strict territory should be taken into ac unt as well as population. If we had one representative, and he should e at one end of the County, he would ver see half of his constituents, I old be prepared to go for reduction in

large Counties if it was necessary to duce the representation, but I would ther not have it reduced. Mr. FERRIS .- There are always two

slative Council.

Mr. BECKWITH .- If a reduction ery County. I am in the happy posithat if either the small or large unties are reduced, we in York will ve the same representation.

Mr. LINDSAY .- The Bill does not wide that it was only suggested that e City of Fredericton should have

Mr. BECK WITH .- I have no doubt t that would be the result, for the Comittee would be showing some little fair ay. Although some of our best men ill be abstracted from us in consequence this Ottawa Legislature, yet I still be-

we that forty-one good men will remain New Brunswick cap ble of doing the siness of the Province. We had fortyto members when our population was at 179,000, and we have the same numr now when our population was 252. 00 five years ago. Some very importt features in the business of the couny, such as Education and the settlement the country, have still to be attended

Then again, one member cannot atnd properly to the duties of his County. would be better to throw two Counties to one than to have only one represenive for a County, but it would be rergading to do even that. Carleton unty should have three members, and ng's four; with those two exceptions cannot see any alteration we can make pich would be advantageous. We ould not go into this question now, as gislate upon this who will not legislate re again, for they will be in Ottawa, t us get through with all these changes e General Legislature being at Ottawa, proposition. d then those who are here years after

must go against passing the Bill.

presented by three members as well as ture. If you leave nine men in the Exeoney to send four representatives, for I you could not turn the Government out ink the Counties would be just as well at all. There will be tslent enough in presented by two, and there could be a the House to carry out the principles of duction made of four or six in the Le- Responsible Government after the leading men in this House go to Ottawa. You will have the cleverest young men in kes place it should be done by some the country come here to receive a politineral sule which would do justice to cal-education, with a view to a higher position in the General Government. There will be sufficient talent left in this House to deal with all matters left for them. Probably fifteen in this House will offer for Ottawa; is it fair for them them at all, but will affect the party rethe ave of great changes, therefore, those course we took upon that question, who are left should decide with regard to

should not suppose that would prevent time to come. him from having, a sympathy for the peo-ple he represents. I do not suppose that any County confined to only one reprevince, but no hon member here except as they arepich must take place in consequence of the French Brigade sympathized with the

Mr. LINDSAY .- I sympathized with Bill for appointing a Receiver General,

deliberately, with ample time to consider gestions of my hon. friend I gave him the question and do justice to the differ- eredit for, but he brought in a resolution ent Counties. With these opinions I to get certain conditions, and if we could not get them we could have no Union.

Hon, Mr. McMillan, -I am opposed Mr. SMITH .- I wished to restrict the to the Bill, not because I happen to re- power of the Delegates, for I did not present one of the smallest Counties, for approve of the Quebec Scheme, and I I believe that that County, in consequence took many objections to it. Some of of certain changes at hand, will contain se those objections were adopted, but other large a nopulation as some of the largest objections, equally forcible to my mind, rties in every County, and if a small Counties in the Province, but because I were not adopted. I took an objection unity sent but one representative it believe there will be no saving of money to the principle of representation by uld give one man all the power. I do effected by the reduction. If you are population, but I find this principle is t think a County with one representa- going to carry out the principles of Re- laid down in this Act of Union as inflexwe would get fair play in the Legisla- sponsible Government you will find they lible and immutable. The conflicting re, but those large Counties might be cannot be carried out in a small Legisla- opinions on this small Bill corroborate the opinion I then took. It is wrong in ar. It is just throwing away so much cutive and reduce the House to thirty-five, principle, and not recognized or adopted in any part of the British Empire, but for purposes peculiarly ultra Canadian that principle was laid down and established and becomes the governing principle of the country. In the Act of Union it is established, and I will venture to prophesy that in a few years Upper Canada will have a numerical majority of representatives, not only over Lower Canada, but over the Lower Provinces, too, and we will be at her mercy. They should have agreed upon a certain number of representatives and not increased them. now to pass a law which will not affect. We cannot help it now, but what I have seen here to-day in reference to this Bill sponsible for it hereafter. We are on has satisfied me of the wisdom of the

My hon, friend, the Postmaster Genthis reduction, and not those who are go- erai, is wrong to say we have no right to ing into another sphere, and who, in or- legislate upon this matter; the people of der to gain popularity, try to make it ap- this country expect to to legislate upon pear that there will be a great saving of it. Was it not preached in every part of money. I believe it is promature to dael the Province that the expenses of the with this matter; we had better leave it Legislature would be vastly reduced? to the parties who are to be af- Where is the reduction to be made? 'I'wo fected by it; they can determine whether offices created already, and I do not they can do with a less representation know how many more the Government than they now have, and they will be contemplate to carry before the close of responsible to the people for their de- the Session. We resisted their measure yesterday, in regard to the appointment Mr. SMITH .- I was rather astonished of Receiver General, and got the satary by some of the remarks made by my hon reduced from £500 to £400, and we had friend, the Postmaster General; he says a section struck out which provided for because some gentlemen will go to Otta- the appointment of a clerk in the Rewa they cannot have any interest here. ceiver General's office; by this means He expects to get a seat at Ottawa, but I we saved the country £300 a year for all

because a man is going to Ottawa he sentative, because he might have imperashould have no voice on this question, for tive business that would call him home, those who go to Ottawa still expect to and he could not attend to his legislative remain citizens of New Bruoswick. In duties, I am willing to support any hon. nor twelve of the members here will speaking upon the appointment of Dele- member who will bring forward a Bill to gates last year I moved that the Legisla- reduce those Counties that have four tive Councillors should reside in the Pro- members to three, leaving other Counties

Dr. DOW .- My ,hon. friend (Mr. S nith) has said, in speaking about the s, can take the question up cooly and the proposition, and a great many sug- that they saved this country three hun-