a cite, and sent over the country to have an effect upon the elections.

The Paragraph in the Speech attaches no constitutional responsibility upon the late Government. The answer to the Legislative Council was the subject of much debate, His Excellency wished to put something in it in reference to Union, but we said No, and he g-ve way. They say we were committed to Union. I say I stated in my place in the late House that I was for Union provided we could get a Union advantageous for this Province, for it was my country and I would consent to no Scheme of Union that did not give protection to the rights and interests of the people of this Province. This is also stated in the correspondence, and in all my intercourse with the Governor I ulways maintained the same principle. When the Provincial Secretary said that I had pledged myself to Union and consulted my friends upon the su ject he did not do us just ce. I ask him if he knows that Mr. Mitchell was sent for a few days jections to the Quebec Scheme, viz. ; before the House met. (Mr. Tilley. I do.) The first time he was sent for I was in the United States, and not a single member of the Government knew that he was sent for. The second time he was sent for the Provincial Secretary knew of it. Does that look as if the Governor was acting bona fide with us, when he concealed from us the fact that he had sent for a member of the Opposition? Was such conduct justifiable? I protested from time to time against the Governor's acting with the members of the Opposi-The Provincial Secretary knew every thing that was to take place and every thing that did take place. When the Governor sent for me about twenty minutes before three, he had his answer prepared for the Legislative Council. I told him that he had violated his agreement, He said he did not know that the Legislative Council were going to present this address. No said I, but we are not responsible for the Legislative Council, he did not pretend to deny that he violated the arrangement, but tried to justify it.

He then told me he would give me half-

an-hour to consult my colleagues. I told him no, the debate on the want of

confidence motion was going on, and they

would have to be in the House; he said

"he would send the coaches down for

them to come up." I said they could not

leave the House. He replied, "I sup-

pose not;" he then left me but before he

did so, he said very cooly, if you do not

like the answer you can relieve your-

if this was fair. He said had we not bet-

good name, that we should resign the that we may get a Scheme under which seals of office and let our enemies come justice would be done to every member in and take the Government of the conn- of the Confederacy.

try, or in other words that we should Hoo. Mr. FISHER- A large portion prove recreant to our trust, and to every of the speech of my hon, friend is more

Representation by Population, 2. That each Province should have an equal number of Legislative Councillors. 3. That the Lower Provinces should be exempt from texation for the Cana's of Upper Canads, and for the purchase money and other expenditures connected with the North West Territory.

That the Revenues collected in the of each Province whon collected, except a certain amount to be given for the support of the General Government,

to dissipate every objection made to the went from hustings to hustings proclaim-Scheme, when this is known to the Cana- ing everywhere that we would be toxed to iau delegates they will not consent to selves of the responsibility. I asked him nave it modified. Therefore I ask them ter resign, and get it out of the way. 1 Conference at Quebec, I do not think it dogs and hens. am informed that the Clerk of the Exewould be right to take men from the Opcutive Council was there ready to swear in a new Government. It was a proporition made by this man who boats of his.

principle of honor which bound na faith- adapted to another time and another ocfully to fulfil that trust. The Provincial casion. We are not here to discuss the S cretary says. It was to consult my quistion of the position of the late friends about Union, he knows that is Government in all its bearings. not correct. He knows that I was to He complains that the subject was consult my friends about the appointment dragged in by the Provincial Scoreof a committee to take the subject of tary. I think he himself was the first Union into consideration. I said I could aggressor. He objects to the mode of not pledge myself as to what the Com- carrying this Union measure, and says it mittee were to do. They might autho- was sided by the treacherous conduct of rise another Conference or authorize a the Governor. I do not intend to follow Conference of the Lower Colonies. I him is but very few of his objections, He ask the Attorney, General whether it is referred to cur position when the House the intention of the Government to unite was dissolved by the late Governor New Brugswick with Nova Scotia and Manners-Sutton, and compared it with Canada or whether they intend to make the action of His Excellency, but there is it a sine qua non that all British North a great difference between the two. He America shall be united. He declines to and I were In the Government at that answer the question. I made certain ob- time, and one ground of our complaint vas that the Governor had allowed the members to return to their ordinary business before the question was raised regarding the Prohibitory Law; had he raised an objection to it during the Session of the Legislature, the dissolution might have been avoided. His great paramount object was to oust his Government, and the Prohibitory Liquor Law Provinces should be for the benefit was made the stalking horse to accomplish that object. I am not going to follow him through the maxes of his correspondence, but will only say that after The Governor acknowledged that the reading it and reading the speech. I objections were reasonable, but now he is came to the conclusion that my hon. willing to go for the Quebec Scheme. I friend's Government had agreed with the told him that if I went into political obs Governor upon some question of Union. livion for ever, I would never consent to I have not had much to do with the back representation by population, unless it stairs influence to which he has referred. was neutralized by some checks. This I From the time the Governor came to the stated to the House several times during Province until I entered this Government; the last session. I told the Governor, I have never had five minutes conversathat I never would go into Union tion with him upon any political question. blindfold, and trust to luck as to what When I en ered the late House my mind kind of Union we were to get, but I must was made up that no power on earth know the terms of the Union proposed would induce me to assist that Governbefore I would agree to it, I will be here ment to carry that Union. I came to the at all times to raise my voice in vindica. House predged to oppose them. I betion of the people's rights and interests, heved they acquired power unfairly, and and I plead for them that the Scheme their opposition was an onposition may be altered, because I think the coun to the Government more than an try is opposed to it. I think it is the in- opposition to Union. It was not distention of the Government to appoint cussed fairly before the country. Evmen to proceed to England who were de- ery man was told that his horses, cows; legates at the Quebec Conference, and as hens, dogs, and every thing he had, would the Provincial Secretary has undertaken be taxed if he went for this Union. Men

death. Mr. SMITH-I said taxation would be to send seme men who were not at the increased. I said nothing about taxing

Hon. Mr. FISHER-Writings putting position, but they should take men who forth propositions of that kind were cirwere not committed to the Scheme so culated over the Province, and that init t