ernment, and so I, among others, was Confederate I supported the measures of against him by the hon. member from St. called in to do the work. This my hon. friend construes into a vile attack upon the late Government and a personal outrage on him. Why, one would suppose that he was the heart, soul, body and bones of the late Government, a regular one man Government, in fact. But this is how he wanders off from the question bound to support them, and when my own spare me at all; let us know why it was which I put to him. He said that the views changed, if they had wished to have I became disaffected. Provincial Secretary had no right to arro- brought in a measure of Union, I should gate to himself or the present Govern have gone back to the people of Saint sion to the idea of Union was very sudden ment the praise for the present satisfactory . John and told them my views on the sub- indeed, and followed immediately after he state of the revenues. Now, I did not ject had changed; but I think my position had strongly arged upon the Government rise to defend the Secretary, for I think was right, that I could not support a his desire to become Solicitor General. he is quite able to take care of himself; but I simply asked for information-Who, then, are entitled to the praise? On this my hop, friend gets up and makes a fierce attack on me, but all that is no answer to my question, and I again ask him, Who are the men we should thank for a state of affairs by which we are able to grant so much larger sums for public purposes than we were able to do last year? My hon, friend has insinuated that I changed my position; but, Mr. Chairman, it is well known that it was not I who changed but the late Government. My views changed, it is true, but I was elected an Anti-Confederate and I stood to the principle throughout. My personal views had changed, but so long as I represented an Anti-Confederate constituency I was bound to go against anything like Union. Who, I would ask, were the first to change? Why the late Government came down with a speech that was based upon Union-they, who had been elected in opposition to it were the first to put it in the speech. I then spposed them, as I was bound to do. I asked them over and over again what they meant by the reference to Union, but could get no satisfactory answer. Here was reason enough why I should oppose them, but when they allowed the Governor to dictate to them what course of action they should pursue, the reasons strengthened and multiplied, and I did my best to deprive them of the power they held. When I was first elected I did think that Union would be adverse to the best interests of this people; I had little time to examine into the subject, and the ruin and danger to which we should be subject were continually dinned into my ears, till I came to believe it. I did not, however, desire to become a politician. It was pressed upon me most urgently to allow myself to be put in nomination. Thus I was forced into the position, and having entered I would not drawback. I never hesitated

the Government on that principle. My John (Mr. Wetmore). and I should now hon. friend says that I was willing to take like to ask the Secretary what his opinion office; he knows that I could have been is of sudden conversions? When the in the Government, and with an office, second Seasion arrived, however, the hou. too, if I had chosen -- but that is apart member came here disaffected, and I from the question. As long as the Gor- might say why. ernment stood to their principles, I was measure of Union while I was an Anti-Confederate representative. When I went the appointment, but said that I believed before the people of Saint John at the I was as capable of filling it as any one. first they thought with me that Union was bad, but when I went back to them and told them my views had changed I found that their's had too; they believed in the sincerity of my convictions, and placed confidence enough in me to return me to this House. My hon, friend hints that I was afraid to accept the office of Solicitor General because I should have to run my election over again. But I will ask him if he is aware that the fear lay not with me, but with the Government? The office was needed, but they were afraid to fill it up. The office is still wanted, for it is impossible for the Attorney General to attend to all the duties that would otherwise be required of him. Now, I suppose my hon, friend will think that I want the office of Solicitor General, because I have referred to it, or that I may have some desire to become Attorney General, but I will tell him that I think I am quite as capable as many others to fill either office. And I will tell him more, that if the Attorney General gives up his office, and a good Government think it right and proper to offer me the situation, I should be willing to accept it, and run my election over, against the best man the late Government can bring in Sain Wohn, and beat him, too, by a majority of 500; and my bon. friend can just put that down on his memorandum. I very much regret that my hon, friend gets so annoyed at

which he has felt for me for so long a time. Mr. SMITH .-- I have always been very suspicious of these sudden conversions. He says be came here an Anti and bound to support the Anti Government, but it was but a very short time before he was (laughter). right round and opposed the Government these Provinces would prove to be an ing the position of forty-second member, longer than he has, I have only held office immenso benefit. When I was an Anti- he must have heard the larguage used two years, he has held office every year

Mr. WETMORE .- Well, do. Don't

Mr. SMITH .- I remember his conver-Mr. WETMORE -I did not ask for

Mr. SMITH .- If the hon, member did not put himself boldly forward, still in his mild way he let us know that he wanted it. He came out afterward and assailed me with preventing his being appointed, and he knows that when what had transpired in the Government could be disclosed it was found that I was the only one who favored his being appointed. The other members of the Government, and his colleagues from Saint John among them, were a'raid to open the constituency, and that was why he was not provided for. He now eavs that he would be willing to take the office of Attorney General if it was offered to him. and I have no doubt he would. He has only been in this House about two years, and yet has shown his desire twice to take office. I have shown no such greed for office as some have done. I have been here about sixteen years, and have held office but two years in all that time. Why the Attorney General has expressed his willingness to hold on to his seat in this House and go to Gttaws, too, in the interests of the people of his County. I don't think my friend from Saint John cares about going to Ottawa: he would rather stay here and be Attorney General. He says he can run his election and bent any one we can put forward, and wants me to write down to my friends that he challenges them to bring out their man. I certainly don't know why I should take the simple question I put, and I really so much trouble for him, but I have seen hope that his not answering will not have so much of political life that I know how a tendency to mar that close friendship to estimate such confidence, for I have heard many declare that they could run, who, when they tried it, found they could

not. Why, the Secretary thought he could run on the Quebec Scheme-Hon. Mr. TILLEY .- I did run-

Mr. SMITH .- Yer, he did run, but the to express my opinions as adverse to with all the power he could command. trouble for him was that he ran autin-Union; but after a time ca I had oppor- The hon, member at the first Session said stead of in-(inighter). Now here is the tunity to examine the subject, I saw that I a good deal about Mr. Tilley, but now he is difference between the Secretary and I: was in error, and that the consolidation of found fighting his battles. When occupy- that whereas I have been in the House