if the delegates went to England tettered by instructions which they could not deviate from either in the letter or in the spirit, I did not wish to give them specific instructions, unless I knew that what they had been instructed to procure could be obtained. It has been said I was for giving them positive instructions; in this I have been misurderstood. I was for having those in-Confederation, having been so returned to deliberate and discuss the measure, I claim the right, and I think it is the duty of the representatives here to go gonerally into the matter, and to be heard pro and con in order that the best views might be elicited upon the question. When the delegates met in 1864 could express their views some one way of their deliberations was made known to the country, but here the telegraphs earry the news over the country almost from our lips, therefore we stand in a worse position than the delegates did. When I urged my proposition upon the Legislature, and made my objections, i set down as being an Anti-Confederate, not with standing that I stated that it did tion in the Upper House. The fourth speaking upon it. In regard to the re-

the delegates: some of these instruct not require Fenianism to carry Con-resolution I am entirely in favor of, that opinion of the House, and knowing that this question, and it is calculated to do me the greatest injury. My motives have not been understood in my proposition for discussing this question. The question of Confederation had been affirmed at the polls, and the people had declared they were in favor of the principle. They sent representatives here in order to deliberate fully upon the matter. I made those objections at that time in order that the delegates might structions presented in the form of sug- consider them. I wish to be undergestions in order that they might be in- stood. I state here the second time that formed of what the House wished, and no man in the country is more in lavor they would have a discretionary power of Confederation than I am. In season to act. In regard to my position upon and out of season since the first election this Quebec Scheme, and the position I I have contended for it, in every posihave taken before this Legislature, I tion, and shall it be said now that I wish have heard it remarked here and have to procrastinate the question in order to seen it stated in the public prints, that defeat it. I said I was so strongly in if I held the views that I enunciated favor of Confederation that I was willhere I ought to have announced them ing to sink all other things. The quesbefore the last election or not announced tion of Confederation being entirely out them now. I dissent from that view for of danger, I claim yet we have the right this reason. I stated here on Friday to discuss it, and make suggestions, that I was so much in favor of Con- and talk the matter over, in order that federation that I would agree to give the delegates may know wherein the this power to the delegates, and would Scheme is considered deficient by the agree to be bound by what they should members of the Legislature. In regard decide upon, but I claimed the right to to the resolutions before the House; the discuss the matter and give the de'e- fi st says that each Province shall have gates certain instructions. We are not an equal number of Legislative Counsent here bound to accept the Quebec cillors. I would be content with having Scheme, but we were sent here to de two added to Nova Scotia and two to could be devised in order to secure Provinces together equal with either of an improvement in the Quebec Scheme. and because I make this suggestion should I be branded as having run an election upon false pretences. I contend here for the right of discussion. 1 know there are other hon, members who think it would be better not to discuss they had the advantage of discussing it. I do not say they are not correct, the question with closed doors, and they but I take the other view, and say we have a right to discuss it, and for so and some another, and only the result doing I should not be said to oppose it. I spoke of the representation in the Senate of the United States, and said that each State sent an equal number of representatives, and then I threw in a suggestion saying that masmuch as those sition I occupy. States are represented equally in the Senate, would it not be worth considering question for appointing delegates was hewas telegraphed over the country as if I when the delegates come to deliberate fore the House that it had been so fully was opposed to all Confederation. I was opon the Scheme, whether or not we discussed that it was unnecessary for me should have a more extended representa- to take up the time of the Session in

tions suit my mind and they ought to federation, but was carried by its own is the establishment of a Court for the be given them, but not given in the meri's. Stronger language could not determination of questions and disputes way provided for by this resolution. I have been used, but notwithstanding that may arise between the Federal and stated on Friday last that I would give that strong language had been used, I Lecal Governments as to the meaning of the delegates certain instructions to be a have been misunderstood, as bringing the Act of Union. If I were a delegate guide to them so far as they could be those remarks as a side-wind to kill I would ask that this fourth resolution be guided, but I would not go for making Confederation. It is a serious thing to adopted, and I would acrugate for it, but them positive but would leave them a have my/motives so impugned after if I could not get it and the Scheme margin to act upon. Having heard the running an election so recently upon would be endangered by saving, I would prefer a Scheme without it. Theh the sixth resolution providing that 80 cents per head be on the population as it incresses and not to be confined to the census of 1861, meets with my entire concurrence, and I would press it upon all concerned, but would not make it a sine quo non. Some of my hone friend's resolutions I would like to see carried out in the Conference, but I would not not them as positive instructions not be deviated from. After my speech on Friday . last, some of the hon, mainbers in the House said, and it has been circulated over the country, that just previous to making my speech I had an interview with the hon, member for Westmorland (Mr. Smith) in the Speaker's room, and on account of that interview I made the speech I did. I do not know what put that in their minds. We are on the best of terms, but as long as I have been in public life I have never agreed with his public policy at all, but this hed never affected our personal intercourse. He had no more idea of what I was going to say than a man on the other side of the universe. I do not know to what extent those insinuations have gone, but I know how damaging they are against any man holding a public situation. I am glad to have had an opportunity of setting these matters right, and maintaining my position before the country. liberate upon the best Scheme that New Brunswick, thus making the two I saw it stated in one of the newspapers that I sustained the resolution of the Govthe Canadas. This is a suggestion for ernment because I was elected to sustain it, but I sustained it against my own convictions. That is a misrepresentation. I sustained Confederation from convictions of my own, and my mind was made up a'ter mature deliberation. I came here to see that nothing should be done to retard Confederation. I came to consider upon what terms we should enter into Union, and I will sustain the principle that we should discuss this question in order that we might agree upon the best Scheme to be adopted; having discharged that duty independent and tearless, if I am still to be misrepresented, I cannot help it, but such is the po-

Mr. JOHNSON-I thought when the