preme Court, should be paid by the General Government. I think it was understood, though not stated in so many words, that the establishing of these Courts would form part of our arrangements necessary to be made before we went into Union. I could talk a long time upon a law Bill-but I shall not take up the time at present, for the great point is to settle the principle of the Bill. If we agree that it would be an advantage to establish these County Courts, the other matters will be more matters of detail, and the House can appoint three, four, five or six Judges, as they think proper. I think we will all agree that it is desirable to make the practice in the Courts as simple as we can. We have tried to incorporate all the provisions in this Bill that are applicable to the Supreme Court, either found on our Statute Book or rules and practice of that Court, and then Barristers will know what law they are administering. There is a provision in this Bill that the preceedings and evidence in this Court can be sent to the Supreme Court, and they will pass judgment upon the matter. Should the principle of the Bill pass, I shall have a number of amendments to propose. I have now briefly pointed out the main objects of the Bill. It has been long required in this country, for the present state of things must be to some Counties where Judges go but once a year a denial of justice; but under this Act we send these Justices to the different Counties as often as it is necessary for them to go.

Mr. SMITH .- 1 was somewhat disappointed in the speech of the Attorney General, and I think almost all the hon, members on both sides of the House will agree that he has not put forward that statement which we believed he would do, for according to what he says our rights are depend ing upon some vague understanding. He tells us he thinks there was some kind of understanding.

rest upon a foundation so uncertain. ments that-He says he talked about it outside the

Hon. Mr. FISHER .- I stated that at the outset.

Mr. SMITH .- What does he mean, then, by moral right-a moral right to pass a law? I trust our liberties do not depend upon anything so uncertain as that. I waited with anxiety to hear him say whether our criminal administration would be under the New Domi- And goes on enumerating a variety of nion or under the Local Legislature, but he has shirked the question and will not give us this information. Have we not a right to call upon him for an answer, as he was one of the authors of the Confederation Bill and spent months then, but including the Procedure in its preparation? He is keeping up Criminal matters. in its preparation? He is keeping us in the dark as to whether the criminal jurisprudence of the country belongs to the administration of the Criminal Law claim from him an answer. This House has a right to know his opinion upon this point. I think that before proceed-Attorney General of the Federal Parliament. I appeal to the country if that is not the information we ought to have. The Attorney General declines to give us this information, therefore we will have to argue the question unaided by bim. Yesterday he promised to answer the question to-day-

Hon. Mr. FISHER.-I did not promise

Have we a right to legislate, or are we ceal this information any longer from the House. If he will not give it to us I will present my views to the House in vantage which I have not enjoyed, for he extent groping in the dark. We must has been one of the framers of the law, act upon our own convictions in the muthas been one of the framers of the law should ter. The Attorney General told us in mean. We have to look at the Act as it igtroducing this Bill, that he intended to Mr. SMITH.—It is not a question of by put some of the jurisdiction of the or this is only half a measure, se these moral right. It is a question of consti-erininal law in this County Court be in Bills were forms together, concurrently. crimona taw in the principle be has acceded to. I do not dependent upon wholating the principle be has acceded to. I do not excluse this fill before the House theorems users once non-sequences upon; with the principle on the second on 1410 to second this confidence where the classification of the will be exclusived to be productive of

to legislate upon the subject I should not be able to ontside the conference. We have brought forward this Bill. The have to see what is in that Act of Union Occupies, when they discussed the Union and what one constitutional rights are under that Act, cannot to the conclusion that we should have the privilege of establishing these converges of the provided that the contract of t make Laws for the Peace, Order and Is nereny deciared that (notwinstanding anything in this Act) the exchaeive Legislative Authority of the Parliament of Canada extends to all matters coming within the Classes of Subjects arx hereinafter enumerated, that is to say—

The Public Debt and Property.
The Regulations of Trade and Com-

The Raising of Money by any Mode or System of Taxation

subjects, which shall exclusively belong to the Parliament of Canada, and among them we find-

"The Criminal Law, except the constitution of Courts of Criminal Jurisdic-

of the country? I think it covers the whole. I flud also that they have the establishment, maintenance and management of Penitentiarles. If they had ing with this Bill we ought to be not the administration of the Criminal enlightened upon this point. Is it his Law, why take charge of the Penlientibusiness to prosecute criminals after the arles? Therefore I think the Federal first of July or is it the business of the Parliament take charge of, and settle the Criminal jurisdiction of the country. We find among the subjects given exclusively to the Provincial Legislature:-

"The administration of justice in the Province, including the constitution, maintenance and organization of Provincial Courts, both of Crift and of Criminal Jurisdiction, and including Proceedings in Civil matters in those Courts, ure in Civil matters in those Courts.

Mr. SMITH.-I think in answer to my the power to constitute the Courts of question he said he would consider the Criminal Jurisdiction, but the prosecumatter, and give his opinion to-day. tion of criminals in that Court seems to to be kept in the dark? He cannot con- like to have the opinion of the Attorney be in the General Parliament. I would General on that point, but I suppose he will answer that question as he did the reference to this point. He has an ad- information from him we are to a certain

1

s ci

Ci

m

bo

ar

of

G

RI

im

ha sit bre bed 801 sar

is and see what it says. In my opinion supplement it with another Bill called than of understanding,

In the criminal business of the country is supplement it with another lilit called the criminal business of the country is the Divisional Court Bill. Both these in regard to our moral right to legislate of the General Government. If Bills should be before the House before will call the attention of the House to a wa pass this one. I ask the Attorney conference. Our constitution does not powers assigned to the different Parilla much advantage to the country. There He says he talked about it outside the conference, and he sake us now to pass this Bill, because these Courts were seemed and House of Commons, to we have the power to fix the salares on the commons, to we have the power to fix the salares of the