

Mr. Smallwood Obviously.

Mr. Hollett Why is it not included here?

Mr. Smallwood Because it is not ordinary expenditure; even at the present time, it is reconstruction expenditure.

Mr. Hollett I see, you have another budget for that have you?

Mr. Smallwood It does not follow at all. Now the Department of Justice. "Administrative \$48,906...Supreme Court, \$27,934." That, of course, does not include the salaries of the judges of the Supreme Court, the federal government would pay that. These are other expenditures of the court which the Government of Newfoundland would have to pay: "14 assistant magistrates — \$38,374 a year." The reason for that is that in the estimate ... you will find under 4 (1), under the heading, "Magistrates", seven district magistrates, and then you will find, 14 assistant magistrates. As these seven district magistracies would become county courts, or district courts, and be paid for by the federal government, Newfoundland would then have to take care of the 14 assistant magistrates. So here in this table of mine, "14 asst. magistrates — \$38,374 a year, three shorthand typists...".... The penitentiary is the \$69,533 that we are presently spending, but the difference between \$69,500 and \$34,500 is accounted for by the fact that the cost of operating the penitentiary would be shared by both governments, which would represent a saving to the Government of Newfoundland. "Travelling Magistrates — \$17,900" ...

Mr. Hollett Excuse me, I take it the 14 magistrates are going to do more travelling than the 21 do now. Is that correct?

Mr. Smallwood No, that is not all travelling of magistrates.... The total vote is \$22,900, and I have reduced it to \$17,900, because seven of these magistrates would become county court judges, and they would do less travelling than assistant magistrates, because they are established mainly in the urban and industrial centers, whereas the assistant magistrates are stationed along the coast, and have much more territory to cover.

Mr. Higgins What is B-5 in the estimates?

Mr. Smallwood B-5 in the estimates? \$17,000, and that is for the travelling of magistrates.

Mr. Higgins And isn't your \$17,900 the same thing?

Mr. Smallwood No. It is that whole vote of \$22,900, reduced to \$17,900 by the reduction of \$5,000 to account for the travelling costs of county court judges. I have taken the whole vote in the estimates here and reduced it merely by \$5,000.... "Penitentiary Supplies, etc. — \$10,000." That is reduced from \$45,000.... "Court House, St. John's...\$1,053,409".

Then next, the Department of Natural Resources. This will take a little more explaining, because there will be great changes under confederation in that department.... The total vote for Agriculture, that is salaries, is \$76,942, but items A-4-8-9-10 would be federal. They would be for the government experimental farm, which would become federal, and therefore these salaries are to be omitted from that vote. There is a note here on page 4 of my memorandum which explains that.

Division of Land Development, Ranger Service, note 2, explains that. We have, in Newfoundland, 84 Rangers. Any province gets from the federal government numbers of Royal Canadian Mounted Police. The Government of Canada charges \$1,000 a year to a province for each Mounted Policeman, the full cost to the province. It costs the Government of Canada much more than that.... We can get 50 of them, but that does not mean 50 existing RCMP being brought into Newfoundland from Canada. It means that 50 of our Rangers can be enlisted in the RCMP, given their training and stationed in Newfoundland, and they will cost \$50,000. Now that reduces the number of Rangers from 84 to 34, and the saving is \$278 per man on 50 men, which brings the vote for Rangers down to \$93,540, and you will get the same a little further down when it comes to supplies, etc., for Rangers. There is also a reduction there. "Division of Crown Lands and Surveys — \$17,090..."

Mr. Hollett Excuse me, before you go on, have you an item of expenditure for the training of these 50 men?

Mr. Smallwood No, it would not be a provincial expenditure, it would be federal. The training of RCMPs is done by the federal government, which pays for it.

Mr. Higgins Mr. Smallwood, it is not very important, but wouldn't it be \$1,200 instead of \$1,000 per man?