nes

av

ıt-

ld

d

the first place, he said he was going to he said very few words about the printhe state of the law as administered in I think it is required by the country. the country. My hon, friend from That is for sums below £20, but if you for that will not avail in the interprebring an action for sums of from £20 tation of a statute. What a man will to £50 in the Supreme Court the costs are £8 or £9; therefore, when he compared the costs under this Bill and the costs in the Supreme Court, he did not state the whole case. This Bill possesses the important element of cheap justice, for causes are decided cheaply and quickly. It proposes to reduce the number of jurors to five, thereby reducing the expense considerably. If I had my way in this summary jurisdiction, in all causes where the mere right of the party or debts are concerned I would not have any Jury at all. I would rather leave the cause to an intelligent and learned Judge than submit it to a Jury, who are influenced by paltry prejudice or who are not acquainted with the subject. In the Supreme Courts in England and in this Province there is often a great contest between the Judge and Jury. The Jury often go reminst the evidence, and then applications are made for new trials to get rid of the wrong decisions of Juries. language employed. One hon, member If the Judge in any of these County Courts should go wrong and misdirect the Jury, the party has an appeal open to him and he can avail himself of it. I have made a very few observations, and I shall reserve my remarks until we are in, and in the conflict of these we come to consider the details of the statements we must look at what the letters from your own County. Bill. It is admitted that we have a right law says: Neither the spirit of the law

which we now possess, but after date the only thing for us to consider is suthortly to appoint new Judges in new consideration; Payor made up my mind whether the country is in such a state Court. It is said that the General as to require the construction of this Government will pay those Judges, but Mr. CHANDLER. 1 thought from Court. If it is the opinion of the House they must not forger what the people of the length of time the find, member for that we are a such a state that this new the country will have to pay. You con-

or right to legislate upon this subject at elevated to the offices of Judges in those | We will have eight Courts in the counall. I say until the Union is consum. County Courts, but my hon, friend from try for the people to keep up. The payers we had. Has not this Legislature that position-we expect to be lowered Government is no object; for we will have the power to establish or abolish any down from the position we formerly to pay the Jeries and keep up all the Courts of Law? Tsay our legal right occupied. Notwithstanding this, if this expenses. I believe there is no necessity to do so cannot be doubted. Then if House can rightly and justly pass this for a law establishing four additional

Westmorfand '(Mr. Smith) has tried to pass, for I think any measure the Govthe Supreme Court. and says in a sum- think it proper for the Provincial Secremary action the costs would be \$7.20, tary to state here what he would do, but under this Bill they would be \$8.60 | and what he would not do, for the purincluding the cost of the execution: pose of making a reputation for himself. do and what he will not do does not affect the construction of a law. We have to look at this Aot as it is, and not he says there was something said about County Courts, but he does not recollect of anything being said about bringing in a law to constitute them. The Provincial Secretary's memory is better: he states that it was discussed that. elaborately and fully, and it was understood we were to bring in an act to con- it. The Secretary, like myself, will prostitute those Courts. He insinuates I am bably run his election, for we both seem course. I am not advocating the interest of Canada, but I am giving a fair construction to an Act according to the says that constituting these Courts is no departure from the agreement made, and another hon, member says it is a departure from that agreement and we cannot justify it. That is the position applications for those offices?

Westmortand (Mr. Smith) spoke, we system is required, and there is no logal stitute four Courts, and have a Jury of would have heard more from him re- objection; I shall give the Bill my sup- ten for each Court, and all those Jury fees will have to be paid by the country. Mr. LEWIS .- There seems to be When the Attorney General tells us that discuss the principle of the Bill, and some difficulty between some of the the administration of the criminal law the state of the s ciple, but spoke about the defects of the hold the situation of Judges in the Sessions will still continue one of the indifferent sections of the Bill. It has inferior Court of Common Pleas. Some stitutions of the country, and that Court been said that we have not the power hon, gentlemen have a prospect of being must have a Grand Jury and a Petit Jury. mated we are clothed with all the pow. Northumberland and myself are not in ment of the Judges by the General

there is a legal right, we must consider Bill, and the Dominion of Carada pay Courts, with a panel of ten Jurymen to whether it is secessary. Let us look at the Judges, I shall support the Bill, for pay, besides travelling expenses. If there is a failure of public justice in the coun-Mr. SMITH.-I assume this Bill will try, and the people call loudly for some put a false gloss on some of his state. erument may bring forward will be let us make such change, but there is no ments; he refers to the jurisdiction of agreed to by this House. I do not such call for establishing those County Courts, by which additional burdens are imposed upon the country. We have now to pay \$6,000 a year as Jury fees, and this sum will be vastly increased when we establish these additional Courts. In regard to patronage: I suppose the Provincial Secretary, if a lawyer, would not take one of these Judgeships, for he has higher aspirations ;-he wants to esto any proposition made in regard to it. tablish a reputation for Ottawa-he ex-The hon. member from St. John (Mr. pects to get an office there with twelve Wilmot) says he made a proposition hundred pounds a year. Still, he has that Gounty Courts should be adopted some little interest in this matter; he in this Province, and this was agreed to sees friends around him who have fought by the Delegates; but the Attorney with him side by side; he sees profes-General seems to disagree with him, for sional men who would undoubtedly adorn these Courts; and, when about to leave them, he feels it his duty to do something for them. He tells us that for every office he has had five applicants. Hon. Mr. TILLEY .- I did not say

Mr. SMITH .- You said what meant

advocating the interest of Canada, while to have Ottawa on the brain, and when I had charged him with pursuing that he is taking leave of his friends, whom he has promised so much-

Hos. Mr. TILLEY .- I have not promised them anything.

Mr. SMITH .- Have you not promised them some of these Judgeships? Hon, Mr. TILLEY .- No. A

Mr. SMITH .- Have you not received

Hon. Mr. TILLEY .-- I received two to legislate upon this subject, therefore nor the letter gives this Legislature written by good men, for there are some