minds. Lagree with him. It had much had not endorsed his roply to the Logis- There is another objection made to the to do with it in this way: Mr. Killian lative Council they would have had to Scheme which really has much force it it. and his brother would tell the people resign, and His Excellency would have It is said we should have an increased within the neighboring border, that if had to dissolve the House in conse representation in the Legislative Counthe people of New Brunswick did not quence; but if they had endorsed it, cil. This, if it can be obtained, would wish to go into Confederation they had this Union policy would have been ear- provide the necessary checks against any only to call upon him, and he was ready ried out, and the leader of the late action taken in the Lower House detrito come, with his band to do the bidding Government would have received honor mental to our interest; but as it is, the of the disaffected. This sunk into the for it. When Sir Robert Peel, who terms are more favorable to New Brunshearts of loyal people, and if ever New occupied a high position at home, found wick than to Canada, because, according Brunswick did honor to herself, she did it necessary to alter his policy, and to population, we have two representait when she threw aside all considera- stood torth ready to sacrifice place, tives in the Upper House to Canada's tions of mere dollars and cents, and power, and position for the time being, one. It is said that in the United States said that rather than join horself to conscious of his own rectitude, there each State sends an equal number of rethem, or sail in the same boat with never was a time when he stood higher presentatives to the Upper House, and those that held their doctrines, she as a statesman than when he came that it should be the same here. The would sacrifice a great deal and go in manly forth with no covered scheme Senate of the United States exercises the for Union under any circumstances. I and no desire to shirk responsibility, functions of an executive body, and therehave heard people say, that now the but took it upon himself, with a few fore the same reasons for an equal reoreelections are over we will hear no more tollowers, to advocate his changed sentation does not apply here. of Fenianism in the Province. If we policy. Had this been the policy of the do not, its death blow was struck when late Attoracy General, there is no doubt the abstract, or Union under the basis of we showed unmistikably that we were but that he would have made himself the Quebec Scheme, hoping to get imin tayor of Confederation. Another one of the foremost men in the Province; provements? The main question which reason for this change was the repeal but instead of this he adopted what agitated the minds of the people of New of the Reciprocity Treaty. We found might be termed a cowardly policy. Brunswick was this: was it Imperial that we were to be placed at the mercy | We came here not to act in that cow | policy? If we cast our vote, will we not of our neighbors across the border, and ardly spirit, but determined to advocate be casting our vote for or against that that our supply of flour and breadstuffs Union, and to carry out the principle of policy? The people believed the Queen might easily be cut off, but if we were Union in all its integrity. We have and the British nation desired it, and they united we would be independent of been cautioned to act with solemnity, said they would not cast their votes them. We found that many of those and not to surrender our judgment to against the policy of the British Empire. people in the Province who had annexa. the guidance of others. I think it was lest the construction might be put upon tion proclivities and desires were most scarcely necessary to ask us not to it that they were disloyal to the British violently opposed to the Scheme, while those who desired to maintain British connection were in favor of it. The exinfluence in carrying these elections. Scheme of Union, and whose policy could not agree to Union, but a charge against the Queen's representarive ought to see that his own garments are perfectly clear. The Govern-ascent where their names shall rest when ment found they must adopt a Union they are no more. We are told that our policy or resign their seats. When that Local Legislatures will dwindle away. confidential memorandum came out, we found that the Government had had increase in population? Has it not been several communications with the then shown that there would be very few bills Premier of the Government, and that His Excellency was desirous of expressing his views on Union at the opening of the House. This correspondence discloses what the policy of the Government was, that these despatches were to be submitted to a Committee who were to report in favor of Union. The Government did not desire to take the responsibility upon their own shoulders, but that they might more easily effect their purpose and relieve themselves to throw the responsibility upon the House. They call themselves constitutionalists, because they say the Gover-trupt politicians. A man would not take nor acted in an unconstitutional manner; all his equipage and remove to Ottawa

says again that Renfairsm had much to in not consulting with his Council. It for the sake of being there three months the country, and the country have honored them, and are only climbing that steep Are we to remain as we are, and never that we would not still have to discuss here? Suppose our Local Legislature were to fall into comparative insignifi cance, must we sacrifice this grand idea for the sake of a Local Legislature? Are we to sacrifice the interests of three millions of people for the sake of a Local Legislature. All we want of it is to manage the affairs of the Province, lt was said that the Legislative Councillors would reside in Canada. Could they imagine that men with a property qualifi cation of \$4000 would remove to Canada

do with this chaege in the people's he had consulted his Council, and they with a paltry salary of about £250.

Were we elected to support Union in surrender out judgment and follow a Crown. The cry has been raised throughleader who would leave us wan- out the country that the Quebec Scheme dering in an inconsistent path, was not a good Scheme. Many people was opposed to this said they would agree to Union, but and speaks of what he calls the was a vacilating policy. We will and when I asked them to point out their treachery and unconstitutional conduct follow those statesmen on the floors of objections to it they could not do it. of the Governor. Any man who brings the II-use who have been an honor to This, was an easy way of letting themselves down; they had formerly opposed all Union, and now they would go for Union but would oppose the Quebec Scheme. They do this because they think it degrading to change their views. Why, should a man be always orying out against a building when he has the power to remove it, and build a better superstructure if he can. Any fool can cry down but it is the part of a wise man to build up. Af the ex-premier does not like the Scheme why does he not bring in a better. If he would bring in a Scheme we shou d bind it round with silks and ribbons, and crown it with a high cocked hat. If he would only bring it in and present it as his firstborn, in the present emergency we would render it due obeisance. It may show itself but until, it comes we will take the liberty of dealing with the one we have. We have been told that if we went for this Scheme of Union we would be cutting the last connecting link which binds us to the mother country. If it is simply the appointment of cur Lieutenant