get one by degrees. The member of States, and had quoted from a New York paper to the effect that Government would protect the Colonies from invasion. He had also flung back the charge that the

Antis were disloyal. Now he questioned the obedience of that child that would not obey its parent-loyalty was of the hart and not of the pocket, and no men He would quote also from a New York paper. (The hon, member read extract.) Here we found that they read extract.) Here we found that they were opposed in the United States to the Union of the Colonies and the Intercolonial Italiway, and the Antis were opposed nial isaliway, and the Antis were opposed to them also. He thought that there was some connection between disloyalty and anti-Confederation. Mr. Lindsay went on to quote from the Freeman, an extract from a letter signed A I, and commented from a letter signed A I, and commented upon it, denying that he as there charged, had ever said that the Fenians belonged to one denomination. He had never tried to set denomination against denomination, or class against class; he believed ever man was entitled to equal privileges and man was entitled to equal privinges and freedom. He then went on to deny the truth of the statement made to the hon, member of York (Mr. Needham) that there were six or seven companies in Woodstock well armed and equipped; there was only one company. Hethough something should be done for the defence of Carleton and the frontier. If the object of the raiders was plunder, they were more likely to att ck small towns and vi-

lages than cities.
Mr. WILMOT asked, was the country prepared to go into an army and navy, and support them out of the ordinary re-He thought the Government venua? ought to tell the House what steps they were prepared to take to raise the ways and mean

MR. FISHER supposed the object of the Bill was to meet the present emer-gency. As the House had already given the Government all power necessary to the tovernment all power necessary to meet it, he could not see how they could refuse to pass the Bill. He was not dis-posed to let his hon, colleague (Mr. N.) laugh this matter off. He believed that there was ground for serious danger. The time had arrived that had been la-ked forward to with apprehension for years. When the war of the Union over, the difficulty would arise when a lawless mo , accustomed to the use of arms, and indisposed to industry, would be thrown out upon the country, ready to engage it any raid or desperate undertaking, and enlist in any cause so that they could indolge their taste for rapine and plunder. Bill did not refer at all to the Fish ries We had, for that protection, flung over We had, for that protection, name us, the powerful arm of the British Empire—an Empire, before whose power the paled. Mr. Fisher then quoted from the Memorandum from His Excellency regarding the defence of the Province, to prove that this alarm in the country was not in the mouth of any man to laugh this thing away. WETMORE said he had looked

over the Bill, and he had found in it a great number of blanks. It was evident the Government were determined that the House should get no information on this or any other subject. He thought it was his right that he should get the information be wanted. It was extraordinary him state an opinion in favor of union; G neralship, or some office, that he left that the Attorney General was not found and he was allowed by His Excellency to the Government. He would require to

er of in his place new. All the available resources of the Powince had gone for military purposes, and now the country wait ed the mercy of the Government. If the dence of the country, they must in their turn, be more confiding. Mr. Wetmore then read the Bill, and commented upon it, and said he found it a perfect blank, called upon the Provincial Secretary to

ive some information respecting it.
PROVINCIAL SECRETARY, The hon, member did not intend to oppose the Bill, but he caught at every opportunity to say something derogatory of the Government. He had told them he asked the House to pass the Bill, that the Cotake the necessary steps for the defence of the country. He had told them that would approach the Province by the sea The Bilt explained itself and it did sak for more money than had already been granted. The hon, member of St. John (Mr. Wetmore) had made allusions to the Attorney General being away. It was no proof of talent to say these saucy things. He could say them if he liked, but he was better bred. If the hon, member intended to pursue the course he had taken, it would be necessary to meet him in his own manner. He would assure him (Mr. W.) that the country as w through the low, mean insinuations he was cont nually making against the Government.
Mr. WILMOT. Where are the ways and meens to come from? That was a

political question at any rate.

Mr. WRTMORR, One would think from the ferocious manner in which (Mr. W.) that he was sufficient rauders that would ever attempt to come into the Province. He could thoroughly understand why the Provincial Secretary was so sensitive about any reflection upon the Government. With regard to the Government, the existing regard to the country was, that they had no mind of their own. They had not even power to appoint to office and, it was said, that the chief head was not a nember of the Council at all. He would like to get the information be asked for, if the Provincial Secretary was permitted

to give it.
The Bill was then passed.

AFTERNOON.

ADJOURNED DEBATE ON THE AMENDMENT TO THE ADDRESS.

MR. W.LMOT said, he was now called upon to explain the position in which he stood with regard to the present. He had received permission from His Excellency to use anything where his colleagues and himself had been in conflict. It appeared that he had been charged as being entirely in error, when he had said he was in favor of the abstract principle of union, between them with regard to the Minute of Council; and, when the gentlemen who signed that memorandum, said, that he never expressed an opinion in favor of union, he had the authority of Judge Allen to say, that he repeatedly heard

say, when that cel-brated Minute was before the Council, that His Excellency referred to him (Mr. W) as not opposed to the a stract principle. When those conflemen who signed the Minute said, gentlemen who signed the hirute said, that he expressed no such opinion, he said, it was not the fact. He saw Mr. Fraser in his place. He happened to be in his (Mr. W.'s) house when the quesin his (Mr. W. s) house when the ques-tion was talked of, and he (Mr. F.) knew whether he was in favor of the abaract principle or not. (Mr. Fraser. There was not the slightest doubt about it.) He had expressed the same opinion to hundreds of persons. He had the satisca'led a traitor to the cause of anti-Confederation, that the Governor had endorsed his opinion. He would ask the Government if they were opposed to union? What did the paragraph in the Speech mean? [The hon, member here quoted from the Speech ] If these gentlemen were still disposed to union, ha tiemen were still disposed to union, has would ask agein, how was it they agreed to put that havagraph in His Excellency's Speech? [The hon, member quoted the paragraph relating to union in the Reply to the Address.] He would like to know if he was entirely wrong—if the Government were entirely right? When he stated his opinion in Toronto, it was in ceply to a speech made by Mr. McDougalt, who said, that not only the people of Canada, but the people of the Lower Provinces, were in favor of the Quehec Scheme. In reply, he (Mr. W.) stated, that, certainly, the Quehec Scheme had been put before the people at the polla and they had condemned it. But though they had condemned that scheme, there was a vast number in favor of the abstract principle of union, and that it was only a question of time when a union would be arried-why, it was carried now. Ilhad, he supposed, had more foresight

Mr. Wilmot then went on to vay, that the paragraph in the Speech certain y foreshadowed some Scheme of Union, and he thought the Government ought to come down with it. But he would tell them that any Scheme of Confederation, they might submit, must involve those two principles :- one, the Federal principle, the other, Representation by Poputhe first, in order to protect her rights, and by Population. [Attorney General. That was unfair.] Fair, or unfair, no Scheme could be carried that did not involve those two abstract principles. He had strong feelings against these two principles before be went to Canada. But when he attended the Convention there, and heard the whole question of trade opened up and discussed, he came to the con lusion that Union mu t take p ace. He foresaw also, that the Reciprocity Tre ty would be repeated. There was one aubject brought forward at that Conven ion that impressed his mind with the necessity of Union-the Mother Country was prepared L. give those Colonics the right to make Commercial Treaties. When he considered that there were six different Governments, and six different tariffs, and all the difficulties in the way, he con-cluded there a use be one General Government to carry them out.

It was stated in the papers, that it was because he could not get the Audi or