## Homework 4

## **ISyE 6420** Fall 2022

1. Simple Metropolis: Normal Precision – Gamma. Suppose X = -2 was observed from the population distributed as  $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{\theta}\right)$  and one wishes to estimate the parameter  $\theta$ . (Here  $\theta$  is the reciprocal of the variance  $\sigma^2$  and is called the *precision parameter*). Suppose the analyst believes that the prior on  $\theta$  is  $\mathcal{G}a(1/2, 1)$ .

Using Metropolis algorithm, approximate the posterior distribution and the Bayes' estimator of  $\theta$ . As the proposal distribution, use gamma  $\mathcal{G}a(\alpha,\beta)$  with parameters  $\alpha,\beta$  selected to ensure efficacy of the sampling (this may require some experimenting).

- (a) Describe the posterior distribution of  $\theta$  using the Bayes' estimator and the 97% HPD credible set.
- (b) Create two plots: one for the posterior density of  $\theta$  and one trace plot. For the trace plot, the X-axis should be the iteration, and the Y-axis should be the observed value of the chain at that iteration.
- (c) Report the acceptance rate of your proposal distribution. That is, what is the probability that the proposal was accepted when you ran the Metropolis algorithm?
- 2. Normal-Cauchy by Gibbs. Assume that  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$  is a sample from  $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$  distribution, and that the prior on  $\theta$  is Cauchy  $\mathcal{C}a(\mu, \tau)$ ,

$$f(\theta|\mu,\tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{\tau}{\tau^2 + (\theta - \mu)^2}.$$

Even though the likelihood for  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  simplifies by sufficiency arguments to a likelihood of  $\bar{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2/n)$ , a closed form for the posterior is impossible and numerical integration is required.

The approximation of the posterior is possible by Gibbs sampler as well. Cauchy  $Ca(\mu, \tau)$  distribution can be represented as a scale-mixture of normals:

$$[\theta] \sim \mathcal{C}a(\mu, \tau) \equiv [\theta | \lambda] \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\tau^2}{\lambda}\right), [\lambda] \sim \mathcal{G}a\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

that is,

$$\frac{\tau}{\pi(\tau^2 + (\theta - \tau)^2)} \propto \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\tau^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda}{2\tau^2}(\theta - \mu)^2\right\} \cdot \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - 1} \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right\} d\lambda.$$

The full conditionals can be derived from the product of the densities for the likelihood and priors,

$$[\bar{y}|\theta,\sigma^2] \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\theta,\frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right),$$
  
 $[\theta|\lambda] \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu,\frac{\tau^2}{\lambda}\right),$   
 $[\lambda] \sim \mathcal{G}a\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right).$ 

(a) Show that full conditionals are normal and exponential,

$$[\theta|\bar{y},\lambda] \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{\tau^2}{\tau^2 + \lambda\sigma^2/n}\bar{y} + \frac{\lambda\sigma^2/n}{\tau^2 + \lambda\sigma^2/n}\mu, \frac{\tau^2 \cdot \sigma^2/n}{\tau^2 + \lambda\sigma^2/n}\right),$$
$$[\lambda|\bar{y},\theta] \sim \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{\tau^2 + (\theta - \mu)^2}{2\tau^2}\right)$$

(b) Jeremy models the score on his IQ tests as  $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$  with  $\sigma^2 = 90$ . He places a Cauchy prior on  $\theta$ :  $Ca(110, \sqrt{120})$ .

In 10 random IQ tests Jeremy scores y = [100, 112, 110, 95, 104, 112, 120, 95, 98, 109]. The average score is 105.5, which is the frequentist estimator of  $\theta$ . Using the Gibbs sampler described in (a), approximate the posterior mean and variance. Approximate the 94% equitailed credible set by sample quantiles.