Seargeant-at-Arms to employ them. He was appointed in 1857 and had performed confined to members going to the Govthought on; coach quite sufficient to his duties with kindness and attention. ernment House, but the Contingent Comcarry Committees to the Government If he has been removed by the late Gov- mittee, or any other Committee of the

the Government had taken upon them- removed on political grounds he should selves to dismiss a coachman, and if they have taken the dismissal they have taken the appointment. He would ask if any ceaches had been dismissed by the Government. The coaches which were appointed last Session continued in their attendance upon the House until they they were dismissed.

had better not speak of Government appointments., The door-keeper was appointed through the Sergeant-at-Arms by the late Government. Mr. Atterton's them from re-instating Mr. Atherton, coach had been employed by the House, but last year it was dismi-sed and another coach employed in its place.

Mr. BOTSFORD-The door-keeper died, and the present door-keeper was appointed by the Government in order to have an efficient officer there.

Hon. MR. FISHER said it was no difference to him whether they employed one ceach or two, they had always employed two, and two would still continue in attendance. He did not wish to see the main question blinked, which was, whether Mr. Atherton or Mr. Peters should have one of these coaches. Mr. Atherton was appointed in 1857, and furnished good coaches and accomodated the members. He held this appointment until the general election preceding this. He took ground against the then Government, and the House turned him out and appointed Mr. Peters. When our friends have been removed from office for the course which they have taken during the election, it is but common justice that we should restore them. Mr. Atherton was election; and it was now their duty to restore him to his aituation. These were his reasons for the appointment of Mr. Artherton, but neither the resolution and amendment made provision for it. He did not wish the coaches for himself for he had a carriage of his own. The man who was appointed now would retain the situation during the next four years, and during the winter season they had a great deal of stormy weather. The salary was a small matter, the members made more excitement about it then the people did themselves. The people are willing that every convenience should be provided for their representatives, and all they require of them in return is that they shall discharge their duty to the best of their ability.

or not. If two coaches were necessary mittee of that kind. According to the themselves must do it. It is not in the

ernment for any well-founded charge they House would have the right to use them, Ma. BOTSFORD said he understood should not employ him, but if he has been while His Honor the Speaker would not. be reinstated.

MR, BUTSFORD said the late Government did not displace or reinstate have one. This will not be sufficient to either Mr. Atherton or Mr. Peters. was the House that did it. The Gov- quire coaches. He would vote for two ernment have no power to displace them, and he supposed that was the ey were dismissed. resson, that Mr. Peters was not dis-Hon. Ma. FISHER said his hon. friend placed, THe (Mr. Peters) was employed by the House, but he did not know whether it was from political motives or not. MR. McADAM would vote against the resolution, if adopting it would prevent

who had been displaced for political rea-

Hon. MR. WILLISTON thought the hon, members did not understand the matter before the House. In 1865 the question was brought before the House whether the Speaker should employ the coaches. The Speaker declined, and a resolution was submitted to the House to authorize the Contingent Committee to Mr. Peters had been employ them. coachman for the Executive Council and Mr. Atherton for the House of Assembly. They tried to do justice to all parties. They recommended that Mr. Peters should be employed as coachman to the House, as he had formerly served in that capacity, and they thought they were do- an exact copy of a Bill passed by the ing no injustice to Mr. Atherton, for he Legislature of Canada to suspend the had large contracts under the Govern- Habeas Corpus Act. They had suspendment. They also employed Mr. Turner, ed this Act until the 8th of June 1867. and these two coachmen did the duty. He Under this Bill it was to be suspended Mr. Atherton, but he thought the Gov- Legislature. ernment should not have this patronage. removed for simply voting for us at the He had a strong feeling in reference to now was whether they should suspend tial grounds. So long as a man is doing Bill now before them, the Habeas Corpuhis duty to his country, so long should Act would be suspended immediately he continue in office. If, on the other but if they passed a law giving power to hand, a man holding an office under the the Lieutenant Governor to suspend it, we Government becomes a partizan, he would have the benefit of our habea should be removed. The question of corpus Act until its suspension is actu whether Mr. Peters or Mr. Atherton ally required. This Habeas Corpus Ac be employed had botter be referred to the is one of our greatest sateguards against Contingent Committee, and let them in- oppression; for instance, a man may b vestigate it fairly and fully.

place the patronage of the House in the not get the benefit of the Habeas Con hands of the Contingent Committee. They pus Act, nor obtain a trial, and he may b might just as well delegate it to the Com- kept imprisoned for years without has MR. CHANDLER said the simple the Speaker, he would agree to it, but took the responsibility of suspending

employ them by tender, or instruct the they should have them. Mr. Atherton amendment, the coaches would not be MR. STEVENS said the question was whether they should have two coaches or one. The amendment says we shall only It accommodate all the members who recoaches, which was the number they usually employed. The time spent in discussing this subject costs more than the employment of the coaches.

MR. BECK WITH moved, as an amendment, "that George Atherton and John Turner be coachmen to this House.' This amendment was then adopted-

yeas 17, nays 12.

The Committee appointed to wait upon His Excellency reported that the Governor would receive the Address of the House at 5 o'clock.

Hon. Ma. FISHER brought in "A Bill to provide for the prompt payment of all demands upon the Provincial Treasury." On motion of Hon. MR. FISHER the House went into Committee on

A BILL TO AUTHORIZE THE RETENTION, FOR A LIMITED TIME, OF SUCH PER-SONS AS SHOULD BE SUSPECTED OF BEING GUILTY OF ACTS OF HOSTILITY AGAINST HER MAJESTY'S PERSON AND GOVERNMENT.

MR. BECKWITH in the Chair. Hon. Mr. FISHER said this Bill was

could bear testimony to the efficiency of until the end of the next Session of the MR. JOHNSON said the question the displacement of officers. He hoped the Habers Corpus Act, or pass a Bil that the day had gone by when officers giving the Governor in Council power could be removed except on good substan- to suspend this Act. If they passed the

troublesome in politics, and his oppo MR. JOHNSON said they should not nent may have him arrested. He ca mittee on Privileges. If the House ing the right to be tried by the cour would place it in the hands of His Honor try. In the United States the Presider question was did they require two coaches not to placing it in the hands of a tlom- this Act. In our country the peop