Philosophical Writings of Peirce

LECTED AND EDITED WITH AN INTRODUCTION BY

JUSTUS BUCHLER

DOVER PUBLICATIONS. INC.

NEW YORK

1955

1950

35

7

DGIC AS SEMIOTIC: THE THEORY OF SIGNS *

. WHAT IS A SIGN? THREE DIVISIONS OF LOGIC

is general sense, is, as a nearce of quasi-necessary, or ne for semiotic (mytemorisi), the quasi-necessary, or rine of signs. By describing the doctrine as "quasi-rine of signs. By describing the doctrine as "quasimow, and from such an observation, by a process which eject to naming Abstraction, we are led to statements, tust be the characters of all signs used by a "scientific" As to that process of abstraction, it is itself a sort of The faculty which I call abstractive observation is one ich ordinary people perfectly recognize, but for which the theories philosophers sometimes hardly leave room. It is a familiar at thing just the same, if I had ample means to gratify swer that question, he searches his heart, and in doing at I term an abstractive observation. He makes in his neans, and to follow that wish by the question, "Should that is to say, by an intelligence capable of learning by to every human being to wish for something quite beyond a sort of skeleton diagram, or outline sketch, of himself, at modifications the hypothetical state of things would the has imagined, to see whether the same ardent re to be discerned. By such a process, which is at much like mathematical reasoning, we can reach cono what wordd be true of signs in all cases, so long as the The modes of thought of a uld possess an intuitive omniscience superseding reason, llible, and therefore in one sense by no means necessary ising them was scientific. which ordina 3 his present m wish for th God, who sho imagination observation intelligence what experience. intelligence experience will not cminently considers so makes signs as clusions observes pottom formal, require desire as to oţ

* [The first of the three selections in t is from ms. c. 1897 (CP 2.227-9), the third from ms. c. 1910 (CP 2.231-2). The second selection in t, jb, the second selection in 3c, and 3d are from mss. c. 1902, c. 1895, and c. 1893 (CP 2.274-302). z and 4 are from ms. c. 1903 (CP 2.243-52, 254-65). 3a is from the article "Sign" in Baldwin's Dictionary of Philasophy and Psychology 1902 (CP 2.304). The first selection in 3c is from the article "Index" in Baldwin's (CP 2.305, 306).]

are put out of the question. Now the whole process of development among the community of students of those formulations by abstractive observation and reasoning of the truths which must hold good of all signs used by a scientific intelligence is an observational science, like any other positive science, notwithstanding its strong contrast to all the special sciences which arises from its aiming to find out what must be and not merely what is in the actual world.

for something in some respect or capacity. It addresses somebody, or representamen, is something which stands to somebody perhaps a more developed sign. That sign which it creates I call the *interpretant* of the first sign. The sign stands for something, its object. It stands for that object, not in all respects, but in reference that is, creates in the mind of that person an equivalent sign, or of idea, which I have sometimes called the ground of the representamen. "Idea" is here to be understood in a sort of Platonic sense, very familiar in everyday talk; I mean in that sense in which we say that one man catches another man's idea, in which at when a man recalls what he was thinking of at some previous time, he recalls the same idea, and in which when a man continues to think anything, say for a tenth of a second, in so far as the thought continues to agree with itself during that time, that is the same idea, and is not at instant of the interval a new idea. a like content, it "Idea" to have A sign, we say th to a sort

In consequence of every representamen being thus connected with things, the ground, the object, and the interpretant, the of semiotic has three branches.7 The first is called by Duns has for its task to ascertain what must be true of the representamen used by every scientific intelligence in order that they may embody any meaning. The second is logic proper. It is the science of what of the representamina of any scientific intelligence in order that they may hold good of any object, that is, The third, in imitation of Kant's fashion of preserving old associations of words in finding Its task is scientific intelligence one birth to another, and especially one thought brings Scotus grammalica speculativa. We may term it pure grammar. science nomenclature for new conceptions, I call pure rhetoric. Or say, logic proper is the formal conditions of the truth of representations. to ascertain the laws by which in every quasi-necessarily true may be true. forth another. sign gives science of three

A Sign, or Representamen, is a First which stands in such a genuine triadic relation to a Second, called its Object, as to be capable of

LOGIC

SIGNS

Object. The triadic relation is genuine, that is its three members are bound together by it in a way that does not consist in any complexus of dyadic relations. That is the reason the Interpretant, doing so with the same reproductive power, the sunflower would become a Representamen of the sun. But thought is the chief, if Object in which it stands itself to the same such a relation, and thus must be called its Interpretant, to assume the same must stand in such a relation to it as the Representamen itself does. Nor can the triadic relation in which the Third stands be merely similar to that in which the First stands, for this would make the a Third of its own; but besides that, it must have a second triadic relation in which the Representamen, or rather the relation thereof to its Object, shall be its own (the Third's) Object, and must be capable of determining a Third to this relation. All this must equally be true of the Third's Thirds and so on endidea of a Representamen is here used, nothing more Possibly there may be Representamens that are not Signs. Thus, if a sunflower, in turning toward the sun, becomes by that very act fully capable, without further condition, of reproducing a sunflower which turns in precisely corresponding ways toward the sun, and of or Third, cannot stand in a mere dyadic relation to the Object, but relation of the Third to the First a degenerate Secondness merely. Representamen with a mental Interpretant. more, is involved in the familiar not the only, mode of representation. The Third must indeed stand in Sign; and as the term is implied. A Sign is a capable of determining to its lessly; and this, and a Third, triadic relation determining

some further information concerning it. No doubt there will be readers who will say they cannot comprehend this. They think a cannot furnish acquaintance with or recognition of that Object; for that is what is meant in this volume by the Object of a Sign; namely, that with which it presupposes an acquaintance in order to convey ranything otherwise known, and can make the statement that every sign must relate if there he anything that conveys information and yet has absolutely no relation nor reference to anything with which the person to whom it conveys the information has, when he comprehends that information, the slightest acquaintance, direct or indirect—and a very strange sort of information that would be the vehicle of that sort of information is not, in this volume, represent the Object and tell about it. But Sign need not relate to neither head nor tail of The Sign can only to such an Object. called a Sign. or indirect-

One of them says to the other, "That vessel there carries no freight at all, but only passengers." Now, if the other, himself, sees no vessel, hat he does see, and informs him that a person and then, that vessel having been thus the first information he derives from the remark has for its Object with sharper eyes than his, or more trained in looking for such things, introduced to his acquaintance, he is prepared to receive the information about it that it carries passengers exclusively. But the sentence Sign may have any number of them—may each be a single known to exist, or a collection of such things, or a known quality or relation Object may be a collection, or whole of parts, existing thing or thing believed formerly to have existed or expected a general nature desired, required, or as some act permitted whose being does not prevent its negation from being equally as a whole has, for the person supposed, no other Object than Two men are standing on the seashore looking out to sea. already acquainted. The Objectspermitted, or something of a general nature desired, invariably found under certain general circumstances. or it may have some other mode of being, such him can see a vessel there; the part of the sea tl or fact, which single with which it finds

2. THREE TRICHOTOMIES OF SIGNS

Signs are divisible by three trichotomics; first, according as the sign in itself is a mere quality, is an actual existent, or is a general law; secondly, according as the relation of the sign to its object consists in the sign's having some character in itself, or in some existential relation to that object, or in its relation to an interpretant; thirdly, according as its Interpretant represents it as a sign of possibility or as a sign of fact or a sign of reason.

. ...

According to the first division, a Sign may be termed a Qualisign, a Sinsign, or a Legisign.

A Qualisign is a quality which is a Sign. It cannot actually act as a sign until it is embodied; but the embodiment has nothing to do with its character as a sign.

A Sinsign (where the syllable sin is taken as meaning "being only once," as in single, simple, Latin semel, etc.) is an actual existent thing or event which is a sign. It can only be so through its qualities; so that it involves a qualisign, or rather, several qualisigns. But these qualisigns are of a peculiar kind and only form a sign through being actually embodied.

A Continue . Assistance

THE THEORY OF SIGNS

LOGIC AS SEMIOTIC:

Symbol denotes, although we must here understand by "existent," existent in the possibly imaginary universe to which the Symbol refers. The Symbol will indirectly, through the association or other law, be affected by those instances; and thus the Symbol will involve a sort of Index, although an Index of a peculiar kind. It however, be by any means true that the slight effect upon the Symbol of those instances accounts for the significant character of the Symbol. will not,

According to the third trichotomy, a Sign may be termed a seme, a Divisign or Dicent Sign (that is, a proposition or quasi-Висте, а

proposition), or an Argument.

A. Rheme is a Sign which, for its Interpretant, is a Sign of qualitative Possibility, that is, is understood as representing such and such a kind of possible Object. Any Rheme, perhaps, will afford some information; but it is not interpreted as doing so.

A Dicent Sign is a Sign, which, for its Interpretant, is a Sign of actual existence. It cannot, therefore, be an Icon, which affords no A Dicisign necessarily involves, as a part of it, a Rheme, to describe the fact which it is interpreted as indicating. But this is a peculiar kind of Rheme; and while it is essential to the Dicisign, it by no actual existence. ground for an interpretation of it as referring to means constitutes it.

An Argument is a Sign which, for its Interpretant, is a Sign of law. Or we may say that a Rheme is a sign which is understood to represent its object in its characters merely; that a Dicisign is a sign which is understood to represent its object in respect to actual existence; and that an Argument is a Sign which is understood to represent its Object in its character as Sign. Since these definitions ime much in dispute, a word may be A question often put is: What is the A judgment is the mental act by which the except that those acts are intended to affect others, while the judg-ment is only intended to affect oneself. However, the logician, as is much the same as an act of asserting the proposition, or going assuming formal responsibility for its truth, such, cares not what the psychological nature of the act of judging may be. The question for him is: What is the nature of the sort of sign of which a principal variety is called a proposition, which is judger secks to impress upon himself the truth of a proposition. the matter upon which the act of judging is exercised? touch upon points at this time much in dispute, added in defence of them. A question often put a Judgment? notary and ossence of before a

single object, but a general type which, it has been I be significant. Every legisign signifies through an instance of its application, which may be termed a Replica of it. Thus, the word "the" will usually occur from lifteen to twenty-five times on a page. It is in all these occurrences one and the same The Replica is a Sinsign. Thus, every Legisign requires Sinsigns. But these are not ordinary Sinsigns, such as are peculiar occurrences This law is usually established n is a law that is a Sign. This law is usually established very conventional sign is a legisign [but not conversely]. same legisign. Each single instance of it is a Replica. Nor would the Replica be significant if it were not for the law which renders it so. as significant. agreed, shall be significant. regarded A Legisign the is not that are by men. word,

:=

According to the second trichotomy, a Sign may be termed an Icon, an Index, or a Symbol.

by virtue of characters of its own, and which it possesses, just the same, whether any such Object actually exists or not. It is true that unless there really is such an Object, the Icon does not act as a sign; but this has nothing to do with its character as a sign. Anything whatever, be it quality, existent individual, or law, is an Icon of anything, in so far as it is like that thing and used as a s a sign which refers to the Object that it denotes merely An *Icon* i sign of it.

and it is in respect to these that it refers to the Object. It does, therefore, involve a sort of Icon, although an Icon of a peculiar In so far as the Index is affected by the kind; and it is not the mere resemblance of its Object, even in these respects which makes it a sign, but it is the actual modification virtue of being really affected by that Object. It cannot, therefore, be a Qualisign, because qualities are whatever they are independ-Object, it necessarily has some Quality in common with the Object, is a sign which refers to the Object that it denotes by ything clsc. Object. An Index ently of an of it by the

a law, usually an association of general ideas, which o cause the Symbol to be interpreted as referring to that is thus itself a general type or law, that is, is a Legisign. acts through a Replica. Not only is it general itself, but There must, therefore, be existent instances of what the Symbol is a sign which refers to the Object that it denotes by the Object to which it refers is of a general nature. Now that which is general has its being in the instances which it will deteroperates to 5 As such it Object. virtuc mine. 1110

THE THEORY OF

SEMIOTIC:

LOGIC AS

104

The argument makes the same ity of it, therefore, lies in its mode of meaning; and to say this is to say that its peculiarity lies in its relation to its interpretant. The proposition professes to be really affected by the actual existent or real law to which it refers. The argument makes the same pretension, but that is not the principal pretension of the argument. The rheme makes no such pretension. position need not be asserted or judged. It may be contemplated as a sign capable of being asserted or denied. This sign itself retains its full meaning whether it be actually asserted or not. The peculiaror real

ICON, INDEX, AND SYMBOL

a. Synopsis

sense to attribute it to a shot or not. A symbol is a sign which would lose the character which renders it a sign if there were no there is a hole there, whether anybody has the were removed, but would not lose that character if there were no interpretant. Such, for instance, is a piece of mould with a bulleta shot; for without the shot there would have Such is any utterance of speech which signifies what even though its object had no existence; such as a lead-pencil streak as representing a geometrical line. An index is a sign which would, at once, lose the character which makes it a sign if its object of its being understood to have that signi-An icon is a sign character which renders it significant, A sign is either an icon, an index, or a symbol. the it does only by virtue possess hole in it as sign of been no hole; but would interpretant. interpretant. fication. which

b. Icon

determining an Interpretant, nor even upon its actually having an . While no Representamen actually functions as such until it actually determines an Interpretant, yet it becomes a Representamen as soon as it is fully capable of doing this; and its Representamen as sentative Quality is not necessarily dependent upon its ever actually it actually determines Object.

An Icon is a Representamen whose Representative Quality is a Firstness of it as a First. That is, a quality that it has qua thing renders it fit to be a representamen. Thus, anything is fit to be a (The conception of "sub-Whether there are other kinds of substitutes or not we shall see. A Representamen by Firstness alone can only have a similar Substitute for anything that it is like. (The conception of "substitute" involves that of a purpose, and thus of genuine thirdness.) renders it fit to be a representamen.

But most strictly speaking, even an idea, except in the sense of a an Icon purely by virtue of its quality; and its object can only be a Firstness. But a sign may be iconic, that is, may represent its by Contrast denotes its object only by virtue and A possibility alone is of a contrast, or Secondness, between two qualities. A sign by Firstness is an image of its object and, more strictly speaking, can an external object excites an idea by a reaction upon the brain. If a substantive be wanted, an iconic representamen may be termed a hypoicon. Any material image, as a painting, is largely conventional in its mode of representation; but in itself, without legend object mainly by its similarity, no matter what its mode of being. only be an idea. For it must produce an Interpretant idea; possibility, or Firstness, cannot be an Icon. a hypoicon. Thus, a Sign or label it may be call Object.

Liypoicons may be roughly divided according to the mode of Those which partake of simple or so regarded, of the parts of one qualities, or First Firstnesses, are images; those which represent clations in their own parts, are diagrams; the representative character of a represent-Firstness of which they partake. dyadic, thing by analogous r those which represent the relations, mainly

ည

amen by representing a parallelism in something else, are metaphors. The only way of directly communicating an idea is by means of indirect method of communicating an idea contain an icon or set of icons, or else must Hence, The idea (or the equivalent of a set of icons) contained in an assertion signifies may be termed the predicate of the assertion. must depend for its establishment upon the use of an icon. contain signs whose meaning is only explicable by icons. which the set of icons assertion must an icon; and every every

Thus, an algebraic formula is an icon, rendered such by the rules rhetorical evidence, it is a familiar fact that So is every diagram, even although there be no sensuous resemblance between it and its object, but only an analogy between Particularly deserving of notice (however of that may seem at first glance that it is an arbitrary classification to call an algebraic expression an icon; that it might as well, or better, For a great distinguishing property of the icon is that by the direct But it is not so. can be dissymbols. the likeness is aided by conventional conventional its method) is essentially a representation Every picture object of commutation, association, and distribution of the he regarded as a compound conventional sign. truths concerning its there are such representations as icons. the relations of the parts of each. other Turning now to the are icons in which observation of it

Thus, by means of two photographs a map can be drawn, etc. Given a conventional or other general sign of an object, to deduce any other truth than that which it explicitly signifies, it is necessary, ᡓ capacity of construction. expected truth is precisely that wherein the utility so that the iconic character is to replace that sign by an icon. This suffice to determine its ormulae consists, which covered than those Thus, by means of prevailing one. revealing un cases, algebraical 믕

such representations have been replaced by conventional signs. These, however, are such that they can only be But in the syntax of every language there are That icons of the algebraic kind, though usually very simple ones, exist in all ordinary grammatical propositions is one of the philosophic truths that the Boolean logic brings to light. In all primitive h as the Egyptian hieroglyphics, there are icons of a kind, the ideographs. In the earliest form of speech, ly was a large element of mimicry. But in all languages of the kind that are aided by conventional rules. logical icons explained by writing, suc there probal non-logical auditory known,

the production of the two species. Another example of the use a likeness is the design an artist draws of a statue, pictorial The reasoning of mathematicians will be likeness between the two animals, and we have not (as in the case of the photograph) any independent knowledge of the circumstances found to turn chiefly upon the use of likenesses, which are the very hinges of the gates of their science. The utility of likenesses to shs, especially instantaneous photographs, are very because we know that they are in certain respects that zebras are likely to be obstinate, or otherwise animals, because they seem to have a general resem-Here the donkey It is true we this hereditary affinity is itself only an inference from the is is the design an artist draws of a statue, pictorial, architectural elevation, or piece of decoration, by the on of which he can ascertain whether what he proposes utiful and satisfactory. The question asked is thus most with certainty because it relates to how the artist they were physically forced to correspond point by In that aspect, then, they belong to the second photographs having been produced under such circum-The case is different But this resemblance serves precisely as a probable likeness of the zebra. It is true suppose that resemblance has a physical cause in heredity; photographs, blance to donkeys, and donkeys are self-willed. signs, those by physical connection. the objects they represent. be affected. utiful and point to nature. surmise Photograp that disagreeable answered ah composition contemplati exactly like will himself instructive, due to the stances class of

mathematicians consists in their suggesting in a very precise way,

diagrams resemble their objects not at all in looks; new aspects of supposed states of things. . Many

respect to the relations of their parts that their likeness. Thus, we may show the relation between the different kinds of signs by a brace, thus: consists. only in

Signs: {Indices, Symbols. (Icons,

is that the brace shows the classes of icons, indices, and symbols to be related to one another and to the general class of signs, as they really are, in a general way. When, in algebra, we write equations under one another in a regular array, especially when we put But the only respect in which it resembles its object under one another in a regular array, especially when we resembling letters for corresponding coefficients, the array is Here is an example: icon. This is an icon.

 $a_1x + b_1y = n_1,$ $a_2x + b_2y = n_2.$

This is an icon, that it makes quantities look alike which are in analogous relations to the problem. In fact, every algebraical equation is an icon, in so far as it exhibits, by means of the algebraical signs (which are not themselves icons), the relations of the quantities

but whether it is a likeness or not may be doubted. The question questioned whether all icons are likenesses or not. For example, if a drunken man is exhibited in order to show, by contrast, the excellence of temperance, this is certainly an icon, seems somewhat trivial. It may

c. Index

because it is associated with general characters which that object happens to possess, as because it is in dynamical (including spatial) or analogy with it, nor connection both with the individual object, on the one hand, and the other hand. . . While demonstrative and personal are, as ordinarily used, "genuine indices," relative are "degenerate indices"; for though they may, acci-[An index is] a sign, or representation, which refers to its object with the senses or memory of the person for whom it serves as a nd indirectly, refer to existing things, they directly refer, of any similarity the other hand. not so much because dentally a pronouns pronouns <u>=</u> sign,

to the images in the mind which previous words and need only refer, have created,

single units, single collections of units, or single continua; third, that they direct the aftention to their objects by blind compulsion. association by contiguity, and not upon association by resemblance Indices may be distinguished from other signs, or representations, by three characteristic marks: first, that they have no significant resemblance to their objects; second, that they refer to individuals, pure index, or to find any sign absolutely devoid of the indexical quality. Psychologically, the action of indices depends upon But it would be difficult, if not impossible, to instance an absolutely or upon intellectual operations. quality.

and its Object must be existent individuals (whether things or facts), and its immediate Interpretant must be of the same character. But. If the A genuine Index (σημα) is a Representamen whose Representcontain a Firstness, and so an Icon as a conevery individual must have characters, it follows that ative character consists in its being an individual second. Secondness is an existential relation, the Index is genuine. Secondness is a reference, the Index is degenerate. A genuing An Index or Seme genuine Index may stituent part/of it, own characters.

Subindices or Hyposemes are signs which are rendered such prin-pally by an actual connection with their objects. Thus a proper name, personal demonstrative, or relative pronoun or the letter attached to a diagram, denotes what it does owing to a real connecbut none of these is an Index, since it is not cipally by an actual tion with its object, an individual.

some examples of indices. I see a man with a A sundial or a clock indicates the time of day. Geometricians mark letters against the different parts of their diagrams and then use These are Thus, we may say: If A and B are married to their child while D is brother of A, then D is that he is a jockey or something of the sort. A rap on the door is an index. Anything which fecusses on is an index. Anything which startles us is an index, Here A. B. C, and D fulfill the office of relative pronouns, these letters to indicate those parts. Letters are similarly used by but are more convenient since they require no special collocation This is a probable indication that he is a sailor. corduroys, gaiters, and a jacket. the attention is an index. a-bowlegged man in one another and C is indications lawyers and others. Let us examine rolling gait. uncle of C. probable of words.

SIGNS OF-THEORY THE SEMIOTIC: LOGIC A

tog

in so far as it marks the junction between two portions of experience. considerable happened, though we may not know precisely what the event expected to connect itself with some other s thunderbolt indicates that something Thus a tremendou But it may experience.

... A low barometer with a moist air is an index of rain; that is direction of the wind; because in e forces of nature establish a probable connection the first place it really takes the self-same direction as the wind, so that there is a real connection between them, and in the second stituted that when we see a weathercock pointing when we see the weathercock veering with the wind, we are forced that direction is connected with the show us on it draws our attention to that direction, and A spirit-level, or a plumb bob, is an index of be an icon of a yard; and so it would be, if it were merely intended to show a yard as near as it can be seen and estimated to be a yard. But the very purpose of a yard-stick is to show a yard nearer than This it does in consequence of an accurate mechanical comparison made with the bar in London Thus it is a real connection which gives the yardstick its value as a representamen; and thus it is an index, not a sight, and coming rain. finger, to A yard-stick might seem, at first or pointing barometer with moist air appearance. The pole star is an index, of the by the law of mind to think it can be estimated by its weathercock is an index the vertical direction. which way is north. we suppose that th place we are so con in a certain directi between the low called the yard. mere icon. wind.

"The When a driver to attract the attention of a foot passenger and cant word, it is, as will be seen below, something more than an index; but so far as it is simply intended to act upon the hearer's index, because it is meant to put him in real connection with the cause him to save himself, calls out "Hil" so far as this is a significountry road and one of them says The other other. He walks on a few miles and is situation relative to the approaching horse. "Oh, a house with green blinds and a verandah," replies the simple-He desires some index which shall connect his apprehension with the house meant. to the other, "The chimney of that house is on fire." The other shout him and descries a house with green blinds and of the way, it Simple Simon he says, "What house?" asks the chimney of that house is on fire." the stranger. nervous system and to rouse him to get out smoking chimney. meets a second traveller. Like a chimney of that house is on fire." " asks Suppose two men meet upon a e house?" " ${
m Thc}$ object, which is hi "Where is th verandah having a

and "that," are indices. For they call upon the hearer to use his powers of observation, and so establish a real connection between the object; and if the demonstrative pronoun does which its meaning is not understood—it goes to nouns, who and which, demand observational activity in much the same way, only with them the observation has to be directed to the words that have gone before. Lawyers use A, B, C, practically as very effective relative pronouns. To show how effective they are, note that Messrs. Allen and Greenough, in their admirable in the edition of 1877 [?], too small) Latin Grammar, that no conceivable syntax could wholly remove the ambiguity of the following sentence, "A replied to B that he thought C (his brother) more unjust to himself than to his own friend." Now, any lawyer would state that with perfect clearness, by using a connection; and so is an index. The relative pro-The demonstrative pronouns, "this" cannot do this. A, B, C, as relatives, thus: -without establish such કામવ we may note Words alone his mind (though declare that-

A replied to B that he $\left\{ \frac{\Lambda}{B} \right\}$, thought C (his $\left\{ \frac{\Lambda's}{B's} \right\}$, brother) more

unjust to himself, $\frac{\{A\}}{\{C\}}$ than to his $\frac{\{A's\}}{\{C's\}}$ own friend. The termina-

tions which in any inflected language are attached to words "governed" by other words, and which serve to show which the governing word is, by repeating what is elsewhere expressed in the same form, are likewise indices of the same relative pronoun character. Any bit of Latin poetry illustrates this, such as the twelve-line sentence beginning, "Jam satis terris." Both in these terminations and in the A, B, C, a likeness is relied upon to carry the attention to the right object. But this does not make them icons, in any important way; for it is of no consequence how the letters A, B, C, are shaped or what the terminations are. It is not merely that one occurrence of an A is like a previous occurrence that is the important circumstance, but that there is an understanding that like letters shall stand for the same thing, and this acts as a force carrying the attention from one occurrence of A to the previous one. A possessive pronoun is two ways an index: first it indicates the possessor, and, second, it has a modification which syntactically carries the attention to the word denoting the thing possessed.

Some indices are more or less detailed directions for what the

Some indices are more or less detailed directions for what the hearer is to do in order to place himself in direct experiential or other connection with the thing meant. Thus, the Coast Survey issues

· Adapter Call Charles

"Notices to Mariners," giving the latitude and longitude, four or five bearings of prominent objects, etc., and saying there is a rock, or shoal, or buoy, or lightship. Although there will be other elements in such directions, yet in the main they are indices.

object meant, ought to be classed those pronouns which should be entitled selective pronouns [or quantifiers] because they inform the grammarians call by the very indefinite designation of indefinite but which wo varieties of these are particularly important in logic, sclectives, such as quivis, quilibet, quisquam, ullus, nullus, 20016, These mean that at liberty to select any instance he likes within limits understood, and the assertion is intended to apply to particular selectives, quis, quispiam, nescio quis, aliquis, quidam, and in English, some, something, somebody, a, a certain, some or other, Along with such indexical directions of what to do to find The other logically important variety consists of nemo, quisque, uterque, and in English, any, every, all, no, hearer how he is to pick out one of the objects intended, whatever, whoever, everybody, anybody, nobody. a suitable, one. the bearer is the universal expressed or pronouns. 1 that one.

Allied to the above pronouns are such expressions as all but one, one or two, a few, nearly all, every other one, etc. Along with pronouns are to be classed adverbs of place and time, etc.

Not very unlike these are, the first, the last, the seventh, two-thirds of, thousands of, etc.

Other indexical words are prepositions, and prepositional phrases, such as, "on the right (or left) of." Right and left cannot be distinguished by any general description. Other prepositions signify relations which may, perhaps, be described; but when they refer, as they do oftener than would be supposed, to a situation relative to the observed, or assumed to be experientially known, place and attitude of the speaker relatively to that of the hearer, then the indexical element is the dominant element.

If an icon could be interpreted nchices assert nothing. At an avery contral mood," that:

that sentence must be in a "potential mood," that Jo by nature, in the Ö **₹** mood must be imperative, But the kind it should be called, the declarative mood, to the expression of any other mood, since doubtful, or mere interrogations, three sides, "Suppose a figure has or "Look outl" are now coming to consider are, interpreted, the Icons and indices assert nothing. as "See there!" ည assertions an go to is, it would merely or, as Were an index so signs which we by a sentence course, they c imperatively r exclamatory, "indicative," may declare

d. Symbol

PEIRCE

PHILOSOPHY OF

THE

A Symbol is a Representamen whose Representative character consists precisely in its being a rule that will determine its Interpretant. All words, sentences, books, and other conventional signs are Symbols. We speak of writing or pronouncing the word "man"; but it is only a replica, or embodiment of the word, that is pronounced or written. The word itself has no existence although it has a real being, consisting in the fact that existents will conform to it. It is a general mode of succession of three sounds or representamens of sounds, which becomes a sign only in the fact that a habit, or acquired law, will cause replicas of it to be interpreted as meaning a man or men. The word and its meaning are both general rules; but the word alone of the two prescribes the qualities of its replicas in themselves. Otherwise the "word" and its "meaning" meaning."

A Symbol is a law, or regularity of the indefinite future. Its Interpretant must be of the same description; and so must be also the complete immediate Object, or meaning. But a law necessarily governs, or "is embodied in" individuals, and prescribes some of their qualities. Consequently, a constituent of a Symbol may be an Index, and a constituent may be an Icon. A man walking with a child points his arm up into the air and says, "There is a balloon." The pointing arm is an essential part of the symbol without which the latter would convey no information. But if the child asks, "What is a balloon," and the man replies, "It is something like a great big soap bubble," he makes the image a part of the symbol. Thus, while the complete object of a symbol, that is to say, its meaning, is of the nature of a law, it must denote an individual, and must signify a character. A general symbol that has a general meaning. There are two kinds of degenerale symbol that has a signifies only such characters as that individual may realize; and the Abstract Symbol, whose only Object is a character.

Although the immediate Interpretant of an Index must be an Index, yet since its Object may be the Object of an Individual [Singular] Symbol, the Index may have such a Symbol for its indirect Interpretant. Even a genuine Symbol may be an imperfect Interpretant of it. So an icon may have a degenerate Index, or an Abstract Symbol, for an indirect Interpretant, and a genuine Index or Symbol for an indirect Interpretant.

or Symbol for an imperfect Interpretant. A Symbol is a sign naturally fit to declare that the set of objects

and Huldah for without indices it is indices can he mental icon of one person loving another. , if it means anything, is not the question. "Ezekiel loveth Huldah." Ezekiel and Ezekiel and นน Associated with this word which is denoted by whatever set of indices may be in certain ways Any mere deor the image we have in our minds of કુટ that a sentence; with it. take were "loveth" but whether they be so or not, \mathfrak{n}_{s} the pair of indices Ezekiel associated ict impossible to designate what one is talking about. occurs in whether they show what this complicated definition means, example of a symbol the word "loveth." Associa the effect of the word contain indices; an icon "loveth" it uncertain) Y Now we are to understand that is represented pair of objects denoted by is represented by the icon, Let the sentence, then, be itself. Huldah must, then, be or Now scription would leave is an idea, which is th characters in a ballad lover and his beloved. what it may mean by designate them. attached to it

equally true of every verb in the declarative by itself, it is to "whatever "whatever" is the meaning expressed by for the other moods are merely symbol, "loves" is is a particular selective index, and Thus a symbol. that noun, considering woman" is equivalent which it has in the sentence, and not as standing Here from a man" is a as a portion of is a man loves something that is a woman. somewhat different verb, ದ 13 As for every most conveniently regarded loves index, that" symbol, "something the a woman" is a symbol. and indeed of declarations of a fact the declarative mood sentence, "every man The same thing is a universal selective :poom

"throw throwing together is to be understood in the that sometimes at least it meant a conjecture, a meaning for which It is usually said that in ; but were that the case, we ought to find thrown , and mapaßolor (parabolum) is a thing thrown carly I do not think that the signifithat of a conventional sign, or one depending The word Symbol has so many meanings that it would be an injury very frequently to signify the making of Etymologically, it should mean so much a new meaning as Now, we do find symbol (σύμβολον) is a thing But the Greeks used (hypobolum) is , just as in Bolov (cmbolum) πόβολον thrown underneath, an antenuptial gift. literature may be scarched in vain. together" (συμβάλλαιν) very frequen 2. a new one. or inborn), besides, collateral security, and meaning. sense of "to conjecture" to the language to ad return to the original thing thrown together into something, a bolt contract or conventio upon habit (acquired the word symbol the cation I attach to it,

umbol," that is, a conventional sign. In Greek, watch-mbol," that is, a signal agreed upon; a standard or "symbol," a watchword is a "symbol," a badge is a church creed is called a "symbol," because it serves as a badge or shibboleth; a theatre ticket is called a "symbol"; any ticket or check entitling one to receive anything is a "symbol." Moreover, any expression of sentiment was called a "symbol." am not seriously wrenching the word in employing it the principal meanings of the word in the original lan-ie reader will judge whether they suffice to establish my Aristotle calls used to mean a convention or contract. to do. The as I propose claim that Such were often a noun a ensign is "symbol fire is gunge. and

Any ordinary word, as "give," "bird," "marriage," is an example of a symbol. It is applicable to whatever may be found to realize the idea connected with the word; it does not, in itself, identify those things. It does not show us a bird, nor enact before our eyes a giving or a marriage, but supposes that we are able to imagine those things, and have associated the word with them.

A regular progression of one, two, three may be remarked in the three orders of signs, Icon, Index, Symbol. The Icon has no dynamical connection with the object it represents; it simply happens that its qualities resemble those of that object, and excite analogous sensations in the mind for which it is a likeness. But it really stands unconnected with them. The index is physically connected with its object; they make an organic pair, but the interpreting mind has nothing to do with this connection, except remarking it, after it is established. The symbol is connected with its object by virtue of the idea of the symbol-using mind, without which no such connection would exist.

Every physical force reacts between a pair of particles, either of which may serve as an index of the other. On the other hand, we shall find that every intellectual operation involves a triad of symbols.

A symbols.

A symbol, as we have seen, cannot indicate any particular thing; it denotes a kind of thing. Not only that, but it is itself a kind and not a single thing. You can write down the word "star," but that does not make you the creator of the word, nor if you erase it have you destroyed the word. The word lives in the minds of those who use it. Even if they are all askeep, it exists in their memory. So we may admit, if there be reason to do so, that generals are mere words without at all saying, as Ockham supposed, that they are really individuals.

LOGIC AS SEMIOTIC: THE THEORY OF SIGNS

These symbol-parts of thean are If a man makes a new symbol, it is by thoughts involving concepts. So it is only out of symbols that a new symbol A symbol, once in being, In use and in experience, its meaning Such words as force, law, wealth, marriage, bear for us very 5 or from mixed signs partaking canings from those they bore to our barbarous ancestors. being by development out We think only in signs. I may, with Emerson's sphynx, say to man, the Omne symbolum de symbolo. , particularly from icons, corne into mental signs are of mixed nature; re of icons and symbols. spreads among the peoples. They grow cpts. Symbols other signs of the natu called conc different m The symbo can grow. grows.

Of thine eye I am eyebeam.

4. TEN CLASSES OF SIGNS

The three trichotomies of Signs result together in dividing Signs into TEN CLASSES OF SIGNS, of which numerous subdivisions have to be considered. The ten classes are as follows:

First: A Qualisign [e.g., a feeling of "red"] is any quality in so far as it is a sign. Since a quality is whatever it is positively in itself, a quality can only denote an object by virtue of some common ingredient or similarity; so that a Qualisign is necessarily an Icon. Further, since a quality is a mere logical possibility, it can only be interpreted as a sign of essence, that is, as a Rheme.

A Rhematic Indexical Sinsign [e.g., a spontaneous cry] ect of direct experience so far as it directs attention to It necessarily involves interobject of experience in so far as some quality of it makes it detersign Sinsign [e.g., an individual diagram] is it can only be quite different Being an Icon, and thus a by which its presence is caused. It Sinsign of a peculiar kind, yet is whatever it may be like, mine the idea of an object. is any object of direct Iconíc Sinsign of jo likeness purely, ۸ preted as a Second: an Object an Iconic Third:

denoted.

Fourth: A Dicent Sinsign [e.g., a weathercock] is any object of direct experience, in so far as it is a sign, and, as such, affords information concerning its Object. This it can only do by being really affected by its Object; so that it is necessarily an Index. The only information it can afford is of actual fact. Such a Sign must involve an Iconic Sinsign to embody the information and a Rhematic Indexical Sinsign to indicate the Object to which the

Andreas Contraction

to the very

attention of the interpreter

÷

it brings

LOGIC AS

SICNS

Ö

But the mode of combination, or Syntax, of be significant. these two must also information refers.

nic Legisign [e.g., a diagram, apart from its factual any general law or type, in so far as it requires to embody a definite quality which renders it mind the idea of a like object. Being an Icon, aind the idea of a like object. Being an Icon, Being a Legisign, its mode of being is that of Replicas, each of which will be an Iconic Sinsign it must be a Rheme. fit to call up in the Fifth: An Iconic a peculiar kind. single ō individuality] is each instance governing

Replica of it will be a Rhematic Indexical Sinsign of a peculiar kind. The Interpretant of a Rhematic Indexical Legisign represents it as an Iconic Legisign; and so it is, in a measure—but in a very Rhematic Indexical Legisign [e.g., a demonstrative any general type or law, however established, which requires each instance of it to be really affected by its Object in such a manner as merely to draw attention to that Object. Each any small measure. ≺ 13. pronoun Sixth:

Seventh: A Dicent Indexical Legisign [e.g., a street cry] is any general type or law, however established, which requires each instance of it to be really affected by its Object in such a manner involve an Iconic Legisign to signify the information and a Rhematic Indexical Legisign to denote the subject of that information. Each as to furnish definite information concerning that Object. a Dicent Sinsign of a peculiar kind. Replica of it will be

It must

the mind, which image, owing to certain habits or dispositions of that mind, tends to produce a general concept, and the Replica is interpreted as a Sign of an Object that is an instance of that concept. A Replica of the logicians call a General Term. The Rhematic Symbol, like any Symbol, is necessarily itself of the nature of a general type, and is its Replica, however, is a Rhematic Indexical a peculiar kind, in that the image it suggests to the in this it differs from other Rhematic Indexical those which are Replicas of Rhematic Indexical Its Replica draws attention to a single ie demonstrative pronoun "that" is a Legisign, but it is not a Symbol, since it does not very like, what the The Rhematic Symbol, like any Eighth: A Rhematic Symbol or Symbolic Rheme [c.g., a common Symbol already in that mind to give rise to a sign connected with its Object by an association leas in such a way that its Replica calls up an image Sinsign. Thus, the Rhematic Symbol either is, or is a Rhematic Indexical signify a general concept. type; Sinsigns, including Thus, thus a Legisign. general acts upon General Concept general ideas in and is Sinsign of Legisigns. noun] is Object, ಸ mind

the very different from ordinary Rhematic Indexical being is not individually known to the auditor; and it is through such For although no are well Replicas of Indexical other end who wants to make a communication. Rhematic Indexical Legisign; at other times as an Iconic Legisign; simple manner as that example, the ring of a telephone-bell is affected by real connection that the word "camel" calls up the idea of a camel. The same thing is true of the word "phoenix." For although no and thus the word is really 2 affected Rhematic Symbol often represents it and it does in a small measure partake of the nature of both. Indexical Sinsign, really affected, through the knowledge of camels, common ists, real descriptions of the phoenix Rhematic But not only are the thing denoted by "that" has not by the real camel it denotes, Replicas of replica of the word in any such direct and Rhematic and his auditor; affected by the Object denoted. arc ದ likewise likewise ; speaker and auditor, The Interpretant of Rhematic Symbols For the The same thing is phoenix really ex known to the spea the person at the word "camel" but in which, for Legisigns. Sinsigns,

sign this nature, although this does not represent its it is not true to mind must be actually connected with the pretant an Iconic Legisign) to express its information and a Rhematic This is easily seen and affected by its Object, so that the existence or For a Dicent Sinsign cannot convey informainterand if it be true, a Rhematic Symbol (and thus is for its Interof that information. The Replica of the Dicent a Dicent to what is necessarily Thus, the intended Interpretant looks upon general ideas, ದ composite inasmuch conveys except that its intended pretaint represents the Dicent Symbol as being, in respect far as the law has its being in instances ordinary Proposition, When that information is of a real law, Symbol ç Rhematic Symbol, it Sinsign it is composit the Replica a Dicent Indexical Legisign; Sinsign of a peculiar kind. association of to indicate the subject the Dicent these is significant. true of Symbol, or Symbol, ne information an connected with its object by therefore, Dicent ; a Rhematic <u>5</u> A Dicent ပ္ it calls Dicent Symbol as it does partake of Like th necessarily involve Indexical Legisign But its Syntax of Symbol is a Dicen in the same fullnes tion of law. It is, Symbol only in so to be true when t signifies, really indicated Object. whole nature. acting like actual fact. which Ninth: Legisign.

An Argument is a sign whose interpretant represents its ulterior sign through a law, namely, the law that conclusions tends to to such such premisses object as being an Tentli:

that is, further, a Symbol. As a Symbol it must, Manifestly, then, its object must be general; Its Replica is a Dicent Sinsign. nent must be Argun truth the

Squares not adjacent pertain to, except that each of the three All other adjacent squares pertain The affinities of the ten classes are exhibited by arranging their squares of the vertices of the triangle pertains to a class differing in all three respects from the classes to which the squares along the shown, which has heavy opposite side of the triangle are appropriated. The lightly printed appropriated squares that are alike in one respect only, except designations in the triangular table here alike in two respects. classes alike in only one respect. boundaries between adjacent designations are superfluous. to classes classes

(X) Argument Symbolic Legisign			
Arkı Sym Sym Leg	(IX) Dicent Symbol Legisign		-
(VIII) Rhematic Symbol Legisign	Syn Leg	(VII) Dicent Indexical Legisign	
	(VI) Rbematic Indexical Legisign		(IV) Dicent Indexical Sinsign
(V) Rhematic Iconic Legisign		(III) Rhomatic Indexical Sinsign	I) Dig Inde
	(II) Rhematic Iconic Sinsign		-
(I) Rhematic Iconic Qualisiga			
Kiner Tco Guai			

Beside the normal directly or indirectly varieties of Sinsigns, and Dicisigns, there are others which are Replicas of Legidescriptions of the classes, Symbols, and Arguments, respectively. some of them have been Namely, beside the normal course of the above us of ö subdivisio Indices. referred signs,

ordinary

Sinsigns, of Qualisigns, Icons, and Rhemes, there are two series of Sinsign is exemplified by a The fact that A second variety is a Thus any given street cry, ndividual, is not, a symbol, ly involved in Legisigns, Sýmbols, and Arguments, respec-Thus, the ordinary Dicent Sinsign is exemplified by a the latter is known to be the effect of the radiations from the object of it which is a Dicent Sinsign. A third variety is a Replica sort of proposition which has the name of a well-known all as its predicate; as if one is asked, "Whose statue is the answer may be, "It is Farragut." The meaning of this street cry is an example, there is a second variety, which A fourth variety is a Replica of an Argument. kical Legisign. A third variety may be a A Dicent Symbol, or ordinary proposition, in so far as it is a premiss of an Argument, takes on a new force, and becomes a second variety of the Dicent Symbol. It would not be worth while to go through all the varieties; but it may be well to consider the varieties of one class more. We may take the Rhematic Indexical Legisign. The shout of "Hullol" is an example of the ordinary variety-meaning, not an individual shout, but as the word application of a Rhematic Symbol; as the exclamation A fourth and fifth variety are in the peculiar force a impossible that some varieties are here overlooked. It is a nice problem to say to what class a given sign belongs; since all the It is not circumstances of the case have to be considered. But it is seldom' requisite to be very accurate; for if one does not locate the sign one will easily come near enough to its character for any of the Dicent Indexical Legisign, to wit, those which are directly involved in Sinsiand Dicisigns, respectively, and also those which variety Indexical Legisign; and any individual instance of word may have in a proposition or argument. shout. this shout "Hullol" in general—this type of shout. variety is a constituent of a Dicent Indexical Legisign; "that is Farragut." A third . weathercock and its veering and by a photograph. Replica of a Dicent Indexical Legisign. Thus any since its tone and theme identifies the individual renders it an index and highly informative. a Dicent Indexical Legisign. those which are varicty individual as its predicate; premiss of an argument. purpose of logic. the normal of a Proposition. answer is particular "Hark!" indirect others; Indices, this?" tl precisely, varictic Replica which a Beside but an tively. is that general