Inches, that the order had been repealed, and the grants would issue This was the way the business of the office was managed. They sold laid under certain conditions, and then violated them. Wby did they not extend some of these privileges to the Hartley sales? They forgot who were required to perform the conditions of the law to the letter, and received no grants. The insttention of the Commissioner, or the want of knowledge in reference to the matter. has caused persons a great inconvenience. I know it from the many calle I have to attend to in these matters, and my communications to the Crown Land Office will show a further evidence in regard to it. I will mention a case where a grant was issued to a man who a tually does not own the land, and four or five others have been kept out of their grants where the labor had been performed, within my personal knowledge, for the last three or tour years. This matter was brought during the last Session. I had to take the matter in hand myself, and get a survey, and have now the returns in my hand for the purpose of being handed in to the Crown Land Office, which being done, I am informed the grants will be issued. If this is the way one of the most important departments, affecting every settler throughout the Province, is to be managed-it the head of the department is incompetent—it is a charge against the Government, and I hope by a vote of this House a change will take place, and a thorough reformation be established in that office.

The Surveyor General attacked me in a rather defiant manner, in reference to a body that I knew this Order of Council was rescinded. (Mr. Botsford-I did not, I made no charge against him. It was in reply to a charge made against me in reference to a petition, he said it was not known, and I said he knew it.) I made no charge. I said there appeared to be some mis-apprehension in reference to something in connection with Mr. Hartley. (Mr. Botsford-I made no charge against Mr. Hartley. I ssked his hon. colleague (Mr. Lindray) who the deputy was that stated the land could not be sold, and he replied, Mr. Hartley. I was replying when he (Mr. Connell) rose up and stated it was not known. I replied that he knew of it, and others knew of it.) I stood up for the purpose of removing an erroneous impression, so far as Mr. Hartley was concerned. Mr Hartley knew nothing in reference to this order being rescinded, until it was remarked upon by the papers in St. John. He said he had seen in the Telegraph, that there was u great difficulty about the Government selling land, and that the order of Council had been rescinded. I replied I had known that some time ago. He said that this was the first he had heard of it. The Surveyor General knows that was Mr. Hartley's position, and that was the reason I inade these observations.

The debate was then adjourned until to-morrow. T. P. D.

The House went into Committee of the Whole (Mr. Spovil in the chair) in further consideration of a Rill to abolish the property qualification of members to serve

in the General Assembly of the Province. MR. COSTIGAN explained that the object of the Bill was to do away with the law that now existed. That demanded that candidates offering to serve in should possess real estate to the value of £300. The true principle, which it was the object of the Bill to establish, was, that the choice of representatives should be left to the constituents. The abolishgive them a freer choice, and this would he better for the interests of the country As the law new stood, a man might fier to serve, in whom-al the electors of a County, save ohe, were perfectly witting to confide, and this one man might object to his election, and say he had no property qualification. Mr. Costigen then quoted from a despatch to Mr. Dundas, Governor of Prince Edward's Island, from the late Secretary of the Colonies, on the subject of election of the Legis ative ca-tle said, that the property qualification ought to be possessed not by the candidate, but by the voter. In Great Britain no property qualification was now required of candidat a to the Imperial Par- come ween that principle would be carried liament. Province, he did not see why inshould be Farliament of England when it established allowed to stand on the Statute Book, f. r p perty qualification for members, gave as it was not carried out. It gave to the a resson why a certain class of men ought

he had always opposed this Bill. Though a ways the poor man who was influenced he could not deny that the property quality had the will of others, or who was most ficution had been abolished in England, cirrupt. He had seen a poor man in He did not see that was a conclusive ar- one of the back settlements of York gument for abolishing it in the Province. Comy, whose barns and house had been sessed of property. If the electors sent offered \$10 to vote against a candidate a representative who had no property, shose principles the beheved in; you would be he asade man to whom to sub-tough homeless, birniess and sheltermit the protection of their property? He | less, ne turned away from the -proff-red did not think that it was too much to de- bribe and voted as his judgment and his mand that all men who proposed to serve conscience dietated. And that was as representatives in the House of A sense specimen of a York elector. He had so bly should be possessed of real estate to signed the reason way the property qualithe amount of £300.

MR NEEDHAM said that there were some great principles connected with the derstood. He would take the present opportunity to announce his po'itical fai h. He wished the people to understand that he had not been born a politician-thprinciples he maintained had not grown up with him from his boyhoos, but had their commencement about the year 1847 and 48. Ever since the time he had been politically regenerated, he could say triat he had never fallen away from political grace. He entertained the same principles now as those he had learnt in the years 1847 and '8. In making use of bold expressions of opinion, men were often misunderstood, but the opinion he was about to utter he had before expressed on the floors of the House and outside its walls. He did believe in the principle of universal sufferage, and he would given up, there was to reson why they deal the House why he believed in it. In sculd be asked to give one per pic the this deliberative Assembly where they letting it; and latthe people had the tobse

gislated on the lives, property and liberties of the people-he wished to say that that principle was consonant with ... spirit of the British Constitution, and he wished that opinion put on record. When he spoke of universal suffrage-ie meant that every man in the community who paid taxes had a right to vote, and to have a say in the legislation of the country. Trey were there to legislate on the lives. tiberties, and properties of the poonleand the most abject man, he meant abject, not in spirit, but in purse, who paid the least amount of taxes had as much right to have a voice in the choice of a representative as the richest. Liberty dear to every man-the life of man was not to be measured by the riches he posseased, it was as precious to the man who had so reely a ray to cover him-as to hin who was clothed in number and fine lines : was not life to Lazarus the begrar as dear as was life to the rich man Diver? He said that the most abject man in condi ion had the right to an equal voice in the legislature of his country with the most powerfu'. He would call the attention of his breth-

ren of the law to a statement made by

Sir William Blackstone-in bis common-

taries-a man whose dieta was never dis-

sented from. It was perfectly consonant he said, with the spirit of the British Constitution, that every man who paid taxes should have a vote, and the time would With regard to the law in the jour -might was not atways right. The nay having no property qualification, but the except men of so mean a situation in the over the contract the law, an advant they were men of so mean a situation in the over the honest angualified man with of life that they had ny wift or men of their wa. If Sir William Backsto Hos. ATTORNEY GENERAL said it erday, he would kn wthat t was not And that was a fication was at first demanded, but when Blackstone said that it was consonant with the spirit of the British Constitution principle of the Bill that ought to be an- that every man who was tixed should have the right to vote, then, he said, policy ought to give way to absolute right. It was the Legislature that gave the people the right to vote. But he held that was the inalienable right of every man born into the world to enjoy life and lib-Go back to the most ancient times, and they would find that every man had the same right as he came from the most abject man was equal in these respects with the richest and That was the original state, and the same rights that existed then existed now. That inalienable right to life and liberty, and to have a voice in the Government. had never been given up by the people. Then, if the right to vote and never been given up, there was 10 retson why they