# **CLOSURE CONVERSION**

ABSTRACT. To this point we've been working with functions that have free variables, like  $\lambda x.\ f\ g\ x.$  In theory, instantiation of free variables is implemented by substitution, but hardware does not support this operation. Thus we now define a language, IL-Closure, in which terms explicitly carry environments defining their free variables, "closing" over them. Then, we show how to translate IL-CPS into IL-Closure.

### 1. A Brief note on implementation

Closures are expensive, and a robust implementation should avoid creating them whenever possible. So, though this translation pass is needed to take care of higher-order usage of functions, "known" defined at the top-level should not be converted into closures.

### 2. Examples

Consider the term

$$\lambda x$$
: int.  $x + y + z$ 

Translating this to a closure, we get

$$\langle \lambda x: ext{int. } \lambda env: ext{int. } ext{int.}$$
 let  $y=\pi_0\ env$  in let  $z=\pi_1\ env$  in  $x+y+z$  ,  $\langle y,z 
angle 
angle$ 

As a consequence, for function application

e 5

we need to translate as well.

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{let} f = \pi_0 \; e \; \operatorname{in} \\ \operatorname{let} env = \pi_1 \; e \; \operatorname{in} \\ f \; env \; 5 \end{array}$$

But in order to get type-directed translation to go through smoothly, we will need to be somewhat clever. Consider the following "problem" case.

if 
$$b$$
 then  $(\lambda x: \mathtt{int.}\; x+y)$  else  $(\lambda x: \mathtt{int.}\; x+y+z)$ 

We want to be able to give some  $\tau_{env}$  such that

$$\overline{\mathtt{int} o \mathtt{int}} = (\mathtt{int} o au_{env} o \mathtt{int}) imes au_{env}$$

But the above example shows that some terms of type int  $\rightarrow$  int do not admit one correct choice  $\tau_{env}$  type. The solution is to make the type existentially quantified.

$$\overline{\mathtt{int} \to \mathtt{int}} \ = \exists \alpha_{env} : \tau_{env}. \, (\mathtt{int} \to \alpha_{env} \to \mathtt{int}) \times \alpha_{env}$$

## 3. Syntax and judgements

The syntax for IL-Closure is the same as the syntax for IL-CPS. The two principal typing judgements for this language are

$$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : 0$$
  
 $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash v : \tau$ 

The rule of interest is as follows.

$$\frac{\overset{3\mathsf{A}}{\Delta \vdash \tau} \; \mathsf{type} \qquad \Delta; \cdot, x : \tau \vdash e : 0}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau. \; e : \neg \tau}$$

# 4. Translation

Type translation is straightforward mapping through, except at arrow types.

$$\overline{\alpha} = \alpha$$
...
$$\overline{\tau_1 \to \tau_2} = \exists \tau_{env}. (\tau_1 \to \tau_{env} \to \tau_2) \times \tau_{env}$$

The rules of interest are as follows.

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \tau : \mathsf{type} \qquad \Delta; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e : 0 \leadsto \overline{e} \qquad \Gamma = x_1 : \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : \tau_n}{\mathsf{pack} \left[ \overline{\tau_1} \times \cdots \times \overline{\tau_n}, \right.} \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \langle (\lambda y : \overline{\tau} \times (\overline{\tau_1} \times \cdots \times \overline{\tau_n}), \right. \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathsf{let} \ x = \pi_1 \ y \ \mathsf{in} \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathsf{let} \ env = \pi_2 \ y \ \mathsf{in} \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathsf{let} \ x_1 = \pi_1 \ env \ \mathsf{in} \\ \qquad \qquad \cdots \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathsf{let} \ x_n = \pi_n \ env \ \mathsf{in} \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad e_{\bar{e}}), \\ \qquad \langle x_1, \cdots, x_n \rangle \rangle ] \\ \qquad \mathsf{as} \ \exists \alpha_{env} : \mathsf{type}. \ \neg (\overline{\tau} \times \alpha_{env}) \times \alpha_{env} \\ \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \frac{\mathsf{dB}}{\mathsf{\Delta}; \Gamma \vdash v_1 : \neg \tau \leadsto \overline{v_1}} \qquad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash v_2 : \tau \leadsto \overline{v_2} \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathsf{unpack} \ [\alpha_{env}, x] = \overline{v_1} \ \mathsf{in} \\ \qquad \qquad \mathsf{let} \ env = \pi_2 \ x \ \mathsf{in} \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathsf{f} \langle \overline{v_2}, env \rangle \\ \end{cases}$$