Mr. Smallwood My understanding is $1,000, but I was speaking from memory. It may be increased to $1,200, I have not heard that.

Mr. Higgins "...the cost would be $1,200."

Mr. Smallwood Well, that would make a slight difference in this particular vote. Instead of $93,000 it would be something over $100,000.

"Division of Crown Lands and Surveys." Now I have down $17,090, and in the estimates it is $33,000, and the reason is that items 74, 75 and 76 and 77 would be federal. They would be employees of the federal government, and paid for by the federal government, which would reduce the vote to what it is here, namely $17,090.

"Co-operative Division...Division of Fisheries, $112,442." That takes quite a bit of explaining, Mr. Chairman. The Division of Fisheries in the estimates amounts to $926,000, almost a million dollars, and it is reduced here to $112,000. Now the reason is this: many expenditures would be federal and not provincial. The cost of these services would be paid by the Department of Fisheries in the Government of Canada....

Ranger Division. Now there again a saving is made in the vote for the Ranger Division. The total is $92,500. You can see what it is.... That total vote is for 84 Rangers. You take 50 Rangers off, because you don't have 50 Rangers, they become RCMP, and the total comes down to $37,500 on the province.

Mr. Hollett While you are on that point, the provinces don't have the RCMP unless they want them?

Mr. Smallwood Well, to begin with you are right, they do definitely put a number of RCMP into each province to fulfill certain federal police functions.

Mr. Hollett ...But that does not reduce the number of Rangers does it?

Mr. Smallwood No, but over and above the number here for federal purposes there would be a number for provincial purposes, rented or hired by the province.

Mr. Higgins You have no definite assurance that you can get them?

Mr. Smallwood No difficulty at all in getting them, Mr. Ilsley assured us of that.

Mr. Higgins Where did you get that assurance?

Mr. Smallwood It is Canadian government policy. It is normal policy, there would be nothing new in it.

Mr. Higgins There was no assurance that I knew of that you could get them.

Mr. Smallwood It may be that Mr. Higgins has no knowledge of such assurance. I can't say that. I have it.

"Division of Crown Lands and Surveys, $26,400".... That reduces that vote from the $120,000 that it now costs us down to $26,400, because the federal government would carry the rest. "Expenses of Trade Commissions... ships built". Now it was said here in the Convention some days back, I think by Mr. Crosbie, that the federal government pays a ship building bounty. I confess I have no knowledge of that, and whether they do or not I have included here the full bounty on ships, which is $140,000. "Labrador operations..."

Mr. Northcott Mr. Chairman, as we have only one stenographer we should have a few minutes recess.

Mr. Smallwood Yes, well as this is nearly completed I thought we might finish. "Encouragement of Agriculture".... The total expenditure for the Department of Natural Resources would be $1,279,500.

[Short recess]

Mr. Smallwood Mr. Chairman, when we had to recess we had just finished the Department of Natural Resources. On the bottom of that same page is the Board of Liquor Control, $134,100, and then we have only two departments left, the Department of Public Works and the Department of Public Health and Welfare. "Department of Public Works, Administrative...Building Division". Note 1 at the bottom of the page attributes one quarter to be paid by the other government.... Public Buildings ... one third of the total vote to the federal government, leaving two thirds to be met by the provincial government. "Roads $1,270,000.... Printing, Stationery, etc." ... one third of the vote to the federal government, leaving $165,000 to the provincial government....

Department of Public Health and Welfare, "General Hospital, $295,446." Now you will notice in the estimate with regard to the General Hospital that they have deducted an amount of $50,000 from the vote for staff that they have not got, but I have included that $50,000, and I have