CONTINUATION PASSING STYLE

After CPS conversion, we will resolutely use continuations for everything. This can be seen as a way of making control flow explicit. There are results saying that the output of CPS conversion is invariant under interpretation as pass-by-name or pass-by-value, though we will not go into those results in this class. CPS conversion gives us named intermediate results. Thirdly, we reify control-flow as data. The first two of these three properties are commonly called "monadic form."

1. IL-CPS

We first must define the target language for this transformation. Notably, we split terms into two syntatic classes; *expressions* and *values*. One may think of expressions as values that are computed and then thrown away.

We may formalize this intuition as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} v ::= x \\ & \mid \lambda x : \tau.e \\ & \mid \operatorname{pack} \left[c, v \right] \text{ as } \exists \alpha : k.\tau \\ & \mid \langle v_1, \dots v_n \rangle \end{array}$$

$$e ::= vv \\ & \mid \operatorname{unpack} \left[\alpha, x \right] = v \text{ in } e \\ & \mid \operatorname{let} \ x = v \text{ in } e \\ & \mid \operatorname{let} \ x = v \text{ in } e \end{array}$$

IL-CPS has the following typing rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau : T \qquad \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e : 0}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau . e : \tau \to 0} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash v_1 : \neg \tau \qquad \Gamma \vdash v_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : 0}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash c : k \qquad \Gamma \vdash v : [c/\alpha]\tau \qquad \Gamma, \alpha : k \vdash \tau : T}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{pack} \ [c, v] \ \mathsf{as} \ \exists \alpha : k . \tau : \exists \alpha : k . \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash v : \exists \alpha : k . \tau \qquad \Gamma, \alpha : k, x : \tau \vdash e : 0}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{unpack} \ [\alpha, x] = v \ \mathsf{in} \ e : 0} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash v_1 : \tau_i \qquad (\mathsf{for} \ i = 1 \dots n)}{\Gamma \vdash \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle : \times [\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash v : \times [\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n]}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{let} \ x = \pi_i v \ \mathsf{in} \ e : 0} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash v : \tau \qquad \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e : 0}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{let} \ x = v \ \mathsf{in} \ e : 0} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau : T}{\Gamma \vdash \neg \tau : T}$$

Note that in constructive logic, the proposition " $\tau \to 0$ " is exactly $\neg \tau$. So we may perhaps clovingly say that continuations are negation.

A careful reader may notice our usual sleight of hand in the unpack rule: the α 's mentioned are all asserted to be equal.

2. CPS Conversion: Compiler Pass

Kind, constructor, and type translation are all still syntax-directed. Most every transformation is an identity mapping, with one exception:

$$\tau_1 \to \tau_2 = \neg(\tau_1 \times \neg \tau_2).$$

There's a neat connection to constructive logic here; by the Curry-Howard Isomorphism, this is analogous to the transformation $A \supset B$ goes to $\neg (A \land \neg B)$. We're effectively DeMorgan-ing our code here.

Context translation is just the usual map of kind and type translation.

2.1. Transforming Terms

We have

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \to x.e$$

Here, e is a continuation that passes its value to the bound variable x. We maintain the invariant that "If $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \to x.e$, then $\Gamma x : \neg \tau \vdash e : 0$."

In respect of convention, we'll strive to use the variable k instead of x as the continuation variable here. One hopes that this does not cause the reader any great difficulty, as we also often use the variable k for kinds.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\Gamma(x) = \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau \leadsto k.(kx)} \\ & \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \times [\tau_0, \dots \tau_{n-1}] \leadsto k'.e}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_i(e) : \tau_i \leadsto k.(\text{let } k' = (\lambda x : \times [\tau_0, \dots, \tau_{n-1}].\text{let } y = \pi_i k \text{ in } ky) \text{ in } e)} \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_i : \tau_i \leadsto k_i.e_i \qquad (\text{for } i=1,\ldots,n)}{\Gamma \vdash \langle e_1,\ldots e_n \rangle : \times [\tau_1,\ldots \tau_n] \leadsto k. \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{let } k_1 = (\lambda x_i : \tau_1.\\ \text{let } k_2 = (\lambda x_i : \tau_2.\ldots\\ \text{let } k_n = (k\langle x_1,\ldots x_n\rangle) \text{ in } e_n) \text{ in } e_{n-1})\\ \text{in } \ldots) \text{ in } e_2) \text{ in } e_1)} \right)}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 : T & \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2 \leadsto k'.e \\ \hline \\ \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1.e : \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \leadsto k.k \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda y : \tau_1 \times \neg \tau_2. \\ \text{let } x = \pi_0 y \text{ in} \\ \text{let } k' = \pi_1 y \text{ in } e \end{array} \right) \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \to \tau' \leadsto k_1.e_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \to \tau' \leadsto k_2.e_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau' \leadsto k. \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{let } k_1 = \left(\lambda f : \neg(\tau \times \neg \tau').\\ \text{let } k_2 = \left(\lambda x : \tau.f \langle x, k \rangle\right) \text{ in } e_2 \right)\\ \text{in } e_1 \end{array} \right)}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash c : k \qquad \Gamma \vdash e : [c/\alpha]\tau \leadsto k'.e \qquad \Gamma, \alpha : k \vdash \tau : T$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash c : k \qquad \Gamma \vdash e : [c/\alpha]\tau \leadsto k'.e \qquad \Gamma, \alpha : k \vdash \tau : T}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{pack}\ [c,e]\ \mathsf{as}\ \exists \alpha : k.\tau : \exists \alpha : k.\tau \leadsto k. \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{let}\ k' = \\ \lambda x : [c/\alpha]e.k\,(\mathsf{pack}\ [c,x]\ \mathsf{as}\ \exists \alpha : k.\tau) \\ \mathsf{in}\ e \end{array}\right)}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \exists \alpha : k.\tau \leadsto k_1.e_1 \qquad \Gamma, \alpha : k, x : \tau \vdash e_1 : e_2 : \tau' \leadsto k_2.e_2$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \exists \alpha : k.\tau \leadsto k_1.e_1 \qquad \Gamma, \alpha : k, x : \tau \vdash e_1 : e_2 : \tau' \leadsto k_2.e_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{unpack} \ [\alpha, x] = e_1 \ \mathtt{in} \ e_2 \leadsto k. \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{let} \ k_1 = \lambda x_1 : (\exists \alpha : k.\tau). \\ (\mathtt{unpack} \ [\alpha, x] = x_1 \ \mathtt{in} \ (\mathtt{let} \ k_2 = \lambda x_2 : \tau'.kx_2 \ \mathtt{in} \ e_2)) \\ \mathtt{in} \ e_1 \end{array} \right)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash k : \mathtt{kind} \qquad \Gamma, \alpha : k \vdash e : \tau \leadsto k'.e}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha : k.e : \forall \alpha : k.c \leadsto k.k \left(\begin{array}{c} (\lambda x : (\exists \alpha : k. \neg \tau). \\ \mathtt{unpack} \ [\alpha, k'] = x \ \mathtt{in} \ e) \end{array} \right)}$$

We also have the following type transformations

$$\tau_1 \to \tau_2 = \neg(\tau_1 \times \neg \tau_2)$$
$$\forall \alpha : k.\tau = \neg(\exists \alpha : k.\neg \tau)$$