Is not that absurd? The Judge has Magistrates, and I asked him about it, mean Canada as constituted under this or not

Instead of adopting this Bill I would suggest these alterations in the Court of Common Pleas; that the summary practice be increased to fifty pounds, that an execution be levied upon lands, that they have power to sell property, both real and personal, and have power to grant a new trial.

I would ask the Attorney General whether the appeal from our Supreme Court is to a Court of Appeal in the New Dominion. We find a section of trates are all over the country, and a to us, and we have power to change its the Imperial Act says :

"The Parliament of Canada may, notwithstanding anything in this Act, from time to time provide for the Constitution, Maintenance and Organizastitution, Maintenance and Organiza-tion of a General Court of Appeal for Canada, and for the establishment of any additional Courts for the better ad-ministration of the Laws of Canada.",

We have understood that the Court of Appeal was to be a Court of Appeal for the various members of that confederation; but according to the language of this law, it is not a Court of Appeal for our Courts, but only for Canada. We are to be a portion of the Dominion of Canada, but we are separate and distinct from them in regard to regulating the Civil practice in our Civil Courts. But in regard to the Criminal Law, I think when a man is convicted for crime it is incident to our position that he should appeal to the Court of the New Dominion. I have failed to elicit anything from the Attorney General in regard to the intention of the framers of the law as to the construction and interpretation of it. I will now appeal to the hon, member for Northumberland (Mr. Johnson) as he was one of the Delegates sent to England to frame that law, and he must and to traine that into, and he must suppose for our Province at will direct so that a man may not be punished one is incident to the General Parliament his attention to the third paragraph in the way in Nova Scotla and another way in or to our Local Legislature. In my Act of Union, which says: judgment the Criminal Law is under be control of the General Government, and with the Africe of Her Majesty's and with the Africe of Her Majesty's even down to the smallest crime, for Most Hosorable Privi Cossell, to declare why should they take the control of the by Produmenten that, on sid after a Day Peninentiaries, unless they understoal therein a spointed, not belief more discountered. why abould they take the control of the therein appointed, no no stree a Day Pentientiaries unless they undertook Six months after the pussing of this Act, the control of the Criminal Law. The the Trovinces of Canada, Nora Scotis, object of this Bill is to create offices, and New Brushes she'l form and the Canada, Nora Scotis, and New Brushes she'l form and the Canada. objects this full as to create omes, how two premisers, first and believe we have no power under Goe Dominion under the name of Case this Bill. I stated the other day that we the Imperial Act to fix the salaries of the French of the Court to actions not exceeding \$200, but you ought to restrict it to a minimum. I understood the Attorney General to say that he intended to introduce another Bill which would pro-

to furnish a certified copy of all the but he continues silent. If he intends Act rules, motions or orders, whether they to bring it we should have it before the relate in the slightest degree to the case House now, as it is connected with the administration of justice. I have alwars in this House advocated the propriety of increasing the jurisdiction of Magistrates to ten pounds. There may be one or two Magi trates in each County who are trading men, but then you can have a Jury, and unless they are packed you are very apt to get justrading Magistrates I doubt whether ing these small debts. These Magisnow accustomed to it, and appoint a not apply to the Courts of New Brunslawyer as Judge of the Court of Com- wick, mon Pleas, and then we will not be violating the Imperial Act. I do not think it was the intention of the parties have the power, at this session, before the Proclamation of Union takes effect, to create new Courts and re-cast the whole Judicial jurisdiction, and create new Judges with salaries of £650 a year. I do not think we are justified in doing it, and I do not think the interests of the people of this Country will be im-

proved by it in the slightest degree. Mr. WEI MORE .- My hon, friend referred to a section of the Act of Union which provides that "the Parliament of Canada may, notwithstanding anything in this Act, from time to time provide for the constitution, maintenance, and organization of a General Court of Appeal for Canada, and for the establishment of any additional Courts for the better administration of the Laws of Canada." I understand him to say he had very serious doubts whether or not the Court of Ap- General Government have power to regupeal in Canada would be the Court of

vide Substitute for the jurisdiction of plied, the name Canada shall be taken to ernment.

Canada shall be divided into four Pre-

vinces, named Ontario, Quebec, Nova Scotia, and New Brunswick. Mr. SMITH .- I am aware that these four Provinces are to constitute what is called Canada, but we have a distinct and separate jurisdiction from Canada. This Court of Appeal, as it must be interpreted, means a Court of Appeal in tice done. If you can get rid of these has the power to legislate upon. Certain you could improve the method of collect- to the General Government, and certain powers are taken from us and transferred powers are left us. The Court belongs man does not have to travel very far to constitution, so far as its diell powers find one to issue a summens for him. are concerned, and no one has a right to We had better keep the jurisdiction of object to it, therefore that paragraph epour Courts as it is, for the lawyers are plies to the Courts of Canada, and does

Hon, Mr. FISHER -I refused to answer my hon. friend's questions, bewho framed that law that'we should cause it is unparliamentary to interrupt a gentleman when speaking. It is unparliamentary to put questions at that time; the proper course was for him to finish his speech, and then for me to answer him. When I opened the debata I made very few observations, but I thought I made myself intelligible. My intention was to bring the Bill before the House, and not to make a speech upon it. I think my hon, friend must certainly have Confederation on the brain. He complains that I did not answer his questions yesterday, but had promised to do so ioday, and had not done it. I did not promise to snawer all his questions to-day. I said I believed we had the power to establish three Courts, and I went on to show there was no impropriety in doing it. In regard to the Criminal Law, my

opinion is that the administration of the Criminal Law devolves upon us, but the New Brunswick. The Criminal Law will be uniform in the whole Union, but the administration of it belongs to us. Unless the question affects something before the House, it is unwise to answer all sorts of questions arising out of this Union

Act. I have told him what my view of the so, but we afterwards concluded not to I will also direct his ettention to the fore we came to that determination. We latter part of the fourth Section and the intend to sak the House to pass this Bill, and we must make the appointments Unless it is otherwise expressed or im- subject to the action of the General Gor-