seven million, the interest would come of the Union. out of what we receive for our local my hon, friend recognized that the Province had money sufficient to provide for local purposes and to give this great work, also. I rejoiced to hear that he would assist the Government, and I could not help saying "hear, hear" to the proposition. I said that this satisfied my mind that he took a bright view of our future.

My hon, friend says that when I was advocating the construction of the Intercolonial Railway that I estimated that we would get something out of it more than working expenses. I doubt if he can find a speech of mine in which I said it would pay more than working expenses. I took the ground that it would pay working expenses, and my hon, friend from-Westmorland took the ground that it would not. I thought that by connexion with Western Extensión it would give some revenue. He says that I thought the European and North American line would pay three per cent in five years. I said if it paid three per cent. in ten years, the indirect advantages would equal the amount of money expended. My hon. friend says I have been raking up papers to prove his inconsistency. admit that the inconsistency of any member of the House does not affect the question. He says I am no true prophet-that my prophecies had not been realized; to prove this, he points to the Prohibitory Law and the Railway; but he admits that the Prohibitory Law had not a fair chance. With reference to the Railway, I admit that it cost more and the revenues from it were not as much as I expected. In regard to the Act which the House passed for the construction of the Intercolonial Railway, it was adopted by the Legislature,-not through any influence which I excrted in the House, but becies voted for it two to one. We now will support Western Extension. tages of our position. We will have dealt out from the Dominion of Canada apply the principle to the history of this the Intercolonial Railway built; \$1.- should be applied to that work. I am Province you will find as the population

for Roads and Bridges, he would not 500,000 expended on Western Extension not going to weary the patience of this have been willing to have it. He says and the branches; we will have more House any longer; therefore, I shall it is our only chance. I admit that if money, making allowance for increased apologize for the time I have taken, we are going to incur additional liabili- expenditure; we will have \$65,000 for because I felt that in justice to myself ties, there could be no better time. If our Roads and Bridges in 1871 than we I should make these observations in it is done before going into Confedera had for the average of the last 7 years. reply to the Secretary. tion, we can get the money at the rate My hou. friend must agree with me that of five per cent; and we know that if we will be in a better position, evenwe give to assist Western Extension, allowing for an increased population, whatever we give beyond our debt of than we would be if we remained out

Mr. SMITH .- On this occasion I am purposes. I was rejoiced to find that determined to have the last word if I advantages that would flow from Confederation. I think his memory is defective when he says I called him a false prophet in his predictions concerning the Railway and the Prohibitory Liquor Law. I have not even referred to the Liquor Law, but he must admit that he was mistaken in that; he must have thought the public mind was prepared for it, as all law is but the embodiment of the public mind of the country; but he was mistaken, and he statements regarding Western Exten sion, that, because I was willing to aid that road, I considered this scheme of Union would be a great advantage to the country. I said Western Extension was a work of great importance to the country, and I think the people in this country would be willing to withdraw some of the money from the Roads and Bridges in order to secure the construction of this work. I have not changed in the slightest degree my judgment regarding this Confederation. The Secretary says, "I am surprised that he was willing to assist the Government in carrying out that measure." In order to place me in a false position before the House and country, he says I have cause public sentiment was in favor of taken a gloomy view of the country, it, and the most important constituen- but now I have changed my mind and come in under a new arrengement, and there was anything in it, I doubt the if my hon, friend entertained the idea prepriety of it; this House will not be that it would not pay, and we would moved by any such inference drawn by only have had £40,000 for our local the Secretary. I believe that Western the prosperity of a country and how purposes, he must now see the advan- Extension should go on, and the money

have the last word, indeed I am quite

Hon. Mr. TILLEY .- I expect you to

sure you will. My hon, friend says, " the Secretary has not told us what we will pay into the revenues of Canada in 1581." I have stated that in my humble opinion we would not pay as much, or no more, into the revenues of Canada, can get it. The Secretary has not an- according to population, than we have swered my proposition at all-he has paid in the average of the last seven evaded it. I asked him what our reve- years. I stated distinctly that in Connue would be in 1881,-what we would federation, speaking for the present, pay into the revenues of Canada if our future and all time to come, the inhabitariff was no higher than now? Every tants of New Brunswick would not pay man must deal in speculation in speak- more per head than the average of the ing of the future; the Secretary has last seven years, and after they arrive at proclaimed his opinion, and told the a certain number the amount per head will be diminished. In illustration of this we will take the great population of the neighboring Republic. Before the war the people of that country-whether they paid it in the shape of revenue or imports upon the population-paid only \$1.90 a head, and they supported an army and navy, and had ambassadors in every port in the world. So it will be in this case, and while our population increases our expenditure will not increase in like

In regard to Western Extension my was also mistaken in the earnings of the hon. friend says he is willing to take this Railroad. I now, ask the Secretary money out of the money for Reads and whether, when he made his calculations Bridges. This is making a sacrifice we for 1881, he was not prepared to admit did not expect him to make. It impressthat twenty five or thirty years hence ed Itself upon my mind when he was the Intercolonial Railway might pay. I making his speech, that he had come to was surprised to hear the Secretary's the conclusion that in the Union we were not going to be so penniless after all, and therefore he had volunteered to support that work. I thank him for it, as it is a work which will be of great benefit to the country.

Mr. SMITH .- Confusion worse confounded. I asked the Secretary for figures as to what our revenue would be in 1881, and he has dealt in platitudes. In adopting the average of the tariff for the past seven years he has not taken a fair basis, he has given the figures one way, but he has not done what I asked him to do, that is to tell us what our revenue would be in 1881 according to our present tariff.

The Secretary says he is obliged to me for my offering to support the Government in alding Western Extension. He need not thank me, for he knows that I advocated it last year, as I considered it of infinitely more importance than nny other Railway in the country.

In reference to the United States paying so much per head; it depends upon much they pay as a direct tax. If you