come before us.

is said they expect us to retrench at this formerly. time. If retrenchment is necessary Hon, Mr, McADAM.—If the people now it is necessary at all times, are in favor of this Bill we should go I, on returning home, find the people hon. member from King's. wished this reduction to be made, I . Mr, QUINTON ... I do not think it as the people sent me here to carry out sentation.

House. Some say they will go for is apparent to every one. I desire all Canadians, because it is immaterial to reducing them one half. I would not economy to be used. The duties of the them whether they reside here or there." go for that at the present time, for the House will be curtailed, and there will My opinion then was plain and distinct simple reason that I believe in a repre- be no need for the House to sit so many on the question. sentative Government we ought to have days. Instead of sitting two months Hon. Mr. TILLEY .-- My hon, and

a deliberative body sufficient to think, the House will be able to discharge its learned friend (Mr. Smith) was wrong consider and weigh all matters that duties in one month, and this will be at in reference to the general opinion exchange like this requires us to exercise there will be no encroachment there the Legislative Councillors should remature deliberation. There is not a upon the rights of the people. The du- side in the Province. When the paramember around the House but would ties that remain for us to do still require sions of the people of the country. It quence of their number being less than quired to live in the Province.

Whatever the people wish me to do in for it. In order to do justice to the this question I would do, for it is their County of King's we should pass a Bill act very unfairly here, as it would give own business; but I do not know the to roduce the representation, for I do the small Counties all the advantages. minds of the people of Charlotte, not think it would be right to increase whether they want the representation the representation under the present reduced or not, for it is a question that circumstances. I think we should go has not been much considered. Should for the amendment suggested by the

would be well prepared to vote upon right to be legislating so far ahead, as the amendment. I make these remarks there is no necessity for passing this because I do not see the reason for any Bill at present. It would be better to hurry in passing a measure of this kind; let it stand over until the House met Legislature. In 1851 when the repreeven if the measure was complete there next winter, and not be taking up the sentation was the same as it is now the would be nothing lost by the delay, time of the House at this short session. expenses of the Legislature were \$32,-

return home I consented to take away Westmorland (Mr. Smith) in the course matters to attend to, therefore our sesone of your representatives without of his remarks said, that in speaking sion need not exceed forty days. There knowing that you desired it. It would upon the appointment of Delegates last will be less expenditure about the House be much more gratifying to all to have year he had moved that the Legislative for Coaches, &c.; there will be a lesa the matter stand over until another ses- Councillors should reside in the Pro- amount of printing done, and this will sion. It might be very well for those vince, but no member here, except the reduce our expenditure very materially. who no longer expect to sit in the Local French Brigade, sympathized with the Mr. LINDSAY.—Every hon. member Legislature to vote upon this question, proposition. In the few remarks I says retrenchment is necessary, but no mature deliberation, and this, I think, fault of our Delegates and not of the country properly, they shall bave my

curtailment of expenses at once, arising pressed by the House at that time. The Mr. STEVENS. - A constitutional out of the business we have to do, and general feeling of the House was that graph was read in reference to the apas much deliberation as they ever did, pointment of Legislative Councillors in consideration, in order that the best results might be obtained. A great deal quire less; but it will only require half concurrence in the opinion that the has been said in regard to the expres- the time to attend to them, in conse- Legislative Councillors should be re-

Mr. BECKWITH .- My hon, friend (Mr. Kerr) refers to Nova Scotia as having reduced her representation to two members for each County. This would Neither would it be right to take representation by population, upon the terms of the Bill, as that would be doing great injustice to the small Counties, for any County having less than 1500 inhabitants would only have one representative. It is the length of the Session more than the number of members which causes the increased expenses of the What is gained by delaying it? In Mr. HIBBARD .- I do not like the 000, whilst in 1866 the expenses were another year you will ascertain the idea of lopping a member off of a Coun- \$41,000; no doubt but the latter session views of the people upon it. I am not ty because it has four representatives, was much longer than the former, on now in a position to know their views, and we should adopt a fair basis of repre- account of the increased business they had to do. Our business, will be much their wishes I cannot say to them when I Mr. BABBIT .- My hon, friend from decreased, and we will have only local

for they will not be affected by it, as made at that time I said, "I agree with one is ready to begin. All have admitted they expect to go to Ottawa; but it the proposition made by the ex-Attorney that something should be done, but they would be more graceful for them to al- General, that if the Legislative Coun- do not tell us what that something is. low those who come in after them to cillors appointed to seats at Ottawa are Some people try to get all they can, and deal with the question, for they would simply to have a property qualification they have no conscience unless the law then have the minds of the people upon here, it will not amount to a great deal, gives it to them. They have their \$2,400 it. The people may say we never asked because some of them would remove to a year, and then are off on their pleasure you to reduce our representation. We Canada, and instead of looking after our excursions at \$10 a day more. It is a would then feel that we had not been interests they would be looking after regular grab game all round. A man acting in accordance with their wishes. the interests of the Canadians, for it is tries to hold on all he can get, and then Then again, when you go to alter the natural to suppose that a man would be get as much more as he can-that prinrepresentation you should begin at the most interested in the place in which he ciple is carried out in dual representation. bottom and regulate the whole thing. resides. We should instruct our Dele- One man wants to have one office here, You must make some arrangement in gates in unmistakable terms that it and another in Canada, just as if there regard to the Executive, for if you al- should be provided in the Scheme that was not a man here fit for the office except low nine members to hold seats there our Legislative Councillors should both the present Attorney General. I never it would give the Government such a reside and have their property qualifi- sought an office, and if I did I would not predominance that you could not move cation in this Province. If this idea is get it. So far as the Government is conthem; therefore, this matter requires not carried out I shall think it was the cerned, if they manage the affairs of the