country. When these charges were made against my predecessor, Mr. Bown, he was ignorant of the fact that fictious names were used, but there was no igno rance about these. The survey was made for Mr. Gibson, but the application was made in the names of other parties, so they cannot screen themselves in any

MR. FRASER—Did you not make an order for the survey of 10,000 acres for Mr. Gibson. What did you intend by

that order Ms. McMILLAN-Ilcan explain that, I did make an order for the survey, upon the application of Mr. Gibson, but I do not recollect the number of acres. I submitted it to the Council, a majority of whom were not prepared to rescind the Minute of Council, but ordered the sur-vey to take place. It was the intention of the Council, if the Government remained in pow r-and there was no prospect of the Inter-Colonial Railroad being built—to rescind that Minute of Council. In regard to the appointment of Judges. I do not feel in a position to touch upon that point at all. I believe that a great injustice, by an unjustifiable act, has been done to Judge Wilmot. With reference to the other vacancy which took place I do not pretend to be a judge whether it is properly filled or not. When a vacancy is properly filled or not. When a vacancy takes place upon the Bench it is natural to suppose that the Government would take a view of the leading monof the Bar, and from them make selection of a man to fill that high position, which is one of the highest in the Province. Have they done so? I said I was not a judge, but those that are judges think they have not done so. I doubt the propriety of having to go to "Insurance offices" to select a man to fill one of the highest positions in the Province. They should have full confidence that the person so appointed

will be looked up to

lowing the Export Duty to expire was, culties to contend with as the present Go-vernment. What were the difficulties? Were they not returned to the House by an immense majority. In the history of New Brunswick there never was a time when the Opposition was so small. What when the Upposition was so small. What Acts had they to bring in, and what op-position was given them to take their ab-tention from seeing what laws were about to expire? Even the members of the Government who went back to the country for re-election were not opposed in a single instance, and they were supported by a two-thirds vote in the House of Assembly. Why then do they try to excuse themselves in that way? - There is ano foundation for this excuse whatever, for they had no difficulties to draw their attention from looking into these matters. The arguments advanced by the Attorney General are peculiar ; he would say the Attorney General was responsible when he was not nere. It was not part of the Provincial Secretary's duty, but he says it was his predecessor's duty to kn.w it, and he should have come to the Provincial Sec-retary's office and told the Provincial Secretary that this law was about to expire. The principle is, that the previous Provincial Secretary cught to have come to s were; thus admitting at once their own incopacity to administer the affairs of the country

The Attorney General's excuse for al-

Hon. Mr SMITH-I stated distinctly that the whole Government were respon-

G. n.r.l. as he had undertaken to see what laws were about to expire. said the Provincial Secretary was a young man and inexperienced in the duties of his office, and if his predecessor knew of the fact of this law being about to expire, he should have communicated it to Hecessor

MR. WETMORE-When he wanted to get the Bill through the House be said the whole Government were responsible, but as soon as he got that through he row the reapon-ibility upon the previous Attorney General, and the for-mer Provincial Secretary's name was

lugged in. Hon, MR. SMITH-I will leave it to the House. I said we were all responsi-

Mr. McMILLAN-II he wishes to withdraw the statement that the l'rovincial Secretary was not responsible.

MR. McMILLAN-He charges the late Government with being guilty of an act of tyranny and despotism, because they appealed to the people at an inclement seaon of the year; but he now says, if the Government are defeated he will appeal to the people. He will be guilty of the same thing he condemned in his predecessor, in order that he may hold office a little longer. No man in this country pretended to be so careless about offices as that hon, gentleman, but we find he holds on to them most tenaciously. In viewing the political career of the leading men or British North America, I am not more political summersaults than that hon, gentleman. Look at his position in reference to railroads, and in reference to military matters. He left the late Government because they would undertake a greater responsibility than twenty thou-sand pounds per year for the Inter-colonial Railway, and in the Opposition he advocated the Western Extension as a Government work, which would involve an annual liability of over sixty thousand pounds per year. When a Bill was pounds per year. When a Bill was brought before the House to appropriate \$10,000 for this purpose he moved an amendment that the money be appropri-ated for Bye-Roads. Next year he brings down a Bill to appropriate \$30,000 to the Militia service. If in one case he was sincere, in the other he must have been insincere. If he is in the Government he is for certain measures, if not he is in opposition. When in opposition he was not only against the Quebec Scheme, but against a Union of any kind. Now he has foresh-dowed in the speech a Union of British North America. I asked him if he was not going to support something in the shape of appointing a Committee a del-ga ion, or something of that kind for the purpose of carrying a scheme of

HON. MR. SMITH. I stated to Mr. Brown that we were prepared to consider the question of Union, but not the Quebec Scheme.

MR. MCMILLAN. If that is so, how does he endorse every word of that Minute of Council which says, "they desire no closer union." If he is sincere in one case, he must be insincered in the other, It has been stated by the Canadran and New Brunswick Press, and stated by Mr.

sible. It was the duty of the Attorney ticularly Mr. Smith-to discuss a Scheme of Union. Hon. Mr. SMITH .- Mr Brown had authoriv to make such a statement

He distinctly declined a conference altogether.

and make a proposition?

Hox. MR. SMITH .- Mr. Brown distinetly declined another conference when he was here.

MR. McMILLAN.—We have Mr. Brown's and Mr. Wilmot's statements for it. The Government formerly denounced a union of any kind, and now, having gone half over to a union policy, they occupy the position they do under false pretences. I ask him again, if he is p evared, either by a resolution, committee address or delegation, to support any achieve of union that has representation by population in it. I repeat, I take it for granted he is

Hox. Mr. SMITH -Don't take it for MR. McMilLlAN .- You have answer-

ed every other question. I will take it for granted. HON. MR. SMITH .- He seems deter-

wined that I shall answer all the quesions he has a mind to put. I stated distinctly my views in regard to the principle of representation by population, as contained in the Quebec Scheme. would never consent to it, unless there are other protections to counterbalance it. I attempted to explain the effects of representation by population, as contained in the Scheme, but I do not know how to provide checks, and if checks cannot be provided, I never will give my consent to representation by population. .- I think the coun-

MR. MCMILLAN try and the House will give me credit for gettieg half an answer from the Attorney General, and I believe, from the answer, he is prepared to support a scheme (hear hear) that contains the principle which he has denounced throughout the country, viz: representation by population, coun The most important part of the whole scheme he is prepared to go far; having a large reis prepared to go for; having a large re-presentation in the upper branch is not so much protection. The most important body is the people's representatives who have control of the people's money. In order to hold his office, he is prepared to swallow all this. He has swallowed the half pill now, and he will swallow the whole pil to hold on to office. I the expression drawn from him will open the eyes of the Antingembers. The members of this Government have proved recreant to their professions. They professed to be "Antis," and then when it is drawn out of their leader, he is going to support a scheme of union on the principle of representation by population.
When the Quesec Scheme was introduced the cry throughout the country was, wo are going to be swamped; only fifteen men in the Canadian Parliament. Is he not prepared to go for a similar scheme with only fifteen members?

and never will be MR. MCMILLAN. -- Does he withdraw that too? No, he will not withdraw it.

for I believe be is committed to it. I begieve he has not told his supporters that he is prepared to submit such a scheme. This is tyrainy. He was elected to lon Witnest in Casada, that they were pre- pose a scheme, and he will come forward pared to meet the members of the Governa- and support it without any appeal to the ment of New Brunspiek, and page at ment of New Brunspiek, and page at ment of New Brunspiek, and page at ment of the first its the ment of New Brunspiek, and page at ment of the first in the firs