some remarks during the course of the MR. OTTY. I was absent from the House, during part of the time my hon friend (Mr. McMillan) was speaking, consequently I cannot take up all his arguments. I have a want of conhis arguments. I have a want of con-fidence, not in the Government, but in the hon, mover of the Amendment, from the peculiar language in which it is worded. He goes on to state, after the Amendment which we have before us, " we regret that no sufficient assurance less been given, that the people will not be found unprepared to defend their families, their homes, and their firesides, against lawless conspirators from the neighboring Republic." That part was before the public, but he has withdrawn it. I can make allowance for any gentleman in the excitement of speech making errors of this kind, but for a man to sit down and write an Amendment like that, shows a great want of ability in language, to say the least of it. He has abandoned the most important part of it which relates to defending our homes, and moved merely what relates to " want of confidence in the Government," thereby showing that he considers office the most important The hor, member for Resti gouche said, the Government was bound by the Address to bring forward some scheme for a Union of the Provinces. I cannot see how they are bound either by the Address or Speech from the Throne, to do so. It is putting a con-struction upon them which they will not bear. He alluded to the "Minute of Council" which says, "a large majority of the people of this Province are opposed to any closer political union, &c." There is nothing in the Address which is contrary to that "Minute of Council" The Crown in its Address to the people says, that despatches received from the Home Government will be laid before us. The people say, in answer, that they will consider those despatches, and the opinion expressed by Her Majesty's Government will command that respect and attention which is due to suggestions emanating from so high a source. That is all the Government promise, and tney are not bound to bring in any scheme whitever. The Hon. Astorney General said it was not his intention to bring forward any scheme for a union of the Provinces, and in so doing he is acting in accordance with the wishes of the people. They speak of a change of feeling in regard to this Quebec Scheme. In the County of Kings there is very little change from what it was at the last election. If the scheme was brought before the public to-morrow, the majority opposed to the scheme would be actually larger than at the last election. But that does not appear to be the point at present. Confederation is left out of the party nearly altogether. If I understood the Speech of the hon, mover of the amendtoined a victory, is to put forth a false at the belm of the state.

not do this; but he would like to make may be in some cases, but not in all. The abstract idea is very well, but ever then the union of oil and water will no make strength. It will make strength where the wolf enters into compact with a lamb. The life blood of the lamb, after it is devoured, causes strength to the wolf. The hon member for Kent seems to have been afraid the members for Kings would be stuck in the mud. I do not know what kind of soil Kent is coment fr m that of King's . When we plant potatoes it does not produce pumpkins, and when we plantapples it does not pro-duce thorns. I think he is like an Indian who was engaged as a guide by a party of carriboo hunters, who, before night, began to think they had lost their way, and upon questioning the Indian closely about the track, he replied, "Indian not lost ; wigwam lost." I am merely taking up the time which should have been occupied by the Surveyor General who is it disposed, which has prevented him from making any remarks. I have no doubt he could answer and completely refute all that has fallen from bis predecessor in office. With regard to a union of the Provinces hereafter, it is a matter which requires serious consideration, and I, for one, am disposed to go into union upon fair and equitable terms. fully endorse what appears in the Address, "But in any scheme for a union of the British North American Colonies," &c. I think a large majority in this House endorse those sentiments. I have no doubt but a scheme of union will be pressed upon us, by the Home Government as well as by the Canadian Government. Our Government is in an emoar rassing condition in regard to outside pressure, but they have done all they could under the circumstances, and have no want of confidence in them. . The charges brought against them by the members of the Opposition do not amount to any real charges against their character as a Government. If they did I would be one of the first to give my vote against them. They were in error in a lowing the law for collecting "export duty" to expire, but every Government and every man is liable to errors. They throw themselves upon the people, and acknowledge their error. There have been other charges made but I do not see anything in them to induce me to go for a want of confidence in the Government. It has been said that the expiring of the "Min-ute 'I Council" regarding the Crown Land regulations, should have been published in the Royal Gazette. rule would apply to the law for collecting export duty. I consider it the duty of the exporters of lumber, or the persons apply I consider it the duty of the ing for lumber, to search these Crown Land regulations, and then they know as well as the Surveyor General that such a law or order has expired. It is not necessary when an order expires to have it published, although it would have been well to have published that the export sucht, he stands pledged not to support duty law was about to expire, but that was the Quebec Scheme. No wonder, then, the duty of the preceding Government; that he secured his election from both certainly it was not of this. I only hope parties. The people of York would not the Government will be able to steer return a Confederate, for if Confederation, through the sheals and whirlpools thrown was carried, the public business of Fred- in its way, and I think they will, for I was carried, the public business of Free in its way, and I will be an error or erriction would become a nonentity. To have every confidence in the ability of say, then, that the Confederates have ob- the leader of the Government; who is now

wished to refer particularly to the mombers of the Council, for he considered his honor and integrity impeached by them. HON. MR. CUDLIP moved that the

debate be adjourned until haif-past two.

MR. CORAM said, if the Opposition were not prepared to go on with the debate, they had better take the question at once, and not be delaying the business of the country, for they could not do justice to the Bills that were brought before

them until this questian was settled.

Motion for adjourning the debate

A BILL RELATING TO MARRIAGE.

Mn. CONNELL in moving this Bill said, it was before the Legislature last year, and there were two objections made to it. One was with reference to the amount which should be paid for marriage license, and the other in regard to the manner of registration. One of the greatest objections under the law as it is now, that it makes the minister collector of the taxes. If the House do not think proper to reduce the license there can be no objection to the other part of the Bill, which relieved ministers from becoming tax gathers for the purpose of registration. With regard to the license fee, he thought it should not be a source of revenue. In Nova Scotia they paid but two dollars for a license, and the machinery was more complex than that provided under this Bill. He thought the license should be \$1.50, and the registration fee 50 cents. There was another difficulty in the Bill of last year, which a section of this Bill provides for. It will enforce the registration of these certificates, which in many cases is not now done. It also provides for the publication of the banns of marriage twice, instead of three times, as at present

Cor. BOYD remarked that money paid for a license was paid very cheerfully. In regard to the clergymen collecting the tax, some of them liked to do it very well, for they collected the tax and did not register the marriage, but put the fees in their own pocket. He then related an instance of a woman, whose husband was killed in battle in the United states, not being able to obtain a pension in consequence of the clergyman not having registered the marriage.

CONNELL explained that thi MR.

Bill made provision for that.

MR. NEEDIIAM said that the Bill proqided that no minister, or any other person shall solemnize marriage until the party has produced a certificate from the Clerk of the Peace, that he has paid the Clerk of the Peace, that he has paid for the registry thereof. That relieve the minister from paying the Clerk, which they often do out of their own pocket. do not believe it is good policy to reduce the fees. No man was ever prevented from getting married because he had to pay \$4 for a license. If he could not do that he could be pub lished, that is the legitimate way of do ing it; it is the way they do it in Eng hand, therefore, no man need be ashane ed to be published.

M1. ANGLIN said, if he understoo

the Bill, it involved an important princi ple, which was, that without the person had paid the fee for the registry a legi statement to the public. The hon, men.— Mn. WILMOT and be wished to re-tinate marriage could not be evidenteed by the first terms to the state of the derive what remarks he had to make mill This was interfering with rights of the title asying. "Union is extensible." It the Acteung General was present, for be Clergamen. He would mention a case