some local difficulties respecting representation by pepulation. I did not know at the last session this Union was so fully and completely approved of and endorsed by the Imperial Government, and therefore I voted to send a delegation to England to represent our opinions on the question. I should not have voted for that delegation had I read that despatch, which was in the possession of the Government at the time and withheld from the House, for I would then have understood the true policy of the British Government. They do not have one policy to-day and another to-morrow; they do not come to a conclusion very hastily upon any matter. After making enquiry into all that related to the Colonies, and the prospect of the Reiciprocity Treaty being abrogated, they had made up their minds maturely. They have, no doubt, like other men, erred in minaging the offairs of this great Empire, but they do not arrive at an hasty conclusion, that can be repealed by our sending a de-legation to have an interview of a few hours, even although it consisted of two of our ablest men. I should have thought, however, that one delegate was sufficient. The late Attorney General should have been left to look after the business of the country, while the President of the Council would have been competent to have made all the representations to the British Government that was necessary. By this arrangement there would have been a saving of five hundred pounds to the tax-payers of this Province. The last two delegations sent home by the late Government to make arrangements concerning the building of Railroads, cost only \$900 each, while this delegation cost \$3.558, which is a larger sum than was given last year for all our bye roads in the County of Westmorland, I think they perpetrated a wrongful act in sending two delegates, when my hon. colleague appears to have quite sufficient ability to Mother Country, collectively and individually, in reference to their great British Colonial policy without having a conferee to assist him, but this delegation should not have been sent at all, and I do not believe it would have been sent if the Government, during the sitting of the House at the last session, had communicated to the House information which they had of the views of the Mother Country upon the question. We should connomize the public money. I have always opposed delegations. The basis of all Governments is money, without which we cannot pay our liabilities, or keep up with the requirements or the improvements of the country; therefore, we should look closely iato the way in which the publicamoney is expended, and, although two or three thousand dollars is a small amount. yet there is a principle involved in it that when money is squandered it is our duty to express our dissatisfaction; and so it is our duty to express our dissatisfaction when the Government violate the known laws of the country. What puts the crilaws of his country. Should we then permit the Government, who violate the known laws of the country, as I can show they have done to shelter themselves under their Governmental power and influence. Some years ago, in a conflict like this, my late brother, who was then a member of this House, and in whose political real, Hon. Surveyor General, M. srs. Labrador to the Polar Sea, from British achool I was trained, said that the Gov. Williston, Fraser, Westmore, Needham, Columbia to Haffla's Bay. She looked remment of that day had violated the laws. Willimot. Anglin, Kerr. Oity, Lindsay, to the Canadas with their fertile lands,

of the country in not appointing commis- | McClellan, Connel, and Dr. Thompson by law, they baving taken it upon them-selves to build it by private individuals.

The adjourned debate on the amend-ment to the address stood for twelve the two privates of twelve of twelve and my hon. colleague Mr. Smith, and my late brother, were side by side on Mr. Gilbert resumed.

Mn. GILBERT [referring to a questhe day was defeated on this principle. and the people, to audit, examine and vouch all accounts, he having a judicial power to summon witnesses from any part of the Province, and examine them on oath, in explanation of proof and vonchers. Have not the Government violated the laws of the country in not What can a appointing this officer? Government do to cause the representatives of the people to withhold their confidence from them, if that is not sufficient? T. P. D.

TUESDAY, MARCH 27.

The House went into Committee of the

MR. WILLISTON explained that the object of the Bill was to provide that the costs of the partition of land should be borne by parties having shares in it, acshares; the costs should be apportioned Majesty's Government, according to the respective value of should take place. I The introducing it, he had submitted the Bill to the Master of the Rolls, and it had been referred to a committee of legal

A long discussion, and technical discussion followed: All the hon, members who spoke agreed that the principle of the Bill was just and equitable, and, as far as it went, was a great improvement over the law as it stood. But it was argued, that though the Bill ought to pass as being a step in the right direction, it did not go far enough. The whole mode of partition of lands ought to be simplified. At present parties were obliged to go into an expensive suit in the Court of Chancery, that might eat up the who e estate, and it might happen that one party, out of several having shares in land, could force the others into court, and compel a division against their will, and subject them to equal costs, irrespective of the value of their respective shares-It was suggested that a simpl r mode might be adopted. Hon, Attorney Gen-

sioners to build the Railroad, as required spoke. Bill agreed to, by law, they having taken it upon them-

man my non-concague air. Simile, and my lete brother, were side by side on that question. They said, if we slow the Government to volate the laws of the St. John (Mr. Anglin) calling on him country in one instance, where would to make known the reasons of his resig-they stop them? The Government of nation,] said he thought his hone colleague (Attorney General) had forgot-I can show a like principle involved in ten that he stated to the House that he this debate. (Mr. Gilbert then read the did not give his reasons for resigning law regarding the appointment of Auditor his seat as an Exceptive Councillor. General, and continued.) Here is the law He (Mr. Gilbert) had a distinct recolwhich is just as much the law of the land lection that he did, and they were put as the law which creates this Parliament in the Journals of the House as a mat-gives the electors the right to vote, or ter of record. He had called upon the gives the candidates the right to offer for hon, member of St. John (Mr. Anglin) this House. If you look at the public to give his reasons for leaving the Govaccounts, you will find some \$25,000 re- ernment, and he had been told that it maining in the hands of the deputies at the end of the present year, scattered information; but he would tell his hon-throughout the Province. The Auditor friend, that he had not done his duty to General stands between the Government the country, in not making them known. For his own part he wished to under-stand the tull reasons of his resignation, as it was important that everything should be known that had a bearing upon the question under discussion. He would now resume. With regard to the great question of the union of the Colonies, and he spoke

with all due deference to the able men of the Confederate party, who had framed the meis re, he hought that they did submit the scheme in far too hasty a manner. It would not, he of the people of the Province for pru-dence and sagacity, to have accepted it without deliberation and without demanding more time for serious reflection. But since the first sub-Whole (MR. A. C. DESBRISAY in the mittal of the scheme, they had had, chair) on a Bill relating to the partition of many important considerations brought before their notice that clearly demonstrated the propriety of effecting the union of the Provinces. They had been union of the Provinces. They had been authoritative y informed by despatches from the Colonial Secretary, that it was cording to the respective value of their the expressed and avowed policy of Her that union should take place I They had been according to the respective value of should take place, Finey and been their respective shares. As the law imade to understand that it was the de-stood, each party had to hear an size of that Government not to have equal proportion of the costs. Before Canada pulling one way, Nora Scotia introducing it, he had submitted the pulling in another, and New Brimswick in another, but to have them all united under one General Government, with one policy and one interest. Though the sectional difficulties of the Canadas had, he confessed, in the first instance

started the question, there were other reasons that had urged it on, mother country had looked to the state of feeling towards herself in the neighboring Republic, and to its aggressive spirit; she had seen that the abrogation of the Reciprocity Treaty was certain to happen; and viewing the question of unic n of these Colonies as one notalone affecting themselves, but in the interests of the empire at large. She desired their consolidation in order to continue British institutions in America These were the reasons why she had taken so positive a stand and had expressed a determination that the union of the Colonies should take place. And she looked to the vast country that stretched north of the Lakes. Labrador to the Polar Sea, from British