divided of 112 per cent. on the stock they might subscribe to the Grand Trunk Railway.

Hon. Mr. ROSS—Was it not 111?

(Laughter.)

Hon. Mr. CURRIE-No; he was not so modest as to put it at 111. (Laughter.) It was 111 per cent. I was charged with attacking the statements of the Hon. Mr. I stated, when last addressing the House, that Hon. Mr. TILLEY informed a public meeting-I think in St. John, New Brunswick-that the tariff of Canada was in fact an 11 per cent. tariff, and my hon. friend from Toronto said that Hon. Mr. TILLEY was correct in making that statement.

Hon. Mr. ROSS-What I said was that the average duty on the whole imports of the country, including the free goods, was 11 per cent. Hon. Mr. CURRIE—Then I must say

that that is a very novel way of arriving at the tariff of a country—to take all the dutiable goods, to add to them all the free goods, and then to average the duty on the whole. It may be a very convenient, but it is not a correct or honest mode in my opinion.

Hon. Mr. ROSS—It is precisely what Hon. Mr. TILLEY did; and I did it in the

same way.

Hon. Mr. CURRIE-My hon. friend told us that our present able and talented Finance Minister had stated the tariff of our country to be an 11 per cent tariff. asked my hon. friend when the Finance Minister stated that?

HON. MR. ROSS-I said that, taking the statements Hon. Mr. GALT had furnished with reference to the tariff of customs duties, and the amount of imports of dutiable and free goods, and finding the average of the whole to be 11 percent., Hon. Mr. TILLEY had made a statement based on Hon. Mr. GALT'S own figures.

Hon. Mr. CURRIE—I find the report makes my hon. friend say, that "The Hon. Mr. TILLEY had quoted the figures of our own Minister of Finance." He was wrong in that statement, because Hon. Mr. TILLEY, on the occasion I referred to, had quoted the figures furnished by the Comptroller of New

Hon. Mr. ROSS—The Comptroller of New Brunswick could not furnish the figures

of the trade of Canada.

Hon. Mr. OURRIE-Surely my hon. friend will remember, that, to give official force to the statement of Hon. Mr. TILLEY, he said that, after the Comptroller of the province had reviewed our tariff, he came to the conclusion that it was but an 11 per cent. tariff. I quote from the report :-

Hon. Mr. TILLEY quoted the figures of our own Minister of Finance, and the hon. member represented him as not speaking the truth, but as, in effect, attempting to deceive those whom he addressed. Hon. Mr. Currie—I beg to know when the Finance Minister of Canada stated that the average duties collected in Canada were 11 per cent.

He (Honorable Mr. Ross) desired to be no longer interrupted; I ceased to interrupt him, and he did not give me an answer to the question. But, if the honorable member from Toronto will turn to the celebrated speech of the Minister of Finance made only the other day at Sherbrooke, he will find that Hon. Mr. GALT puts the Canada tariff at 20 per cent.

Hon. Mr. ROSS - But he did not in-

clude the free goods; that is all.

Hon. Mr. CURRIE - No, he did not include the free goods. But I say that if he had taken the value of dutiable goods, as we find it given in the Trade Returns of 1863 — the last complete returns for a year that we have-instead of arriving at the conclusion that we had a tariff of only 20 per cent., he would have found that the actual duty on the dutiable goods imported in 1863 was 22½ per cent. (Hear, hear.) Then my hon, friend from Toronto came to the assistance of Mr. Lynch of Halifax. And, not stopping there, he undertook the defence of the present President of the Council (Hon. Mr. Brown) and the Provincial Secretary (Hon. Mr. McDougall.) I confess I was a little amused, and somewhat surprised to find my hon. friend from Toronto becoming the apologist and champion of those hon. gentlemen, who, I believe, are perfectly competent on all occasions to take care of themselves — even without the assistance of my hon. friend. (Hear, hear.) He next alluded to the propriety and necessity when the people of Canada were on the point of forming a partnership with the other provinces - of our knowing what the assets of those provinces were—what stock they were bringing into the common concern. I had shewed that we had a great many valuable public works - some of them of a profitable character. My hon. friend told us that the Lower Provinces too were engaging in profitable works. He told us that New Brunswick had spent eight millions of dollars on railways, and Nova Scotia six millions — and that from those railways those provinces