the Government upon the other tack, and say the money was not wisely expended, He believed the Government would do all in their power to prevent invasion. He Government and Commander-in-Chief to adjourned debate. provide for the defence of the Province. Mr. McCLELAN thought the hon.

member, when he accuses members of the the Government, should remember that it was announced by the leader of the Gov-ernment that this was a time of emergen-and my reasons why I shall vote against criment that a crisis had arrived in which the this Ameriment. I do not rise to tell Government should not be engaged in this Haye what side I am on, for that is narry polities; therefore, the people have well known by the course I have always. a right to be alarmed, and to know what means are to be taken for their defance. It is not right for the Government to withhold that information, for there is no reason why they should not tell us how many volunteers they have attached to the service, and what the service is to be, He did not expect them to state where they were going to concentrate those volunteers: that would be no object with the people of the country, if assured that a certain amount of forces are available, and certain means and precautions are being

taken to provide against invasion. MR. WETMORE-Should tancy that the Attorney General did not feel much anxiety as to what has been done for the defence of the country, as he did not have a very large family; but it was different with those who had wives and homesteads to look after. If his hon, friend had received such letters from home as he had received, he might feel some anxiety, The question was not put to embarass the teers were to be called out; that would satisfy those persons who reside in their homesteads, while we are looking after the interests of the country. The hon, gentlemen who had just spoken was in the same position as the Attorney General; they could put their families on their backs and walk off when the Province was invaded; but for those who had families it was an important marter. He would he sorry to ask any question to embarrass the Government, but the people have a right to know the number of troops that are to be called out, in order that the

slarm in the country may be allayed.

Col. BOYD said, if there were any gentlemen here who ought to be alarmed it was his hon. colleague and himseif, as his hon. colleague lived within a stone's throw of the place upon which the enemy would make their first attack, while he him-elf only lived three miles away, and they were not alarmed; although they got those "bogus telegraphs" they were sa- vince those gentlemen who are anti-Contisfied no body of men would enter this federates, and intend to vote for the

proper for members of the House to come what arguments can be brought forward. forward every day and call upon the Go- I will relate an anecdote. There were two veriment to know the state of defence, young men standing on the bank of a stating that the women at home could not river engaged in fishing. One of the sleep nights. It was their duty to keep young men proposed that they should their preparations for defence secret from cross over to the other side of the river; their enemies, and have confidence in the the other said they were on the other side Governor, who rules the Province and his now. How do you make that appear? Security Council. If there is my dan- [Beause you are on the where yet all give us timely notice, and he in such thing. But I tell you that you would repeat upon the loyalty of people are on the other side. It is done with the people are on the other side, and he in of the Province to fit up any cell for bet upon it. The bet was taken at once-tulops when required. He reside in Now, asys the young man who promosed they are the province to fit up any cell for between the continuous ways the young man who promosed they are the province Carleton, and there was not one hundred, this bet, how many sides are there to this, in favor of it as there was at the last stand of arms in that part of the Civ., river? Two, of course. Well, is not the lection, (A member,—"They had between the last wear facility forther last." I have no more reason to be

after the danger had passed away, the ing of an enemy; but he had no letters the other side. (Laughter.) I suppose gentlemen would turn round and abuse from any individual saying they were the same kind of arguments were used to

MR. FISHER brought in a Bill to a rthorize the County of York to assess for agricultural purposes. The House then proceeded with the

AMENDMENT TO THE FOURTH PARAGRAPH

OF THE ADDRESS.

MR. COSTIGAN-I consider it my pursued in this House, and before my consti uents. When a question cores fairly before the House I do not think it is to the credit of any representative of a constituency to remain in a posi-tion which allows the public to speculate on the course he will take. was rather surprised at a remark made by the hon, member from Kent that he con sidered that no anti-Confederates could consistently vote for this Government

unless he was their mere tool.

MR. L. P. W. DESBRISAY-1 rise to order. If any man on the floors of this House speaks plainly and means what he says, I am one, and I ask him not to misrepresent or misconstrue what I did say, and which I am ready to stand or fall

MR. COSTIGAN-I will not be por tive as to the exact words. I can only mesure the hon, member that there is no intention to misrepresent him. I wish to keep as near as possible to what he did What he said was: Those who supported the Government on this question were prepared to swallow everything at their hand.

Mr. DESBRISAY-I did not say so MR-COSTIGAN-I will tell you what I took down in writing: "The hon. gen-tleman said no one would support the Government but those prepared to swallow every thing at their band '

MR. DESBRISAY-That bon, gentle man had better take down all, and not take a fractional part; he should preserve the connection, and not take one part and leave another.

MR. COSTIGAN-All I can say isthat I understood the hon, gentleman to make an allusion, at that time, to the supporters of the Government, which I considered uncolled for, and I should be pleased to think I was mistaken. In regard to "sides" on this question, it appears to me that queer arguments must have been used by the Opposition to con-Amendment, that they are anti-Confeder-Mr. CORAM remarked that it was not ates still. To show you an instance of

convince the anti-Confederates in the onposition that they are Anti-Confederates still. Let us look around and see who are anti-Confederates, supposing the

question of Confederation alfould come up. I hold that the great paramount anti-Confederate is the hon, member for the County of York (Mr. Fisher); constitu-County of York (Mr. Fisner); constitu-tionally speaking, he is pledged beyond all other anti-Confederates. I, as an anti-Confederate, pledged myself to my con-situents to oppose the Quebec Scheme, and that pledge extends as long, and no longer, than I occupy my seat in the pre-sent House of Assembly. Should they The hon, me nber from York stands pledged to oppose the Quebec Scheme or any other Scheme, as long as this House lasts. We are in the same position. He could not pledge himself beyond the term of his service as a representative for the County of York; therefore, to look at the matter fairly and honestly, he stands pledged as an anti-Confederate in this House more so than any other member. It has been said that the return of that hon, member was a proof that a change of opinion was going on in the country in regard to the Quebec Scheme. 1 believe the hon, gentleman was true to the Scheme then, and I be-lieve he is true to it to-day. I believe

those pledges were given to secure the votes of the anti-Confederates of York. He saw that if he came out to fight the battle on the question of Confederation, he would not have been returned. was no resson why anti-Confederates should not vote for him when he had pledged himself to oppose the Quebec Scheme. This fact should prevent him from boasting of being returned as an anti-Confederate, and claiming that return as a victory over anti Confederates. It was unfair to claim that as a victory, and send it abroad through the newspapers that the people had changed their minds in regard to the Scheme. When the Scheme was first brought before the people, the press advocated it, and there were sgents travelling through the country-men brought from Canada and paid by politicians in this country, to go among the French. (Hear, hear.) They went from house to house, asking, how much cedar can you furnish to make Railway aleepers? What facilities have you for getting

Ignorant people began to look around and enquire what all this meant. They told They told them that Confederation was going to be carried, and the Canadian Government had sent them down to get the material to build your Radways at once that a strong influence prought against us? I wonder they were not carried away by these influences and inducements were held out to them. How is it to-day? We find the same thing going on, and we are not in a position to go through the country and counteract its effects. They boast of a changeof feeling in the country. It may be true that there are changes. People change from one side to the other. Some change in favor of the scheme and some against it. I believe if the question of the Quebec Scheme was to be put before the people of this country to-day, and they were required to vote for or against it, they would find as many votes