## Stop overusing regular expressions!

Franklin Chen http://franklinchen.com/

Pittsburgh Tech Fest 2013 June 1, 2013

### Famous quote

### In 1997, Jamie Zawinski famously wrote:

Some people, when confronted with a problem, think, "I know, I'll use regular expressions."

Now they have two problems.

### Purpose of this talk

Assumption: you already have experience using regexes Goals:

- Change how you think about and use regexes
- Introduce you to advantages of using parser combinators
- Show a smooth way to transition from regexes to parsers
- Discuss practical tradeoffs
- Show only tested, running code: https://github.com/franklinchen/ talk-on-overusing-regular-expressions

### Non-goals:

- Will not discuss computer science theory
- Will not discuss parser generators such as yacc and ANTLR

## Example code in which languages?

#### Considerations:

- ► This is a *polyglot* conference
- ► Time limitations

Decision: focus primarily on two representative languages.

- Ruby: dynamic typing
  - ▶ Perl, Python, JavaScript, Clojure, etc.
- Scala: static typing:
  - ▶ Java, C++, C#, F#, ML, Haskell, etc.

# An infamous regex for email

The reason for my talk! A big Perl regex for email address based on RFC 822 grammar:

```
)+|\Z|(?=[\["()<>@,;:\\".\[\]]))|"(?:[^\"\r\\]|\\.|(?:(?:\:
\r\n)?[ \t])*)(?:\.(?:(?:\r\n)?[ \t])*(?:[^()<>@.::\\".\[\
```

 $?:\r\n)?[\t])+|\Z|(?=[\["()<>0,;:\".\[\]]))|"(?:[^\"\r\])$ 

\t]))\*"(?:(?:\r\n)?[ \t])\*))\*@(?:(?:\r\n)?[ \t])\*(?:[^()<>(  $31]+(?:(?:(?:(r\n)?[\t])+|\Z|(?=[\["()<>0,::\".\[\]]))|\$ 

 $/(?:(?:(r\n)?[\t])*(?:(?:[^()<>0,;:\\".\[] \000-\031]$ 

](?:(?:\r\n)?[\t])\*)(?:\.(?:(?:\r\n)?[\t])\*(?:[^()<>@,;:\

 $(?:(?:(?:\r\n)?[\t])+|\Z|(?=[\["()<>0,::\".\[\]]))|\[([^)$ (?:\r\n)?[ \t])\*))\*|(?:[^()<>@,;:\\".\[\] \000-\031]+(?:(?

|(?=[\["()<>@,;:\\".\[\]]))|"(?:[^\"\r\\]|\\.|(?:(?:\r\n)?

?[\t])\*)\*\<(?:(?:\r\n)?[\t])\*(?:@(?:[^()<>@,;:\\".\[\]\(

 $r\n)?[\t])+|\Z|(?=[\["()<>0,;:\\".\[\]]))|\[([^\[\]\r\\]|'$ 

\tl)\*)(?:\.(?:(?:\r\n)?[\tl)\*(?:[^()<>@.::\\".\[\l]\000-\

 $?[ \t])+|\Z|(?=[\["()<>0,;:\".\[\]]))|\[([^\[\]\r\]]|\.)$ 

)\*))\*(?:,@(?:(?:\r\n)?[ \t])\*(?:[^()<>@,;:\\".\[\] \000-\03

\t])+|\Z|(?=[\["()<>@,;:\\".\[\]]))|\[([^\[\]\r\\]|\\.)\*\]

# Personal story: my email address fiasco

To track and prevent spam: FranklinChen+spam@cmu.edu

- Some sites wrongly claimed invalid (because of +)
- ▶ Other sites did allow registration
  - I caught spam
  - Unsubscribing failed!
    - ► Some wrong claimed invalid (!?!)
    - Some silently failed to unsubscribe
    - Had to set up spam filter

## Problem: different regexes for email?

Examples: which one is better?

VS.

$$/\A[a-zA-Z]+@([^@\.]+\.)+[^@\.]+\z/$$

### Readability: first example

### Use x for readability!

## FranklinChen+spam

@cmu.edu

Advice: please write regexes in this formatted style!

### Readability: second example

```
/\A # match string begin
  [a-zA-Z]+ # local part: 1+ of Roman alphabetic
  ( # 1+ of this group
    [^@\.]+ # 1+ of not (@ or dot)
   \. # dot
  )+
  [^@\.]+ # 1+ of not (@ or dot)
\z/x # match string end
does not match
FranklinChen+spam
0
cmu.edu
```

### Don't Do It Yourself: find libraries

Infamous regex revisited: was automatically generated into the Perl module Mail::RFC822::Address based on the RFC 822 spec. If you use Perl and need to validate email addresses:

# Install the library

\$ cpanm Mail::RFC822::Address

Other Perl regexes: Regexp::Common

## Regex match success vs. failure

Parentheses for captured grouping:

```
if ($address = ~ /\A  # match string begin
  ([a-zA-Z]+) # $1: local part: 1+ Roman alphabetic
             # $2: entire domain
    (?: # 1+ of this non-capturing group
     [^@\.]+ # 1+ of not (@ or dot)
           # dot
   )+
    ([^0\] +) # $3: 1+ of not (0 or dot)
   \langle z/x \rangle { # match string end
   print "local part: $1; domain: $2; top level: $3\n";
}
else {
   print "Regex match failed!\n";
}
```

## Regexes do not report errors usefully

```
Success:

$ perl/extract_parts.pl 'prez@whitehouse.gov'
local part: prez; domain: whitehouse.gov; top level: gov
But failure:
```

\$ perl/extract\_parts.pl 'FranklinChen+spam@cmu.edu'
Regex match failed!

#### We have a dilemma:

- Bug in the regex?
- The data really doesn't match?

### Better error reporting: how?

### Would prefer something at least minimally like:

```
[1.13] failure: '@' expected but '+' found FranklinChen+spam@cmu.edu
```

### Features of *parser* libraries:

- Extraction of line, column information of error
- Automatically generated error explanation
- ► Hooks for customizing error explanation
- Hooks for error recovery

# Moving from regexes to grammar parsers

- Only discuss parser combinators, not generators
- Use the second email regex as a starting point
- Use modularization

## Modularized regex: Ruby

Ruby allows interpolation of regexes into other regexes:

```
LOCAL_PART = /[a-zA-Z]+/x
AT = /0/x
DOMAIN\_CHAR = /[^@\.]/x
SUB_DOMAIN = /#{DOMAIN_CHAR}+/x
DOT = / \ /x
DOMAIN = /(#{SUB_DOMAIN}#{DOT})+ #{SUB_DOMAIN}/x
EMAIL = /
    \ A
    #{LOCAL_PART}
    #{AT}
    #{DOMAIN}
    \backslash z
  /x
```

# Modularized regex: Scala

```
val user = """[a-zA-Z]+"""
val at = """@"""
val domainSegment = """[^@\.]+"""
val dot = """\."""
val email = raw"""(?x)
  \A
  $user
  $at
    $domainSegment $dot
  )+
  $domainSegment
  \z"""
val emailRegex = email.r
```

### Scala notes:

► Triple quotes are for raw strings (no backslash interpretation)

raw allows variable interpolation in raw strings

### Email parser: Ruby

Ruby does not have a standard parser combinator library. One that is popular is Parslet.

```
require 'parslet'
# A simplified email address parser
class EmailValidator::Parser < Parslet::Parser</pre>
 rule(:local_part) { match['a-zA-Z'].repeat(1) }
 rule(:at) { str('0') }
 rule(:domain char) { match['^@\\.'] }
 rule(:sub_domain) { domain_char.repeat(1) }
 rule(:dot) { str('.') }
 rule(:domain) { (sub_domain >> dot).repeat(1) >>
                  sub_domain }
 rule(:email) { local_part >> at >> domain }
end
```

### Email parser: Scala

Scala comes with standard parser combinator library.

```
object EmailParsers extends RegexParsers {
  override def skipWhitespace = false
  def localPart: Parser[String] = """[a-zA-Z]+""".r
  def at = "@"
  def domainChar = """[^@\.]""".r
  def subDomain = rep1(domainChar)
  def dot = "."
  def domain = rep1(subDomain ~ dot) ~ subDomain
  def email = localPart ~ at ~ domain
```

Inheriting from RegexParsers allows the implicit conversions from regexes into parsers.

### Error reporting: Ruby

begin

We have achieved the goal of decent error reporting:

puts "Successfully parsed #{address}"

parser.email.parse(address)

```
rescue Parslet::ParseFailed => error
  puts "Parse failed, and here's why:"
  puts error.cause.ascii_tree
end

$ bundle exec bin/validate_email 'FranklinChen+spam@cmu.ed
Parse failed, and here's why:
Failed to match sequence (LOCAL_PART AT DOMAIN) at line 1
'- Expected "@", but got "+" at line 1 char 13.
```

### Error reporting: Scala

```
We have achieved the goal of decent error reporting:
parseAll(email, address) match {
  case Success(_, _) =>
    println(s"Successfully parsed $address")
  case failure: NoSuccess =>
    println("Parse failed, and here's why:")
    println(failure)
Parse failed, and here's why:
[1.13] failure: '@' expected but '+' found
FranklinChen+spam@cmu.edu
```

### Infamous email regex: revisited

- Mail::RFC822::Address Perl regex: actually manually back-converted from a parser!
- ► Original module used Perl parser library Parse::RecDescent
- Regex author turned the grammar rules into a modularized regex
- ► Reason: speed

### Perl modularized regex source code excerpt:

```
my $localpart = "$word(?:\\.$lwsp*$word)*";
my $sub_domain = "(?:$atom|$domain_literal)";
my $domain = "$sub_domain(?:\\.$lwsp*$sub_domain)*";
my $addr_spec = "$localpart\@$lwsp*$domain";
```

## Why validate email address anyway?

Look at the bigger picture.

This guy advocates simply sending a user an activation email.

Engineering tradeoff: the email sending and receiving programs need to handle the email address anyway.

### Email example wrapup

- It is possible to write a regex
- But you may want to use someone else's regex
- ▶ If you write a regex, modularize it
- For error reporting, use a parser: convert from modularized regex
- Do you even need to solve the problem?

## Example: toy JSON parsing

```
{
    "distance" : 5.6,
    "address" : {
        "street" : "0 Nowhere Road",
        "neighbors" : ["X", "Y"],
        "garage" : null
    }
}
```

- Would you use a regex to parse?
- Could you use a regex to parse?

# Toy JSON parser: Scala

```
(To save time: no more Ruby code in this presentation.)
object ToyJSONParsers extends JavaTokenParsers {
  def value: Parser[Any] = obj |
    arr |
    stringLiteral |
    floatingPointNumber |
    "null" |
    "true" | "false"
  def obj = "{" ~ repsep(member, ",") ~ "}"
  def arr = "[" ~ repsep(value, ",") ~ "]"
  def member = stringLiteral ~ ":" ~ value
```

Inheriting from JavaTokenParsers allows the reuse of stringLiteral and floatingPointNumber parsers.

## Fancier toy JSON parser: use the data

Want to actually shape and use the data parsed.

```
{
    "distance" : 5.6,
    "address" : {
        "street" : "0 Nowhere Road",
        "neighbors" : ["X", "Y"],
        "garage" : null
    }
}
```

Example: traverse the JSON to address and then to the second neighbor, Y.

## Domain modeling: Scala

```
case class JObject(map: Map[String, ToyJSON]) extends
   ToyJSON
case class JArray(list: List[ToyJSON]) extends ToyJSON
case class JString(string: String) extends ToyJSON
case class JFloat(float: Float) extends ToyJSON
case object JNull extends ToyJSON
case class JBoolean(boolean: Boolean) extends ToyJSON
```

## Fancier toy JSON parser: Scala

```
def value: Parser[ToyJSON] = obj | arr |
  stringLiteralStripped ^^ { JString(_) } |
  floatingPointNumber ^^ { s => JFloat(s.toFloat) } |
  "null" ^^^ JNull | "true" ^^^ JBoolean(true) |
  "false" ^^^ JBoolean(false)
def stringLiteralStripped: Parser[String] =
  stringLiteral ^^ { s => s.substring(1, s.length-1) }
def obj: Parser[JObject] = "{" ~> (repsep(member, ",") ^^
     { aList => JObject(aList.toMap) }) <~ "}"
def arr: Parser[JArray] = "[" ~> (repsep(value, ",") ^^
     { aList => JArray(aList) }) < "]"
def member: Parser[(String, ToyJSON)] =
  ((stringLiteralStripped <~ ":") ~ value) ^^
   \{ case s ~v \Rightarrow s \rightarrow v \}
```

### Using the parsed JSON

A test using Specs2 Scala testing framework:

```
parsers.value must succeedOn("""{
  "distance": 5.6,
  "address" : {
    "street": "O Nowhere Road",
    "neighbors" : ["X", "Y"],
    "garage" : null
  }
}""").withResult({ result: ToyJSON =>
  result.asInstanceOf[JObject].
   map("address").asInstanceOf[JObject].
   map("neighbors").asInstanceOf[JArray].
   list(1).asInstanceOf[JString].
    string
```

### JSON: reminder not to reinvent

- Use a standard JSON parsing library!
  - ► Scala has one.
  - All languages have a standard JSON parsing library.
  - ▶ Shop around: alternate libraries have different tradeoffs.
- Other standard formats: HTML, XML, CSV, etc.

## JSON wrapup

- Just parsing is simple
- Domain modeling is trickier
- Use an existing JSON parsing library already!

### Final example of real regex code

Recently in Octopress Ruby code:

```
EXPRESSION = /(.+?)\s+(unless|if)\s+(.+)/i

TERNARY = /(.*?)\(\s*(.+?)\s+\?\s+(.+?)\s+:\s+(.+?)\s*\)(...

def strip_expression(markup, context = false)
   if markup = TERNARY
    result = evaluate_ternary($2, $3, $4, context)
    markup = "#{$1} #{result} #{$5}"
   end
   markup = EXPRESSION ? $1 : markup
end
```

Depending on the nature of corner cases and nesting, real parser might be preferable.

### Conclusion

- Regular expressions
  - No error reporting
  - ► Flat data
- More general grammars
  - Composable structure (using combinator parsers)
  - Hierarchical, nested data
- Avoid reinventing

All materials for this talk available at https://github.com/franklinchen/talk-on-overusing-regular-expressions. The hyperlinks on the slide PDFs are clickable.