confidence In all that Address, which they say, was prepared by orgmy politicia.s, the Opposition, with all their talents, could not find one paragraph to which they could make objection. . I stands there unobjectionable, according to their own positive admission. I must I believe to be the conviction of ninety-I believe to be the conviction of ninety-nine out of every one hundred people in this Province, or in British North America, that this debate, in what-ever light we may choese to regard it, is a debate on the Quebec Scheme, and nothing more or less. Many mon-bers of the Opposition who have addressed you, are still opposed to confederation. I do not question the honesty or sincifity of what they say, but I tell them they are are, in this House any but themselves of the same opinion. I receive papers from all parts of this Province, and the neighboring Provinces, and they all hold that the Opposition are anxious for the Quebec Scheme. It has been contended by hon, members most anxious to upset the Government, that this is a more vote of want of confidence, and they accuse them of crimes of omission and commission. They have acknowledged the crime of omission, but the crimes of commission will not amount to one serious charge. even if you take the statements of the hon, members themselves. We have listened to the ejoquence of my hon. col-league from St. John (Mr. Wetmore), who has delighted the House for the last two days with his eloquence; but setting all the rhetoric aside, and come to the substance and what does it amount to? u. takes extraordinary views. I will enreally are the charges which he brings against the Government. He states that in the formation of the Government they had a great deal of trouble, and that promises were made which were never carried out. I have no hesitation in saying that great difficulty was found in forming the Government. It is not every day you find parties who have been opposed to one another for years, brought ogether to labor for the protection of the country. My hon, friend (Mr. Kerr) agreement among the members of the Government, and that they were continually quarreling with one another. He is entirely mistaken; from the day I joined the Government until the day I left them I never heard in the Council, between the members of the Government one harsh ward. We often differed in opinion, but we argued the point in the most kindly manner possible. I think my hon, friend (Mr. W.) asked why Mr. Wilnot was not appointed to the office of Provincial Secretary. He declined the office not because he was afraid to go back to the people for re-election, but for private reasons of his own. For my part I never asked, nor expected to be, a member of the Government, and when it was proposed that I should be a member of the Government, I said I could, as a public iournalist, render the Government more assistance out of the Government than I could if I was in, and they had better leave me out. They determ ned I should become a member of the Government. and my colleagues wanted me to take the office of Provincial Secretary: this shows haw little they were afraid of opening a closes, by rejecting the resolution of the there is no foundation for such a charge, constituency. It was said, shy did they Attorney General, clearly, decided that I am satisfied that the House will come to too just Judge Allan on the Bench at once, I like Excellency had a right to draw this mo-

(Mr. Wilmot) pressed the office of Attorhey General upon Mr. Smith, who showed not the slighest disposition to accept it. He (Mr. Smith) said he wanted no office. although every member of the Government united in trying to induce him to take it. My hon, colleague (Mr. Wetmore) spoke of some promise made to There was some mention made of his being made a member of the Govefument, and some proposed that he should be Solicitor General. My hon friend (Mr. Smith) at that time proposed that after Mr. Allan became Judge, the office of Attorney General should be offered to my hon, colleague (Mr. Wetmars). I appeal to every member of the Government if that was not the fact. MR. WILMOT .- I proposed that Ma

Wetmore should be appointed Solicitor General. The resignation of the Chief Justice was then in hand. His Excelleney thought there was no necessity for ap-

pointing Judge Allan to the office of Attorney General Ms. ANGLIN .- At the time the appointment was made, the Chief Justice had not actually sent his resignation in I think it was after this time that this Excellency came down to the Council, and rend the resignation of the Chief Justice This resignation was not to take effect until the September following. After that vacancy had occurred, the Attorney General again made the same proposal that Mr. Wetmore should be Attorney Generale I said no: the interest of the country requires that it shall not be. To Mr. Wetmore personal , or as a lawyer, I had no objections, but as a politician and leader of the Government, I had strong objections, for he had been but one session in Parliament, and therefore had not had political experience enough to be the leader of the Government. I was one of those who insisted that the present Attorney General should take office. It has been said that he was greedy for office, and created the office of President of the Council to suit himself. I proposed that the office should be created, and it is not considered the first office in the Council. In Canada the President of the Council is not the Premier; he is merely the man who sits in the chair while the Council is in session, and for that small duty he receives \$5000 a year. The Hon. Attorney General refused the office of Chief Justice, which was offered him at tion is not the man to be held up before the country as a meti who seeks office, who holds on to office, or who truckles to the Governor, or any other man. Nei-ther the country nor the House will be-Though my hon, colleague lieve it. Though my hon, colleague (Mr. W.) and myself will vote on different sides on this question, yet I hope we will agree after it is over in carrying on the business of the country. Among other charges he brings up the question of the Governor's salary. I hold strong grounds on this subject myself; but standing here and knowing what I do, I say the present Government are not to blame, and though my hon, colleague appears to have a case. touse, by rejecting the resolution of the

and let Mr. Smith take the Attorney Genney as he does. All the warrants erablip. That was proposed by Mr. on the Canal and Territorial revenue Wilmoi, and was one of this vised in the lor the Civil List are not drawn Wilmot, and was one of his views in the lor the CIVII List are not brawn enstruction of the Generalization. He in the usual form. In the Civil List [Mr. Wilmot) pressed the office of Attoriarrangements, that was placed absolutely and entirely at the disposal of the Lieut. Governor, who draws the money by his own warrant, but the Government have to take the responsibility, for they are responsible for every thing done in this Province by the Governor or his subordinstes. Another point which my hon, friend (Mr. W.) spoke upon was the appointment of Judges. He went on to state his belief in a great many things that took place. His belief and his insumed things for facts which were in reslity not facts. I will inform the House that I never saw that document concerning the appointment of Judges, which was signed by seventeen supporters of the Government, but I heard on board of the steamboat as ! was coming to Fredericton to attend a meeting of Council, that there was such a document

got up by Mr. Wetmore himself He (Mr. W.) talks of the Government truckling to other parties, when he was the very man to get up that document and get signatures to it, and then send it in to in imidate the Government. They, of course, disregarded it. I said, as one member of the Government, if it comes before us, pay no attention to it whatever. I stand here responsible for my acts, and will do what I consider to be the best for the interests of the country. I am pre-I do when the House meets again. I will allow no set of men to dictate to me. If they think I have done wrong, they can turn me out, and punish me so far as they have the power, but they have no right to express an opinion to me in such a form as that. That document never came before us when the appointment was made. My han friend (Mr. W.) says that document was presented on the 8th of September. I believe the Chief Justice was appointed prior to that. (Mr. Wetwore, - I'me paper was received before the appointment was made.) When His Excellency informed the Council of the resignation of Sir James Carter, he put the question, who should be Caie Justice, and there was but one expression of opinion-that was, that Judge Parker should be Chief Justice. His Excellency undertook to offer the appointment to Judge Parker, and I was authorized to state it. Judge Parker at first refused to accept the office, and there were several telegrams passed between the Governor and Judge Parker before the appointment man who has twice refused such a posi- took place. Judge Parker stated distinctly that he could not in honor accept the office of Chief Justice, unless it was underatood that, if he was not appointed, Judge Ritchie could not get it. The Government declined to answer this, but said, " We offer you the office; will you accept it?" They declined to speak of Judge Richie at all. They said to Judge Parker, we wish you to take it, for the interests of the Province requires it. The answer came, that, under these circumstances, he lelt he could no longer refree to take the office. That is the way Juige Pirker was appoir. I and when any hon member says Judge Ritchie cabaled, he mest be misnformed. I think the explanations I have given show that