bea Scheme, and they are determined to | checks. We never can be united withsecure the best terms they can for New out representation by population, be-Brunswick. To ough the thirty-three de- cause Upper Canada will not consent to legates agreed to the Quebec Scheme, it any other basis. Lower Canada will was but a compromise, for it is not to be not consent to a Liegislative, Union, I supposed that any one member was will ask my hon, friend it he prefers perfectly satisfied with all its parts. No a Legislative to a Federal Union? Scheme could be made where there are Mr. SMITH-I will answer that at different interests without a compromise. some future time, for it requires a My hor, friend says there was a rast lengthly reply. amount of haste used in preparing this Hon. Mr. FISHER-I take it my hon. Scheme, and there was no parallel case friend would prefer a Legislative Union of a constitution being prepared in so with representation by population, to a short a time. I differ with him. You Federative Union, because he says that may search the history of the Colonisl the principle of representation by popu-Empire, and you will not find a consti- lation is inapplicable to a Federative tution, the formation of which has taken Union, but then he says he is willing to more time than this. The constitutions go into a Pederative Union provided he of Upper and Lewer Canada were likely could get the necessary checks. I think drawn by some second hand lawyer. The the Constitution provides checks in the constitution of United Canada was Upper Branch. This Branch is entirely drawn by the British Government, and distinct from the American Senate. all the knowledge they had of what was The Senate together with the people required was from Lord Durham, and can make treaties, and they require no one element was not introduced into that further action from the Legislative constitution until Lord Durham com- body, but a treaty made by the Governplained of its omission. United Canada ment of Great Britain is a powerless inis now a flourishing country, but before strument unless enacted by the Parliathe Union there was a duliness and lasst- liament. He says in the United States tude in the country which contrasted un- Senate e ch State has an equal reprefavorably with the United States, where sentation, and objects to the Scheme all was life and action. It is in Canada because it does not make this provision. is? They have built Railroads and Ca- will have exactly what he wants, very rapidly. This has been the result of twenty-four members in the Upper says he cannot agree with the principle of representation by population.

now that you see the great elements of He is in favour of uniting the Maratime advancement. Is it not this Union that Provinces with a view of uniting the has made Montreal the populous city she whole. After they are united he nals, and their population has increased for then each Province will have their Union, and it will probably be the House and four more from Newfoundresult of this. How long did my hon. land. In the United States all power is friend want the constitution to be kept in the peeple, and they confer a certain in the political crucible before it could be portion of that power upon the Governformed? It was formed by thirty-three gen- ment of the different States, another tlemen, and most of them were men of good portion to the Federal Government, and education and sound constitutional know. another portion they keep themselves ledge, and had been occupied in public Toere is no analogy between their Govbusiness during the greater part of their ernment and ours; they require a Court lives. The realisation of this Union is of Anpeal as necessary to their condione of the day-dreams of my life, and I tion. Our Parliament is all powerful. consider it will prove a great advantage all the power that is not conferred upon to the country. We have the example the Local Legislature is given to the of the United States. There were some General Government. The tendency thirteen States was saw that it would be of this arrangement is to a Legislative for their mutual benefit to unite, and Union. It will arise out of this in the they have since risen in wealth and future, and be the final result. There is prosperity until they have attained their a provision made for assimilating all present position. The model of our the Laws in every part of the Confeder-Constitution has been the Brit- acy except Lower Canada, The proish Parliament. The head of our Go- visions made for selecting Judges from vernment is to be the Queen's representany part of the Confederacy is I think a tative. We only apply the principle of good arrangement, and will be an adthe American Constitution as far as vantage to the public interest. I was they work out some of the principles concerned in a case where there was we have adopted as incident to our po- only one Judge in the Province that sition. My hon. friend (Mr. Smith) could try it on account of having an interest in it.

Mr. SKINNER-I will ask my hon. understood him to assent to that princi-the Quebec Scheine, and if he has I ple last winter, and only wanted certain wish him to state what they are.

Hon. Mr. FISHAR My idea is if wo can get any improvements I will go for them. I said before that I did not believe that there was one gentleman out of the thirty-three but what objected to some of its provisions, and it is but a compromise, each one giving up certain objections in order that all might agree. You cannot devise Scheme that all will agree to each provision in it. We may acquire some advantage for New Brunswick and Nova Scotia in this controversy, for we know that a few thousand pounds would be an advantage to us, but it would be very little advantage spread over the whole Confederacy. The hon. member for Gloucester (Mr. Young) says the great question with this country is regarding the eighty cents a head, to aid us in supporting our local improvements. In Canada they do not get a single dollar from the Government towards local improvements. They have municipal corporations to attend to those matters, and through their agency they make their public improvements. In the United States every improvement is made by direct taxation, and they receive no money from the public treasury. In the conference we took the position that our people had been accustomed to receive from the public treasury certain sums of money to enable them from time to time to carry on their schools, roads, and local improvements The enquiry was then made of what would meet our resources in future, and the conclusion arrived at was, that eighty cents per head, with our casual and territorial revenue, our undeveloped minerals and unproductive forests, would make all the improvements we required, to as great an extent as in the past. There was a remark made by my hon, friend from St. John (Mr. Skinner) about giving the delegates some instructions. I think they will be pretty well instructed, after hearing the discussion in this House. If you send delegates with instructions, and the delegates from the other Provinces receive pacific instructions, and they all stand by their instructions, they can never come to an agreement; there must be a mutual concession and compromise amongst them all. Mr. SKINNER .- I did not say I

would give the delegates pacific instructions. I said we should discuss and mature this matter, and have a plan, and instruct the delegates, but allow them a margin to go upon to better enable them to get what they want.

Hon. Mr. FISHER .- I thought from the tenor of his speech that he wished to give the delates positive instructions. I do not think he is acting consistent;