

Non-negative Monte Carlo estimation of f -divergences

Frank Nielsen*

Sony Computer Science Laboratories Inc.
Tokyo, Japan

6th January 2020

Abstract

We show how to guarantee non-negative Monte Carlo estimations of f -divergences by considering the corresponding extended f -divergences.

1 Problem with naive Monte Carlo estimations of f -divergences

Let (X, F, μ) be a probability space [4] with X denoting the sample space, F the σ -algebra, and μ a reference positive measure. The f -divergence [2, 5] between two probability measures P and Q both absolutely continuous with respect to μ for a convex generator $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ strictly convex at 1 and satisfying $f(1) = 0$ is

$$I_f(P : Q) = I_f(p : q) = \int p(x) f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) d\mu(x),$$

where $P = p d\mu$ and $Q = q d\mu$ (i.e., p and q are Radon-Nikodym derivatives with respect to μ). We use the following conventions:

$$0f\left(\frac{0}{0}\right) = 0, \quad f(0) = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} f(u), \quad \forall a > 0, 0f\left(\frac{a}{0}\right) = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} uf\left(\frac{a}{u}\right) = a \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}.$$

When $f(u) = -\log u$, we retrieve the Kullback-Leibler divergence (KLD):

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p : q) = \int p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} d\mu(x).$$

The KLD is usually difficult to calculate in closed-form, say, for example, between statistical mixture models [6]. A common technique is to estimate the KLD using Monte Carlo sampling using a proposal distribution r :

$$\widehat{\text{KL}}_n(p : q) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p(x_i)}{r(x_i)} \log \frac{p(x_i)}{q(x_i)},$$

*E-mail: Frank.Nielsen@acm.org. <https://franknielsen.github.io/>

where $x_1, \dots, x_n \sim_{\text{iid}} r$. When r is chosen as p , the KLD can be estimated as

$$\widehat{\text{KL}}_n(p : q) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{p(x_i)}{q(x_i)}. \quad (1)$$

Monte Carlo estimators are consistent under mild conditions: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\text{KL}}_n(p : q) = \text{KL}(p : q)$.

In practice, one problem when implementing Eq. 1, is that we may end up potentially with $\widehat{\text{KL}}_n(p : q) < 0$. This may have disastrous consequences as algorithms implemented by programs consider non-negative divergences to execute a correct workflow. The potential negative value problem of Eq. 1 comes from the fact that $\sum_i p(x_i) \neq 1$ and $\sum_i q(x_i) \neq 1$.

2 Non-negative Monte Carlo estimation via extended f -divergences

One way to circumvent this problem is to consider the extended f -divergences:

Definition 1 (Extended f -divergence) *The extended f -divergence for a convex generator f , strictly convex at 1 and satisfying $f(1) = 0$ is defined by*

$$I_f^e(p : q) = \int p(x) \left(f \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right) - f'(1) \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right) \right) d\mu(x).$$

Indeed, for a strictly convex generator f , let us consider the scalar Bregman divergence [1]:

$$B_f(a : b) = f(a) - f(b) - (a - b)f'(b) \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

Setting $a = \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}$ and $b = 1$ in Eq. 2, and using the fact that $f(1) = 0$, we get

$$f \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right) - \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right) f'(1) \geq 0.$$

Therefore we define the *extended f -divergences* as $I_f^e(p : q) = \int p(x) B_f \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} : 1 \right) d\mu(x) \geq 0$:

$$I_f^e(p : q) = \int p(x) \left(f \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right) - f'(1) \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right) \right) d\mu(x) \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

Then we estimate the extended f -divergence using importance sampling of the integral with respect to distribution r , using n variates $x_1, \dots, x_n \sim_{\text{iid}} p$ as:

$$\boxed{\hat{I}_{f,n}(p : q) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \left(\frac{q(x_i)}{p(x_i)} \right) - f'(1) \left(\frac{q(x_i)}{p(x_i)} - 1 \right) \geq 0.}$$

For example, for the KLD, we obtain the following Monte Carlo estimator:

$$\widehat{\text{KL}}_n(p : q) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\log \frac{p(x_i)}{q(x_i)} + \frac{q(x_i)}{p(x_i)} - 1 \right) \geq 0, \quad (4)$$

since the extended KLD is

$$D_{\text{KL}^e}(p : q) = \int \left(p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} + q(x) - p(x) \right) d\mu(x).$$

Eq. 4 can be interpreted as a sum of scalar Itakura-Saito divergences since the Itakura-Saito divergence is scale-invariant: $\widehat{\text{KL}}_n(p : q) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n D_{\text{IS}}(p(x_i) : q(x_i))$ with the scalar Itakura-Saito divergence

$$D_{\text{IS}}(a : b) = D_{\text{IS}}\left(\frac{a}{b} : 1\right) = \frac{a}{b} - \log \frac{a}{b} - 1 \geq 0,$$

a Bregman divergence obtained for the generator $f(u) = -\log u$.

Notice that the extended f -divergence is a f -divergence for the generator

$$f_e(u) = f(u) - f'(1)(u - 1).$$

We check that the generator f_e satisfies both $f(1) = 0$ and $f'(1) = 0$, and we have $I_f^e(p : q) = I_{f_e}(p : q)$. Thus $D_{\text{KL}^e}(p : q) = I_{f_{\text{KL}}^e}(p : q)$ with $f_{\text{KL}}^e(u) = -\log u + u - 1$.

Let us remark that we only need to have the scalar function strictly convex at 1 to ensure that $B_f\left(\frac{a}{b} : 1\right) \geq 0$. Indeed, we may use the definition of Bregman divergences extended to strictly convex functions but not necessarily smooth functions [3, 7]:

$$B_f(x : y) = \max_{g(y) \in \partial f(y)} \{f(x) - f(y) - (x - y)g(y)\},$$

where $\partial f(y)$ denotes the subderivative of f at y .

References

- [1] Lev M Bregman. The relaxation method of finding the common point of convex sets and its application to the solution of problems in convex programming. *USSR computational mathematics and mathematical physics*, 7(3):200–217, 1967.
- [2] Imre Csiszár. Information-type measures of difference of probability distributions and indirect observation. *studia scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, 2:229–318, 1967.
- [3] G. J. Gordon. *Approximate solutions to Markov decision processes*. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, 1999.
- [4] Robert W Keener. *Theoretical statistics: Topics for a core course*. Springer, 2011.
- [5] Frank Nielsen and Richard Nock. On the chi square and higher-order chi distances for approximating f -divergences. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 21(1):10–13, 2013.
- [6] Frank Nielsen and Ke Sun. Guaranteed bounds on the Kullback–Leibler divergence of univariate mixtures. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 23(11):1543–1546, 2016.
- [7] Matus Telgarsky and Sanjoy Dasgupta. Agglomerative Bregman clustering. In *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1011–1018. Omnipress, 2012.