though he believed the principle of unito be understood as seeking to carry it when the present ifouse was dissolved, out where property was concerned. Take at the end of your years, and he had no the example of a civic body, who only met to manage the affairs of a County and City, in reference to their property. That was a body created by law, but they were placed there to legislate on property; they had a right to impose rates. He tual passession of property was no evisliding scale to give votes according to an oridence that the man had the power negerity, when the question was simply of accommuniting property, but no evitate of property, and not the lives; and dence that he had legit-laires talents; it liberties of men. He could understand was an evidence of prudence and forethe policy of imposing a qual-fication of thought, out no evidence of statesman ike the policy of imposing a qualification of thought, out new rifeque of statemen is ket morrow, and his property was the electors. When the question came (expect), thorocally kep possists—in for lothin to brid his it is this country, to property, let them come down to a many acres of land prove that a man was 1Bill was againgue that one, and it set solding real; and act every man have a fistor a logislator? The question was consideration. It was an alternat vote exceeding to its value. They might have of great impactunes, and one that the Constitution; and, although the thirds of these drings as they liked, they would ultimately become the law of the Morroy Gebrgal indestants in had would have to adopt them. He had now land, and he hoped the present House councisted his principles with regard to would follow the example set them by the universal suff age. He would say, further that there was nothing that made his blood glow so warmly, nothing that quired a good deal of consideration. stirred his manhood more than when he believed in an extension of the franchise thought of the glorious principles of the limited extent, but he had never liberty. Let them talk of their parties been and never would be in favor of uniand their policies of Liberal. Conserva- versal and their policies of Liberal. Conserva- versal suffrage. He was opposed to tive or Tories; the principles of liberty civing the right of voting to the loose. was the vitality and the reality of political life. He was prepared to stand or fall by this priventle. It was a matter of little fairs importance what he, individually, thought this Bill. It all-wed a man to be a repre-it was—of no importance what became gentative who, had not a right to vote, of hims-lf-but it was a matter of vital Mg. CONFIGAN,—That was not a apportance whether those indefessible fair way of putting the objection. principles were held sacred or not.

Mr. Needham then went on to speak of tion of members in England, quoting from ; choose whom they pl mard.

member of Parliament.

Let them suppose a case, that an election had come sound. On the day of nomition had come sound. On the day of nomi-sideration. He thought that every man, nation, the property qualification of a before he was either representative or candidate had been tested, and that he elector, should have a stake in the courhad proved himself qualified and ran his try, and every man in this country might election; but before the day of victory have a stake if he choose. arraved, by some interposition of Providence the property upon which the qualiin the gallery) was understood to say that fication was founded was swept a vay, that man would be elected without any prop rty qualification. Was he any the worse for it? But if they also for it? But if that ehe ion held good, was the spirit of the law in that case carried out? If they make a property qualificado not let them make any decei about the matter. If it was necessary be-fore the election, it was as necessary after With regard to the property qualification, they all knew that it was evaded. By the first law that was enacted in the Government on account of Confedera-Province regarding it in 1846, all that a tion. man had to do was to make a statement that he had real estate; it did not make from Carleton, who had expressed his t necessary that he should show it. Un-views on this subject, had seen the error der that law it was impossible for any one of his ways, and given up the doctrine of to prove a n gauve, and to do that he universal suffrage-that property muswould have to put every man and woman be represented, and not prople; that on in the Province on the stand to prove the part of the Quebec Scheme was a re

late right, why make laws to restrict them | where that property was, but that also | interest in the prosperity of the country, cold be evaled. (Here Mr. Needham The principle was had to elucidades, Mr. Needham proceeded to say that, electrationed the House at great leggth he would read a letter had a received. with his own case under that law.) Mr. would the possession of it make him more capable to act as a leveletor? The ac-

> Parliament of England and pass this Bill, Mn. LINDSAY thought the Bill re-He floating population of the country, who had neither interest or concern in its af-He objected to the principle of

The Bill did not give a man a right to be a representative who had not a right to the ab disament of the property qualifica- vote, but it gave the electors the right to

the Imperial Act, which, he said, gave an MR LINDSAY.—I hat did not change emphatic denial to all who said that a the question. He thought, if the hon. property qualification was necessary in a mover did not expect an election this year, he had better consert to its postponement for the Bill required a good deal of con-

DR THOM SON, (who was inaudible

he agreed with the views of the hon, member of Carleton who had just spoken. MR. LANDRY was understood to speak in favor of the principle of universai suffrage, and to say that he supported the Bill willingly, as it would enable the French population of Westmorland, whose rights were neglected by the Government. to send Frenchmen of good education, and wee could speak English, to attend to their interests. He only supported the

MR. OTTY was glad the hop, member to prove that a proposing candidate had representation by population, for he had not a property qualification, for he had distinctly declared he was opposed to that he had not the legal property quali- cantaine of alr. George Brown's grand fication, and a prosecution under that law principle, representation by population, would have stretched out until the crack. What would be the effect of that Bill? o down. In the present law they put in It would place in the II use persons have a arction that made it necessary to state ing no stake in the country, and no If it became law it would open the door

from one of his constituents that mornversal suffrage perfectly consonant with Needham then asked what was the good ing, wherein he complained that at the the British Constitution, he did not wish ed to put a case. He would suppose that for parish officers who paid neither rate nor tax in the parish, and one of the property qualification, but that he found years of age; and yet the Clerk of the some one to give him a deed of property. Peace stated to the Magistrate-would that deed of property really be him. elected was a black boy under twenty-one the election must must stand. Although qualification laws had in England in 1858. the property repealed still the circumstances of the people there and here were very different; and what might be very annucable there, would not su t our floating population, where a person might be here to-day and gone tomorrow, and his property was the only The Bill was an important one, and it required It was an alteration in the Constitution; and, although the Hon. Attorn-y General had stated it had been up for discussion before every House for some years back, he could not go for the Bill until he enquired further into the matter. If the han, member who intro-duced the Bill would report progress, he might be induced to go for his Bill. And here he would allude, while they were upon the discussion of the qualification of members, to the inconsistency and injustice of the appointment of members of the Upper House under the Que hec Scheme, which, while it provided that the first 1 embers of the Legislative Council should be appointed from the present members of the different Provin-cial Legislative Councils, it made no provision or assurance their afterwords, or when vacancies occur, the members representing New Bruns. wick. Nova Scotia of Prince Edward's Island, should possess property, or reside in the respective Provinces for which they are appointed. By the 16th Section o the Ouches Scheme Lower Canada had the privilege of always having Legislative Councillors residing and possessing property in that district to represent her. but after the first Councillors die, or vacancies occur, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia and P. E. Island might have to submit to be represented by Legistative Councillors, who possess no qualification in the Province they are to r present, need not even reside there and may not

> ment to represe MR. McMILLAN said they were not called upon at the present time to discuss the great scheme, with regard to the Bill before the House; it, in reality, extend-d the rights of the people, gaye them a larger field to make a selection from in their choice of representatives. The law, as it now stood, was imperative. for property qualification was evaded.
> He should vote for the Bill.
> Mr. LEWIS said he would be com-

even ever have been in the country they

are appointed by the Canadian Govern-

selled to vote against the Bill. He thought that there ought to be property qualification demanded of candidates. It had been said that the possession of property did not give a man brains. That f was true; but it would be very dangerous to have men with too much brains and no property, as representatives of the" constituencies of the Province.

MR. BOYD was opposed to the Bill, and thought its principle most dangerous-