

# COMP 2711H Notes

by Frank

## I. Proofs and Reasoning

### - Boolean Algebra

Basic operations of boolean algebra:

<i>Negation</i> : $\neg p$	<i>Disjunction</i> : $p \vee q$	<i>Conjunction</i> : $p \wedge q$	<i>Implication</i> : $p \rightarrow q$
$p \quad \neg p$	$p \quad q \quad p \vee q$	$p \quad q \quad p \wedge q$	$p \quad q \quad p \rightarrow q$
0   1	0   0   0	0   0   0	0   0   1
0   1	0   1   1	0   1   0	0   1   1
1   0	1   0   1	1   0   0	1   0   0
	1   1   1	1   1   1	1   1   1

**Exclusive or**:  $p \oplus q := (p \vee q) \wedge \neg(p \wedge q)$

A **Tautology** is a statement that is always true, denoted as  $T$ .

A **Contradiction** is a statement that is always false, denoted as  $F$ .

**Equivalence**:  $(p \equiv q) = (p \Leftrightarrow q) := (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$  is a tautology.

*Theorem:*

**Modus Ponens**:  $p \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \implies q$

**Hypothetical Syllogism**:  $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \implies p \rightarrow r$

**Modus Tollens**:  $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg q) \implies \neg p$

*Theorem:*

$$1) \quad \neg(p \vee q) \iff \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

$$2) \quad \neg(p \wedge q) \iff \neg p \vee \neg q$$

$$3) \quad p \wedge (q \vee r) \iff (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$$

$$4) \quad p \vee (q \wedge r) \iff (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$$

$$5) \quad p \rightarrow q \iff \neg p \vee q$$

$s^d$  is the **dual statement** of  $s$  obtained by replacing  $\wedge \leftrightarrow \vee, T \leftrightarrow F$  in  $s$ . We have  $s \equiv s' \iff s^d \equiv s'^d$ .

A **proof** for  $\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n h_i\right) \rightarrow c$  is a sequence  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k = c$  such that  $\forall i, p_i = h_j$  or  $\bigwedge_{m=0}^{i-1} p_m \implies p_i$

$p(x)$  is a **predicate** if it becomes a proposition when  $x$  is replaced by a value in our universe.

**Quantifier**: **Universal quantifier**  $\forall$  (for all) and **Existential quantifier**  $\exists$  (there exists)

### - Natural Number System

The set of natural numbers is constructed by **Peano's Axioms**.

1) 0 is a natural number.

2) Every natural number  $n$  has a successor  $s(n)$ .

3)  $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $s(n) = s(m)$ , then  $n = m$ .

4)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, s(n) \neq 0$ .

5) If  $K$  is a set such that  $\begin{cases} 0 \in K \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \in K \rightarrow s(n) \in K \end{cases}$ , then  $K \supseteq \mathbb{N}$ .

*Theorem:* To prove  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, p(n)$ , it's sufficient to show  $\begin{cases} p(0) \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, p(n) \rightarrow p(n+1) \end{cases}$ .

Definition of **addition**:

- 1)  $\forall n, n + 0 = n$
- 2)  $\forall n, m, n + s(m) = s(n + m)$

Definition of **multiplication**:

- 1)  $\forall n, n \times 0 = 0$
- 2)  $\forall n, m, n \times s(m) = n \times m + n$

Definition of  $\leq$ :  $n \leq m \iff \exists x, n + x = m$

**Mathematical Induction:**  $\begin{cases} K \subseteq \mathbb{N} \\ 0 \in K \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \in K \rightarrow s(n) \in K \end{cases} \implies K = \mathbb{N}$

**Well-ordering Principle:** Every non-empty subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  has a smallest element.

**Infinite Descent:** There is no infinite sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_1 > a_2 > \dots$ .

*Theorem:* Mathematical Induction  $\iff$  Well-ordering Principle  $\iff$  Infinite Descent

**Strong Induction:** To prove  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, p(n)$ , it's sufficient to show  $\begin{cases} p(0) \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \bigwedge_{i=0}^n p(i) \rightarrow p(n+1) \end{cases}$ .

## II. Enumerative Combinatorics

### - Permutation and Combination

**Permutation:**  $P_r^n = P(n, r) := \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$ .

**Combination:**  $C_r^n = C(n, r) = \binom{n}{r} := \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}$ .

*Theorem:*  $\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} = 2^n$

*Theorem:*  $\sum_{0 \leq j \leq i \leq n} \binom{n}{i} \binom{i}{j} = 3^n$

*Theorem:*  $\sum_{0 \leq i_k \leq i_{k-1} \leq \dots \leq i_1 \leq n} \binom{n}{i_1} \binom{i_1}{i_2} \dots \binom{i_{k-1}}{i_k} = (k+1)^n$

*Theorem:*  $\binom{n}{0} - \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} - \dots + (-1)^n \binom{n}{n} = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} = 0$

*Theorem:*  $n \binom{n-1}{k} = \binom{n}{k+1} (k+1)$

*Theorem:*  $\binom{n}{r} = \binom{n-1}{r} + \binom{n-1}{r-1}$

*Theorem:* We have  $\frac{(2n)!}{n!2^n}$  ways of pairings in set  $A$  with  $2n$  elements:

*Theorem:* We have  $d_n = (n-1)(d_{n-2} + d_{n-1})$  ways of derangement of  $n$  elements.

*Theorem:* Number of  $\mathbf{Z}^+$  solutions for  $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k = n$  is equal to  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ .

### - Principle of Inclusion and Exclusion (PIE)

**PIE for two sets:**  $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|$

**PIE for three sets:**  $|A \cup B \cup C| = |A| + |B| + |C| - |A \cap B| - |A \cap C| - |B \cap C| + |A \cap B \cap C|$

**PIE for  $k$  sets:** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  be finite sets. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i \right| &= \sum_{i_1} |A_{i_1}| \\ &\quad - \sum_{i_1 < i_2} |A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2}| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < i_3} |A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap A_{i_3}| \\ &\quad \dots \\ &\quad + (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k} |A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}| \end{aligned}$$

**Generalized PIE:** Denote  $w(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t) := |A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_t}|$

$w(t) := \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_t)} w(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t) = \sum_{\text{all possible}} |\text{intersection of } t \text{ sets}|$ . We have  $\left| \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i \right| = \sum_{t=1}^k (-1)^{t+1} w(t)$

*Theorem:*  $d_n = n! \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(-1)^i}{i!}$ , where  $d_n$  is the number of ways of derangement of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

*Proof:* Denote  $A_i :=$  the set of permutations such that  $\pi(i) = i$ .

We have  $|A_i| = (n-1)!$ ,  $|A_i \cap A_j| = (n-2)!$ ,  $\dots$ , and  $w(k) = \binom{n}{k} (n-k)!$

$$\begin{aligned} d_n &= |A_1^C \cap A_2^C \cap \dots \cap A_n^C| \\ &= n! - |A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n| \\ &= n! - w(1) + w(2) - \dots + (-1)^n w(n) \\ &= n! + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} (n-i)! \\ &= n! + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \frac{n!}{i!} \\ &= n! \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \end{aligned}$$

### - Pigeonhole Principle

Let  $P$  and  $H$  be finite sets with  $|P| > k|H|$ . If  $f: P \rightarrow H$ , then  $\exists h \in H, |f^{-1}(h)| \geq k+1$ .

## III. Graph Theory

## - Graph Basics

A **graph** is an ordered pair  $G = (V, E)$  consisting a set  $V$  for vertices and a set  $E$  for edges

$u$  and  $v$  are **neighbors/adjacent** iff  $\{u, v\} \in E$ .

The **degree** of a vertex  $d(v) := |\{u, v\} \in E \mid u \in V\}|$ . We have  $\sum_{v \in V} d(v) = 2|E|$ .

*Theorem:* If  $\forall i \in V, d(i) \leq 2$  in  $G = (V, E)$ , then every CC of  $G$  is either a cycle or a path.

*Theorem:* If  $\forall i \in V, 2 \mid d(i)$ , then  $E = C_1 \sqcup C_2 \cdots \sqcup C_t$  where  $C_i$ 's are cycles.

*Theorem:*  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  is the degree sequence of a graph (not necessarily simple) iff  $\sum d_i$  is even.

A sequence  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  is **graphic** if it's the degree sequence of a simple graph.

*Theorem:* A sequence  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n$  is graphic iff

$d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{n-d_n-1}, d_{n-d_n-1}, \dots, d_{n-2}-1, d_{n-1}-1$  is graphic.

The **adjacency matrix** is an  $n \times n$  matrix where  $A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \{i, j\} \in E \\ 0 & \{i, j\} \notin E \end{cases}$

A **walk** is a sequence of vertices and edges.

A **trail** is a walk that does not have repeated edges.

A **path** is a walk that does not have repeated vertices.

*Theorem:* Every  $(u, v)$ -walk contains a  $(u, v)$ -path.

A **circuit** is a walk that begins and ends at the same vertex.

A **cycle** is a walk that no vertices other than  $v_0$  repeats, which only appears at the beginning and the end.

An **Eulerian circuit** is a closed walk that passes over every edge exactly once.

*Theorem:* A connected graph  $G$  is Eulerian iff all degrees are even.

A **Hamiltonian cycle** is a cycle that visits all vertices exactly once.

A **connected component** (CC) is a maximal connected subset of vertices.

*Theorem:* A graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges has at least  $n - m$  CC.

*Theorem:* Every connected graph has  $m \geq n - 1$ .

The **eccentricity**  $\text{ecc}(u) := \max_{v \in V} d(u, v)$ .

The **distance**  $d(u, v)$  is the minimum number of edges in a  $(u, v)$ -path.

The **center** of a graph  $G = (V, E)$ :  $u$  is a center iff  $\forall v \in V, \text{ecc}(u) \leq \text{ecc}(v)$ .

*Theorem:* If  $T$  is a tree with  $|E_T| \geq 3$ , then no leaf is a center.

*Theorem:* A tree  $T$  either has one center  $c$  or two neighboring centers  $c_1, c_2$ .

The **radius** of a graph  $G = (V, E)$ : If  $u$  is a center,  $\text{rad}(G) := \text{ecc}(u)$ .

The **diameter** of a graph  $G = (V, E)$ :  $\text{diam}(G) := \max_{u, v \in V} d(u, v)$ .

*Theorem:* In every graph  $G$ ,  $\text{rad}(G) \leq \text{diam}(G) \leq 2 \cdot \text{rad}(G)$ .

## - Tree

A **tree** is a connected graph with  $n - 1$  edges.

A **rooted tree** is just a tree  $T = (V, E)$  in which  $r \in V$  is chosen as root.

*Theorem:* Let  $G = (V, E)$  and  $|V| = n$ ,  $|E| = m$ , then the following 6 statements are equivalent.

- 1)  $G$  is a tree.
- 2)  $G$  is connected and  $m = n - 1$ .
- 3)  $G$  is connected and has no cycles.
- 4)  $G$  has no cycles and  $m = n - 1$ .
- 5) There is a unique path between every pair of vertices.
- 6)  $G$  is connected and all edges of  $G$  are cuts.

A **leaf** is a vertex of degree 1.

*Theorem:* Every tree with  $|V| \geq 2$  has at least 2 leaves.

*Theorem:* We have  $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$  ways to form a graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $|V| = n$ .

*Theorem:* We have  $n^{n-2}$  ways to form a tree  $T = (V, E)$  with  $|V| = n$ .

*Theorem:* Adding an edge to a tree creates exactly one cycle.

## - Bipartite Graph

A graph  $G = (V, E)$  is **bipartite** iff  $V = V_1 \sqcup V_2$  such that every edge has one endpoint in  $V_1$  and the other in  $V_2$ .

*Theorem:* A graph  $G$  is bipartite iff it has no odd cycles.

*Theorem:* Any graph  $G$  has a subgraph  $H$  with  $|E_H| \geq \frac{|E_G|}{2}$  such that  $H$  is bipartite.

## - Directed Graph and DAG

$G = (V, E)$  is a **directed graph** where  $V$  is the set of vertices and every  $e \in E$  is of the form  $(u, v)$

*Theorem:* In a directed graph  $G$ , if the out-degree of every vertex is at least 1, then there is a cycle.

*Theorem:* In a directed graph  $G$ , if the in-degree of every vertex is at least 1, then there is a cycle.

Two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are **strongly connected** iff there is a  $(u, v)$ -path and a  $(v, u)$ -path

A **strongly connected component** (SCC) is a maximal subset of vertices such that every two of them are strongly connected.

*Theorem:* A loopless directed graph  $G$  has no cycle iff every vertex of  $G$  is its own SCC.

A **directed acyclic graph** (DAG) is a directed graph without any cycle.

Given a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$ , a **topological order** is a permutation  $\pi$  of vertices such that every edge  $e \in E$  is of the form  $(\pi(i), \pi(j))$  with  $i \leq j$ .

*Theorem:* Every DAG has a topological order.

*Theorem:* A loopless directed graph  $G$  is a DAG iff  $G$  has a topological ordering.

## - Weighted Graph and Related Algorithms

A **weighted graph**  $G = (V, E, w)$  consists of a graph  $G' = (V, E)$  and  $w : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$

Every connected graph  $G = (V, E)$  has a subgraph  $T = (V, E_T)$  such that  $T$  is a tree. Such tree  $T$  is called a **spanning tree**.

*Theorem:* Given a connected weighted graph  $G$ , a subtree  $T = (V, E_T)$  is an **MST (minimum spanning tree)** if it is a spanning tree with least total weight.

Algorithms for MST: **Kruskal's Algorithm** and **Prim's Algorithm**

A **shortest-path tree** (SPT) rooted at a vertex  $v \in V$  of a connected, undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a spanning tree  $T = (V, E_T)$  such that the path distance from root  $v$  to any other vertex  $u \in V$  is the shortest path distance from  $v$  to  $u$  in  $G$ .

Construct an **adjacency matrix**  $A$  where  $A_{ij} = \begin{cases} w(\{i, j\}) & \{i, j\} \in E \\ +\infty & \{i, j\} \notin E \end{cases}$ , operating on the  $(\min, +)$  semiring

Calculation rule:  $(A^2)_{ij} = \min_k (A_{ik} + A_{kj})$

*Theorem:*  $(A^t)_{ij}$  = length of the shortest walk with exactly  $t$  edge from  $i$  to  $j$ .

By adding loops to all vertices, i.e. changing the diagonal entries of  $A$  to 0, we can have  $(\tilde{A}^t)_{ij}$  = length of the shortest walk with at most  $t$  edge from  $i$  to  $j$ .

*Theorem:*  $d(u, v) = (\tilde{A}^{|V|-1})_{uv}$

Algorithms for SPT: **Dijkstra's Algorithm**

## - Matching, Vertex/Edge Cover and Independent Set

$M \subseteq E$  is a **matching** if no two edges in  $M$  shares an endpoint.

$M$  is a **maximal matching** if  $\nexists M' \supsetneq M$ .

$M$  is a **maximum matching** if  $\forall M', |M'| \leq |M|$ .

Suppose  $M$  is a matching in  $G$ . An **alternating**  $(u, v)$ -**walk** is a walk that alternates between  $M$  and  $E \setminus M$ .

An **augmenting path** is an alternating path that starts and ends in  $E \setminus M$ , and the end vertices are unmatched.

*Theorem:* A matching  $M$  is maximum iff it does not have an augmenting path.

*Theorem:* In an  $X, Y$ -bipartite graph, there is a matching  $M$  saturating  $X$  iff  $\forall S \subseteq X, |N(S)| \geq |S|$ .

A **vertex cover** (VC) is a set  $A$  of vertices such that every edge has at least one endpoint in  $A$ .

An **edge cover** (EC) is a subset  $L \subseteq E$  such that every vertex is incident to at least one edge in  $L$ .

A set  $I \subseteq V$  is an **independent set** (IS) if  $\forall e \in E, e \not\subseteq I$ .

*Theorem:*  $\max \text{IS} + \min \text{VC} = n$

for all graphs

*Theorem:*  $\min \text{VC} = \max \text{matching}$

for bipartite graphs

*Theorem:*  $\min \text{VC} \geq \max \text{matching}$

for all graphs

*Theorem:* max matching + min EC =  $n$

*Theorem:* max IS = min EC

for all graphs without isolated vertices

for bipartite graphs without isolated vertices

## - Flow Network

For a **flow network**, we have a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$ , a **source**  $s \in V$ , a **sink**  $t \in V$  and a **capacity** function  $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ .

A **flow** is a function  $f : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfying

- 1)  $\forall u, v \in V, f(u, v) \leq c(u, v)$
- 2)  $\forall u, v \in V, f(u, v) = -f(v, u)$
- 3)  $\forall u \in V, \sum_v f(u, v) = 0$

$f(u, v)$  represents the flow from  $u$  to  $v$ .

Define  $|f| = \sum_v f(s, v) = \sum_v f(v, t)$

Algorithm for maximum flow: **Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm**

*Theorem:*  $f(A, B) = \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in B} f(a, b)$

*Theorem:*  $f(s, V) = |f| = \sum_{v \in V} f(s, v)$

*Theorem:*  $f(A \sqcup B, C) = f(A, C) + f(B, C)$

A **cut** in  $G$  is a division  $V = A \sqcup B$  such that  $s \in A \wedge t \in B$

Denote  $f(A, B) = |f|$  when  $V = A \sqcup B$ . We have  $|f| = \sum_{u \in A} \sum_{v \in B} c(u, v)$ .

*Theorem:* The maximum flow is equal to the minimum cut.

## - Graph Coloring

Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , a **proper coloring** with  $k$  colors is a function  $c : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that for every  $e \in E$ , the two endpoints of  $e$  have different colors.

The **chromatic number**  $\chi(G)$  is the least number of colors needed to properly color  $G$ .

$C \subseteq V$  is a **clique** if  $\forall u, v \in C, \{u, v\} \in E$ . Denote  $w(G) :=$  the size of a largest clique.

Denote  $\alpha(G) :=$  the size of a largest independent set. Suppose  $G$  has degree sequence  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ .

*Theorem:*  $\chi(G) \geq w(G), \frac{n}{\alpha(G)} \leq \chi(G) \leq 1 + \max_i (\min\{d_i, i - 1\})$

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be graphs. The **Cartesian product** of  $G$  and  $H$  is  $G \times H := (V_G \times V_H, E_{G \times H})$  where  $E_{G \times H} := \{(u, v), (u, v') : (v, v') \in H\} \cup \{(u, v), (u', v) : (u, u') \in G\}$

*Theorem:*  $\chi(G \times H) = \max\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}$

## IV. Number Theory

## - The Set of Integer

Construction of  $\mathbf{Z}$

- 1)  $\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$
- 2)  $n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\} \implies -n \in \mathbf{Z}$

An **order** on  $\mathbf{Z}$  is a relation  $\cdot < \cdot \subseteq \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$

For  $a, b \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $a <_{\mathbf{Z}} b \iff a <_{\mathbf{N}} b$

For  $a, b \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $-a < 0 < b$ ,  $-a < -b \iff b > a$

Definition of **predecessor** on  $\mathbf{Z}$

- 1)  $\forall a \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}, p(a) = p_{\mathbf{N}}(a)$
- 2)  $p(0) = -1 = -s(0)$
- 3)  $\forall a \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}, p(-a) = -s(a)$

Definition of **addition** on  $\mathbf{Z}$

- 1)  $\forall a \in \mathbf{Z}, a + 0 = a$
- 2)  $\forall a \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall b \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}, a + b = s(a + p(b))$
- 3)  $\forall a \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall b \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}, a + (-b) = p(a + s(-b))$

Definition of **subtraction** on  $\mathbf{Z}$

- 1)  $a - 0 := 0$
- 2)  $a - b := a + (-b)$
- 3)  $a - (-b) := a + b$

Definition of **multiplication** on  $\mathbf{Z}$

- 1)  $\forall a \in \mathbf{Z}, a \cdot 0 = 0$
- 2)  $\forall a \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall b \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}, a \cdot b = a \cdot p(b) + a$
- 3)  $\forall a \in \mathbf{Z}, \forall b \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}, a \cdot (-b) = a \cdot s(-b) + (-a)$

## - Divisibility

**Theorem:**  $\forall a, b \in \mathbf{Z}, b > 0$ , there exist unique  $q, r \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq r < b$  such that  $a = q \cdot b + r$ .

**Divisibility:** We say  $b \mid a$  if  $\exists q \in \mathbf{Z}, a = b \cdot q$ .

**Theorem:**  $\forall a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Z}$ , we have the following properties

- 1)  $a \mid 0, 1 \mid a, a \mid a$
- 2)  $a \mid 1 \iff a \in \{1, -1\}$
- 3)  $a \mid b \wedge c \mid d \implies ac \mid bd$
- 4)  $a \mid b \wedge b \mid c \implies a \mid c$
- 5)  $a \mid b \wedge b \mid a \iff a = \pm b$
- 6)  $a \mid b \wedge a \mid c \iff a \mid (bx + cy), \forall x, y \in \mathbf{Z}$

**Greatest common divisor** (gcd): Let  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$  and not both are 0. We say  $d \in \mathbf{Z}$  is the  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  iff

- 1)  $d \mid a \wedge d \mid b$
- 2)  $\forall d', d' \mid a \wedge d' \mid b \Rightarrow d' \mid d$

**Least common multiple** (lcm): Let  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$  and not both are 0. We say  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$  is the  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$  iff

- 1)  $a \mid m \wedge b \mid m$
- 2)  $\forall m', a \mid m' \wedge b \mid m' \Rightarrow m \mid m'$



*Theorem:*  $\forall a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$  that not both are 0,  $\exists x, y \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\gcd(a, b) = ax + by$ . Or equivalently,  $\{ax + by | x, y \in \mathbf{Z}\} = \{q \cdot \gcd(a, b) | q \in \mathbf{Z}\}$

*Theorem:*  $a \perp b \iff \gcd(a, b) = 1 \iff \exists x, y \in \mathbf{Z}, ax + by = 1$

*Theorem:*  $\begin{cases} a | c \\ b | c \\ a \perp b \end{cases} \implies a \cdot b | c$

*Theorem:*  $\begin{cases} a | b \cdot c \\ a \perp b \end{cases} \implies a | c$

Algorithm for gcd: **Euclidean algorithm**

gcd( $a, b$ ):  
 if  $b | a$ : return  $b$ .  
 write  $a = q \cdot b + r$ .  
 return gcd( $b, r$ ).

*Theorem:* If  $a = q \cdot b + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < b$ , then  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r)$ .

*Theorem:*  $p \in \mathbf{Z}$  is prime iff its only divisors are  $-1, 1, p, -p$ .

*Theorem:*  $p \in \mathbf{P}, p | ab \implies p | a \vee p | b$

**Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic:**  $\forall n > 1$ , we can write  $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$  where every  $p_i$  is a prime, and  $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_k$ . This is called the **prime factorization** of  $n$  and it's unique.

*Theorem:*  $\begin{cases} a = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \cdots \cdot p_k^{\alpha_k} \\ b = p_1^{\beta_1} \cdot p_2^{\beta_2} \cdot \cdots \cdot p_k^{\beta_k} \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \gcd(a, b) = p_1^{\min\{\alpha_1, \beta_1\}} \cdot p_2^{\min\{\alpha_2, \beta_2\}} \cdot \cdots \cdot p_k^{\min\{\alpha_k, \beta_k\}} \\ \text{lcm}(a, b) = p_1^{\max\{\alpha_1, \beta_1\}} \cdot p_2^{\max\{\alpha_2, \beta_2\}} \cdot \cdots \cdot p_k^{\max\{\alpha_k, \beta_k\}} \end{cases}$

*Theorem:*  $\gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = a \cdot b$

## - Congruence

**Congruence:** We say  $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff n | a - b$

*Theorem:*  $\forall a, b, c, d, n \in \mathbf{Z}, n > 1$ , we have the following properties (mod  $n$ )

- 1)  $a \equiv a$
- 2)  $a \equiv b \implies b \equiv a$
- 3)  $a \equiv b, b \equiv c \implies a \equiv c$
- 4)  $a \equiv b, c \equiv d \implies a + b \equiv c + d$
- 5)  $a \equiv b, c \equiv d \implies ab \equiv cd$
- 6)  $a \equiv b \implies a + c \equiv b + c$
- 7)  $a \equiv b \implies ac \equiv bc$
- 8)  $a \equiv b \implies a^k \equiv b^k$

$a^{-1} \in \mathbf{Z}$  is the **modular multiplicative inverse** of  $a \pmod{n}$  such that  $a^{-1}a \equiv_n 1$ .

*Theorem:*  $a^{-1} \pmod{n}$  exists  $\iff \gcd(a, n) = 1$

*Theorem:* If  $p(x)$  be a polynomial with integer coefficients, then we have  $a \equiv b \iff p(a) \equiv p(b)$ .

*Theorem:* The equation  $ax \equiv_n b$  is solvable  $\iff d | b$ , where  $d = \gcd(a, n)$ .

**Theorem:** The number of solution  $0 \leq x < n - 1$  is  $\begin{cases} 0 & d \nmid b \\ d & d \mid b \end{cases}$ .

**Chinese Remainder Theorem:** Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  such that  $\forall i \neq j, n_i \perp n_j$ . The system of linear

$$\text{congruences } \begin{cases} x \equiv a_1 \pmod{n_1} \\ x \equiv a_2 \pmod{n_2} \\ \vdots \\ x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k} \end{cases} \text{ has a unique solution mod } n_1 n_2 \dots n_k.$$

*Proof:* Denote  $N := n_1 n_2 \dots n_k$  and  $N_i := \frac{N}{n_i}, n_i \perp N_i \implies \exists N_i^{-1} \pmod{n_i}$

$$\implies N_i^{-1} N_i \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \pmod{n_i} \\ 0 & \pmod{n_j} \end{cases} \implies x = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k N_i^{-1} N_i a_i \right]_N \equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}$$

**Fermat's Little Theorem:**  $\forall p \in \mathbf{P}, p \perp a \implies a^{p-1} \equiv_p 1$ .

*Proof:* Since  $\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\} = \{[a]_p, [2a]_p, \dots, [(p-1)a]_p\}$ , we have

$$1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-1) \equiv a \cdot 2a \cdot \dots \cdot (p-1)a = a^{p-1} \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-1) \pmod{p}, \text{ hence } a^{p-1} \equiv_p 1.$$

**Theorem:** If  $b \equiv c \pmod{p-1}$ , then  $a^b \equiv a^c \pmod{p}$

Denote  $S(n) := \{x \in \mathbf{Z} : 1 \leq a \leq n, a \perp n\}$

**Euler's totient function**  $\varphi(n) := |S(n)| = |\{x \in \mathbf{Z} : 1 \leq a \leq n, a \perp n\}|$

**Theorem:** If  $n \perp m$  then  $\varphi(nm) = \varphi(n) \cdot \varphi(m)$

*Proof:*  $f : S(nm) \rightarrow S(n) \times S(m)$   
 $[x]_{nm} \mapsto ([x]_n, [x]_m)$  is bijective using CRT. Hence  $|S(nm)| = |S(n) \times S(m)|$ .

**Theorem:** Let  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ , then  $\varphi(n) = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right)$ .

**Euler's Theorem:**  $a \perp n \implies a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv_n 1$ .

*Proof:* Since  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\varphi(n)}\} = \{a x_1, a x_2, \dots, a x_{\varphi(n)}\}$ , by multiplying together we get  $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv_n 1$ .

**Wilson's Theorem:**  $\forall p \in \mathbf{P}, (p-1)! \equiv_p -1$ .

## - Cryptography

**Symmetric Encryption:** Alice and Bob are communicating via a channel, and someone can intercept between them.

We need an **encryption function**  $\text{Enc}_k : \Sigma^n \rightarrow \Sigma^n$  and a **decryption function**  $\text{Dec}_k : \Sigma^n \rightarrow \Sigma^n$  satisfying  $\forall k \forall m, \text{Dec}_k(\text{Enc}_k(m)) = m$ .

The **Diffie-Hellman-Merkle Key Exchange** consists of the following steps:

- 1) Alice chooses a huge prime number  $p$  and a primitive root  $g$ , and announces them.  
 $g$  is a primitive root iff  $\{g^0, g^1, \dots, g^{p-2}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ .
- 2) Alice chooses a secret random number  $a$ . Bob chooses a secret random number  $b$ .
- 3) Alice sends  $[g^a]_p$ . Bob sends  $[g^b]_p$ .
- 4) Alice computes  $[(g^b)^a]_p$ . Bob computes  $[(g^a)^b]_p$ .
- 5)  $[g^{ab}]_p$  is the key for Alice and Bob.

**Public Key Crypto (Asymmetric Encryption):** I want everyone can encrypt, but only one person can decrypt.

Alice wants to send a message to Bob.

The **El-Gamal Encryption** consists of the following steps:

- 1) Bob chooses a huge prime  $p$ , a primitive root  $g$  and a secret value  $b$ , and publishes  $e = (p, g, [g^b]_p)$ .
- 2) Alice wants to send  $m < p$  to Bob. She first chooses a secret value  $a$  and sends  
 $\text{Enc}_e(m) = ([g^a]_p, [m + g^{ab}]_p)$ .
- 3) Bob computes  $m = [m + g^{ab} - (g^a)^b]_p$  to get  $m$ .

The **RSA Algorithm** consists of the following steps:

- 1) Pick 2 huge primes  $p, q$ .
- 2)  $n = p \cdot q$ .
- 3) Pick a secret value  $d$  and let  $e = d^{-1} \pmod{\text{lcm}(p-1, q-1)}$ .
- 4) Announce  $n, e$ .
- 5) Alice sends  $\text{Enc}_e(m) := [m^e]_n$  to Bob.
- 6) Bob computes  $m = \text{Dec}_d(\bar{m}) := [\bar{m}^d]_n$  to get  $m$ .

By Euler's theorem, we can check that  $\forall m, \text{Dec}_d(\text{Enc}_d(m)) = [m^{ed}]_n = m$ .

**Digital Signature:** I want only one person can encrypt, but everyone can decrypt.

We need a **sign function**  $\text{sign}_d : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  and a **verify function**  $\text{verify}_e : \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ .

We need to ensure that only Alice can sign, but given the message and the signature, everyone can verify.

**RSA signatures** use RSA algorithm to both sign, encrypt, verify and decrypt, which consists of the following steps:

$$\begin{cases} \text{sign}_d(m) := [m^d]_n \\ \text{verify}_e(m, s) := \begin{cases} 1 & [s^e]_n = m \\ 0 & [s^e]_n \neq m \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

To send a message  $m$  from Alice to Bob, Alice should:

- 1) Compute  $s = \text{sign}_{d_{A,s}}(m)$ .
- 2)  $m' = (m \text{ concatenate } s)$ .
- 3)  $\bar{m} = \text{Enc}_{e_{B,m}}(m')$ .
- 4) Send  $\bar{m}$  to Bob.

When Bob receives  $\bar{m}$ , Bob should:

- 1)  $m' = \text{Dec}_{d_{B,m}}(\bar{m})$
- 2)  $m' = (m \text{ concatenate } s)$ , so Bob get  $(m, s)$

3)  $\text{verify}_{e_{A,s}}(m, s)$

## V. Set Theory

### - ZFC Axiom System

**Naive comprehension:**  $S = \{x : \varphi(x)\}$

Naive comprehension results in **Russell's paradox**:  $A := \{x : x \notin x\} \implies \begin{cases} A \in A \implies A \notin A \\ A \notin A \implies A \in A \end{cases}$

Therefore we introduce **Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory**.

In our language  $L$ , we support formulas:

- 1) Variables (e.g.  $x, y, z, \dots$ ) over sets
- 2)  $\in, =$
- 3) Logical and boolean operators  $\wedge, \vee, \neg, \forall, \exists$
- 4) Parentheses

Axioms (including Axiom of Choice)

- 1) (**Extensionality**) Two sets are equal iff they have the same elements.

$$\forall x \forall y \ x = y \iff (\forall z \ z \in x \iff z \in y)$$

- 2) (**Empty set**) There is a set with no elements.

$$\exists x \forall y \ y \notin x$$

*Theorem:* There is a unique set with no elements. We denote it as  $\emptyset$ .

- 3) (**Unordered pair**) If  $x$  and  $y$  are sets, there is a set  $\{x, y\}$  whose elements are exactly  $x, y$ .

$$\forall x \forall y \exists z (x \in z \wedge y \in z \wedge \forall w \ w \in z \implies (w = x \vee w = y))$$

**Ordered pair:**  $(x, y) := \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}$

$$\textit{Theorem: } (x, y) = (a, b) \iff x = a \wedge y = b$$

- 4) (**Union**) If  $x$  is a set, there is a set consisting of all the elements of all the elements of  $x$ .

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z (z \in y \iff \exists w (w \in x \wedge z \in w))$$

We denote  $y = \bigcup x$ .

*Remark:* For sets  $a, b$ , define  $a \cup b := \bigcup \{a, b\}$ .

- 5) (**Comprehension**) If  $\varphi(z, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k)$  is a formula in  $L$  with free variables  $z, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k$  and  $x$  is a set, and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$  are sets, then  $\{y \in x : \varphi(y, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)\}$  is a set.

$$\forall x \forall a_1 \forall a_2 \dots \forall a_k \exists z (y \in z \iff y \in x \wedge \varphi(y, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k))$$

A **class** is a collection of the form  $X = \{x : \varphi(x)\}$ .

- 6) (**Power set**) Let  $x$  be a set. There is a set  $y$  whose elements are subsets of  $x$ .

$$a \subseteq b \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} (\forall z \ z \in a \implies z \in b)$$

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z \ z \subseteq x \iff x \in y$$

We denote  $y = P(x)$ .

**Cartesian product.** Let  $X, Y$  be sets,  $X \times Y := \{z \in P(P(X \cup Y)) : \exists x \in X \exists y \in Y \ z = (x, y)\}$

A **relation** from  $X$  to  $Y$  is a subset  $R \subseteq X \times Y$

$$(x, y) \in R \iff x R y$$

A relation  $R \subseteq X \times Y$  is a **function**  $R : X \rightarrow Y$  if  
 $\forall x \in X \exists y \in Y (x R y \wedge \forall y' \in Y x R y' \implies y = y')$

- 7) (**Infinity**) There is an inductive set.  
 $\exists X \emptyset \in X \wedge \forall y y \in X \implies y \cup \{y\} \in X$

*Theorem:* There is a unique set  $\mathbf{N}$  such that

- 1)  $\mathbf{N}$  is inductive.
- 2) For every inductive set  $X$ , we have  $\mathbf{N} \subseteq X$ .

- 8) (**Replacement**) Let  $\varphi(x, y)$  be a formula in  $L$  such that  $\forall x \exists y \varphi(x, y) \wedge \exists y' \varphi(x, y') \implies y' = y$   
Then  $\varphi(x, y)$  is called a **class function**.

If  $\varphi(x, y)$  is a class function and  $X$  is a set, then there is a set  $Y$  containing exactly  $y$ 's such that  
 $\exists x \in X \varphi(x, y)$ .

- 9) (**Foundation**) Every set  $x$  contains an  $\in$ -minimal element.  
 $\forall x \exists y (y \in x \wedge \forall z z \in x \implies z \notin y)$

*Theorem:* Let  $x$  be a set, then  $x \notin x$ .

- 10) (**Choice**) The following statements are equivalent.

- 1) For every two sets  $A$  and  $B$ , either  $|A| \leq |B|$  or  $|B| \leq |A|$ .
- 2) For any relation  $R \subseteq X \times Y$ , there is a function  $F \subseteq R$  such that  $\text{dom}(F) = \text{dom}(R)$ .
- 3) For every set  $A$ , there exists a function  $F : P(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow A$  such that  
 $\forall B \subseteq A, B \neq \emptyset \implies F(B) \in B$
- 4) For every set  $A$  of non-empty disjoint sets,  $\exists C \subseteq \bigcup A$  such that  $\forall a \in A, |a \cap C| = 1$ .
- 5) (**Zorn's Lemma**) Let  $A$  be a set such that for every chain  $B \subseteq A$  we have  $\bigcup B \in A$ . Then  $A$  has a maximal element.

A set  $C$  is a **chain** if  $\forall x, y \in C, x \subseteq y \vee y \subseteq x$ .

A **maximal element** of  $A$  is an element  $m \in A$  such that  $\forall a \in A, a \neq m \implies m \not\subseteq a$ .

Construction of natural number using ZFC:  $\begin{cases} 0 := \emptyset \\ s(n) := n \cup \{n\} \end{cases}$

For example:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \emptyset \\ 1 &= 0 \cup \{0\} = \{0\} = \{\emptyset\} \\ 2 &= 1 \cup \{1\} = \{0, 1\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \\ 3 &= 2 \cup \{2\} = \{0, 1, 2\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\} \end{aligned}$$

We define the order of natural numbers as follows:

$$n \leq m \iff n \subseteq m$$

$$n < m \iff n \in m$$

## - Cardinality

A set  $x$  is **finite** if there is an  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and a function  $f : x \rightarrow n$  such that  $f$  is bijective. Denote  $|x| = n$ .

We write  $|X| = |Y|$  or  $X \sim Y$  if there exists a bijective function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$

We write  $|X| \leq |Y|$  if there exists a one-to-one function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .

*Theorem:*

- 1)  $\forall x, x \sim x$
- 2)  $\forall x, y, z \ x \sim y \wedge y \sim z \implies x \sim z$
- 3)  $\forall x, y \ x \sim y \iff y \sim x$

A set  $X$  is **countable** if  $|X| = |\mathbb{N}|$ .

*Theorem:*

- 1)  $|2\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N}|$
- 2)  $|\mathbb{P}| = |\mathbb{N}|$
- 3)  $|\mathbb{Q}| = |\mathbb{N}|$
- 4)  $|\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N}|$
- 5)  $|\mathbb{N}^k| = |\mathbb{N}|$

*Theorem:* Let  $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$  be a countable set whose every element  $x_i$  is also a countable set.

Then  $\bigcup X$  is also countable.

**Cantor's Theorem:** For every set  $A$ ,  $|P(A)| \neq |A|$ .

*Proof:* Suppose  $g : A \rightarrow P(A)$  is a bijection.  $T := \{a \in A : a \notin g(a)\} \in P(A)$

$\forall a \in A, \begin{cases} a \notin g(a) \implies a \in T \implies g(a) \neq T \\ a \in g(a) \implies a \notin T \implies g(a) \neq T \end{cases}$  Therefore  $g$  is not onto.

**Tarski's Fixed Point Theorem:** Let  $X$  be a set and  $h : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$  such that  $A \subseteq B \implies h(A) \subseteq h(B)$ .

Then there exists  $C \subseteq X$  such that  $h(C) = C$ .

**Schröder-Bernstein Theorem:**  $\begin{cases} |X| \leq |Y| \\ |Y| \leq |X| \end{cases} \implies |X| = |Y|$

## - Real Number System

**Decimal expansion** of rational numbers:  $0.a_1a_2\dots a_n := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{10^i}$  or  $0.a_1a_2\dots := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{10^i}$

Three types of decimal expansions:

- 1) Terminating:  $[\text{int}].a_1a_2\dots a_n$
- 2) Repeating:  $[\text{int}].a_1a_2\dots a_na_1a_2\dots a_n\dots$
- 3) Mixed:  $[\text{int}].a_1a_2\dots a_nb_1b_2\dots b_mb_1b_2\dots b_m\dots$

*Theorem:*  $x$  is a terminating, repeating or mixed decimal expansion  $\iff x \in \mathbb{Q}$

Let  $A$  be a set. An **order** on  $A$  is a relation  $\cdot < \cdot \subseteq A \times A$  such that

- 1)  $\forall a, b \in A$ , we have exactly one of  $a < b$  or  $b < a$  or  $a = b$
- 2)  $\forall a, b, c \in A, a < b \wedge b < c \implies a < c$

Define  $a \leq b \iff a < b \wedge a = b$

Let  $U$  be an ordered set and  $A \subseteq U$ . An element  $b \in U$  is an **upper-bound** of  $A$  if  $\forall a \in A, a \leq b$ .

If there exists  $s$  such that  $\begin{cases} s \in B \\ \forall s' \in B, s' \geq s \end{cases}$  then  $s$  is the **supremum** of  $A$ , denoted as  $\sup A$ .

If  $U$  is an ordered set, we say  $U$  has the **least-upper-bound property** if every non-empty subset  $A \subseteq U$  that has an upper bound also has a supremum.

*Theorem:* If  $U$  is an ordered set,  
then  $U$  has the least-upper-bound property  $\iff U$  has the largest-lower-bound property.

Construction of  $\mathbf{R}$ : **Dedekind cut**

A **cut** is a subset  $A \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$  such that

- 1)  $A \neq \emptyset, A \neq \mathbf{Q}$
- 2)  $a \in A, a' \in \mathbf{Q}, a' < a \implies a' \in A$
- 3)  $A$  does not have a maximum

The definition of the set of **real numbers** using dedekind cut  $\mathbf{R} := \{A \subseteq \mathbf{Q} : A \text{ is a cut}\}$

*Theorem:*  $\mathbf{R} = \{\text{all decimal representations}\}$

We define the order of real numbers:  $a \leq b \iff a \subseteq b$

*Theorem:*  $\sup A = \bigcup A$

*Theorem:* ( $\mathbf{Q}$  is **dense** on  $\mathbf{R}$ )  $\forall x, y \in \mathbf{R}, x < y \implies \exists z \in \mathbf{Q}, x < z < y$

*Theorem:*  $|[a, b]| = |(a, b)|$

*Proof:* Pick  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \subseteq (a, b)$ .

$$\varphi(t) := \begin{cases} x_1 & t = a \\ x_2 & t = b \\ x_{i+2} & t = x_i \\ t & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \text{ hence } \varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow (a, b) \text{ is bijective.}$$

*Theorem:*  $|(a, b)| = |(0, 1)|$

*Theorem:*  $|\mathbf{R}| = |(0, 1)|$

*Theorem:*  $|\mathbf{R}| = |P(\mathbf{N})|$

## VI. Probability Theory

### - Probability Space

Paradoxical probability problems: **Monty Hall Problem, Sleeping Beauty, Cancer Test**

The set of **extended real numbers**:  $\overline{\mathbf{R}} := \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$

The set of **positive extended real numbers**:  $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^+ := [0, +\infty) \cup \{+\infty\}$

Let  $A$  be a set and  $E \subseteq P(A)$ ,  $\mu : E \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{R}}^+$ . We say  $(A, E, \mu)$  is a **measure space** if:

- 1)  $\emptyset \in E, \mu(\emptyset) = 0$
- 2)  $X_1, X_2, \dots \in E \implies \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \in E$
- 3)  $\forall i \neq j, X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset \implies \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(X_i)$
- 4)  $X \in E \implies A \setminus X \in E$

**Lebesgue measure:** For every segment from  $a$  to  $b$ , the measure  $\mu$  is  $b - a$ .

**Probability function** is a function  $P : E \rightarrow [0,1]$

We say  $(S, E, P)$  is a **probability space** if

- 1)  $\emptyset \in E, S \in E$
- 2)  $X_1, X_2, \dots \in E \implies \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \in E$
- 3)  $X \in E \implies A \setminus X \in E$
- 4)  $P(S) = 1$
- 5)  $\forall i \neq j, X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset \implies P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_i)$

**Conditional probability:**  $P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$

We say  $A$  and  $B$  are **independent events** iff  $P(A|B) = P(A)$ , or equivalently,  $P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B)$ .

We say  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n$  are independent events iff 
$$\begin{cases} P(E_{i_1} \cap E_{i_2}) = P(E_{i_1}) \cdot P(E_{i_2}) \\ P(E_{i_1} \cap E_{i_2} \cap E_{i_3}) = P(E_{i_1}) \cdot P(E_{i_2}) \cdot P(E_{i_3}) \\ \vdots \\ P(\bigcap_{j=1}^n E_{i_j}) = \prod_{j=1}^n P(E_{i_j}) \end{cases}.$$

## - Real Random Variable

A **real random variable** is a function  $X : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\forall \alpha = (-\infty, a], X^{-1}(\alpha)$  is an event.

Define  $P(X \leq a) = P(\{s \in S : X(s) \leq a\})$

We say  $X$  is a **discrete random variable** if  $\text{range}(X)$  is either finite or countable.

Suppose  $X$  is discrete and  $\text{range}(X) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ .

We define the **expectation**  $E[X] := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot P(X = x_i)$ .

*Theorem:*  $E[aX + bY + c] = aE[X] + bE[Y] + c$

## - Markov Chain

Let  $Q$  be a finite set and  $\forall i, \text{range}(X_i) \subseteq Q$ . We say  $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots$  is a **Markov chain** if  $\forall n, \forall q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n \in Q, P(X_n = q_n | X_{n-1} = q_{n-1}) = P(X_n = q_n | X_i = q_i, \forall i < n)$

Markov chain  $C = (G, \pi, v_0)$  where  $G = (V, E)$  is a directed graph and  $\pi : E \rightarrow (0,1]$  such that  $\forall u \in V, \sum_{v \in N(u)} \pi(u, v) = 1$ .

Denote  $X_i$ : the vertex we're at at time  $i$ . Let  $w = e_0 e_1 \dots e_n$  be a finite walk on  $G$ .



$\text{Ext}(w) = \{\bar{w} \in E^\infty : \bar{w} \text{ is an infinite walk in } G \text{ and } w \text{ is a prefix of } \bar{w}\}$ , define  $P(\text{Ext}(w)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \pi(e_i)$

We have the probability space  $(S, F, P)$  for  $C$ , where  $S$  is the set of infinite walks on  $G$  starting at  $v_0$ .

We have a **target set**  $T \subseteq V$  on our Markov chain.

$\Diamond T = \{\bar{w} : \exists i \bar{w}[i] \in T\}$ ,  $A = \{w : w \text{ is a finite walk on } G \text{ and the last vertex of } w \text{ is in } T\}$

$\text{Ext}(w)$  is an event for every  $w \in A$ , and  $A$  is finite or countable.

Hence  $\bigcup_{w \in A} \text{Ext}(w) = \Diamond T$  is an event. We call it a **reachability event**.

Denote  $\alpha[v, T]$  as the probability of reaching  $T$  if the walk starts at  $v$ .

$$\text{Theorem: } \alpha[v, T] = \sum_{u \in N(v)} \pi(v, u) \cdot \alpha[u, T]$$

**Büchi set:**  $\text{Büchi}(T) = \Box \Diamond T = \{\bar{w} : \bar{w} \text{ is an infinite walk on } G, \exists i_0 < i_1 < \dots \forall j, \bar{w}[i_j] \in T\}$

*Theorem:*  $\text{Büchi}(T)$  is an event.

*Proof:*  $A_k := \{w : w \text{ is a finite walk that visits } T \text{ at least } k \text{ times}\}$  is an event.

$B_k := \bigcup_{w \in A_k} \text{Ext}(w) = \{w : w \text{ is an infinite walk that visits } T \text{ at least } k \text{ times}\}$  is an event.

Hence  $\text{Büchi}(T) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$  is an event.

*Theorem:* If  $\pi(u, v) = q > 0$ , then  $P(\Diamond v \mid \text{Büchi}(u)) = 1$

*Theorem:* If  $\pi(u, v) = q > 0$ , then  $P(\text{Büchi}(v) \mid \text{Büchi}(u)) = 1$

*Theorem:* If  $G$  is strongly connected, then  $P(\text{Büchi}(v)) = 1 \forall v \in G$

*Proof:* Since  $P(A \mid B) = 1 = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} \implies P(A \cap B) = P(B) \implies P(A) \geq P(B)$

We have  $P(\text{Büchi}(v)) \geq P(\text{Büchi}(u))$ . Therefore  $P(\text{Büchi}(v)) = 1 \forall v \in G$ .

Suppose  $G$  is not strongly connected, then it must be a DAG with each vertex being an SCC.

**Bottom strongly connected component (BSCC)** is an SCC without any outgoing edges.

$$\text{Theorem: } P(\text{Büchi}(v)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v \text{ is not in a BSCC} \\ P(\Diamond T) & \text{if } v \in T \text{ and } T \text{ is a BSCC} \end{cases}$$

## VII. Game Theory

### - Nim Games

We focus on games that are turn-based, finite, impartial, and have standard winning condition.

We can turn every state of such games into a vertex of a DAG  $G = (V, E)$ .

A state  $v$  is  $W$  if when we start at  $v$ , Player 1 wins. A state  $v$  is  $L$  if when we start at  $v$ , Player 2 wins.

We should have  $W \sqcup L = V$ .

$G$  should have the following rules:

- 1) If  $v$  has no outgoing edges then  $v \in L$
- 2) If  $\exists u$  such that  $(v, u) \in E$  and  $u \in L$ , then  $v \in W$
- 3) If  $\forall u$  such that  $(v, u) \in E$  and  $u \in W$ , then  $v \in L$

**Nim game:** We have  $n$  numbers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each player can choose a number and decrease it in their turn. The player who cannot make any move loses, and the other player wins.

$$\text{Theorem: } L = \left\{ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (a_i)_2 = 0 \right\}, W = \left\{ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (a_i)_2 \neq 0 \right\}$$

*Proof:* Check that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (a_i)_2 = 0 &\implies \forall k, \forall a'_k < a_k, \left( \bigoplus_{i \neq k} (a_i)_2 \right) \oplus (a'_k)_2 \neq 0 \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (a_i)_2 \neq 0 &\implies \exists k, \exists a'_k < a_k, \left( \bigoplus_{i \neq k} (a_i)_2 \right) \oplus (a'_k)_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Denote  $G_n := (V, E)$  where  $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $E = \{(i, j) : i > j\}$ .

*Theorem:* For any Nim game  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ , we are playing on the graph  $G_{a_1} \times G_{a_2} \times \dots \times G_{a_n}$ .

Every number in  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is also called a **nimber**.

For any  $G_i$ , we assign a nimber to every  $v \in G_i$  based on the following rules:

- 1) If  $v$  has no outgoing edges, then  $\text{nim}(v) = 0$ .
- 2) If  $v$  has edges to  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$ , then let  $\text{nim}(v) = \min\{i : i \in \mathbb{N}, i \neq \text{nim}(u_1), \text{nim}(u_2), \dots, \text{nim}(u_k)\}$ .

**Sprague-Grundy Theorem:** For every finite impartial turn-based game, we have

$$L = \left\{ (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\text{nim}(v_i))_2 = 0 \right\}, W = \left\{ (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\text{nim}(v_i))_2 \neq 0 \right\}$$

## - One-Shot Games

A **one-shot game** with  $n$  players consists of

- 1) a set  $S_i$  of **strategies** for player  $i$
- 2) a set of **payoff functions**  $u_i : S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$

Each player  $i$  chooses a strategy  $s_i \in S_i$  and the **outcome** is  $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$

Every player is **rational**, in other word, only interested in maximizing  $u_i(s)$ .

$(p_1, p_2)$  confess silent

**Prisoner's dilemma:** confess (4,4) (1,5)

silent (5,1) (2,2)

Denote  $s_{-i} = (s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n)$ .

We say a strategy  $s_i \in S_i$  is **dominant** if  $\forall s_{-i} \forall s'_i, u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) \geq u_i(s'_i, s_{-i})$ .

An outcome  $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  is a **pure Nash equilibrium** if  $\forall i \forall s'_i \in S_i, u_i(s_i, s_{-i}) \geq u_i(s'_i, s_{-i})$ .

*Remark:* Dominant strategy and pure Nash equilibrium sometimes don't exist.

A **mixed strategy** for player  $i$  is a probability function  $\delta_i : S_i \rightarrow [0,1]$ .

$\Delta_i$  is the set of mixed strategies of player  $i$ , and the outcome is  $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  where  $s_i \sim \delta_i$ .

Every player is **rational**, in other word, only interested in maximizing  $E[u_i(s)]$ .

We say  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n) \in \Delta_1 \times \Delta_2 \times \dots \times \Delta_n$  is a **Nash Equilibrium** if  $\forall i \forall \sigma'_i, E[u_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{-i})] \geq E[u_i(\sigma'_i, \sigma_{-i})]$

**Nash's Theorem:** Any  $n$ -player game in which every  $S_i$  is finite has a mixed Nash equilibrium.

## - Two-player Infinite-duration Games

An **arena** is a directed finite graph  $G = (V, E, V_1, V_2)$  such that  $\forall v \in V, \text{outdegree}(v) \geq 1$  and  $V_1 \sqcup V_2 = V$

A **two-player infinite-duration game** is an arena  $G = (V, E, V_1, V_2)$  and a starting vertex  $v_0 \in V$

A **strategy** for player  $i$  is a function  $\sigma_i : V^n \times V_i \rightarrow V$

An **outcome** is an infinite walk on  $G$  starting at  $v_0$ .

Denote  $O$  as the set of all outcomes. If  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  are strategies for players, then  $o(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in O$  is the corresponding outcome.

An **objective** for player  $i$  is a set  $\text{Obj}_i \subseteq O$ .

A **zero-sum game** is a game that satisfies  $\text{Obj}_1 \sqcup \text{Obj}_2 = O$

A game  $G$  is **determined** if for every starting vertex  $v_0$ , either  $p_1$  or  $p_2$  has a winning strategy.

A **reachability game** is a game such that: 
$$\begin{cases} \text{Obj}_1 = \Diamond T = \{\bar{w} \in O : \exists i \bar{w}[i] \in T\} \\ \text{Obj}_2 = \Box(T^C) = \{\bar{w} \in O : \forall i \bar{w}[i] \in T^C\} \end{cases}$$

Denote  $\text{Win}_i$  as the set of initial states from which player  $i$  has a winning strategy.

We need an algorithm that:

*Input:* An arena  $G = (V, E, V_1, V_2)$  and a target set  $T \subseteq V$

*Output:*  $\text{Win}_1, \text{Win}_2$

which goes as follows: 
$$\begin{cases} T_0 := T \\ T_{i+1} := T_i \cup \{v \in V_1 : \exists (v, u) \in E, u \in T_i\} \cup \{v \in V_2 : \forall (v, u) \in E, u \in T_i\} \end{cases}$$

*Theorem:* 
$$\begin{cases} \text{Win}_1 = \bigcup T_i \\ \text{Win}_2 = V \setminus (\bigcup T_i) \end{cases}$$

Define  $\text{Attr}_1(T) := \bigcup T_i$

A **Büchi game** is a game such that 
$$\begin{cases} \text{Obj}_1 = \text{Büchi}(T) = \Box \Diamond T = \{\bar{w} \in O : \exists i_1 < i_2 < \dots \forall j, \bar{w}[i_j] \in T\} \\ \text{Obj}_1 = \text{coBüchi}(T^C) = \Diamond \Box T^C = \{\bar{w} \in O : \exists i, \forall j > i, \bar{w}[j] \in T^C\} \end{cases}$$

We need an algorithm that:

*Input:* An arena  $G = (V, E, V_1, V_2)$  and a target set  $T \subseteq V$

*Output:*  $\text{Win}_1, \text{Win}_2$

which goes as follows: 
$$\begin{cases} G_0 := G & G_i := G_{i-1} - C_i \\ A_1 := \text{Attr}_1(T, G_0) & A_{i+1} := \text{Attr}_1(T, G_i) \\ C_1 := \text{Attr}_2(A_1^C, G_0) & C_{i+1} := \text{Attr}_2(A_{i+1}^C, G_i) \end{cases}$$

*Theorem:* 
$$\begin{cases} \text{Win}_1 = V \setminus (\bigcup C_i) \\ \text{Win}_2 = \bigcup C_i \end{cases}$$