of union, by which time we should have in Newfoundland a lot more miles of road than we have at the present time and therefore a lot more cars, buses, trucks, and a lot more drivers and a lot more gas consumed, and if we should have a tourist trade a very great increase under these headings, but I am putting them down at what I consider to be modest and conservative increases.

Mr. Hollett May I interrupt you there, Mr. Smallwood? You say in the second four years we will have much more roads than we have now... Mr. Smallwood Yes, we have a road building program now. All these deal with ordinary expenditure.

Mr. Hollett But you have cut out ...

Mr. Smallwood No, I have not cut out. Don't forget I have also pictured for you \$3 million a year being withdrawn from accumulated surplus. Some of that will be spent on roads no doubt. However, to go on.

Mr. Hollett That would be reconstruction wouldn't it?

Mr. Smallwood That would be capital expenditure, or extraordinary expenditure, or reconstruction. It would not be ordinary, but when a government sets out to build new roads you can't call that ordinary expenditure.

Mr. Hollett But that's an ordinary budget.

Mr. Smallwood That's right, any extraordinary account, etc., is not in this.

Mr. Hollett Well, you have taken some from the surplus to build roads. What do you call that?

Mr. Smallwood No, I have not taken it for any purpose. If we put \$28 million on deposit I have imagined that we draw out \$3 million a year. I have not said a word about what we would spend

it on.

Mr. Hollett That, as I see it, is to balance your ordinary budget isn't it?

Mr. Smallwood To draw the interest, not to draw capital account from your deposit. I have not said a word about what that \$3 million a year would be drawn for, obviously for reconstruction and capital expenditure which does not appear in this at all. The total then in taxation on the people is \$5,420,075. Now that is the total of these items I have just read.*

Mr. Hollett \$625 out?

Mr. Smallwood Now just put this down please: total provincial taxation, revenue from taxation: \$5,420,075; total revenue from non-tax sources: \$10,218,075; and add that up. I see I have not added it. It is a total of \$15,638,150.

Now, I just have one more observation to make. It is this: if, and I say "if," these estimates are reasonably correct, reasonably realistic, it would mean that in the first four years of union - no, I have got to go a step further - if these figures are reasonably correct and if the federal government's figures of their revenue are reasonably correct ... \$20 million that they would collect from us, and \$4.5 million that the provincial government would collect from us, would give us a total of \$24.5 million for the first four years of union — per year that is — \$24.5 million grand total taxation on the Newfoundland people, put on by the federal government and the provincial government. That would be a per head taxation of \$75 on the average. It does not mean that every child and every infant and every man and woman, boy and girl would pay \$75, but on the average it would be a per capita taxation of \$75 per head. That is for the first four years of union,

*	Taxation	\$ 4,570,000
	Forestry, additional	200,000
	Motor licenses, additional	150,000
	Liquor, additional	250,000
	Gasoline tax, additional	250,000
	Total provincial taxes on the people	\$ 5,420,075
	Now added to that, from your surplus	299,375
	(See it's come down, it was \$600,000 for the first four years, but for	
	the second four years it is \$299,375)	
	and from refunds, the same amount	254,950
	and Tax Rental Agreement	6,820,000
	and Transition Grant	2,843,750
	and grand total revenue of the government	
	each year for those four years is	\$15,638,150