Some contributions to the theory of distances

Frank Nielsen
Sony Computer Science Laboratories Inc., Tokyo, Japan
E-mail:Frank.Nielsen@acm.org

November 11, 2020

1 Calculating statistical distances, relative entropies, cross-entropies and entropies

• Cumulant-free closed-form formulas for some common (dis)similarities between densities of an exponential family (https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.02469)

The Bregman and Jensen divergences are defined for a strictly convex generator F by:

$$B_F(\theta_1 : \theta_2) := F(\theta_1) - F(\theta_2) - (\theta_1 - \theta_2)^\top \nabla F(\theta_2)$$
 (1)

$$J_F(\theta_1:\theta_2) := \frac{F(\theta_1) + F(\theta_2)}{2} - F\left(\frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2}\right). \tag{2}$$

Since the Jensen and Bregman convex generators $F(\theta)$ are defined modulo an affine $term \langle a, \theta \rangle + b$ (i.e., $J_F(\theta_1:\theta_2) = J_G(\theta_1:\theta_2)$ and $B_F(\theta_1:\theta_2) = B_G(\theta_1:\theta_2)$ with $G(\theta) = F(\theta) + \langle a, \theta \rangle + b$), we can choose the equivalent generator $G(\theta) := -\log p_{\theta}(x) = F(\theta) - \langle t(x), \theta \rangle - k(x)$ (i.e., a = -t(x)) and b = -k(x), and express the Kullback-Leibler divergence, the skewed Bhattacharrya divergences, the α -divergences and many other statistical distances between densities of a natural exponential family $\{p_{\theta}(x) = 1_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \exp(\langle t(x), \theta \rangle - F(\theta) + k(x))\}$ without explicitly using the log-normalizer $F(\theta) = \log\left(\int_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \exp(\langle t(x), \theta \rangle + k(x)) d\mu(x)\right)$ of the exponential family (also called cumulant function or log-partition function).

For example, the Bhattacharyya similarity coefficient is expressed as:

$$\rho[p_{\theta_1}, p_{\theta_2}] := \int_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sqrt{p_{\theta_1}(x) p_{\theta_2}(x)} d\mu(x),$$

$$= \exp(-J_F(\theta_1 : \theta_2)) = \exp(-J_{-\log p_{\theta}(\omega)}(\theta_1 : \theta_2)), \quad \forall \ \omega \in \mathcal{X},$$

$$= \frac{p_{\bar{\theta}}(\omega)}{\sqrt{p_{\theta_1}(\omega)p_{\theta_2}(\omega)}}, \quad \forall \ \omega \in \mathcal{X},$$

where $\bar{\theta} := \frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2}$. For generic exponential families parameterized by $\lambda(\theta)$ (i.e., not in natural form), we need to explicit the mid-parameter $\bar{\lambda} := \lambda(\bar{\theta})$ from the *partial* factorization of the exponential family (the λ -mean corresponding to the θ -mean).

For the Kullback-Leibler divergence, using the fact that $D_{\text{KL}}[p_{\theta_1}:p_{\theta_2}] = B_F[\theta_2:\theta_1] = B_G[\theta_2:\theta_1]$ (better written as $D_{\text{KL}}^*[p_{\theta_2}:p_{\theta_1}] = B_F[\theta_2:\theta_1]$ where D_{KL}^* is the reverse divergence) with the equivalent generator $G(\theta) = -\log p_{\theta}(x)$, we get

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}[p_{\theta_1}:p_{\theta_2}] = \log\left(\frac{p_{\theta_1}(\omega)}{p_{\theta_2}(\omega)}\right) + (\theta_2 - \theta_1)^{\top}(t(\omega) - \nabla F(\theta_1)), \quad \forall \ \omega \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Choosing ω such that $t(\omega) = \nabla F(\theta_1) = E_{p_{\theta_1}}[t(x)] =: \eta_1$, we express the KLD as a log density ratio: $D_{\text{KL}}[p_{\theta_1}:p_{\theta_2}] = \log\left(\frac{p_{\theta_1}(\omega)}{p_{\theta_2}(\omega)}\right)$. In general we may need several ω_i 's so that $\frac{1}{s}\sum_i t(\omega_i) = \nabla F(\theta_1) = \eta_1$. Thus we get the three equivalent formula for the KLD between densities of an exponential family:

$$D_{\text{KL}}[p_{\lambda_{1}}:p_{\lambda_{2}}] = \int_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_{\lambda_{1}}(x) \log\left(\frac{p_{\lambda_{1}}(x)}{p_{\lambda_{2}}(x)}\right) d\mu(x)$$

$$= B_{F}(\theta(\lambda_{2}):\theta(\lambda_{1})) \quad (\text{require } F(\theta), \nabla F(\theta))$$

$$= \log\left(\frac{p_{\lambda_{1}}(\omega)}{p_{\lambda_{2}}(\omega)}\right) + (\theta(\lambda_{2}) - \theta(\lambda_{1}))^{\top} (t(\omega) - E_{p_{\lambda_{1}}}[t(x)]), \quad \forall \omega \in \mathcal{X} \text{ (require } E_{p_{\lambda}}[t(x)])$$

$$= \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \log\left(\frac{p_{\lambda_{1}}(\omega_{i})}{p_{\lambda_{2}}(\omega_{i})}\right), \quad (\text{require } \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{s} t(\omega_{i}) = E_{p_{\lambda_{1}}}[t(x)])$$

$$(3)$$

The last formula bears some similarity with the Monte-Carlo stochastic approximation of the Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1,\dots,x_n & \sim_{\mathrm{iid}} & p_{\lambda_1} \\ & \tilde{D}_{\mathrm{KL},n}[p_{\lambda_1}:p_{\lambda_2}] & := & \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\frac{p_{\lambda_1}(x_i)}{p_{\lambda_2}(x_i)}\right) \\ & \lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{D}_{\mathrm{KL},n}[p_{\lambda_1}:\mathbf{p}_{\lambda_2}] & = & D_{\mathrm{KL}}[p_{\lambda_1}:p_{\lambda_2}] \\ & \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n t(x_i) = E_{p_{\lambda_1}}[t(x)] \end{array}$$

For example, we can write the KLD between two multivariate normal distributions as