Mr. PETER TALBOT. They are as follows—Carrott's polling subdivision, 14 votes; Evart, 67; Merkerville (in part), 36; Pollette, 5; Martin's Place (in part), 47; Burnt Lake, 33; Poplar Ridge, 28; Penhold (in part), 46; Red Deer, 334; Brockfield, 13; Valley Centre, 22; Willow Dale, 64; Windham (in part), 30; Ross Place, 14; Mound Lake, 19; Content, 40; Leckie 6; total 808 votes Leckie, 6; total, 808 votes.

Mr. AMES. Would the hon, gentleman allow me to put on 'Hansard' the way allow me to put on 'Hansard' the way we made that same calculation? We took the names one after another following the list and located them in the townships to which they belonged and thus found whether they fell within the one constituency or another:

Poll.	Cast.	On list.
64, Evart, entire		119
third of township	8	11
115. Pollette, the northern third of township 37	1	2
111, Martin's Place, northern third of township 37		11
	~	

Mr. PETER TALBOT. How many votes cast at Martin's Place?

Mr. AMES. One only. The northern third of township 37 is included.

65, Burnt Lake, entire	33	51
66. Carrett, except part lying		
north of Blindman river	13	48
67, Blind Man, part lying south		
of Blindman river	33	32
68, Poplar Ridge, entire	28	52
69, Red Deer, entire	184	409

Mr. PETER TALBOT. Those are the votes the other fellow got. I got more There were 334 votes in Red than that. Deer town.

Mr. AMES. There are 406 names on the

103, Penfold, except sections 13 to 24 of township 26, range 27; and except sections 24, 25, 26, 27, 33, 34, 35 and 36 of township 36, range 28..

Mr. PETER TALBOT. The principal discrepancy is in the town of Red Deer. I have 334 votes cast and the hon, gentleman only has 180.

Mr. AMES. If they are not in that constituency they will be in the next one.

stituency they will be in the non	C OLLO.	
79. Brookfield, entire	13	27
80, Valley Centre, entire	22	29
81. Ross Place, entire	14	28
106. Willow Dale, entire	54	6
105. Northern third of section 36,		
including Edmell town	11	1
107. Windham, except southern		
two-thirds of township 36,		
range 24; and except southern		
two-thirds of township 36,		
range 25	4	
92, Mound Lake, entire	19	28
109. Lackie, entire	6	2'

Cast. On list. 110, Sparks Place, sections 24 to 36 in township 36, ranges 11 to 14

Mr. PETER TALBOT. The discrepancy I see in that is not only in Red Deer where the hon, gentleman gives 189 instead of 334, but there are other discrepancies. I happen to know the locality fairly well and I find the hon. gentleman has put only two or three votes in some polling subdivisions when the votes were as high as twenty or thirty. The next point I wish to draw attention to is the area of those districts north and south of Red Deer. The area south of Red Deer and including the Red Deer district, would be 55,831 square miles. The area north of Red Deer, excluding Peace river and Athabaska, is 74,-Although I do not claim that we should have representation according to area entirely, I maintain that that should have some weight when making this division, provided there is a scattered settle-ment through it. I would not base a great deal on that, but I think it should be considered.

I next wish to call attention to the homestead entries. The homestead entries, up to the 31st March, 1905, throughout the whole province of Alberta were as follows: In the Edmonton land office or district, there were 18,773 entries. In Beaver Lake land office, which is closed now but was in existence for some time, there were 436 entries. In Battleford we claim one-fourth of the entries made, for about one-fourth of that land district is to the west of the fourth meridian. Our friends opposite do not allow us any of that, although all this Barr colony—or a great portion of it—is in that locality. That would make 1,243. We claim one-half of the Red Deer district, which would be 4,630, making a total in the north of 25,042 entries. Now, in the Calgary land office there were 12,278 entries, in Alberta, 3,875; in the half of Red Deer, which we allow to the south, 4,630, making a total of 24,803 in the south against 25,042 north of Red Deer.

I have not the homestead entries for the several years. I have one year here, 1903, but I am not sure whether that is the one some of the hon, members opposite gave. But if they did and left out a portion of the Battleford district, they were certainly wrong, for there is a considerable portion of that district west of the fourth

meridian.

Mr. AMES. Would the hon, gentleman tell us over what space of time he has computed the 1,243 entries in the Battleford district?