regret that the late Government took with such avidity and ad pted the Capardian views, without having come to this dian views, without naving come to this
House and asked the appointment of the
delegation. I think if this had been
done, from my knowledge of the position
they held in the estimation of the Imperial Government, although they ran round from place to place on a regular spring and autumn tour, yet they would have been and autumn tour, yet they would have been met by nothing more than a Resolution of want of Confidence. The Constitution of a country cannot be changed except by the consent of the people, or by the emproyment of force. In matters that did not contemplate the annihilation of the Constitution, it would have been legiti-mate to appoint a delegation; but to dis-cuss the Constitution under which they were acting was unconstitutional. If they had come to this House and asked for a delegation, they would have been met by a vote of want of confidence, and we should have had an incoming Government who would have appointed a dele-gation which would have represented the gation which would have represented the true ideas of the people, instead of a de-legation which represented the views and feelings of the late Government only. In the late election in my County the ques-tion stood on that of Confederation; although they felt the do-nothing policy of the Government they would not make the the Government they would not make the election turn on any thing but Confederation or non-Canfedesaijon. I say this Scheme, as far as I have knoked into it, is a one-sided Scheme; a Scheme by which the interests of Canada would be promoted to our detriment; a Scheme for the legislation of British North Augeics which would be entirely Westward, a ter-which would be entirely Westward, a territory immense when compared with ours. ritory immease when compared with ours.
The preponderance in ten years would be
largely in favor of Western Canada.
This we know, and if it contemplated to
open up their canals, and populate that
great country west of Lake Superior, that would increase the population greater than ever. The great influence then would be centred in Western Canada, and the power would be all in the their hands-Those delegates who visited us said we Those delegates who visited us said we have the population element, and the agricultural element, we want your maritime element. If they valued our maritime element, why did they not leave the seat of Government to be settled afterwards? Why did they not special St. John wards? Why did they not appoint \$1.40 in or Halifax as the place where the archieves of a great people should be deposited, where ships of war could come and protect them? Why did they place it at Ottawa, on the head waters of a fiver far in the interior? I look upon the choice of the seat of Government as one of Tast importance, as of great importance as when they took the capital from Ireland to England, from which influences grew to England, from which influences grew up to the detriment and destruction of Ireland. Our population and our capital would all go to Canada, and we should become the newers of wood and drawers up to the detriment and descrection of olders of the control of the detriment and descrection of control of the control of the

have submitted to it for a moment? most disastrous. Would it not be unjust that a whole country should have a less representation than
a City, merely because her population
was less? And shall we be neutralized
and equalized by the Cities of Montreal
and Quebec. These Cities have as great
a population as all New Brunswick. There a population as all two Drunswick. There is one thing that requires explanation, and that is, why the Conference carried on their deliherations in secret conclave; the hoa. President of the Council has very ahly referred to this. It is not constitu-States, and the discussion on the framing of their Constitution.

Mr. McMillan.—Will the hon. mem-ber say that when they were framing the constitution of the United States that they discussed it openly ?

discussed it openly r

Mr. Gilbrat.—There might have been
some preliminaries that were attended to
in secret, but it was openly debated, but
of this Conference at Quebec we are not able to get the opinions of the men who framed the Scheme; we are shut out from asking the delegates, for they are not now in the House, and they were afraid to bring the matter before the late House. On Section 71, we require some informa-tion. It reads thus. "That Har Majesty the Queen be solicited to determine the the Queen be solicited to determine the rank and name of the Federated Provin-ses." What does that mean? Was not the idea that we should still be a Colony depending on England? Did they intend to establish a Viceroy here with all the pomp and circumstance of Royalty? Woyld any saw rote for that? Let us not copy after antiquated Europe ; let us copy anything that is beneficial ; but for Heaanything that is beneficial; but for Hea-ven's agke not the antiquated forms that do no good. "Imagine the hon. ex-Sur-veyor General, on hended knee kissing the boney hand of a Viceroy; he would have no objection to kiss a lady's hand, that would be nice enough, but I do not think he would be willing to try the other. I think these Colonies have a mark to make think these Colones have a mark to make in History, when they become able to fly their own flag, but that time has not yet arrived. We even now can compare with almost any country save England, France, the United States and perhaps Russia in our commercial importance as owners of tonnage, and if we had it all within the borders of our own Province it would be all right; but with a line to defend from all right; bot with a line to defend from the farther Cope of Newfoundhand to the head waters of Lake Supérior, and a popu-lation sparse and seattered along the whole of this line, numbering only some four millions, it would be tolly to think of hoisting our own flar and striving to guide he ship of State. Does any one suppose would put up with distalon from Dunning Street? Look at our own House with only 41 members; we will not submit to their distalonin anything that we think

The hon, member has referred to the Upper House of twenty-four members being able to put a veto upon anything that might prove disadvan-tageous. But it is well known that the tageous. But it is well known that the Upper House cannot always hold out against the people's House; this has been proved in the House of Lords in England, proved in the House of Lords in England, and at last they must yield. Our very best rights would be jeopardized, and if we have no local rights then why should we keep up a local Parliament here, and another in Nova Scotis? We have heard of delegations proceeding from Canada, as the most influential of the British North American Colonies, to make known the state of the Provinces, and it seems they wish to bring to bear the powerful influwish to bring to bear the powerful influ-ence of England, to force us to this Union. They doubtless intend to press the Schweng, and leave noom for us to come in afterwards. I think the Govern-ment very wise in bringing in their reso-lutions, for although they come from an independent member, yet I presume from the remarks of the Hon. President of the the remarks of the Hon. President or the Conneil that the Government sanction it. This is something practical, and although I am opposed to delegations as a general thing, this one under the circumstances has my support, and I think the Govern-ment will act wisely and well in sending our best men to "frustrate their knavish

Mr. McClellan.-The hon, member Mr. McCLELLAN.—The hon. member (Mr. Gilbert) says something about frustrating their knavish tricks, and further asys that he has Loyalist blood in his veins; I think the difference between him and his good old ancessors is, that whereas they suffered because they stood by the British Government, their descendant will british Government. not. With regard to this question, I am only anxious that the people should have a full and clear statement laid before them. The Hon. President of the Council, previous to the elections, travelled round through our part of the country round through our part of the country expounding his views; I had no time to reply to him then, and therefore it will be expected that I should do so now in reply to his speech of yeaterday. The Hon-President of the Council said our delegation should have gone to the Conference, and returned without pledging themselves to any Scheme-

Hon. Mr. SMITH .- I said that it was unprecedented that a constitution should be changed without being submitted to

the people.

Mr. McClellan.—Now I do not know what our constitution really is; I thought we had slways been under the British Government and Constitution, and I can-not see how the fact of the delegates gonot see how the tact of the delegates go-ing to Quebec to confer with regard to the management of our local Government can siliest the constitution at all. It was all right they admit to go to Charlotte-town for this purpose, and why then could they not extend their operations. Since this Province has been under a seperate Government there have been a good many delegations on different subjects, some on a Union of the Colonies, some on Italiof the Council belonged, and I think then the question of Union was discussed; at any rate I think I can show from the Journals that he question has been dis-cuased. Nearly all these delegates went without the knowledge of the people or consent of the House, and this is a good precedent. But the delegates to Quebec

precedent. But the delegates to Quebechad authority.

Hon. Mr. Borssonn.—Sir R. G. MacDonnell says they had not, and Mr. Cardwell agrees with him.