they should be holden secred. I am not country, by granting to one man 15,000 mental and responsible Government is the man to violate them. It might be acres in fee simple for all time to come, established. Why then did time Government. the man to violate them. It might be the domestic policy of the Province, great leading interest connected with our own local affairs, that even an hono; able member of this House has conscienhe was pledged to observe at the hustings. to vote in this House according to his changed opinions, and in opposition to his pledges, because a member of this House represente not only a local consti tuency but also the whole people, and because at the next election his successor, should be be not returned, could repeal the effect of his vote, and a succeeding House could undo what a previous House had done. Such cases have often happened in England and in the Colonies. We all know the course Sir Robert Peel took in reference to free trade, a'though pledged to his constituents to support a high protective policy. Butin cases of that kind a man might be justified to act different from his pledges and take the consequences, but this is no strikes at the whole Constitution of the country, strikes at the Constitution of this House, and, if carried, no subsequent House could alter or repeal what we might do. No subsequent House could retrace the steps which we had taken. Like the fall of a tree, a subsequent House would be lifeless. The act had House would be lifeless. The act had been committed; therefore, Sir, no member could justify himself before his coun try, however much his opinions might have changed in reference to the desirability of a union, to vote for it in this House when he is pledged to his consti-tuents to vote against it. I shall not de so, although I am convinced of the desirability of being confederated, and of unit-I get further authority from my constitu ents, I cannot go for it. I am prepared should my constituents want my services to go back to them at the next election in favor of Confederation, in favor of earrying out the supreme wishes of the mother country on this question. Leaving this subject for the present, I have Government. "The Government have been guilty of mal

Land Department. They try to throw blame on the late Government. We are not here to-day to try the wrongs of the late Government or any previous Govern-ment. If the late Government should have issued an order of survey for every acre of the public land in this Province, To sell all the lands of the country to one man, would you justify this Government if they had carried it out, and sold all the lands of the country to one individual. If the late Government thought proper to issue an order for survey to lock up in the hands of one individual land to the extent of 15,000 acres, which is nearly as large as the whole Parish of Dorchester, the present Government were not bound to carry it out. I came not here to say whether the late Government did right or I am not here to advocate the late Government, for I frequently gave them opposition on questions in which I

administration in the affairs of the Crown

acres in fee simple for all time to come, they should have refunded the money paid for the survey to the man for whom the survey was made, for the policy of the terests of the people in p eference to sutserving the private interests of a private in-dividual. In England we see cases where companies are subsidized to run a line of steamships. The company has to run the risk of the policy of the incoming Government in respect to the continuation of the subsidy, and it is no breach of faith to withhold it. So in this case, there would have been no breach of faith whatever, for the duty the Government owed to the people of this country was of far more importance than to comply with the wishes of a private individual, however enterprising he may be. Talk about the wrongs of Ireland, and I know she has wrongs, for if ever there was a corn-trodden country it is Ireland. This wrong has been brought about by the same system this Government is now purs tem this Government is now pursuing, that is, locking up large blocks of land which should belong to the yeamenry of this country. The occupier of the land should own the land, and this principle of serfdom should not be introduced into this country. Notwithstanding the expresthe County of Kent (Mr. Cai+), the people of that County will say, the land of this country b-longs to the people of this country, who have to roll the black logs and pile the black stumps, and cannot afford to pay rent to any man. We must avoid the errors of the mother country. and take lessons from the wrongs and errors done to Ireland, in order to pur-sue a different course. It may be very well to gratify the wishes of any private individual to sell him a large block of land, but we mu-t reflect that in so doing we legislate for all time to come, and introduce into this country, in future time, a system ruinous to the future welfare of the country, and for which our posterity will blame us, for it is natural to suppose that if we lock up whole Townships, by adopting the same course that has seen adopted in Ireland, the same effects will be produced. I condemn the Government most particularly on that point, for I do not think the lale Government committed the incoming Government to any line of policy, and they would have been guilty of no breach of faith if they had withheld the grant, and reimbursed the individual for the costs of survey. During the last sitting of the Legislature, I expressed disappointment in the conduct of the Government, because they had no policy. I could not suppose that men would come together under our system having a constitutional and departmental Government, without having some line of policy. In England they often form a Government over night, and a full policy is arranged, otherwise the Government could not be formed. If it be announced to the representatives of Her Majesty in this Province, that they have succeeded in forming a Govbeen opposition on questions in waint a jump settice as the point, so the Owtern lower pivate wrongs, the list ment of the mother country by minimented own pivate wrongs, the list ment of the mether country by miniment own pivate wrongs, the list ment of the mother country by miniment was pivate with the list ment of the mother country in the contract. The Government thought proper to issue as us that they will not legislate kway or it new. I believed to at that time that Government thought a contract wrong the substitute of the country of the country of the country with the contract when the country is a contract a depart. Unlike for the purpose of getting, over

ment prescribe men, because they sympathised with the imperial policy, over which policy this local Government could have no control, any further than by expressing in a despatch to the mother country that our own people had decided against it? The nistes of the people having been expressed at the ballot box against the scheme, the local Government could only be formed on a local policy, and not an agonistic to the imperial policy. whatever that may be Suppose there was going to be a war between England and any power in any part of Europe or the neighboring Republic, and we should get up an anti-war party, or party in favor of war, would it be right to form a Government in this province on either of those principles if it interfered with the policy of the Imperial Government? Not at all. The Government must be formed on local grounds to carry out the local wants and requirements of the country; from wast has transpired during the debate, from the statements made by my hon, friend Mr. Wilmot, it would appear that His Excellency sent for him and my colleague to form the administration, and it would seem that notwithstanding Mr. Wilmot had had great political experience, had been the leading mind in a previous Govcrament, had great knowledge of the country; that my colleague took upon himself the exclusive right of the formation of the Government, and prought men together in that Government without any policy, and upon no kno en principle. find no fault at the personal of the Government, and particularly none to my colleague (Mr. Botsford) being taken in as Surveyor General. I expressed myself, at the time, sati-fied at his appointment. I find fault that they started upon a donothing system. The House of Assembly was called to-

gether on the 27th day of April last, and the Speech from the T rone w ad-livered. but there was no policy in it; the great interests which should occupy the attenion of the administration of the country was completely ignored. The most in portant interest is the settlement of the country, by which we get an increase of labor, which increases the wealth of the country. This important interest was not mentioned in the Speech. We were left in doubt as to the Legislative enactments that stood in the way of the completion of our Railroads, and the educanot alluded to. I then thought proper to rice in my place and ask for information but I was denounced by my hon, colleasaid he did not wish my support. that time the Government had in their possession an important despatch from the Mother Country in reference to a Union of the Colonies, which if known to the House at that time, would have obviated the necessity of sending a delegation home to England. If I had known of it, I would have moved an address to ernment, the idea is conveyed that have it laid before the House. I believed that Government has adopted some policy at that time that the Mother Country did under which they intend to administer not fully endorse a Union of the Colonies, the affairs of the country. Confederation 1 believed at that time that the Canadians was settled at the polls, and the Govern-concoted the Scheme to redress their ment of the mother country have informed own private wrongs, but I do not believe