going to hurt them.... But it is going to hurt Newfoundland. If this goes on we will do an injustice that will take a long time to live down.

This delegation to be sent to England should not be put in the embarrassing position of going over there with the record of this Convention and some of the ungrateful and unkind remarks behind them, about the British government in its dealings with this country. We are not out of the woods yet. We might have to go to the British government again....

Mr. Smallwood I believe in London there is information that this Convention needs to have and the people of Newfoundland need to have. I am very much in favour of sending a delegation to London to get it.

Mr. Bailey I think this is a move which should have been taken, not in 1947, but every year since 1934. I fail to see how any country can govern another country without some connection between.... I have listened carefully to the remarks of our learned friend from Labrador and I appreciate what he said. I honestly believe that what we have said here should have been said long before because --- as I told His Excellency and as I told members of the Commission — the greatest crime that any nation can do against another nation is to take away from it something that men had given their very lives for, the right of selfgovernment. For the sake of \$2 a head, we lost that. There may be members who do not feel the way I feel about it, but I will never forgive the British government, whether Conservative, Tory or Labour for the wrong they have done in taking our liberty from us.... I have read the Amulree Report through 27 times. I am sure if everyone in Newfoundland had read that report they would have realised that our government should have been restored in 1942; now we find that Amulree had no right or authority to make the people of Newfoundland believe the things contained in this report, and it is nothing to our credit. Nobody has made any representations at all, and in fact the members who are in the British House of Commons today don't know what to do. Had there been six or seven men each year going over to the British House of Commons, and letting the people of Britain know what we want, it would have been all right, but I doubt if there are 50 people in the British House today who know what is going on in this country.

This is the first right thing we have done in the Convention. We should let them know what we want.... If you are directly connected with somebody else you have got to stand as their equal, and we have dwelt too long upon the fact that we have been given a rotten deal. A lot of our ancestors served in the Royal Navy and their naval salute comes from pulling the forelock. We have not got past that yet, we have not set it right. We should have been sending delegations over there the last 12 or 13 years. I don't know how to approach the British government, but I believe we should go in and put our cards on the table, say what is on our minds; don't go into hysterics, but tell them what is what. Let us help this country have a government that she never had.

Mr. Vincent The six point resolution sponsored by Mr. Hollett of Grand Falls, covers all the facts required relevant to the political situation existing between the island and the mother Parliament of Great Britain.... Our first approach must be the UK - it is important that we find out just what our actual national debt really amounts to. From being called the backwater of the North Atlantic sea-routes, we have been named the junction of the North Atlantic air-crossings, focal point of the great commercial air routes of the world, the bastion of defence, the Gibraltar of the North. High sounding titles, but is our strategic position our fortune or misfortune? We are not too strong financially, so we'd like a little talk about that interest-free loan.... But seriously, I want to make it clear that I am not so confined as to close my mind to other issues that may or may not be recommended by the Convention. If the people of this country want to express their views on Commission of Government, I shall endeavour to fairly present the Commission of Government case, yet I hold absolutely no brief for that form of dictatorial government.

What of that vexing topic confederation? The sovereign will of the people elected this constituent assembly. Is this will so petty that because I am the elected representative of Bonavista North, a district predominantly pro-Commission, that I must not entertain any consideration for the good folk of the district of St. John's West? Why are we here? To accept a cheque every 30 days and get up and tell 300,000 people, hardworking, industrious Newfoundlanders, "Oh you can't vote on this or that