\$46,631; navigation securities, \$80,000; making a total of \$187,795, besides salaries, coroners inquests, public printing, &c. This enormous de icièncy can only be made up in one way—in the way pointed out in the bill—in the way described by the hon membar's own authority—the Hon. George Brown himself—by direct taxation on the people of this country. In the face of these facts—and I challenge their investigation—an attempt is made to influence the house by quoting some paltry figures from an article in the Globe, which, after making a show of opposition to the financial arrangements, finishes off with a declaration that "with all these faults we

like the scheme." The hon member has spoken in contemptuous terms of our "foreign allies" Sir, we have no allies but the people of this country, whose upturned faces" he has ridiculed, and whose wishes he has the hardihood to despise. But it ill becomes that gentleman, so lately in v ry questionable company, to lecture us upon our Who, when in London a few weeks ago, were his friends and confreres? In what respect is Mr. Cartier, the Attorney General for Canada East, who shouldered his musket and afterwards ran away, when Lower Canada was in rebellion in 1838, a better man than Mr "hen there is D' arcy McGee, of cab-Sanks? bage garden notoriety; Galt, who headed an annexation movement in Montresl, when the Parliament buildings were burnt down and the Queen's Representative was pelted through the streets. Another delegate is a born Yankee: the fourth is said to be looking to Washington; and the fifth, the chief scribe at the Quebec Conference, opposed the Union of the Provinces two or three years ago, because, in his then opinion, it would lead to separation from the mo-These are the allies, the sworn t er country bosom friends of the hon member, who has dared to more than insinuate t'at my friend . Vr. Howe and myself were in league with foreign allies and endeavouring to subvert British The hon member has sneeringly institutions. said that my leader, Mr. Howe, and my follower, Mr. McDonald, spent months in London endeavouring to convince the British public. I reply to that gentleman, who was once a respected leader himself, but is now the humble follower of the Provincial Secretary, that we did largely influence the public mind and shake confidence in the Confederation scheme; and I had it from the lips of gentlemen in Eng'and, who did not share our opinions, that we had done much to educate the British public in respect to the position, resources and rights of these Maritime Provinces. We may not have succeeded in reaching the hearts of the Lords. for it was not to be expected that we would to any large extent operate upon the sympathies of a body so far removed from popular influences We may not have succeeded in reaching the convictions of a majority of the Commons, b t what opportunity had we? As every one knows, until Parliament meets, very few of the members are in town—they are scattered all o er the country. We sent in our case to Earl

Carnaryon, but when the bill was read a first time the correspondence was not on the table, and the Queen only had the bill submitted to how the day before it was introduced.

her the day before it was introduced. As I have said, on the third reading in the Lords, a large number of Peers withdrew, leaving only nine present, when one noble Lord had finished urging our case. Then it was sent to the Commons, and read a second time on the following day, contrary to the practice which has always prevailed there of giving at least a week between the first and second readings for the consideration of any important measure. So rapid was the action of the under Secretary for the Colonies, presed on by those who are now pressing it on us, that the papers on which the members could alone form the judgment were not in their hands until the second morning, and one member stated that he had only time to read that clause of the bill, which refers to the Intercolonial Railway before the division on the second reading was taken. This reminds me that the member for Colchester has turned my attention to the subject of the Intercolonial Railway. It is well known that a guarantee was obtained for that work in 1862 through the exertions of Messrs. Howe and Tilley, and but for the bad faith of the Canadians the railroad might have been finished to-day and working, as to whether working profitably or not, I will not undertake to say. He says I complained of the delegates geting only three millions instead of four, and further, that four millions were not asked for. If so then we were deceived by the press, by th Canadian News among others, for it was plainly stated that that was the sum and the impression was confirmed by the fact that Mr. Fleming had es imated that amount as necessary, and our own experience of the estimates and cost of railways gave us no reason to think it could not be built for less. We are blamed for remonstrating against the guarantee,-we were willing to remonstrate against anything and everything to save the interes's which were entrusted to us. Would I sell the liberties of my country for a few miles of railroad, even if half the trade of the world were to come over it! Would I say to my constituents "you have elected me, I respect you for your intelligence, but you are not competent to express an opinion on a matter that will sweep away your revenues and place unlimited powers of taxation in a body which you cannot control?" I was going to say that for all the roads in the world I would not consent to that, and we therefore thought it right to use every means to destroy the scheme, and as the road is said to be an essential part of it, and a necessity for Canada, we could take the chances for the future until she came to her senses, and there is no doubt that ere long she would have had to come to us and asked us to build our share. There was another reason, for our suggesting that a guarantee for the larger sum should not be given, -one of the most powerful influences at work in favor of Confederation is the organization known as the Grand Trunk Railway Company of Canada, whose chairman, Watkins, made the statement in the House of Commons that the measure had