plarmed at a dissolution than any other member of the House. It might be an noying. for there were very few willing to non without some good cause; but I can assure you I do not feel at all in fear of a dissolution in giving my vote. I b-lieve that as an anti-Confederare it is my duty to sustain the present Government, not under any circumstances, but under present circumstances. Before the election in York; there were several dispatches published in some of the paper. about "Fenian raids," and the exeffect on the election. After the election was over, one of these papers, after giving the result of the election, puts in paragraph as a postscript: "Fenjan ru-mors all contradicted" It turns round and laughs at the people of York after it had gained its own ends. I believe that if a majority of this House vote against the present Government and defeat them it would be the greatest step towards carrying the Quebec Scheme which could be given. A great deal has been said with regard to the delay of the meeting of the House. Why do not the hon-gentlemen give us some information about the delay in calling the Canadian Parliament together; possibly they could throw a good deal of light upon that. There have been questions which have from time to time come before the country, upon which Governments have been defeated and Governments formed. there has never been a question before the country involving the same amount of interest as this. It is not only a question that affects our rights for to-day but it affects our rights for all time to come, and the rights of those who come after us. If that scheme is adopted, the upon with horror, and then they will think of those who tried to ward off the blow. No Government introducing a scheme for the union of the Jolonies under the sys em of representation by popution would advance the interests of this There could be improvements country. made in that scheme, if a scheme was absolutely accessary, but I do not say it is necessary. I will throw out these suggestions, which will have the effect of showing how inconsistent that scheme is regarding representation by population. I contend that upon entering into union with another country we should secure equal rights and privileges, and have equal power to maintain them. We cannot go into an Assembly with fifteen votes against one hundred and forty-seven which Canada has, and obtain equal rights there, Suppose a union of these Colonies was decided upon, and the details of that union had to be considered, the first steps which should be taken, in order to give fair play, would be to blot out all ines of distinction between these Colonies, and bring them together as one Province. Then we might be in a position to say to Canada, we will go into union, but give us equal representation. They contend they have done this in giving the Maritime Provinces a fair propor tion in the Legislative Council. But have they not left the division lines, and taken us as three or four smail Colonies. each with our representation in the Legislative Council. If we could have equal would say nothing to hurt his feelings, representation in the Legislature at Otta-, Do you suppose if he had anything to it , we might be in a position to advocate our interests; but with fifteen members it is pertectly absurd. It has been stated gentleman assuming the position of the by soveral members of the House, and in leader of the Government. I raise my

the press of this country, that the people voice to condemn such a course. have so changed their minds and feelings that this Government had not the confidence of the people of the Province, nor f any one County of it. If a dissolution took place to-morrow, and the question of Confederation had to be tried over again, I am not prepared to say whether I should be here. But I do state holdly that the County I represent would send representatives [pledged to sustain the present Government

MR. NEEDHAM rose for the purpose of explaining the remark made by the ast speaker. The hon, member in referto the County of Kent, said, that although an Anti-Confederate, he felt he would not be acting as a man of spirit, if he did not pursue the course he was pursuing at present.

## AFTERNOON SESSION.

Hon. Mr. SMITH laid before the House a message from His Excellency the Lient. Governor, desiring to call the attention of the House of Assembly to the expediency of furnishing means to enable him to provide more effectually for the protection of the frontier of the Province from possible insult.

Also, a memorandum stating that the amount required for the ordinary militia service, and the sums paid in connection with the prevention of deserters from Her Majesty's forces, might be estimated at about \$40,000.

MR. FISHER. The Government would find them united as one man on this occa-sion. He was disposed to provide the Government with all the means they wanted, and would hold them responsible for the way it was disposed of.

DR. THOMPSON said, the Attorney General should prepare a resolution so it cou'd pass the Legislature to-day. MR ANGLIN remarked, they should

be prompt in this matter, so as to show to the people of this country that the Legislature was determined to strengthen the hands of the Government in providing means of defence, as far as they possibly could, by an act or vote of this House.

## AMENDMENT TO THE ADDRESS RESUMED.

MR. McMILLAN. I feel, that in declaring I have no confidence in the general conduct of the Government, that I am giving expression to the feeling of the country. Before I attempt to meet the points in the speech of the Attorney General, which is composed chiefly of d clamation and special pleading, let me say I disapprove of the course pursuad by that gentleman in making attacks upon members of the House, and persons out of the House, who cannot reply to them. The most elegaent part of his speech was made up of attacks upon the hon, mover of the Amendment. He says, "Was he influenced by patriotic motives? Look at his motives-is he not looking at his own interest?" and going on in the

he brings another charge against another gentleman who is not on the floors of this House. He states the late Provincial Secretary has been going through the country, from schoolhouse to school-house, poisoning the minds of the people of the country. Is it not a mean thing for him to bring those charges against a gentleman who is not in a position to answer him. He made a charge sgainst the hon, mover of the Amendment that he had assni'ed the Government when he was not present to meet him, but he thinks it is a perfectly fair thing when he is guilty of it h mself. He said that when he had an interview with the Colunial Secuciary, he never forgot the fact that he was a man. I ask him now, if it was a manly act to attack a man who was not in a position to snewer him? Hon. Mr. SMITH. If he makes it his

business to go through the country and attack the individuals who compose the Government, I am not precluded from

answering any attacks made upon us.

Mr. McMilLAN. Would it not have
been more manly for him, when he attacks this great Quebec Scheme, to have met meet him and discuss that scheme? A man having the right side of a question, even if no great debater, is superior to a first clas debater who has not the right side. If he felt that scheme was going to be the ruin and destruction of the to be the ruin and destruction of the country, why did he not accept the challenge? I put this question to him last year, and he denied that he was challenged, but I have heard him admit it since. It would have been more manly to have done so than have attacked a man occupying the high position Mr. Tilley does in the hearts of the people of this country. Haman like, he will never be satisfied until he wid get Mordecas Tilley strong up; but I hope the fate of old Haman will be the fate of Haman Smith. The first charge made against the Tilley Government is, that they were guilty of an act of tyranny upon the people of this country. Was it an act of tyranny for the leading men of British North America to meet in conference and consider, week after week, a scheme to consolidate the British North American Provinces? Was it an act of tyranny for the Governmen of New Brunswick, after considering that scheme and feeling it would be a benefit to the country to adopt it, to appeal to the people of the country, and say to the independent electors of New Brunswick, here is a scheme, we think, is for your advantage, politically, commercially, and fluancially. Instead of forcing it through the Legislature, we ask you to judge for yourselves, whether you will adopt or refuse it. (I ask you ngain, is that un act of (yranny, to appeal to the people themadopt it or not?

li I am any judge of what is foreshado ed in the Speech, I believe the present Government is prepared to force upon the people of this cour try a Union Schema without leavi g it to the people of the country to judge whether they will adopt it or not. The Attorney General laughs at this. He has condemned the Quebec same strain, "I know that gentleman Scheme, the most important principle of which is representation by population. I had a great difficulty in making up my mind upon that point. I felt the point jure him politically he would withhold it? was this. Suppose we departed from the basis of representation by population, where were we going to land at? I ask This course is beneath the dignity of a