

Newfoundland

through the nose for any support they get. However, that is their business, not mine. Of course, along with Maurice they get such characters as the one mentioned by the hon. member for Rosetown-Biggart (Mr. Coldwell) a few days ago, Paul Sauriol. They get the support of that sort of character, too. They make bedfellows of themselves with Monsieur Houde, the "imperialist," Camillien. Oh, yes, they get that. They get Chaloult thrown into the bargain, and a great many like him. They the great flag wavers acquire these friends. They get all these people I have mentioned as long as they give to Maurice Duplessis the power of veto on any measure of the sort we have before us tonight. Just before I conclude I wish to say this.

Some hon. Members: Don't stop.

Some hon. Members: Stop.

Mr. Maybank: When these gentlemen make up their minds whether or not they want me to go ahead, then I will know. They seem to be a little uncertain. By their amendment the Tories have put themselves in the position that, according to them, the resolution proposed, whereby Newfoundland will be brought into confederation, is wrong, and that the only right way is to have the amendment carried which would send the matter to all of the provinces one after the other for agreement, or veto. A good many remarks were made by the hon. member for Stanstead (Mr. Hackett) which seem to indicate that is, indeed, the position they are taking. If that is their line, if the procedure of their amendment is what must be done, then they should vote against the motion of the government to bring Newfoundland into confederation. They should vote against it if they have the nerve to do so. I challenge the Tories now to vote against the resolution. I tell them to their faces that, in spite of all their talk, yelling and laughter, they have not got the nerve to do so. They are again trying to play both ends against the middle. They are hoping to be able to go out and say, "We did everything we could to bring Newfoundland in"; and they want to say in some places, "We did everything we could to keep Newfoundland out except on your say-so." They want to be in the position of saying both.

Under their scheme you will find them striving to get as many votes as possible in Ontario by saying, "We took the proper line for Canadian expansion to bring in this fine new province." That is what they want to say in Ontario. In some places in Quebec they want to say, "You see, we did all we could to put Maurice Duplessis in the position we wanted him to be, the arbiter of all such things as this." That is their position, and I dare them to vote against the resolution.

[Mr. Maybank.]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order. I have been asked to read in English the amendment to the amendment which was moved by Mr. LaCroix. It is as follows:

That the words "after they will have given their consent" be substituted for the words "upon a satisfactory conclusion of such consultations" in the last paragraph of the amendment.

Mr. E. D. Fulton (Kamloops): Mr. Speaker, I enter this debate for one main purpose; that is, to clear up an obvious misconception which exists as to the real meaning of the amendment moved by the leader of the opposition (Mr. Drew). But before I do that I feel I must make reference to some remarks which have been made, mainly from the other side of the house. I think most hon. members have heard about as much as they wish to hear from or about the hon. member who has just taken his seat, but I cannot allow his remarks to go altogether unanswered, so I shall start with them though I shall not dwell on them for longer than is necessary. The hon. member made a great deal of the words "inanity" and "insincerity". From the whole tenor of his remarks it becomes obvious to hon. members, I think even on his own side, that anyone so incapable of experiencing or understanding sincerity as he would not be able to see any sincerity in a suggestion made by anyone else.

There is one thing, however, on which I think the hon. member for Winnipeg South should be congratulated. He has studied the rules with such care and diligence and to such good effect—and apparently just for this purpose—that he can come closer than any other hon. member can to unparliamentary language, procedure and behaviour without actually overstepping the bounds.

But that is the only thing upon which he is to be congratulated. Indeed, if success in this house is to be measured by the proportion of calculated insult and contumely carried in speeches, then certainly the hon. member for Winnipeg South, who has just resumed his seat, would have scored a remarkable victory.

Mr. Maybank: I want to raise a point of order, with which I believe the hon. member will agree. I am sure he would not wish to have those remarks directed to the wrong member. Mr. Mutch is the hon. member for Winnipeg South; I am the member for Winnipeg South Centre. I hope the hon. member will get that fixed up, because I should like to get it all in the right place.

Mr. Rowe: So would the other hon. member.

Mr. Maybank: That is right.

Mr. Fulton: If it is necessary to ask for any correction in *Hansard*, I most certainly do so, but I feel quite certain that no hon.