diculous idea to make men leave their work and travel, as many would have to, twelve miles, just for this purpose. It is well known that the day is one of drunk enness and fe die. It seems no though the chief object was to let a few officers parade round and show ther uniforms. ole thing puts me in mind of the lines

"Man, vain man; when clothed in brief authority uts such fautantie grieke before High

As makes an angel woep."

Mr. WETMORE referred to the unfair namer in which appointments lind been made, as was evident from the fact that he field an energy's commission in the Westmorland Militis since April 1842,— from the way in which his valuable serfrom the way in which the valuable ecrimagine it was dated the 1st of that month. He did not think that one day muster was a burden to the people, and muster was a burden to the people, and as to their behaving unamenty at the last govern! drill in St. Jahn, he could assure the House that, notwithstanding the article in the Freeman, he had been credibly nformed that the men beinved then ives with becoming dignity, and exhibited an earnest desire to become profiwhy the Commander-in-Chief should not have the power to call them out; if he had not the power, who should have it? The section then passed, as did also 22 and 23

Section 24: "The Commander-in-Chief shall by General Order designate the Battalions from which the con panies so to be exercised shall be drawn, and shall appoint the time for the assembling mpany shall be compeled to serie for missal of such companies ; but no longer period than twenty eight days, done Battalian shall be obliged to furnish more than one such company in each

Mr. Granger .- I would ask if this Com littee is going to endorse by beir action the principle of compulsory dr ft, which pass it, I must, before they do so, enter my solemn protest against it. I am sure the country will not endouse it. That the mon from the different companies shall be compelled to have their svocations and go to so epiace, and mere be drilled or twenty-eight days is a proposterous des. And what benefit will it be? make them proficient? for by this year, but sixty men each year til the of peace, are tur men to be dragged from their homes and finalless and treated in this way? It seems that the idea was vails that men will volunteer. I don't for they are agriculturalists, and cannot afford to lose the time. They won't go, not because they are disloyal, but because they are disloyal, but hecause they will be a second to be will be a second to be a second

the people of Westmorland are willing to do what is best for the good of the coun-try. I take the ground that it is neces-

sary to have some power to enforce the law, and on that ground I wish the secnw, aim, but the ground a wan the see-ti-n to pass. My him, colleague seems the oast main who is really opposed to it, and he seems to be determined to oppose everyilling; he is the most our auturnitous man I were saw in my life. He saws if the law pass the people of Westmockand work stand it—I say that they are a law abiding people and will. It will be for the House to determine which is correct. When they look at the position of affairs,

to the fact that delegates have gone to England on a military mission, that our sister colony of Nova Scotia is alive to the necessity of having the militia placed on a good footing. I am sure the people of Westmor and as well as every other section of the Province, will yield a cheer-ful obedience to the provisions of the Bill. What object could the Governview in the insertion of this section it not the good of the country?

Hon. Mr. WILMOT .- The hon. m for Westmorland (Mr. Gilbert) makes a great time about men being taken from err homes, but if a man does not wan the go it is easy to find a substitute. never yet saw the time when I could not get the services of a man for £3 10s. for twenty-eight days-that is fifty cents a day and his food, and this will be provid-No one need complain, for it will be easy to get substitutes at that rate. if a man's business is so that he cannot leav

Mr. Born -I do not think that there will be any need to resort to the draft, as teers to form the camp. The only diffi-eulty will be that there may be too many; ently will be that there may be too many; the inducements or volunteer are so great for it ace by southen 27 that "any militament serving in such comonny, Lither voluntarily or by draft, shall be exempt from similar services during the period of ten years." Such anofer as this was not made in old times, and I think no better plain could be adopted than by taking men out o'class B to fill up the quota wanted for deill and exercise.

Hon. Mr. ALLEN.-The fact is, only some sixty or arventy men are wanted out of each Battalion of 1400 or 1500, and it is most probable that the full number will volunteer. If I imagined that this would the world be allowed to wanter the would be a meeting of young men bent on dissipation. I would not urge its passage, but if they think it will be a serve, that they will be allowed to wander round the ntry and got as they please, they will greatly mistaken. They will be under be greatly mistaken. They will be under come out a better class of men than they go in. If I had not thought this, I would not have brought the Bill in.

Mr. Gilnert,-My hon. colleague has undertaken to catechize me for the action I see fit to take on this question. I believe in the right of private opinion. I accord to him what I require for myzelf, the

send a few men to be drilled we should not talk so much about our loyalty.

Mr. CONNELL.—It seems that we have to provide a grant of \$30,000 for the Mili and then to draft the men into the tia, and then to draft the men into the service. I am opposed to any man being seized and taken from his farm, or shop, or desk, and forced to be drilled for twenty-eight days, and I wish to enter my protest against allowing the Commander in Chief to take men thus, wasting the public money and demoralizing the habits of the young men of the coun-

Mr. CUDLIP—Lahould not have voted for the \$30,000 gran, if it had not been for Confederation, and I want that to go to the country for what it is worth. I do not believe in this part of the Bill any more than the hon, member for Carleton. more than the hon, member for Carleton, I object to the draft, and if I had a son of cighteen who was drawn forcamp, I would withstend it to the titmost of my, power. No nation, at any time, has any right to adopt the system of drafting men for their armies. The people and papers of the United States protested egistati, and showed how unfairly it bore in certain excess. In Eggland if they want soldiers t'ey pay for them; they never had a draft and never will; the people would not

Hop. Mr. SMITH .- We must have got means to compel men to join the organization. In Canada, Nova Scoti, and Newfoundland, they have the compulsory draft, and what reason is there why we should not? If we want to maintain a Military Camp, how can we get along without some power to force them to come forward? I agree with my hon. friend from St. John. (Mr Cudlip), that if it had not been for Confederation we need up; have taken the steps we are now com pelled to. There are secret influences at work to spiure this country in the eyes of Great Britain, and to force upon us what we have rejected. They are at work i we must do something to counteract th I put it to the hon, member for Carlete A put it to the hon, member for Carteton wit either, if this grant had not been made, and if this Bill does not pass, Confederation would not be havened? And to prevent this we must enter upon a complete Militia scheme, and to carry it out

we must have some power to coerce these who are to belong to it.

Mr. McMillan —I am willing to go to the extent of \$30 000 for Mil in purposes, not because I think it would be effective. for I do not think it can be so out of Co federation, where the whole force we be under one head, but I strongly objet to the section that gives the power in to the section that gives the po-Chief to call them out. I do not think it show wisers.

Nr. NEEDHAM .- If I thought there we no good in the Bill I would not vete for no good in the Bill & would not vies net it; and if I had though the grant would be no good. I would not have voted for that; but this is the most extraordinary way to legislate that I ever heard at, voting for things that we don't beligre in. Talk about coercion, I should like be see the law that is not coercion. I don't ease the law that is not coercion. set because the year distory i bet incodes the power of the best of the power of th