could attend to their local business without their being at so much expense. He could not see how members of this House could be so shame-faced as to attempt to do any thing of that kind. Some of them would never have had a chance to come to this House if they had not been anti-Confederate, and why then should they turn traitors to the trust reposed in they turn trattors to the trust reposed in them; in his opinion, it would be wrong and unjustifiable to do so. We have been here eighty years and the country has improved. We have got along very well, and all we ask is to be let alone. He believed that an affectionate feeling He believed that an anectionate reempt for the Mother Country was true Con-federation. It is said here, that they should enter into Confederation as a means of defence, but he considered that the money required for keeping up this great Parliament would be a means of detence in itself. It has been said that under Confederation, if an attack was made we could send our troops from one point to another. We can do that now. Did we not pass a resolution for putting all the available resources of the country in the hands of the Go-vernment, and could we not pass a re-solution to move all our available forces in or out of the Province, if we considered it best to fight upon a foreign land. He was satisfied to see the Governor appointed by the Crown. If he was appointed by the Government of Canada, in a short time there would not be a drop of loyalty left. It had been said that the Government had left things unlone, they had allowed a law to expire without being aware of it. This House. former times, would have taken care of that because a Committee of the House used to be appointed to see if my Bills were going to expire; there-fore, the onus is as much on us as it is on them. A Bill has been passed to re nedy the omission, which was caused by the difficulties the present Government had to contend with, and the short time which they had for entering into details, as they ought to have done if they had more time. The result of the charges made against them is, that they ave stopped things from being done that the appointment of Judges, he did not think they could have made better appointments. The Chief Justice is a pious nd just man, and a man of high legal knowledge; he has stood high as a man. and that is saying everything that is required. He could not see that it was nfair to appoint Judge Ritchie to the flice of Chief Justice. He had a high espect for Judge Wilmot, who was a f a person has a political bias, and the power of the Government, they would not popoint him. It was not human nature o do so, and it was not his nature. He then referred to Judge Weldon, whom he said was the oldest barrister in the Province, with the exception of Mr. Saunders, and they did not overstep the eldest person and put in a younger. He was a man of their own political opinion, and they should appoint him to office as well as any one else. For the purpose of keeping up loyalty. a small colony was better than a great portunity to for sake their sins of commis-

11

confederation scheme cannot benefit our trade. We have not a single article we can send to Canada. The fishermen in Charlotte County say they can send their fish there, but they have far more fisheries on the Canadian shore than we have in Nova Scotia and New Brunswick. We cannot take deals and boards there we have no manufactures to send there, for we cannot manufacture our own bread We cannot compete with them, they can get bread cheap, and can get labor for almost nothing. They say we have more coal here than they have in Canada, but oal is much cheaper there than it is here We would seen have all our offices filled with the employees of the Canadian Government, while we would have to pay three times the taxes in proportion to our numbers for the French scarcely consume any dutiable articles, the very sugar they use they produce from the maple. they use they produce from the maple. Then, as regards the prosperty of some parts of Canada: the people are going from the town of Hamilton by shiploads, you can get a house there by paying the taxes. If they had the control of us they would tax us until we could not stand it. It would not be like a bad law passed this year and repealed the next, but we would be fixed there where we could not hope to get a reprieve. We would be hope of getting something done for them. while we would have none. If they could enter into some fair principle of union. such as was entered into between England, Scotland and Ireland, which was not the question of a day or a year, but here we had a scheme brought forward of which we knew nothing, and we were expected to pass it at once. He condemned the act but not the persons who made it, and he thought they could have free trade with the other colonies if they wis'ed it without going into confederation. This Quebec Scheme was framed upon the spur of the moment, and they only had a few days to consider it. It was not like the union between Ir-land and England, for Ireland was an integral part of the kingdom, and if we could have any thing approximating to a like union, it would be to have at some future time one or two members to go home as our re-presentatives in the British Parliament; in former times we could not have this, but now we could go over there in ten days, being one-quarter of the time it used to take the members to come from the north of Scotland by land. He would strongly suggest the propriety of every colony having a representative in the British Parliament. He did not think representation by population was alto-gether fair. A County with varied interests required a larger representation. The city of St. John or the County of Charlotte required a larger representation than the interior Counties. The question now was, whether they should remove the Government or let them live a little longer. His opinion was they had better depend upon their behavior, but he thought they should not condemn them so soon. We should give them an opone, because they would have a British ston and omission, and allow them to go Governor, who would be a tie between on and show that their conduct in the

submit to this, and they do not feel that He had faith to believe they would do loysity that a smaller colony does. This better. Where is the Government that better. Where is the Government that larly since the establishment of responsible and departmental Government. He had made up his mind to go for the address as it stood. Let the Government live and breathe, and see wherein they have done wrong and do so no more, so that when difficulties assail us, they may

carry out the views of the Legislature.
Mr. FRASER said he would make a few remarks, but had made no arrangements, and was not going to reply to any member. Certain charges had been made those charges were mere sham. The there could be no doubt. Why, then, did graph that would test it. If they were going to agitate the country, let them do it in a fair, open, manly, straightforward way, and not try it upon the question of Fenisnism, or any other ism. He would ask the hon, mover of the amendment, if he did not believe, when he struck out the United States, that he could not get the votes upon it. (Mr. Fisher, -I made it one of the grounds of my complaint against the Government.) He should not have struck it out. He could quote authormy from the Speaker of the House of Commons. (Mr.Fisher,-That was a different case. In this I was not obliged to give notice at all.) Very well, he would not say any thing more in reference to that. He did not wish to make any personal attack. His hon, friend and him agreed as well as any men in the country, but in matters of a political nature he had a right to take his side; and his hon, friend could take his. He did not intend to make an attack upon him. He would merely advance some arguments which could go for what they were worth. He charged the Opposition with intending to get up a religious discussion in the House, by attacking the Government. Why did not they attack them on the clause relating to Conf-deration ?

. MR. FISHER.—I thought this was posed to raise a question on Confedera-tion. A vote of want of confidence was outside of that question.

Mr. FRASER .-- Why did you withdraw the latter part of the amendment?

MR. FISHER -- I withdrew it for the vessin I stated at the time. I said I intended to make that part of my charge against them, and I did so.

MR. FRASER

the House had assisted the Government in defending the country. If the Government come in and do not satisfy us that they have defended the country, it will be our duty to vote against them. We are put down as traitors to our country because we express our honest opinions upon this question of Confederation. He did not put down those who went for the scheme as traitors. He never was opp ised to the abstract principle of a union of the Colonies. He had taken the first section of the scheme, and said he would go for that, and that was the only section in the scheme that was carried unanimously. One of the charges brought against the Government is, that dispatches were withheld. He would tell them that a system had been pursued of publishing Consequent, who would up a the notween on and show that their conduct in the system has onen person of publishing them and the nother country. But in a foture will be such as will graifly the these dispatches in the neighboring color large colony, if everything does not please persons who now oppose them. We site, before the English mult arrived them, they will any we are not point to long to longht to the fall, hope and charity, here. It thought the popils of New