

# CS532100 Numerical Optimization Homework 1

110062533 Yang, Jiun-Kai

Due Nov 11

1. (45%) Consider a function  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 x_2 - 2x_1 x_2^2 + x_1 x_2^3$ .
  - (a) Compute the gradient and Hessian of  $f$ .
  - (b) Is  $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 1)$  a local minimizer? Justify your answer.
  - (c) What is the steepest descent direction of  $f$  at  $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 2)$ ?
  - (d) What is the Newton's direction of  $f$  at  $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 2)$ ?
  - (e) Compute the LDL decomposition of the Hessian of  $f$  at  $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 2)$ . (No pivoting)
  - (f) Is the Newton's direction of  $f$  at  $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 2)$  a descent direction? Justify your answer.
  - (g) Modify the LDL decomposition computed in (d) such that all diagonal elements of  $D$  is larger than or equal to 1, and use the modified LDL decomposition to compute a modified Newton's direction at  $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 2)$ .
  - (h) Suppose  $\vec{x}_0 = (1, 1)$  and  $\vec{x}_1 = (1, 2)$  and  $B_0 = I$ , compute the quasi Newton direction  $p_1$  using SR1.
  - (i) Suppose  $\vec{x}_0 = (1, 1)$  and  $\vec{x}_1 = (1, 2)$  and  $B_0 = I$ , compute the quasi Newton direction  $p_1$  using BFGS.

Answers are put here.

- (a) The Hessian  $H$  of  $f$  is

$$\nabla f|_{x_1=x_2=1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 3x_1^2 x_2 - 2x_2^2 + x_2^3 & x_1^3 - 4x_1 x_2 + 3x_1 x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6x_1 x_2 & 3x_1^2 - 4x_2 + 3x_2^2 \\ 3x_1^2 - 4x_2 + 3x_2^2 & -4x_1 + 6x_1 x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (b) Given  $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 1)$ , we have the gradient of  $f$ ,  $\nabla f$ , and the Hessian  $H$ :

$$\nabla f|_{x_1=x_2=1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

$$H|_{x_1=x_2=1} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since all elements in  $H$  are positive, so we know that  $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^2, z^T H z > 0 \rightarrow H$  is a positive-definite matrix.

However,  $\nabla f \neq 0$ , so  $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 1)$  is not a local minimizer.

- (c) The steepest descent direction of  $f$  is  $-\nabla f$  at  $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 2)$

$$[-\nabla f]_{x_1=1, x_2=2} = [-6 \quad -5]^T$$

- (d) The Newton's direction of  $f$  at  $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 2)$  is

$$[-H^{-1}\nabla f]_{x_1=1, x_2=2} = - \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 7 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{47} \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -7 \\ -7 & 12 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{47} \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ 18 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (e) The  $LDL^T$  decomposition of Hessian  $H$  of  $f$  at  $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 2)$ :

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 7 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 7/12 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 7 \\ 0 & 47/12 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 7/12 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0 \\ 0 & 47/12 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7/12 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (f) We can check if  $\vec{g}_k^T \vec{p}_k < 0$  where  $\vec{g}_k = \nabla f$  and  $\vec{p}_k$  is the Newton's direction of  $f$ .

At  $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 2)$ , we have

$$\vec{g}_k^T \vec{p}_k = -\frac{1}{47} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ 18 \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

Therefore, we know that the Newton's direction of  $f$  at  $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 2)$  is a descent direction.

- (g) From the previous problem (e), we can see that all diagonal elements of  $D$  is larger or equal to 1, so we don't need to modify the LDL decomposition. The Newton's direction is same as (d).

- (h) We have  $\vec{x}_0 = (1, 1)$ ,  $\vec{x}_1 = (1, 2)$ , and the initial guess of Hessian  $B_0 = I$ .

$$\therefore \nabla f(\vec{x}_0) = [2, 0]^T \text{ and } \nabla f(\vec{x}_1) = [6, 5]^T$$

$$\therefore y_0 = [4, 5]^T$$

$$\therefore \vec{x}_0 = (1, 1), \vec{x}_1 = (1, 2)$$

$$\therefore \vec{s}_0 = [0, 1]^T$$

The quasi Newton's direction using SR1 is  $\vec{p}_1 = -B_1^{-1}\nabla f(\vec{x}_1)$  where

$$B_1 = B_0 + \frac{(\vec{y}_0 - B_0\vec{s}_0)(\vec{y}_0 - B_0\vec{s}_0)^T}{(\vec{y}_0 - B_0\vec{s}_0)^T \vec{s}_0} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \vec{p}_1 = -\frac{1}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -4 \\ -4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (i) From the previous problem (h), we know that  $\vec{s}_0 = [0, 1]^T$ ,  $y_0 = [4, 5]^T$ ,  $\nabla f(\vec{x}_1) = [6, 5]^T$ , and  $B_0 = I$ .

The quasi Newton's direction using BFGS is  $\vec{p}_1 = -B_1^{-1}\nabla f(\vec{x}_1)$  where

$$B_1 = B_0 - \frac{B_0\vec{s}_0\vec{s}_0^T B_0}{\vec{s}_0^T B_0\vec{s}_0} + \frac{\vec{y}_0\vec{y}_0^T}{\vec{y}_0^T \vec{s}_0} = I - \frac{\vec{s}_0\vec{s}_0^T}{\vec{s}_0^T \vec{s}_0} + \frac{\vec{y}_0\vec{y}_0^T}{\vec{y}_0^T \vec{s}_0} = \begin{bmatrix} 21/5 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \vec{p}_1 = -B_1^{-1}\nabla f(\vec{x}_1) = -\frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -4 \\ -4 & 21/5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 3/5 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. (20%)

- (a) A set  $S \subseteq R^n$  is a *convex* set if the straight line connecting any two points in  $S$  is also entirely in  $S$ . A function  $f : S \rightarrow R$  is a *convex* function if  $S$  is a convex set. The following properties are equivalent:

- i.  $S \subseteq R^n$  is a convex set,  $f : S \rightarrow R$  is a convex function.
- ii.  $f(\alpha\vec{x} + (1-\alpha)\vec{y}) \leq \alpha f(\vec{x}) + (1-\alpha)f(\vec{y})$  for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in S$ .

Prove that when  $f$  is a convex function, any local minimizer  $\vec{x}^*$  is a global minimizer of  $f$ .

(Hint: Suppose there is another point  $\vec{z} \in S$  such that  $f(\vec{z}) \leq f(\vec{x}^*)$ , then  $\vec{x}^*$  is not a local minimizer.)

- (b) Suppose  $f(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}^T Q \vec{x}$ , where  $Q$  is a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix, show that  $f(\vec{x})$  is a convex function.

(Hint: It might be easier to show  $f(\vec{y} + \alpha(\vec{x} - \vec{y})) - \alpha f(\vec{x}) - (1 - \alpha)f(\vec{y}) \leq 0$ .)

Answers are put here.

- (a) Let  $\vec{x}^*$  is the local minimizer of  $f$ .

The point  $\vec{x}^*$  is a local minimizer if there is a neighborhood  $N$  of  $\vec{x}^*$  s.t.  $f(x) \leq f(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in N$ .

Suppose  $\exists \vec{z} \in S$  s.t.  $f(\vec{z}) < f(\vec{x}^*)$ , which means  $\vec{x}^*$  is not a global minimizer.

By the second equivalent property, for  $\vec{x}^*$  and  $\vec{z}$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f(\alpha\vec{x}^* + (1-\alpha)\vec{z}) \leq \alpha f(\vec{x}^*) + (1-\alpha)f(\vec{z}) < \alpha f(\vec{x}^*) + (1-\alpha)f(\vec{x}^*) = f(\vec{x}^*)$ . However, if  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $f(\vec{x}^*) < f(\vec{x}^*)$ . That is a contradiction!

Therefore, when  $f$  is a convex function, any local minimizer  $\vec{x}^*$  is a global minimizer of  $f$ .

- (b) By the second equivalent property, for  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in S$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f(\alpha\vec{x} + (1-\alpha)\vec{y}) - [\alpha f(\vec{x}) + (1-\alpha)f(\vec{y})] \leq 0$ . Given  $f(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}^T Q \vec{x}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(\alpha\vec{x} + (1-\alpha)\vec{y}) - [\alpha f(\vec{x}) + (1-\alpha)f(\vec{y})] \\
 &= (\alpha\vec{x} + (1-\alpha)\vec{y})^T Q (\alpha\vec{x} + (1-\alpha)\vec{y}) - \alpha\vec{x}^T Q \vec{x} - (1-\alpha)\vec{y}^T Q \vec{y} \\
 &= \alpha^2\vec{x}^T Q \vec{x} + (1-\alpha)^2\vec{y}^T Q \vec{y} + 2\alpha(1-\alpha)\vec{x}^T Q \vec{y} - \alpha\vec{x}^T Q \vec{x} - (1-\alpha)\vec{y}^T Q \vec{y} \\
 &= \alpha(\alpha-1)\vec{x}^T Q \vec{x} + \alpha(\alpha-1)\vec{y}^T Q \vec{y} + 2\alpha(1-\alpha)\vec{x}^T Q \vec{y} \\
 &= \alpha(\alpha-1)[\vec{x}^T Q \vec{x} + \vec{y}^T Q \vec{y} - 2\vec{x}^T Q \vec{y}] \\
 &= \alpha(\alpha-1)[(\vec{x} - \vec{y})^T Q (\vec{x} - \vec{y})]
 \end{aligned}$$

$\because Q$  is a symmetric positive semi-definite matrix and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$

$$\therefore [(\vec{x} - \vec{y})^T Q (\vec{x} - \vec{y})] \geq 0, \alpha(\alpha-1) \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f(\alpha\vec{x} + (1-\alpha)\vec{y}) - [\alpha f(\vec{x}) + (1-\alpha)f(\vec{y})] \leq 0$$

Therefore, Suppose  $f(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}^T Q \vec{x}$ , where  $Q$  is a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix,  $f(\vec{x})$  is a convex function.

3. (20%) (Line search method) Suppose  $\phi(\alpha) = f(\vec{x}_k + \alpha\vec{p}_k) = (\alpha-1)^2$ .

- (a) The sufficient decrease condition asks  $\phi(\alpha) \leq \phi(0) + c_1 \alpha \phi'(0)$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ . Suppose  $c_1 = 0.1$ , what is the feasible interval of  $\alpha$  satisfying this condition ?
- (b) The curvature condition asks  $\phi'(\alpha) \geq c_2 \phi'(0)$ . Suppose  $c_2 = 0.9$ , what is the feasible interval of  $\alpha$  satisfying this condition ?

Answers are put here.

- (a)  $\because \phi'(0) = 2(0 - 1) = -2$  and  $\phi(0) = 1$   
 $\therefore \phi(\alpha) \leq 1 - 2c_1\alpha, \forall \alpha \in [0, \infty)$   
 Suppose  $c_1 = 0.1$ ,  $\phi(\alpha) \leq 1 - 0.2\alpha$ .  
 $\Rightarrow \phi(\alpha) = (\alpha - 1)^2 \leq 1 - 0.2\alpha$   
 $\Rightarrow \alpha(\alpha - 1.8) \leq 0$   
 $\Rightarrow 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.8$   
 $\because \alpha \in [0, \infty)$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.8$   
 $\therefore$  The feasible interval of  $\alpha$  is  $\alpha \in [0, 1.8]$ .
- (b)  $\phi'(\alpha) = 2(\alpha - 1) \geq c_2 2(0 - 1)$ .  
 Suppose  $c_2 = 0.9$ ,  $2(\alpha - 1) \geq -1.8$   
 $\Rightarrow \alpha \geq \frac{1}{2} \times 0.2 = 0.1$   
 $\Rightarrow$  The feasible interval of  $\alpha$  is  $\alpha \in [0.1, \infty)$

4. (15%) The conjugate gradient method for solving  $Ax = b$  is given in Figure 1, where  $z_k$  is the approximate solution. In class we define  $\alpha_k = (\vec{p}_k^T \vec{r}_k) / (\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k)$  and  $\beta_k = (\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{r}_{k+1}) / (\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k)$ . We need to modify  $\beta_k = -(\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{r}_{k+1}) / (\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k)$  here since the direction of  $\vec{p}_{k+1}$  in Figure 1 is opposite to the CG method we taught in slides, both versions are correct. Prove that the above formula of  $\alpha_k$  and  $\beta_k$  are equivalent to the ones in step (3) and step (6). You may need the relations in step (4) and step (5), and the following properties:

- (a)  $\vec{r}_i^T \vec{r}_j = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ .  
 (b)  $\vec{p}_i^T A \vec{p}_j = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ .  
 (c)  $\vec{p}_k$  is a linear combination of  $\vec{r}_0, \dots, \vec{r}_k$ ,  $\vec{p}_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i \vec{r}_i$ .  
 (which can be shown from step (7) by mathematical induction. )

Answers are put here.

Assume  $A$  in  $Ax = b$  is a symmetric positive-definite matrix for solving CG algorithm.

### Proof by Induction

**Step 1: Verify that the desired result holds for  $k = 0$ .**

- 
- 
- (1) Given  $\vec{z}_0$ . Let  $\vec{p}_0 = \vec{b} - A\vec{z}_0$ , and  $\vec{r}_0 = \vec{p}_0$ .
  - (2) For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  until  $\|\vec{r}_k\| \leq \epsilon$
  - (3)  $\alpha_k = (\vec{r}_k^T \vec{r}_k) / (\vec{p}_k^T A \vec{p}_k)$
  - (4)  $\vec{z}_{k+1} = \vec{z}_k + \alpha_k \vec{p}_k$
  - (5)  $\vec{r}_{k+1} = \vec{r}_k - \alpha_k A \vec{p}_k$
  - (6)  $\beta_k = (\vec{r}_{k+1}^T \vec{r}_{k+1}) / (\vec{r}_k^T \vec{r}_k)$
  - (7)  $\vec{p}_{k+1} = \vec{r}_{k+1} + \beta_k \vec{p}_k$
- 
- 

Figure 1: The CG algorithm.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= \frac{\vec{r}_0^T \vec{r}_0}{\vec{p}_0^T A \vec{p}_0} = \frac{\vec{p}_0^T \vec{r}_0}{\vec{p}_0^T A \vec{p}_0} \\ \beta_0 &= \frac{\vec{r}_1^T \vec{r}_1}{\vec{r}_0^T \vec{r}_0} = \frac{(\vec{r}_0^T - \frac{\vec{r}_0^T \vec{r}_0}{\vec{p}_0^T A \vec{p}_0} A \vec{p}_0)^T \vec{r}_1}{\vec{r}_0^T \vec{r}_0} = \frac{\vec{r}_0^T \vec{r}_1}{\vec{r}_0^T \vec{r}_0} - \frac{\vec{p}_0^T A \vec{r}_1}{\vec{p}_0^T A \vec{p}_0} \\ &\because A \text{ is a symmetric positive-definite matrix and } \vec{r}_i^T \vec{r}_j = 0, \forall i \neq j. \\ \therefore \beta_0 &= -\frac{\vec{p}_0^T A \vec{r}_1}{\vec{p}_0^T A \vec{p}_0} \end{aligned}$$

**Step 2: Assume that the desired result holds for  $k = i$ .**

Under this assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= \frac{\vec{p}_i^T \vec{r}_i}{\vec{p}_i^T A \vec{p}_i} \\ \beta_i &= -\frac{\vec{p}_i^T A \vec{r}_{i+1}}{\vec{p}_i^T A \vec{p}_i} \end{aligned}$$

**Step 3: Use the assumption from step 2 to show that the result holds for  $k = (i + 1)$ .**

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{i+1} &= \frac{\vec{r}_{i+1}^T \vec{r}_{i+1}}{\vec{p}_{i+1}^T A \vec{p}_{i+1}} = \frac{(\vec{p}_{i+1} - \beta_i \vec{p}_i)^T \vec{r}_{i+1}}{\vec{p}_{i+1}^T A \vec{p}_{i+1}} \\
&\because \vec{p}_k \text{ is a linear combination of } \vec{r}_0, \dots, \vec{r}_k, \vec{p}_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i \vec{r}_i \\
&\therefore \alpha_{i+1} = \frac{(\vec{p}_{i+1} - \beta_i \sum_{j=1}^i \gamma_j \vec{r}_j)^T \vec{r}_{i+1}}{\vec{p}_{i+1}^T A \vec{p}_{i+1}} \\
&\because \vec{r}_i^T \vec{r}_j = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j \\
&\therefore \alpha_{i+1} = \frac{\vec{p}_{i+1}^T \vec{r}_{i+1}}{\vec{p}_{i+1}^T A \vec{p}_{i+1}} \\
\beta_{i+1} &= \frac{\vec{r}_{i+2}^T \vec{r}_{i+2}}{\vec{r}_{i+1}^T \vec{r}_{i+1}} = \frac{(\vec{r}_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+1} A \vec{p}_{i+1})^T \vec{r}_{i+2}}{\vec{r}_{i+1}^T \vec{r}_{i+1}} \\
&\because \vec{r}_i^T \vec{r}_j = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j \\
&\therefore \beta_{i+1} = -\frac{(\alpha_{i+1} A \vec{p}_{i+1})^T \vec{r}_{i+2}}{\vec{r}_{i+1}^T \vec{r}_{i+1}} \\
&\because \alpha_{i+1} = \frac{\vec{r}_{i+1}^T \vec{r}_{i+1}}{\vec{p}_{i+1}^T A \vec{p}_{i+1}} \\
&\therefore \beta_{i+1} = -\frac{(\frac{\vec{r}_{i+1}^T \vec{r}_{i+1}}{\vec{p}_{i+1}^T A \vec{p}_{i+1}} A \vec{p}_{i+1})^T \vec{r}_{i+2}}{\vec{r}_{i+1}^T \vec{r}_{i+1}} = -\frac{\vec{p}_{i+1}^T A^T \vec{r}_{i+2}}{\vec{p}_{i+1}^T A \vec{p}_{i+1}} \\
&\because A \text{ is a symmetric matrix.} \\
&\therefore \beta_{i+1} = -\frac{\vec{p}_{i+1}^T A \vec{r}_{i+2}}{\vec{p}_{i+1}^T A \vec{p}_{i+1}}
\end{aligned}$$

### Summarize the results

The above formula of  $\alpha_k$  and  $\beta_k$  are equivalent to the ones in step (3) and step (6).