that the tariff of the United States will be reduced, and it will lessen the inducement for smuggling. I do not see how of the country settled down to an abnormal condition, then smuggling went on, Secretary's object seems to have been to Secretary says: it is vain for us to indulge in these speculations at all. The Secretary intends to go to Ottawa, and he feels it his duty to present a picture of our finances in 1881, but he looks at only

build 3 1-2 twelfths of it, he must be wrong now in not taking it into his calculations. If he has made any calculathey can reduce their tariff, their policy tion, then I am wrong, and shall apolo-While the country was convulsed with a as he seems to want to have the last great war, trade did not settle down, but word; he had the last word the other when the war was over, and the people day, and I suppose he wants to have it no v, but if he speaks, I shall reply, Hon. Mr. TILLEY .- I shall reply in

House, but I have given my opinion of such a thing ever occurred before. I advocate; he shows us how much better impressions, I feel it my duty to make a as in times past. off we would be in Confederation than we statement of facts to go to the country, | I was rejoiced to hear my hon. friend's would be out of it. Suppose my figures and this statement has not been con-declaration, that he would give the

emigration to the Province. I said you would yield some return. Let me ask regard to this matter. I have shown are too sanguine, and the result proves him if he has taken into account that the by taking the expenditure of the last that I was right. As to what I have said Intercolonial Reilway will not pay any seven years into account that we would to-day I am willing to appeal to any hon. thing at all? Has he not charged the have in the next fifteen years much member of the House in justification of staire interest on the money to construed more per year than we had in the last myself and the language I employed. I the Intercolonial Railway to the Preserve. Did I say there would be more dig not contradict myself. I said if we wince? Has he made any reduction at money than was necessary? I did not. had an increase of population the wants sail? I think not. I do not besitate to Did I say population would not increase? of the people would increase proportion- say that I do not believe it will yield I did not. Did I say the money would ally. I opposed the building of 3 1-2 much, if any, return at all. That was not be required and could not be extwelfths of the intercolonial Railway, and my opinion in 1863, and it is my opinion pended on the roads? I did not, I I made some calculation, that after pay now. His calculations are not right if he desired to show the country and the ing the interest we would only have £40,- has taken into account that the Road will House that if the population was double 000 for local purposes. I resisted the pay, Suppose the Intercolonial Railway it would not double the expenses of the passage of the Bill. I said inasmuch as will pay three per cent, where would his Government. That was the argument Canada repudiates the proposition to calculations go then? Suppose his cal. I used in 1863, when I was advocating build the Road, what was the necessity culations were realized regarding the the Act to provide for the construction for our legislating upon the subject. The Railway which runs to St. John, does he of the Intercolonial Railway, and it is Secretary knows that I said I was satis- give us any credit for the earnings on the argument I use now. I said the Selectary news unit 1 sain was failed unit would be necessed, and we sain the control of the selection of th of Canada they would legislate in con- St. John Road and increase the traffic? Could 1 be blamed for stating these currence with us. I did not agree with has he given us credit for that? If he facts? Was it wrong for us to state Who was right, and who was was right in telling us that the Interco them here and show we were right? wrong? They never did legislate upon lonial Railway was a good commercial I felt it my duty, and availed myself of the subject. The Secretary next tells us speculation, and asking the Province to the privilege of having these facts go to the country.

Then, in reference to smuggling, he says, How do I know that the United being to pay off their national debt, and gize to the Secretary. I am not going only judge from what is said as to what this illicit trade will go on and increase. any farther unless the Secretary replies, is likely to be the policy of the Government of the United States. We know that during the war, and after the war was over, the Government put such duties on as were required to raise a mak concition, then smugging wenton, and the latter few words. My hour inferid says reference to such matters, that duties Secretary example stand here with any be gate me the last word the other day, which reduce their dotter burden the few to the name of the secretary example. propriety and tell us the United States but I shall not have it now. It was the million dollars a year will not long con-Government intend to reduce their tariff, first time in my experience that he did time. The longer the violation of the I do not desire to take up the time of the give me the last word; I don't think revenue laws continue, the more strinthe question fairly and frankly. The was struck with the circumstance as so ry those laws into effect. Suppose a Scretary's object seems to have been to l'emarasour una same partier de la seem de la se remarkable that I said, Really, he has system of smuggling was going on question? It is not as I say, or as the He says he does not know why I should may suppose others would do; therecome down to the House and submit an fore, we cannot expect the advantages estimate in reference to future years derived from this source will continue. when I am to go to Ottawa. Suppose I No one, I think, will undertake to say do go to Ottawa: if I feel that his that such will be the case; therefore, speeches are calculated to convey to the we will not get as much revenue from one side of the question. He is like an country what I believe to be erroneous this source if we remain out of Union

are true, and we have a revenue of two tradicted. We cannot allow him to Government his support to bring in a million, or two million and a quarter make statements which we believe to be measure giving aid and assistance to erroncous, in regard to our liabilities carry on Western Extension. I rejoice off? The Secretary has told us about and the means we have at our disposal. for two reasons: first, that he considers the Intercolonial Railway, but has be I stated I would be prepared with the work worthy of his assistance; sostated that the Road would not pay any. figures to show he was wrong, and that couldy, that he recognizes our ability thing? I think he has induced the there would be ample means for the to give it. If he had not felt in his House to believe that as a commercial wants of the country. It is right that heart that we could give it, without speculation the Intercolonial Railway we should view our future position in intrehehing upon the money required