that I, Zachariah Chiposin, of Saint Ste-phens, in considers on of forty dollars and fifty cents, of me paid by Edward Jack, of Saint Onders, do beep assign, transfer and set over unto the said Ed-ward Jack, as well the written order of surrey as the said twenty-seven acres therein described and mentioned.

ST. STEPHENS, 1st April, 1863. (Signed) Z. CHIPMAN."

"Know all men, by these presents, that I, Edward Jack, of Saint Andrews, in consideration of eighty-one dollars, to me paid by Alexander Gibson, of Le-preaux do hereby assign, transfer and set over unto the said Alexander Gibson, as well the accompanying order of survey for twenty-seven acres of land, as the land therein described or mentioned as "any other lot of twenty-seven acres

ST. ANDREWS, 14th April, 1863. (Signed) EDWARD JACK."

CROWN LAND OFFICE. Feb. 17th, 1864. Honorable Surveyor General:

Dear Sir.—The deficiency of twentyseven acres in the grant to Zacariah Chipman, south of the Simonds Grant, assigned to Edward Jack in 1863, who, on the 14th April, 1863, conveyed his right to said deficiency to me, as will appear by annexed quit claim. I now beg to select that quantity in the following situation, viz: at the southern end of the Upper Nashwaak Lake, and request that an order of survey will saue in my favor, and at my expense, to lay out the same,

I am, Sir, your obedient servant. (Signea) ALEXANDER GIBSON.

" Alexander Gibson requests an order of survey for twenty seven acres of land, deeficiency in the grant to Z. Chipman. "Let an orderissue to Deputy Whitehead, as reque-ted by Mr. Gibson.

February 18, 1861. (No. 2,891) (Signed) J. McM."

The hon, member for Albert, in his usual mild and apparently sincere "sua-viter in modo" style, thought proper to make this a specific charge, which, no doubt, he thought would influence hon. members, and the Surveyor General charged the bon, gentleman with want of candor and fair play, because he could, and should, have explained all the circumstances connected with the trans action; but that hon. member, for some reason best known to himself, has not thought proper to do so, which the Sur-veyor General pronounced unfair and unmaniy.

Mr. McClelan, who was absent when the Surveyor General was speaking, on the following day, attempted to explain, but stated that he justified the course taken by the Government. The Hon. At-terney General replied, "Then why allude to it at all ?"

The Surveyor General then justified the

"Section 3. It shall be the duty of the gentleman. Auditor General, or other officer appoint-

counts, to have all the Public Accounts

The Government has appointed a proper person to sudit the accounts, and it has been well done, and given general satisfaction. His hon, colleague, Mr. Gilbert, had alluded to the amendment of the Legislative Council in answer to the speech, passed in that body some days since, and has called it a vote of want of confidence. He (Mr. Botsford) called it only an amendment adopting the Quebec Scheme, and the Quebec Scheine alone. Parliamentary usage forbade him from alluding to the discussion on that questhe way it was carried through that honorable body. He would assume that the hon, member, Mr. Fisher, was in this prsition after he had moved his amendment

Suppose the House was reduced to thirteen members; that the mover of the Address in answer to the Speech was absent; that the seconder was sick and confined to his bed; that another member had been unavoidably called away, and that Mr. Fisher had pressed his Amendment through the House, and carried it by a majority of five for the carried it by a majority of five for the purpose of affirming the Quebec Scheme Why, with all the charges made against him for intrigue, he; Mr. Botsford, did not believe Mr. Fisher would be guilty of such an undignified, unparliamentary He had seen it reported in the public

newspapers that the majority claimed for Confederation on that occasion consisted of three of the Quebec delegates and six of their strong partizans, and this, no doubt, will be duly forwarded to the British Government as an evidence of a change of opinion of the people of this Province

His hon, colleague had alluded to the reinstatement of Mr. Inches in the Crown Land Department, notwithstanding he did that gentleman every justice as to his qualifications and attainments, still he must have had some motive in bringing it up in this debate

He (Mr. Botsford) would shew the House what induced the Government to reinstate Mr. Inches. He was recommended by twenty-six members in the

public. He would not pass on to the charge the hon, member, Mr. Fisher, made with reference to his temporary absence from the Crown Land Office. He told his colleagues in the Government, on accepting the office of Surveyor General, that he would not become a permanent resident at Fredericton, and if accepting office involves the principle of permanent residence at Head Quarters, and a necessity of being compelled to remove one's establishment to it, and this House should confirm the doctrine; it will limit the appointment to persons living in Fredericton, and the surroundand adjoining Counties; and he. challenged the hon, gentleman to point Government in not filling up the office of out a single instance where the interest Government in not niling up the office or i out a single instance where the interest, additor General, and quoted Act of Assembly of 1855:

"Section 3. It shall be the duty of the strength of the beson of his horizontal and the strength of the strength o

He then alluded to the eard of the hon, member for York, at last election, where he proclaims that he has left the impress of his mind on the political institutions of the country, and that he looked down on the members of the Government as political pigmies, and claimed that he himself would occupy a large and conspicuous place in the history of the Province. Why, he was the one individual who was turned out of the Government by his colleagues for impro-perly acquiring public lands. And how did this same hon, gentleman, who acmean, low fellows, act after this dis-grace? Like a spaniel, he was seen to lick the hand that struck him, and proved indeed the most obsequious servant of the men who treated him as unworthy of being a member of their Government.

of being a memoer of their Government.
Another charge made by Mr. Fisher
is, the appointment of Chief Justice
Ritchie, which, he stated was for political purposes. This he (Mr. Botsford)
denied. Judge Ritchie was appointed to that responsible situation because of his talents and standing as a Jurist, and the Government are prepared to justify it; but, if Mr. Fisher thinks that Mr. Justice Ritchie's appointment detracts from the talents and standing of Mr. Jus-tice Wilmot, he (Mr. Botsford) could not help it; but when he charges the Government with dragging in politics, and justifies Judge Wilmot's voing at elections, and taking part in political squabbles, he wholly dissented from such unsound doctrine.

There might have been some slight palliation for the offence of Judge Wilmot addressing a Grand Jury on the Onebec Scheme in the County of Sunbury when the excitment ran high, and the country was convulsed from one end to the other; but when that excitement had subsided, and the people had pronounced their verdict against the scheme, and it was virtually dead, what do we find? When a vacancy took place in the representation of the County of York, by the promotion of Mr. Justice Allen to the Bench, after a lapse of many months, when the Judge had amply time for reflection. The hon. member (Mr. Fisher) was a cancidate at the election in opposition to Mr. Pickard, who was recognized as the year 1863, and by twenty-five members Government candid: te, and at the hustof the present House last year; and he ligs proclaimed that Confederation was felt convinced that his reinstatement not the question at issue, that Fenianism would give general satisfaction to the jud hestility to the present Government

was his avowed platform. He (Mr. Botsford) held that when Judge Wilmot so far forgot his position as a Judge, and entered into that political contest, and ostentatiously voted for Charles Fisher, he prostituted the ermine with which he was clothed: that was hisopinion, he might be wrong. Suppose a riot took place in the pre-sence or the Judge, between the several partizans, and an indictment was preferred against anti-Confederates gaged therein, and Mr. Justice Wilmot was the presiding Judge, could be possibly divest himself of the strong political bias, which, to a certain would operate on his mind at the trial? Probably he might, but he could not convince parties holding opposite views that even-handed justice would be dis-pensed. Why, the other day the House was discussing a Bill authorizing a Judge to try a cause where his interest was only the seventh part of a cent. It