dent that a man cannot faithfully serve two masters or two inverests, and therefore he should not be placed in the awkward position of being compelled to sacrifice the interest of either of his constituencies. I think the rule should also be carried out in Canada, and it is probable that it will be; but even though it should not be, we shall not suffer by it. Neither do I think that because it was not put in the Act of Union any reflection should be cast on the delegates. They did right I think in leaving it to the decision of the people by their representatives, and they expressed the general feeling of the people in doing so. I will say one word as to the militis. I am not one of those who look upon the old system of training for four days as the cause of so much vice and immorality. I have seen quite as much drunkenness-for that is the immorality referred to-at our Agricultural Exhibitions, at our Crown Land Sales, at our Fairs and Races as I ever saw at a training; and to say that we should not prepare ourselves for war in times of peace, is the same as to say that we should not obtain engines till our houses are on fire. I do not fear for the future of this country. I remember the war of 1812. for I was a boy then, and I know the position of the United States then was no better than ours is now. There were troubles all along our borders, but small as we were then, I know that their attacking forces were always driven back, and in some cases whole regiments were cantured, and I have no apprehension that we should fall a prey to them, now that we are in a so much better position, even if they tried to subdue us. I do not believe in the Prussian system of taking all the available strength of the country and converting it to military purposes, but I think a plan will be decided on that will prove quite effective without being a great burden upon the people.

Hon, Mr. TILLEY .- Although the hon, member for Westmorland appeared satin breeches?

might very well apply to the delegation of 1865, but not to that of 1866. He does acknowledge that we have got more the Quebee Scheme; well, that's something we did. But we did more; we deeided on resolutions as the basis of Union; we obtained the passage of an Imperial Act of Union; we got the guarantee for the Inter-colonial Railway, and we also obtained concessions on the Bill which many thought we could not get. I think this looks like something more than glori-

fying ourselves. Mr. SMITH.--What about the white

posed it would have done, but as I have very much sharmed as to the fature of Hon. Mr. TILLEY. I never saw no neat difficulties to complain of, and no this country. I thought it was but in sp. them. I have heard a good deal about erila to redress, I shall cooling the few pearance, and it must nowate highly est them, but I have not been fortunate remarks I make to the question before disfactory to the House and country to enough to see them. My hon, friend is us, These are, I think, many strong knew from his own lips that he does not mistaken as to the white satin breeches; reasons why this Bill should pass. It is feel that alarm and dread which his words you know when he was there, although probable that the. Houses both here and woold have seemed to impig. As to his he had the honor of an interelast if was at Ottawa will meet in the winter, and forbeatance this Session in not opposing in morning dress. Well Sir, since the hence if a member held a seat in both he the Government, I thank him for it, but matter has been referred to, I may day would have to leave one or the other na- with all his forbearence he cannot with that we went by command of Her Majesty. cant, and we may be very sure it would hold using very strong language toward. We went once in morning dress, and ofnot be the one at Ottawa. Then it is us, For example, he has called us a sterward received Her Majesty's continued probable that many questions will erise, countdly Government; but I know that to appear in full dress. But, Sir, we did in which the General and Local Legisla- we have not shown ourselves to be so, not feel that the honor was to us persontures will not agree. No doubt laws When my hon-friend was in the Govern- ally; but to the people of New Brunswill be passed here which will go to Ot. meat with us, I think we fought out the wick, and to us as their representatives. tame and he there discussed, and those question of the Intercolonial Railway. The hon, member asks if we are to enact men who were in the minority here and I am sure we did not exhibit any history over again? I answer that, in might there so able to wield an influence such spirit in the question of Union. We some cases, the people of this Province sufficient to have it recealed. It is evi- took our stand, and, went to the people are prepared and willing to enset bistory spon it. If cowardica was shown in over again. The old arrangement of Nova Scotia, I am sure there was none in training occupied four days, and then we New Brunswick, and I defy him to put came down to one, and it was not found his hand upon one act of the Government to be as good; for everybody then sail, which will bear such a title. The only what is the use of drilling a man one day case that I know of where there was an in the year? he does not learn anything, exhibition of covardice, was in the case I believe the people now are ready to I have referred to, when within the last move and go into this matter with all fiftsen months a Government took a stand their heart, and fit themselves for cay on the question of Union by submitting emergency which may arise. There are it in the Speech from the Throne and in these Provinces at the present time then backed out from it directly they four hundred thousand efficient miluia came upon the floors of the House. I men enrolled; and suppose we could, in deprecated the introduction of expressions times of danger, call out but one hundred of the opinions of Mr. McGee or any one thousand of these, would the knowledge else, and my hon. friend says that he that we had at our command such a force speaks for his Government; but how can be sufficient in itself to prevent an ordithat be the opinions of the Confederate nary aggression? I think the people are Government? That Mr. Howe, as te prepared to do something more than they says, may be in the Legislature at Otta- have in this direction, and if any meawa, is within the bounds of possibility, sure, with the object of putting the mibut that does not affect what he may livis on a more efficient footing is introhave said in Nova Scotia. It is true, his duced, I think it will meet the approbaspeeches, as reported, have not always tion of the people. 'My hon, friend has been acknowledged, and it may be the referred to the Femans, and asks if their case with Mr. McGee. He may have coming had not some effect on the elecbeen reported as uttering expressions tions? I think it had, and a most dewhich he may never have used. The cided one, for when they came and said hon, member says he is not for a Legisthey were prepared to assist the Antis in lative Union, but at the same time be preventing Confederation, the feeling in acknowledges : hat he would rather have favor of Union at once became more genthat than a Federal Union. He charges eral, for the people saw that in that alone the delegates with being away a long was safety. He talks about the gloomy time and not doing anything. That future, but I am net afraid of our prospects. To-morrow, in order to prepare the way for the assimilation of our commercial relations with those of the other money than we should have had under Provinces under Union, I shall bring in a Biff relating to our fiscal and tariff arrangements, by which the duties on certain articles will be reduced four per cent; but I suppose my hon. friend won't support that. I do not doubt, however, but that we have full powers to act in this matter. He says that the Attorney General expressed an opinion in favor of dual representation in public. I did not hear him, but I saw in the papers that he had made some remarks tending that