Quebec Scheme, in all its original enor- them judge what truth there was in the mity would be forced upon the country, statement that the achieve could be alter.

He believed, sure as the needle pointed ed. If the Government were defeated be to pole, that that would happen. Let would tell them usin—and another Gohon, members con-ider the pressure that vernment formed with the hon, member has been brought upon the Government of York at i's head-the Quebec Scheme to break them down. to break fried down. Tary man the would of earlier elections of the Canadian politicians line. There were gentlemen here in fagarant them, and the Imperial influence (or of Union, and he would say that if of the British Government, and influence [they ould get a Union on a fair an equifrom Nova Scotia. They have had the trading politicians, who, through the disseminating poisonous stories against the Government, and attesting that a dissolution was sure to take place : and almost the entire Press of the Province had been against them, vilifying and denouncing them. He had no doubt that the member of Yo k (Mr. Fisher) was in constant communication with Canadian statesmen in favor of the Quebec Scheme. He saw several gentlemen in the House who were in favor of Union-taking it as a broad question. But he would ask were there ten members in the House in favor of the Quebec Scheme? Let these gentlemen who were in favor of the general principle consider well before they cast their influence against the Government, They were told that the Quebec Scheme could be sitered. When that was said let then barken to the testimony of the Canadian statesmen who had given birth to the scheme. Not a single letter could be altered. Let them listen to the testimony of the

Hon, D'Arey MeGee :

" Everything we did was done in form and with propriety, and the result of our proceedings is the document that has been submitted to the Imperial Government. as well as to this House, and which we speak of now, as a treaty. And that there may be no doubt about our position in regard to that elocument, we say question you may, but alter it, you may not. (Hear, hear.) It is beyond your power or our power to alter it. There is not asentence, aye, or even a word, you can alter without desiring to throw out the document. Alter it, and we know at once what you mean-you thereby de-clare yourselves anti-Unionists. On this point I repeat after all my honorable triends who have already spoken for one party to alter a treaty, is of course to destroy it. Let us be frank with each other : you who do not like our work, nor do you like us, who stand by it, clause by clause,

Let him call up the Hon. J. A. McDonald, a man who stands very high in Canado, and let them listen to what he said :

line by line, and letter by letter.

"We present it not in the precise shape we in Canada would desire it, but as in the best shape the five Colonies to be united could agree upon it. We present it in the form in which the five Governments have severally adopted it-in the form the Imperial Government has endorsed it-and in the form in w iich we believe all tine Legislatures of the Provinces will accept We ask the House to pass it in the EXACT form in which we have presented, for we know not how alterations may af. feet its safety in other places, and the process of alteration once commenced in four different Legislatures, who can tell where himself open to remark; he lorgot the that would end f"

They have had the would he carried letter by letter, line by able basis, such as would advance the ine ests of the country, it might be a quesadopt it. He would say that the Government were prepared to renew negotiations with Canada and the other Provinces at any conference that might be called together; but not in any event to agree to the Quebec Scheme. The member of York had spoken of British statesmen, and of their being listened to as superiors speaking to inferiors. He did not like tleman. It was not doing justice to themtleman. It was not doing jurious as selves or to their country. He had great respect for their ability, their acquirements and their superior culture. they said that this Con'ederation Scheme would advance the interests of this country-while he had much respect for their opinion-still he thought on a question affecting their own interests, men with practical judgment and common sense were the best judges; and on this question of Confederation they respectfully differed.

The Attorney General then proby the member of York, (Mr. F.) that the present Chief Justice Ritchie had caballed with him ( Atty Gen.) If there was any one thing, he proceeded to say, that it was necessary to maintain, it was the purity of the Bench. The ermine should be kept spotless. The people should look up with respect to those who filled bigh positions, especially those who had the guardianship of their lives and properties. Up to the present time politics had never entered into a court of justice. He put it to the House if they were prepared to justfix the member of York in dragging in the name of Judge Ritchie, and repeating the stories set affoat that he had caballed with him (Att'y Gen.) a year ago upon the position of Chief Justice. A ar ago he was not in the Government. year ago he was not in the coninto power, and the resignation of the Chief Justic? was spoken of, was it not understood that if Sr James Carter did resign, that the position should be given Judge Robert Parker. Would the

country have justified any other appointment than that of that justly revered Judge, of whom he would repeat, what he had said before, that take him for all and all he was the best man he ever knew. When the member of York knew that, how could be justify himself when he said that Judge Ritchie had caballed with him (Att'y Gen.). He was not going to deprecate Judge Wilmot; he was not going to say that he was not a great and distinguished priest; but he would say, when Judge Wilmot when a great question was agitating the country from its centre to its circum ference—upon the Bench determined against and denounced men who opposed the Quebec Scheme, and lauded to the skies those who framed it, he laid duty imposed upon him by his position : From the mouth of these witnesses let heard it was said that Judge Wilmot de-

livered, from the Bench, a speech on Confederation in Sunbury, and the peo-ple in the Court applauded him. (Mr. Glazier—they did not). He heard it so stated, and he must believe it. They let Judge Wilmot speak on any subject, and he gained the irresistable applause of his audience. Now, if the people cheered him when he spoke from the Bench, must they not come to the conclusion, if people have a right to applaud him they have an equal right to hiss him. They could not deny that hiss him. They could not deny that. Then what became of the respect and dignity of the Bench? He would like to see one of the Judges in England act in that manner. He would like to see an English Judge throw himself into the discussion on the Reform Bill, and even that would be more excusable than the the House if it was ever known here that a Judge took part in polities. Had the member of York shown an instance when a Judge went to the hustings. He would ask him to point to a case when a Judge had ever voted? The fact that Judges had never voted was conclusive in his mind against Judge While a Judge (he went on Wilmot. to say) might speak on political subjects, and express his views in conversation at the social table, he has no right to declaim from the Bench. Government had been charged with doing a great wrong for not appointing Judge Wilmot Chief Justice. But he thought Judge Wilmot had acted imprudently, and not in a manner that met the approbation of the country. He thought that no man would deny that the late Chief Justice Robert Parker's judgment on the Judge best qualified to be successor was entitled to respect. taken the office if he had not known that Judge Ritchie should succeed him. He did not ask the House to believe him at his word, for he had the written record in proof that what he said was true. It was stated that in the appointment seniority prevailed. But it was not true that the oldest Judges were always appointed. In the majority of cases in this Province the junior Judge had been appointed over the senior, and if seni-ority prevailed Judge Neville Parker lind the right. He would not draw invidious distinctions between Judge Ritchie and Judge Wilmot, but he would say that public opinion would justify the appointment of Judge Ritchie, and the country had the testimony of the late Robert Parker that he was the right man in the right place; would not that testimony satisfy the murmurs of dissatisfaction

The hon, member of York had called the Government a Government of hypocrise; he had characterized its mem-bers as political thimble-riggers; but if there was any man in the Province remarkable for his expertness in that art he sat in the seat of the hon, member. He had done. He was now willing to leave the question in the hands of the House

Mr. DESBRISAY said, it might appear strange that he should rise to answer a gentleman of the ability and tal-ents of the Attorney General. He would give his reason. He was obliged to go home, as the Supreme Court, in Kent, was then sitting, and he had some yery heavy suits pending. That was