

it, to any body of men in this country. I have heard the expression 'yellow dog' used many times, as I have no doubt the hon. member from East Grey and other hon. members of this House have; but I have only heard it used in one sense, that is, with reference to a class of Liberals or a class of Conservatives as the case might be. The expression has been used by electors that they would rather vote for a yellow dog than for a Conservative, or they would rather vote for a yellow dog than for a Liberal. I do not want the impression to go abroad that the paper which published that article was striking at the Orange order.

Mr. SPROULE. Undoubtedly it was.

Mr. GALLIHER. I do not think so. I would be very sorry indeed if it was. That is my interpretation of that article, looking at it fairly.

Mr. TAYLOR. Have you read the article?

Mr. GALLIHER. I heard it read by my hon. friend, and I paid particular attention to it. What it meant by using that hackneyed phrase was that there were so many Conservatives who would rather vote for a yellow dog than for a Liberal in Centre Toronto that a Liberal candidate would be practically butting up against a wall. I am satisfied that is the correct interpretation of the article. I would be sorry to think it meant what my hon. friend interpreted it to mean. If my hon. friend interpreted it in that way, I do not blame him for the heat he exhibited in denouncing a publication of that kind; but if he will think the matter over, he will recall how many times he has heard that expression used in years past in the sense I have mentioned.

Mr. SPROULE. In the first place, reference was made to the city of Toronto. It was said that this whole agitation was centred in Toronto, that Toronto was the head centre of it, and that it was the head centre of the Orangemen, and that the Orangemen were responsible. Reference was made in this House to the same thing, and following that up comes this paper, which speaks for the Reform party. That paper says the Liberal party is not running a peanut stand or playing for a yellow-dog, and that it is not going out there with a whole pack bordering on hydrophobia. What does that refer to, if not to the Orangemen?

Mr. FITZPATRICK. I move that we take up clause No. 20.

Mr. TAYLOR. We will not take it up before we get through with this matter. I want to say, in the first place, as an Orangeman, and as the fifth or sixth oldest member of this House—my hon. friend from East Grey (Mr. Sproule) is the fifth—that I can agree with every word he has spoken to-night. He has not risen to his feet once

this session upon any question without being sneered at by hon. gentlemen opposite, although he represents 500,000 Orangemen in this country, and I can look into the faces of men who depend on Orangemen for an election, but yet who cast their sneers at the representative of that body. It was a perfect bear garden here to-night when my hon. friend from East Grey (Mr. Sproule) attempted to speak; and I may say to my hon. friend, the lawyer on the other side who has argued this yellow-dog question, that it was only when the hon. member for East Grey got into the heat which my hon. friend said he got into about this question, that he got a hearing at all.

Mr. GALLIHER. I hope the hon. gentleman is not alluding to me as one of those who interrupted him.

Mr. TAYLOR. No, you are the one gentleman on the other side. No matter who speaks here, you generally give him an attentive hearing; but I say, on behalf of my hon. friend from East Grey, that from the beginning of the session until to-night he has not received from hon. gentlemen opposite a respectful hearing on any question, and particularly on this Autonomy Bill. Hon. gentlemen who have been elected to this House by Orangemen, who have the support of Orangemen in their constituencies, should be ashamed to join with others in casting insults at the Grand Master of that institution. My hon. friend from East Grey has not said one word disrespectful to any religion or race in this country. There cannot be found in 'Hansard' one offensive word uttered by him, but he is fighting the battle for his party, and I just want to read into his speech something he omitted to-night. He quoted these words from the Minister of Justice, which will be found on page 5934:

In my judgment, section 93 would bring in all the rights and privileges which exist in favour of denominational schools in the Territories at the present time or at the 1st of July coming. Those rights and privileges would include all those rights which are covered by section 11 of the Act of 1875.

I think that my hon. friend from East Grey should have read into his speech the Act of 1875.

Mr. D. D. McKENZIE. I presume the hon. gentleman has no desire to be unfair to anybody, and I would ask him to read all that the Minister of Justice said in that quotation he gives from page 5934.

Mr. TAYLOR. I am making this speech.

Mr. D. D. McKENZIE. I am asking the hon. gentleman to be fair to the Minister of Justice.

Mr. TAYLOR. I have the floor, and if the hon. gentleman wants to ask me a question I will give way to him, but I do not want to have my speech broken into by him or anybody else.