR1 update to compute the approximated Hessian matrix  $\hat{H}_k$ . The SR1 can be written as

$$\hat{H}_{k+1} = \hat{H}_k + \sigma_k uu^\top$$

Where  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $y_k = \nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \nabla f(x_k)$  and  $s_k = x_{k+1} - x_k$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} y_k &= \hat{H}_{k+1}s_k \\ &= (\hat{H}_k + \sigma_k uu^\top)s_k \\ &= \hat{H}_k s_k + \sigma_k uu^\top s_k \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$y_k - \hat{H}_k s_k = (\sigma_k u u^\top) s_k$$

Let  $u = \delta^2(y_k - H_k s_k)(y_k - H_k s_k)^\top$ . Thus

$$H_{k+1} = H_k + \frac{(y_k - H_k s_k)(y_k - H_k s_k)^\top}{(y_k - \hat{H}_k s_k)^\top s_k}$$

Apply the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula, we can derive the SR1 update as

$$\hat{H}_{k+1}^{-1} = \hat{H}_k^{-1} + \frac{(s_k - \hat{H}_k^{-1} y_k)(s_k - \hat{H}_k^{-1} y_k)^\top}{y_k^\top (s_k - \hat{H}_k^{-1} y_k)}$$

As for direction  $p_1 = -\hat{H}_1^{-1} g_1 = -\hat{H}_1^{-1} \nabla_x f(x_1)$ ,  $\hat{H}_0 = I$ ,  $x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,0} \\ x_{2,0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and,  $x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} \\ x_{2,1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . First we compute  $s_k$  and  $y_k$ .

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 &= x_1 - x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ y_0 &= \nabla_x f_1 - \nabla_x f_0 = \nabla_x f(x_1) - \nabla_x f(x_0) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3x_{2,1}x_{1,1}^2 - 2x_{2,1}^2 + x_{2,1}^3 \\ x_{1,1}^3 - 4x_{1,1}x_{2,1} + 3x_{1,1}x_{2,1}^2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3x_{2,0}x_{1,0}^2 - 2x_{2,0}^2 + x_{2,0}^3 \\ x_{1,0}^3 - 4x_{1,0}x_{2,0} + 3x_{1,0}x_{2,0}^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 * 2 * 1^2 - 2 * 2^2 + 2^3 \\ 1^3 - 4 * 1 * 2 + 3 * 1 * 2^2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3 * 1 * 1^2 - 2 * 1^2 + 1^3 \\ 1^3 - 4 * 1 * 1 + 3 * 1 * 1^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Compute the SR1 update

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^{-1} &= B_0^{-1} + \frac{(s_0 - \hat{H}_0^{-1} y_0)(s_0 - \hat{H}_0^{-1} y_0)^\top}{y_0^\top (s_0 - \hat{H}_0^{-1} y_0)} \\ &= I + \frac{(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - I \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix})(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - I \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix})^\top}{\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} (\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - I \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix})} \\ &= I + \frac{(\begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix})(\begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix})^\top}{\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} (\begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix})} \\ &= I + \frac{(\begin{bmatrix} 16 & 16 \\ 16 & 16 \end{bmatrix})}{(-36)} \\ &= I + \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{4}{9} & -\frac{4}{9} \\ -\frac{4}{9} & -\frac{4}{9} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5}{9} & -\frac{4}{9} \\ -\frac{4}{9} & \frac{5}{9} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can compute the Newton's direction

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= -\hat{H}_1^{-1} \nabla_x f(x_1) \\ &= - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5}{9} & -\frac{4}{9} \\ -\frac{4}{9} & \frac{5}{9} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{10}{9} \\ \frac{1}{9} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

## 1.9 (i)

As for direction  $p_1 = -\hat{H}_1^{-1}g_1 = -\hat{H}_1^{-1}\nabla_x f(x_1)$ ,  $\hat{H}_0 = I$ ,  $x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,0} \\ x_{2,0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and,  $x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} \\ x_{2,1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . First we compute  $s_k$  and  $y_k$

$$s_0 = x_1 - x_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y_0 = \nabla_x f_1 - \nabla_x f_0 = \nabla_x f(x_1) - \nabla_x f(x_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Rank2 Update is

$$\hat{H}_{k+1} = \hat{H}_k - \frac{\hat{H}_k s_k s_k^\top \hat{H}_k}{s_k^\top \hat{H}_k s_k} + \frac{y_k y_k^\top}{y_k^\top s_k}$$

The inverse Hessian matrix approximated by BFGS can be derived as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{k+1}^{-1} &= (I - \rho_k s_k y_k^\top) \hat{H}_k^{-1} (I - \rho_k y_k s_k^\top) + \rho_k s_k s_k^\top, \text{ where } \rho_k = \frac{1}{y_k^\top s_k} \\ &= (I - \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}) I (I - \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) + \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (I - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{5} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) I (I - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{4}{5} & 0 \end{bmatrix} I \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{4}{5} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{4}{5} \\ -\frac{4}{5} & \frac{16}{25} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{4}{5} \\ -\frac{4}{5} & \frac{21}{25} \end{bmatrix} \\ \rho_k &= \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} = \frac{1}{5} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can compute the Quasi-Newton direction using BFGS

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= -\hat{H}_1^{-1} \nabla_x f(x_1) \\ &= - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{4}{5} \\ -\frac{4}{5} & \frac{21}{25} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ \frac{3}{5} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Problem 2.

### 2.1 (a)

Prove by contradiction

Assume that for a convex set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and a convex function  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , exist a local minimum  $\hat{x}$  and a global minimum  $x^*$  individually. That is,  $\hat{x} \neq x^*$ . According to the definition of the local minimum,  $\exists \hat{\epsilon} > 0$  s.t.  $\forall x_1, x_2 \in S$   $\|x_1 - \hat{x}\|_2 \leq \hat{\epsilon}$  and  $\|x_2 - \hat{x}\|_2 \leq \hat{\epsilon}$  Thus,  $f(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2) \leq \alpha f(x_1) + (1 - \alpha)f(x_2) \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$  Similarly, according to the definition of the global minimum,  $\exists \epsilon^* > 0$  s.t.  $\forall x_1, x_2 \in S$   $\|x_1 - x^*\|_2 \leq \epsilon^*$  and  $\|x_2 - x^*\|_2 \leq \epsilon^*$  Thus,  $f(\beta x_1 + (1 - \beta)x_2) \leq \beta f(x_1) + (1 - \beta)f(x_2) \forall \beta \in [0, 1]$  Consider a line cross the local minimum and the global minimum. Let  $\hat{x}_1$  be near to the local minimum  $\hat{x}$ ,  $\|\hat{x}_1 - \hat{x}\|_2 \leq \hat{\epsilon}$ . Let  $x_1^*$  be near to the global minimum  $x^*$ ,  $\|x_1^* - x^*\|_2 \leq \epsilon^*$ . Trivially,  $\exists \delta \in [0, 1]$  s.t.  $f(\delta \hat{x}_1 + (1 - \delta)x_1^*) \geq \delta f(\hat{x}_1) + (1 - \delta)f(x_1^*)$  and it contradicts with the definition of the convex function  $f$  which requires  $\forall \delta \in [0, 1]$  s.t.  $f(\delta \hat{x}_1 + (1 - \delta)x_1^*) \leq \delta f(\hat{x}_1) + (1 - \delta)f(x_1^*)$ . As a result, the local minimum must be the same as the global minimum.

## 2.2 (b)

For a function  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$   $f(x) = x^\top Qx$ ,  $Q$  is a symmetric positive semi-definite matrix. We want to prove that  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a convex set. For a convex function  $h : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\forall a, b \in S, \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$ ,  $h(\alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b) \leq \alpha h(a) + (1 - \alpha)h(b)$ . Thus, we expect  $f$  should be  $f(\beta x + (1 - \beta)y) - \beta f(x) - (1 - \beta)f(y) \leq 0$ ,  $x, y \in S$ ,  $\beta \in [0, 1]$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(\beta x + (1 - \beta)y) - \beta f(x) - (1 - \beta)f(y) \\
&= (\beta x + (1 - \beta)y)^\top Q(\beta x + (1 - \beta)y) - \beta x^\top Qx - (1 - \beta)y^\top Qy \\
&= \beta^2 x^\top Qx + (1 - \beta)^2 y^\top Qy + \beta(1 - \beta)(x^\top Qy + y^\top Qx) - \beta x^\top Qx - (1 - \beta)y^\top Qy \\
&= \beta(\beta - 1)x^\top Qx + \beta(\beta - 1)y^\top Qy + \beta(1 - \beta)(x^\top Qy + y^\top Qx) \\
&= \beta(\beta - 1)x^\top Qx + \beta(\beta - 1)y^\top Qy - \beta(\beta - 1)(x^\top Qy + y^\top Qx) \\
&= \beta(\beta - 1)x^\top Q(x - y) + \beta(\beta - 1)y^\top Q(y - x) \\
&= \beta(\beta - 1)x^\top Q(x - y) - \beta(\beta - 1)y^\top Q(x - y) \\
&= \beta(\beta - 1)(x - y)^\top Q(x - y)
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $Q$  is semi-definite,  $(x - y)^\top Q(x - y) \geq 0$ . On the other hand,  $\beta - 1 < 0$ . As a result, we prove that  $\beta(\beta - 1)(x - y)^\top Q(x - y) \leq 0$ . That is  $f(x)$  is a convex function.

## 3 Problem 3

### 3.1 (a)

Let  $\phi(\alpha) = (\alpha - 1)^2$  and the sufficient decrease condition is  $\phi(\alpha) \leq \phi(0) + c_1 \alpha \phi'(0)$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ . Suppose  $c_1 = 0.1$ . Derive the derivative of  $\phi$

$$\phi'(\alpha) = 2(\alpha - 1)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\alpha) &= (\alpha - 1)^2 \leq (0 - 1)^2 + 0.1 * \alpha * 2 * (0 - 1) = \phi'(0) \\
(\alpha - 1)^2 &\leq 1 - 0.2\alpha \\
\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1 &\leq 1 - 0.2\alpha \\
\alpha^2 - 1.8\alpha &\leq 0 \\
\alpha^2 - 1.8\alpha + 0.81 &\leq 0.81 \\
(\alpha - 0.9)^2 &\leq 0.81
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the feasible region for  $\alpha$

$$0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.8$$

### 3.2 (b)

Let  $\phi(\alpha) = (\alpha - 1)^2$  and the curvature condition is  $\phi'(\alpha) \geq c_2 \phi'(0)$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ . Suppose  $c_2 = 0.9$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi'(\alpha) &= 2(\alpha - 1) \geq 0.9 * 2(0 - 1) = c_2 \phi'(0) \\
2\alpha - 2 &\geq -1.8
\end{aligned}$$

The feasible region for  $\alpha$  is

$$\alpha \geq 0.1$$



## 4 Problem 4.

### 4.1 (1)

Show that  $\alpha_k = \frac{\vec{p}_k^\top \vec{r}_k}{\vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{p}_k} = \frac{\vec{r}_k^\top \vec{r}_k}{\vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{p}_k}$ . To simplify the goal, we know if we can show  $\vec{p}_k^\top \vec{r}_k = \vec{r}_k^\top \vec{r}_k$ , then  $\frac{\vec{p}_k^\top \vec{r}_k}{\vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{p}_k} = \frac{\vec{r}_k^\top \vec{r}_k}{\vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{p}_k}$  will hold.

Prove by induction Basis: According to the step (1), we've known that  $\vec{r}_0 = \vec{p}_0$ . Thus,  $\vec{p}_0^\top \vec{r}_0 = \vec{r}_0^\top \vec{r}_0$ . Induction: According to the step (7), we've known that  $\vec{p}_k = \vec{r}_k + \beta_{k-1} \vec{p}_{k-1}$ . Thus, plugin  $\vec{p}_k$  into the formula  $\vec{p}_k^\top \vec{r}_k$

$$\vec{p}_k^\top \vec{r}_k = (\vec{r}_k + \beta_{k-1} \vec{p}_{k-1})^\top \vec{r}_k$$

$$= (\vec{r}_k^\top \vec{r}_k) + \beta_{k-1} \vec{p}_{k-1}^\top \vec{r}_k$$

According to the assumption, we can get  $\vec{p}_{k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_i \vec{r}_i$ . Thus,

$$= (\vec{r}_k^\top \vec{r}_k) + \beta_{k-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_i \vec{r}_i^\top \right) \vec{r}_k$$

According to the property (a)  $\vec{r}_i^\top \vec{r}_j = 0$ ,  $i \neq j$ , we can eliminate  $\beta_{k-1} \vec{r}_{k-1}^\top \vec{r}_k = 0$

$$= \vec{r}_k^\top \vec{r}_k$$

Thus, we can argue that  $\alpha_k = \frac{\vec{p}_k^\top \vec{r}_k}{\vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{p}_k} = \frac{\vec{r}_k^\top \vec{r}_k}{\vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{p}_k}$  will hold.

### 4.2 (2)

Show that  $\beta_k = \frac{\vec{r}_{k+1}^\top \vec{r}_{k+1}}{\vec{r}_k^\top \vec{r}_k} = -\frac{\vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{r}_{k+1}}{\vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{p}_k}$

$$\beta_k = \frac{\vec{r}_{k+1}^\top \vec{r}_{k+1}}{\vec{r}_k^\top \vec{r}_k}$$

From step (3), we know that  $\vec{r}_{k+1} = \vec{r}_k - \alpha_k A \vec{p}_k$ . Also, from the previous proof, we've known that  $\alpha_k = \frac{\vec{r}_k^\top \vec{r}_k}{\vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{p}_k}$ . Thus, we can derive  $\vec{r}_k = \vec{r}_{k+1} + \alpha_k A \vec{p}_k$ ,  $\vec{r}_k^\top \vec{r}_k = \alpha_k \vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{p}_k$  and plug into the formula.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{(\vec{r}_k - \alpha_k A \vec{p}_k)^\top \vec{r}_{k+1}}{\alpha_k \vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{p}_k} \\ &= \frac{(\vec{r}_{k+1}^\top \vec{r}_k) - (\alpha_k \vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{r}_{k+1})}{\alpha_k \vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{p}_k} \\ &= -\frac{\alpha_k \vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{r}_{k+1}}{\alpha_k \vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{p}_k} \\ &= -\frac{\vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{r}_{k+1}}{\vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{p}_k} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\beta_k = \frac{\vec{r}_{k+1}^\top \vec{r}_{k+1}}{\vec{r}_k^\top \vec{r}_k} = -\frac{\vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{r}_{k+1}}{\vec{p}_k^\top A \vec{p}_k}$

## 5 Reference

### References