ON LANGUAGE

Roman Jakobson

EDITED BY
Linda R. Waugh
AND
Monique Monville-Burston

Harvard University Press Cambridge, Massachusetts London, England (1990)

synchronic study of the phonemic and grammatical changes in progress; which initially present a necessary coexistence of the older and newer form in two related subcodes, and thus there emerges a bridge between descriptive and historical linguistics. On the other hand, the inquiry into the system of subcodes encompasses the various forms of interdialectal and even interlingual code switching and thus establishes an intimate bond between the description of an individual or local dialect and the vast horizons of linguistic geography.

If the whole is "a pattern of relations," then the part, as Nagel notes may also refer to "any one of the elements which are related in that pattern on some occasion of its embodiment" (1963:137). Thus he touched upon the fundamental difference between design [type] and token, whole-part relation which linguists have recognized, but without drawing all the obvious and far-reaching inferences.

Finally, with the progress of typological studies, the science of language will be able to answer Nagel's question about systems "whose para stand to each other in various relations of dynamical dependence" [138]. The universal and near-universal laws of implication which underlike this taxonomy reveal a rigorous phonemic and grammatical stratification which likewise determines the gradual acquisition of language by children and its decay in aphasia [see Chapter 18 in this volume].

A systematic consideration of multiform whole-part relations broadle extends the scope of our science; it allows a systematic analysis of verbal messages with respect both to the code and to the context; it uncover the complex interaction of the various levels of language, from the largest to the smallest units, and the constant interplay of diverse verbal functions. It introduces time and space factors into descriptive linguishes and, in the search for general, universal laws, is near to proving an scientific verity of Henri Delacroix's keen anticipation: "A language historical variation on the great human theme of Language" (1924-128-129). Indeed a rich scale of tensions between wholes and parts is involved in the constitution of language where the part for the whole and, on the other hand, the whole for the part, the genus for the species, and the species for the individual are the fundamental devices.

Two Aspects of Language and Two Types of Aphasic Disturbances

EDITORS' NOTE

Jakobson starts here from the two axes of language: paradigmatic, such involves the association of substitutable entities (in absentia), and an analysis and involves simultaneous or successive combinations (in absentia). He argues that the paradigmatic-syntagmatic dichotomy iters two different realities of language, one of which is operational at the other structural. On the one hand, selection and combination are two basic modes of behavior by which language users construct acode) and understand (decode) linguistic messages. On the other hand, inlarity and contiguity are the two relations that underlie language userures. Typically, elements in a selection set are associated by similarity, those in combination by contiguity. Applying these dichotomies to study of abnormal speech behavior, Jakobson divides all aphasic surbances into two major types: similarity disorders and contiguity orders.

in the last section, he associates similarity and contiguity with the most summon figures of speech: metaphor and metonymy. Metaphor, based a similarity, is the fundamental trope of poetry, since poetry is dominted by similarity relations of various sorts (see Chapter 4 and the troduction). Metonymy, based on contiguity, is the major figure of tase, since prose uses contiguity relations (see RJ 1921a). Elaborating ther on this bipolar structure of language, Jakobson shows that it is a property of other semiotic systems.

This classic essay, which was first published in 1956, has served as a irce of inspiration for linguists, aphasiologists, literary theorists, and

116 THE FUNCTION AND STRUCTURE OF LANGUAGE

semioticians. Its importance is twofold: on the one hand, it proposes as unitary view of semiotics, since all sign systems share the two basic axes; on the other, it makes it clear that the same principles are at work in different areas of language structure, including phonology, morphology, syntax, semantics, and discourse.

The Linguistic Problems of Aphasia

If aphasia is a language disturbance, as the term itself suggests then any description and classification of aphasic syndromes must begin with the question of what aspects of language are impaired in the various species of such a disorder. This problem, which was approached long ago by Hughlings Jackson (1915), cannot be solved without the participation pation of professional linguists familiar with the patterning and func tioning of language.

To study adequately any breakdown in communication we must first understand the nature and structure of the particular mode of communication nication that has ceased to function. Linguistics is concerned with land guage in all its aspects-language in operation, language in drift (see Sapir 1921:chap. 7), language in the nascent state, and language in dissolution.

There are psychopathologists who assign a high importance to the linguistic problems involved in the study of language disturbances; some of these questions have been touched upon in the best treatises of aphasia.2 Yet, in most cases, this valid insistence on the linguist's contribution to the investigation of aphasia has been ignored. For instance one book, dealing to a great extent with the complex and intricate prob lems of infantile aphasia, calls for the coordination of various discipline and appeals for cooperation to otolaryngologists, pediatricians, audicia gists, psychiatrists, and educators; but the science of language is passed over in silence, as if disorders in speech perception had nothing whatever to do with language (Myklebust 1954).

Linguists are also responsible for the delay in undertaking a join inquiry into aphasia. Nothing comparable to the minute linguistic observations vations of infants of various countries has been performed with respect to aphasics. Nor has there been any attempt to reinterpret and system atize from the point of view of linguistics the multifarious clinical data on diverse types of aphasia. That this should be true is all the more surprising in view of the fact that, on the one hand, the amazing progres of structural linguistics has endowed the investigator with efficient too and methods for the study of verbal regression and, on the other, aphasic disintegration of the verbal pattern may provide the linguist with new insights into the general laws of language.

The application of purely linguistic criteria to the interpretation and classification of aphasic facts can substantially contribute to the science af language and language disturbances, provided that linguists remain as careful and cautious when dealing with psychological and neurological data as they have been in their traditional field. First of all, they should be familiar with the technical terms and devices of the medical disciplines dealing with aphasia; then, they must submit the clinical case reports to thorough linguistic analysis; further, they should themselves work with aphasic patients in order to approach the cases directly and not only through a reinterpretation of prepared records which have been quite differently conceived and elaborated.

There is one level of aphasic phenomena where amazing agreement has been achieved between those psychiatrists and linguists who have ackled these problems, namely the disintegration of the sound pattern.3 This dissolution exhibits a time order of great regularity. Aphasic regresson has proved to be a mirror of the child's acquisition of speech sounds: shows the child's development in reverse. Furthermore, comparison af child language and aphasia enables us to establish several laws of implication. The search for this order of acquisitions and losses and for he general laws of implication cannot be confined to the phonemic pattern but must be extended also to the grammatical system.4

The Twofold Character of Language

Speech implies a selection of certain linguistic entities and their inbination into linguistic units of a higher degree of complexity. At the rical level this is readily apparent: the speaker selects words and commes them into sentences according to the syntactic system of the lanpage he is using; sentences in their turn are combined into utterances. at the speaker is by no means a completely free agent in his choice of prids: his selection (except for the rare case of actual neology) must be sade from the lexical storehouse which he and his addressee possess in mmon. The communication engineer most properly approaches the sence of the speech event when he assumes that in the optimal change of information the speaker and the listener have at their disposal ore or less the same "filing cabinet of prefabricated representations": addresser of a verbal message selects one of these "preconceived sibilities," and the addressee is supposed to make an identical choice in the same assembly of "possibilities already foreseen and provided

for" (MacKay 1952:183). Thus the efficiency of a speech event demands the use of a common code by its participants.

"'Did you say pig or fig?' said the Cat. 'I said pig,' replied Alice" (Carroll 1866:chap. 6). In this peculiar utterance the feline addressee attempts to recapture a linguistic choice made by the addresser. In the common code of the Cat and Alice (spoken English), the difference between a stop and a continuant, other things being equal, may change the meaning of the message. Alice had used the distinctive feature stop versus continuant, rejecting the latter and choosing the former of the two opposites; and in the same act of speech she combined this solution with certain other simultaneous features, using the gravity and the tenseness of /p/ in contradistinction to the acuteness of /t/ and to the laxness of /b/. Thus all these attributes have been combined into a bundle of distinctive features, the so-called phoneme. The phoneme /p/ was then followed by the phonemes /1/ and /g/, themselves bundles of simultaneously produced distinctive features. Hence the concurrence of simultaneous entities and the concatenation of successive entities are the two ways in which we speakers combine linguistic constituents.

Neither such bundles as /p/ or /f/ nor such sequences of bundles as /pig/ or /fig/ are invented by the speaker who uses them. Neither can the distinctive feature stop versus continuant nor the phoneme /p/ occur out of context. The stop feature appears in combination with certain other concurrent features, and the repertory of combinations of these features into phonemes such as /p/, /b/, /t/, /d/, /k/, /g/ is limited by the code of the given language. The code sets limitations on the possible combina tions of the phoneme /p/ with other following and/or preceding phonemes: and only part of the permissible phoneme sequences are actually utilized in the lexical stock of a given language. Even when other combinations of phonemes are theoretically possible, the speaker, as a rule, is only word user, not a word coiner. When faced with individual words; we expect them to be coded units. In order to grasp the word nylon: one must know the meaning assigned to this vocable in the lexical code of modern English.

In any language there exist also coded word groups called "phrase words." The meaning of the idiom how do you do cannot be derived by adding together the meanings of its lexical constituents: the whole is not equal to the sum of its parts. Word groups which in this respect behave like single words are a common but nonetheless only marginal case. order to comprehend the overwhelming majority of word groups: need be familiar only with the constituent words and with the syntactic rules of their combination. Within these limitations we are free to put words in new contexts. Of course, this freedom is relative, and the pressure of current clichés upon our choice of combinations is considerable erable. But the freedom to compose quite new contexts is undeniable, despite the relatively low statistical probability of their occurrence.

Thus, in the combination of linguistic units, there is an ascending scale of freedom. In the combination of distinctive features into phonemes, the freedom of the individual speaker is zero: the code has already established all the possibilities which may be utilized in the given language. Freedom to combine phonemes into words is circumscribed; it is : limited to the marginal situation of word coinage. In forming sentences with words, the speaker is less constrained. And finally, in the combination of sentences into utterances, the action of compulsory syntactic rules ceases, and the freedom of any individual speaker to create novel contexts increases substantially, although again the numerous stereotyped utterances are not to be overlooked.

Any linguistic sign involves two modes of arrangement:

(1) Combination. Any sign is made up of constituent signs and/or occurs only in combination with other signs. This means that any linguistic unit at one and the same time serves as a context for simpler units and/or finds its own context in a more complex linguistic unit. Hence any actual grouping of linguistic units binds them into a superior unit: combination and contexture are two faces of the same operation.

(2) Selection. A selection between alternatives implies the possibility of substituting one for the other, equivalent in one respect and different an another. Actually, selection and substitution are two faces of the same operation.

The fundamental role which these two operations play in language was clearly realized by Ferdinand de Saussure. Yet of the two varieties of combination—concurrence and concatenation—it was only the latter, the memporal sequence, which was recognized by the Geneva linguist. Despite his own insight into the phoneme as a set of concurrent distinctive catures (éléments différentiels des phonèmes), the scholar succumbed the traditional belief in the linear character of language "which excludes the possibility of pronouncing two elements at the same time" **49**66:170).

In order to delimit the two modes of arrangement we have described combination and selection, de Saussure states that the former "is in presentia: it is based on two or several terms jointly present in an actual eries," whereas the latter "connects terms in absentia as members of a artual mnemonic series" (p. 123). That is to say, selection (and, corremondingly, substitution) deals with entities conjoined in the code but not the given message, whereas, in the case of combination, the entities re conjoined in both or only in the actual message. The addressee receives that the given utterance (message) is a combination of constitent parts (sentences, words, phonemes) selected from the repository of

all possible constituent parts (the code). The constituents of a context are in a state of contiguity, while in a substitution set signs are linked by various degrees of similarity which fluctuate between the equivalence of synonyms and the common core of antonyms.

These two operations provide each linguistic sign with two sets of "interpretants," to utilize the effective concept introduced by Charles Sanders Peirce (1932, 1934). There are two references which serve in interpret the sign—one to the code and the other to the context, whether coded or free, and in each of these ways the sign is related to another set of linguistic signs, through an alternation in the former case and through an alignment in the latter. A given significative unit may be replaced by other, more explicit signs of the same code, whereby its general meaning is revealed, while its contextual meaning is determined by its connection with other signs within the same sequence.

The constituents of any message are necessarily linked with the code by an internal relation and with the message by an external relation Language in its various aspects deals with both modes of relations Whether messages are exchanged or communication proceeds unilater ally from the addresser to the addressee, there must be some kind of contiguity between the participants of any speech event to assure the transmission of the message. The separation in space, and often in times between two individuals, the addresser and the addressee, is bridged by an internal relation: there must be a certain equivalence between the symbols used by the addresser and those known and interpreted by the addressee. Without such an equivalence the message is fruitless: even when it reaches the receiver it does not affect him.

The Similarity Disorder

It is clear that speech disturbances may affect in varying degree the individual's capacity for combination and selection of linguistic units and indeed the question of which of these two operations is chiefs impaired proves to be of far-reaching significance in describing, and lyzing, and classifying the diverse forms of aphasia. This dichotomy perhaps even more suggestive than the classical distinction between emis sive and receptive aphasia.5 indicating which of the two functions speech exchange, the encoding or the decoding of verbal messages. particularly affected.

Head (1926) attempted to classify cases of aphasia into definite groups and to each of these varieties he assigned "a name chosen to signify most salient defect in the management and comprehension of words and phrases." Following this device, we distinguish two basic types

aphasia—depending on whether the major deficiency lies in selection and substitution, with relative stability of combination and contexture; or conversely, in combination and contexture, with relative retention of normal selection and substitution. In outlining these two opposite patterns of aphasia, I shall utilize mainly Goldstein's data (1948).

For aphasics of the first type (selection deficiency), the context is the indispensable and decisive factor. When presented with scraps of words or sentences, such a patient readily completes them. His speech is merely reactive: he easily carries on conversation but has difficulties in starting dialogue; he is able to reply to a real or imaginary addresser when he is, or imagines himself to be, the addressee of the message. It is particmarly hard for him to perform, or even to understand, such a closed discourse as the monologue. The more his utterances are dependent on the context, the better he copes with his verbal task. He feels unable to inter a sentence which responds neither to the cue of his interlocutor nor to the actual situation. The sentence "it rains" cannot be produced unless the utterer sees that it is actually raining. The deeper the utterance is embedded in the verbal or nonverbalized context, the higher are the chances of its successful performance by this class of patients.

Likewise, the more a word is dependent on the other words of the same sentence and the more it refers to the syntactic context, the less it saffected by the speech disturbance. Therefore words syntactically subordinated by grammatical agreement or government are more tenacious, whereas the main subordinating agent of the sentence, namely the subject, tends to be omitted. As long as beginning is the patient's main difficulty, it is obvious that he will fail precisely at the starting point, the cornerstone of the sentence pattern. In this type of language disturbance, sentences are conceived as elliptical sequels to be supplied from anteedent sentences uttered, if not imagined, by the aphasic himself or neceived by him from the other partner in the colloquy, actual if not maginary. Key words may be dropped or superseded by abstract anamoric substitutes (cf. Bloomfield 1933:chap. 15). A specific noun, as reud (1953:22) noticed, is replaced by a very general one, for instance machin or chose (thing) in the speech of French aphasics. In a dialectal German sample of "amnesic aphasia" observed by Goldstein (1948:246-38), Ding (thing) or Stückel (piece) was substituted for all inanimate souns, and überfahren (perform) for verbs which were identifiable from me context or situation and therefore appeared superfluous to the patient. Words with an inherent reference to the context, such as pronouns and pronominal adverbs, and words serving merely to construct the context, such as connectives and auxiliaries, are particularly prone to survive. A typical utterance of a German patient, recorded by Quensel and quoted by Goldstein (p. 302), will serve as illustration: "Ich bin doch

mer unter na wenn ich gewesen bin ich wees nicht, we das, nu wenn ch ob das nun doch, noch, ja. Was Sie her, wenn ich, och ich weess ment we das hier war ja." (But I am here below, well if I have been I know not; who that, now if I, if that now but, still, yes. What you here, oh I know not, who that here was yes.) Thus only the framework: the connecting links of communication, is spared by this type of aphasia: at its critical stage.

In the theory of language, since the early Middle Ages, it has repeatedly been asserted that the word out of context has no meaning. The validity of this statement is, however, confined to aphasia or, more exactly, to one type of aphasia. In the pathological cases under discussion, an iso lated word means actually nothing but "blab." As numerous tests have disclosed, for such patients two occurrences of the same word in two different contexts are mere homonyms. Since distinctive vocables carry a higher amount of information than homonyms, some aphasics of this type tend to supplant the contextual variants of one word by different terms, each of them specific for the given environment. Thus Goldstein patient never uttered the word knife alone but, according to its use and surroundings, alternately called the knife pencil-sharpener, apple-parers bread-knife, knife-and-fork (p. 62); so the word knife was changed from a free form, capable of occurring alone, into a bound form.

"I have a good apartment, entrance hall, bedroom, kitchen," Gold stein's patient says. "There are also big apartments, only in the rear live bachelors." A more explicit form, the word group unmarried people could have been substituted for bachelors, but this univerbal term was selected by the speaker. When repeatedly asked what a bachelor was the patient did not answer and was "apparently in distress" (p. 270). reply like "a bachelor is an unmarried man" or "an unmarried man is a bachelor" would present an equational predication and thus a projection of a substitution set from the lexical code of the English language into the context of the given message. The equivalent terms become two correlated parts of the sentence and consequently are tied by contiguity The patient was able to select the appropriate term bachelor when it was supported by the context of a customary conversation about "bachelor apartments" but was incapable of utilizing the substitution set bachelor unmarried man as the topic of a sentence because the ability for auton omous selection and substitution had been affected. The equational sen tence vainly demanded from the patient carries as its sole information "bachelor means an unmarried man" or "an unmarried man is called a bachelor."

The same difficulty arises when the patient is asked to name an object pointed to or handled by the examiner. The aphasic with a defect in substitution will not supplement the pointing or handling gesture of the

examiner with the name of the object pointed to. Instead of saying, "This is [called] a pencil," he will merely add an elliptical note about its use: To write." If one of the synonymic signs is present (for instance, the word bachelor or the pointing to the pencil), then the other sign (such as the phrase unmarried man or the word pencil) becomes redundant and consequently superfluous. For the aphasic, both signs are in complemenary distribution: if one is performed by the examiner, the patient will avoid its synonym: "I understand everything" or "Ich weiss es schon" will be his typical reaction. Likewise, the picture of an object will cause suppression of its name: a verbal sign is supplanted by a pictorial sign. When the picture of a compass was presented to a patient of Lotmar's, he responded: "Yes, it's a . . . I know what it belongs to, but I cannot recall the technical expression . . . Yes . . . direction . . . to show direction . . . a magnet points to the north" (1933:104). Such patients fail to shift, as Peirce would say, from an index or icon to a corresponding verbal symbol (1932:§§274-307).

Even simple repetition of a word uttered by the examiner seems to the matient unnecessarily redundant, and despite instructions received he is anable to repeat it. Told to repeat the word no, Head's patient replied No, I don't know how to do it." While spontaneously using the word the context of his answer ("No, I don't . . . "), he could not produce the purest form of equational predication, the tautology a = a: |no| is

One of the important contributions of symbolic logic to the science of anguage is its emphasis on the distinction between "object language" and "metalanguage." As Carnap states, "In order to speak about any object language, we need a metalanguage" (1947:4). On these two difterent levels of language the same linguistic stock may be used; thus we may speak in English (as metalanguage) about English (as object lanmage) and interpret English words and sentences by means of English rnonyms, circumlocutions, and paraphrases. Obviously such operations, labeled "metalinguistic" by the logicians, are not their invention; ar from being confined to the sphere of science, they prove to be an integral part of our customary linguistic activities. The participants in a malogue often check whether they are using the same code. "Do you follow me? Do you see what I mean?" the speaker asks, or the listener minself breaks in with "What do you mean?" Then, by replacing the enestionable sign with another sign from the same linguistic code or with whole group of code signs, the sender of the message seeks to make it more accessible to the decoder.

The interpretation of one linguistic sign through other, in some respect nomogeneous, signs of the same language is a metalinguistic operation which also plays an essential role in children's language learning. Obser-

vations have disclosed what a considerable place talk about language occupies in the verbal behavior of preschool children.6 Recourse to metalanguage is necessary both for the acquisition of language and for its normal functioning. The aphasic defect in the "capacity of naming" is properly a loss of metalanguage. As a matter of fact, the examples of equational predication sought in vain from the patients cited above are metalinguistic propositions referring to the English language. Their explicit wording would be: "In the code we use, the name of the indicated object is pencil"; or "In the code we use, the word bachelor and the circumlocution unmarried man are equivalent."

Such an aphasic can switch neither from a word to its synonyms or circumlocutions nor to its heteronyms (equivalent expressions in other languages). Loss of bilingualism and confinement to a single dialectal variety of a single language is a symptomatic manifestation of this disorder.

According to an old but recurrent bias, a single individual's way of speaking at a given time, labeled "idiolect," has been viewed as the only concrete linguistic reality. In one discussion of this concept the following objections were raised:

Everyone, when speaking to another person, tries, deliberately or involuntarily, to hit upon a common vocabulary; either to please or simply to be understood or, finally, to bring him out, he uses the terms of his addressee. There is no such thing as private property in language: everything is socialized. Verbal exchange, like any form of intercourse, requires at least two communicators, and idiolect proves to be a somewhat perverse fiction. (RI 1953c:559)

This statement needs, however, one reservation: for an aphasic who has lost the capacity for code switching, the idiolect indeed becomes the sol linguistic reality. As long as he does not regard another's speech as message addressed to him in his own verbal pattern, he feels, as a patient of Hemphil and Stengel (1940) expressed it: "I can hear you dead plan but I cannot get what you say . . . I hear your voice but not the words ... It does not pronounce itself." He considers the other's utterance itself. be either gibberish or at least in an unknown language.

As noted above, it is the external relation of contiguity which united the constituents of a context, and the internal relation of similarity which underlies the substitution set. Hence, for an aphasic with impaired sub stitution and intact contexture, operations involving similarity yield to those based on contiguity. It could be predicted that under these conditions any semantic grouping would be guided by spatial or temporal contiguity rather than by similarity. Actually Goldstein's tests justify such an expectation: a female patient of this type, when asked to list a few names of animals, disposed them in the same order in which she had

seen them in the zoo; similarly, despite instructions to arrange certain abjects according to color, size, and shape, she classified them on the masis of their spatial contiguity as home things, office materials, etc. and instified this grouping by a reference to a display window where "it does got matter what the things are" (they do not have to be similar; 1948:61-22, 263-265). The same patient was willing to name the primary huesed, yellow, green, and blue-but declined to extend these names to the mansitional varieties (pp. 268-269), since, for her, words had no capacity assume additional, shifted meanings associated by similarity with their primary meaning,

One must agree with Goldstein's observation that patients of this type grasped the words in their literal meaning but could not be brought to understand the metaphoric character of the same words" (p. 270). It would, however, be an unwarranted generalization to assume that figumive speech is altogether incomprehensible to them. Of the two polar igures of speech, metaphor and metonymy, the latter, based on contisuity, is widely employed by aphasics whose selective capacities have een affected. Fork is substituted for knife, table for lamp, smoke for me, eat for toaster. A typical case is reported by Head: "When he failed recall the name for 'black,' he described it as 'What you do for the dead'; this he shortened to 'dead'" (1926:198). Such metonymies may be maracterized as projections from the line of a habitual context into the me of substitution and selection: a sign (fork) which usually occurs esether with another sign (knife) may be used instead of this sign. firases like knife and fork, table lamp, to smoke a pipe induced the refenymies fork, table, smoke; the relation between the use of an object mast) and the means of its production underlies the metonymy eat for paster. "When does one wear black?"—"When mourning the dead": in ace of naming the color, the cause of its traditional use is designated. ne escape from sameness to contiguity is particularly striking in such ses as Goldstein's patient who would answer with a metonymy when ked to repeat a given word and, for instance, would say glass for and heaven for God (1948:280).

When the selective capacity is strongly impaired and the gift for commation at least partly preserved, then contiguity determines the patient's mole verbal behavior, and we may designate this type of aphasia "simarity disorder."

The Contiguity Disorder

From 1864 on it was repeatedly pointed out in Hughlings Jackson's naneer contributions to the modern study of language and language sturbances:

It is not enough to say that speech consists of words. It consists of words referring to one another in a particular manner; and, without a proper interrelation of its parts, a verbal utterance would be a mere succession of names embodying no proposition. (1868:66)

Contraction of the Contraction o

Loss of speech is the loss of power to propositionize . . . Speechlessness does not mean entire wordlessness. (1879:114)

Impairment of the ability to propositionize or, generally speaking, to combine simpler linguistic entities into more complex units, is actually confined to one type of aphasia, the opposite of the type discussed in the preceding section. There is no wordlessness, since the entity preserved in most of such cases is the word, which can be defined as the highest among the linguistic units compulsorily coded—we compose our own sentences and utterances out of the word stock supplied by the code.

This contexture-deficient aphasia, which could be termed the "contiguity disorder." diminishes the extent and variety of sentences. The syntactic rules organizing words into higher units are lost; this loss, called "agrammatism," causes the degeneration of the sentence into a mere "word heap," to use Jackson's image (1866). Word order becomes cha otic; the ties of grammatical coordination and subordination, whether concord or government, are dissolved. As might be expected, words endowed with purely grammatical functions, like conjunctions, preposit tions, pronouns, and articles, disappear first, giving rise to the so-called telegraphic style, whereas in the case of a similarity disorder they are the most resistant. The less a word depends grammatically on the contexts the stronger is its tenacity in the speech of aphasics with a contiguity disorder and the earlier it is dropped by patients with a similarity disorder Thus the "kernel subject word" is the first to fall out of the sentence in cases of similarity disorder, and conversely, it is the least destructible in the opposite type of aphasia.

The type of aphasia affecting contexture tends to give rise to infantile one-sentence utterances and one-word sentences. Only a few longer stereotyped, ready-made sentences manage to survive. In advanced case of this disease, each utterance is reduced to a single one-word sentence. While contexture disintegrates, the selective operation goes on. "To say what a thing is, is to say what it is like," Jackson notes (1879:125). This patient confined to the substitution set (once contexture is deficient) deals with similarities, and his approximate identifications are of a metaphoric nature, contrary to the metonymic ones familiar to the opposite type of aphasics. Spyglass for microscope or fire for gaslight are typical examination ples of such quasi-metaphoric expressions, as Jackson termed them since, in contradistinction to rhetoric or poetic metaphors, they present no deliberate transfer of meaning.

In a normal language pattern, the word is at the same time both

constituent part of a superimposed context, the sentence, and itself a context superimposed on ever smaller constituents, morphemes (minmum units endowed with meaning) and phonemes. We have discussed he effect of contiguity disorder on the combination of words into higher units. The relationship between the word and its constituents reflects the same impairment, yet in a somewhat different way. A typical feature of agrammatism is the abolition of inflection: there appear such "unmarked" categories as the infinitive in the place of diverse finite verbal forms and, anguages with declension, the nominative instead of all the oblique eases. These defects are due partly to the elimination of government and concord, partly to the loss of ability to dissolve words into stem and desinence. Finally, a paradigm (in particular a set of grammatical cases such as he-his-him or of tenses such as he votes-he voted) present the name semantic content from different points of view associated with each other by contiguity; so there is one more impetus for aphasics with a contiguity disorder to dismiss such sets.

Also, as a rule, words derived from the same root, such as grantmantor-grantee, are semantically related by contiguity. The patients ander discussion are inclined to drop either the derivative words or the combination of a root with a derivational suffix, and even a compound netwo words becomes irresolvable for them. Patients who understood and uttered such compounds as . Thanksgiving or Battersea, but were mable to grasp or say thanks and giving or batter and sea, have often been cited. As long as the sense of derivation is still alive, so that this process is still used for creating innovations in the code, one can observe elendency toward oversimplification and automatism: if the derivative word constitutes a semantic unit which cannot be entirely inferred from meaning of its components, the Gestalt is misunderstood. Thus the ussian word mokr-íca signifies "wood-louse," but a Russian aphasic merpreted it as "something humid," especially "humid weather," since root mokr- means "humid" and the suffix -ica designates a carrier of egiven property, as in nelépica (something absurd), svetlíca (light com), temníca (dungeon, lit. dark room),

When, before World War II, phonemics was the most controversial rea in the science of language, doubts were expressed by some linguists to whether phonemes really play an autonomous part in our verbal chavior. It was even suggested that the meaningful (significative) units the linguistic code, such as morphemes or rather words, are the maimal entities with which we actually deal in a speech event, whereas merely distinctive units, such as phonemes, are an artificial construct facilitate the scientific description and analysis of a language. This new, which was stigmatized by Sapir (1949a:46-60) as "the reverse of calistic," remains, however, perfectly valid with respect to a certain

pathological type: in one variety of aphasia, which sometimes has been labeled "atactic," the word is the sole linguistic unity preserved. patient has only an integral, indissolvable image of any familiar work and all other sound-sequences are either alien and inscrutable to him he merges them into familiar words by disregarding their phonetic devi tions. One of Goldstein's patients "perceived some words, but ... vowels and consonants of which they consisted were not perceived (1948:218). A French aphasic recognized, understood, repeated. spontaneously produced the word café (coffee) or pavé (roadway) was unable to grasp, discern, or repeat such nonsensical sequences féca, faké, kéfa, pafé. None of these difficulties exists for a normal French-speaking listener as long as the sound sequences and their ed ponents fit the French phonemic pattern. Such a listener may even and hend these sequences as words unknown to him but plausibly belong to the French vocabulary and presumably different in meaning they differ from each other either in the order of their phonemes the phonemes themselves.

If an aphasic becomes unable to resolve the word into its phone constituents, his control over its construction weakens, and percent damage to phonemes and their combinations easily follows. The grad regression of the sound pattern in aphasics regularly reverses the or of children's phonemic acquisitions. This regression involves an inflat of homonyms and a decrease of vocabulary. If this twofold-phone and lexical—disablement progresses further, the last residues of special are one-phoneme, one-word, one-sentence utterances: the na relapses into the initial phases of an infant's linguistic development even to the prelingual stage: he faces aphasia universalis, the total of the power to use or apprehend speech.

The separateness of the two functions—one distinctive and the significative—is a peculiar feature of language as compared to semiotic systems. There arises a conflict between these two level language when the aphasic deficient in contexture exhibits a tendence abolish the hierarchy of linguistic units and to reduce their scale single level. The last level to remain is either a class of significant values, the word, as in the cases touched upon, or a class of distinct values, the phoneme. In the latter case the patient is still able to idea distinguish, and reproduce phonemes, but loses the capacity to dissame with words. In an intermediate case, words are identified. guished, and reproduced; according to Goldstein's acute formula they "may be grasped as known but not understood" (p. 90). Her word loses its normal significative function and assumes the pure tinctive function which normally pertains to the phoneme.

The Metaphoric and Metonymic Poles

The varieties of aphasia are numerous and diverse, but all of them between the two polar types just described. Every form of aphasic arbance consists in some impairment, more or less severe, of the by either for selection and substitution or for combination and con-The former affliction involves a deterioration of metalinguistic ations, while the latter damages the capacity for maintaining the sichy of linguistic units. The relation of similarity is suppressed in former, the relation of contiguity in the latter type of aphasia. Meteris alien to the similarity disorder, and metonymy to the contiguity eder.

development of a discourse may take place along two different intic lines: one topic may lead to another either through their simior through their contiguity. The metaphoric way would be the most priate term for the first case and the metonymic way for the second, they find their most condensed expression in metaphor and metonrespectively. In aphasia one or the other of these two processes is ncted or totally blocked—an effect which makes the study of aphasia cularly illuminating for the linguist. In normal verbal behavior both sses are continually operative, but careful observation will reveal ander the influence of a cultural pattern, personality, and verbal preference is given to one of the two processes over the other.

swell-known psychological test, children are confronted with some and told to utter the first verbal response that comes into their In this experiment two opposite linguistic predilections are invariexhibited: the response is intended either as a substitute for or as indement to the stimulus. In the latter case the stimulus and the se together form a proper syntactic construction, most usually a These two types of reaction have been labeled "substitutive" predicative."

the stimulus hut one response was burnt out; another, is a poor fouse. Both reactions are predicative; but the first creates a purely exe context, while in the second there is a double connection with ect hut: on the one hand, a positional (namely, syntactic) contiand on the other, a semantic similarity.

same stimulus produced the following substitutive reactions: the hut; the synonyms cabin and hovel; the antonym palace; and caphors den and burrow. The capacity of two words to replace other is an instance of positional similarity; in addition, all these ses are linked to the stimulus by semantic similarity (or contrast). smical responses to the same stimulus, such as thatch, litter, or poverty, combine and contrast the positional similarity with semantic contiguity.

In manipulating these two kinds of connection (similarity and conguity) in both their aspects (positional and semantic)—selecting, combining, and ranking them—an individual exhibits his personal style. verbal predilections and preferences.

In verbal art the interaction of these two elements is especially nounced. Rich material for the study of this relationship is to be foun in verse patterns which require a compulsory parallelism between a cent lines, for example in biblical poetry or in the Finnic and, to see extent, the Russian oral traditions. This provides an objective criteria of what in the given speech community acts as a correspondence. Si on any verbal level-morphemic, lexical, syntactic, and phraseological -either of these two relations (similarity and contiguity) can appear each in either of two aspects, an impressive range of possible conrations is created. Either of the two gravitational poles may prevail Russian lyrical songs, for example, metaphoric constructions prede nate, while in the heroic epics the metonymic way is preponderant

In poetry there are various motives which determine the cha between these alternants. The primacy of the metaphoric process literary schools of Romanticism and Symbolism has been repeat acknowledged, but it is still insufficiently realized that it is the pred nance of metonymy which underlies and actually predetermines the called Realist trend, which belongs to an intermediary stage between decline of Romanticism and the rise of Symbolism and is oppose both. Following the path of contiguous relationships, the Realist metonymically digresses from the plot to the atmosphere and from characters to the setting in space and time. He is fond of synece details. In the scene of Anna Karenina's suicide Tolstoj's artistical tion is focused on the heroine's handbag; and in War and Peace synecdoches "hair on the upper lip" and "bare shoulders" are use the same writer to stand for the female characters to whom these feat belong.

The alternative predominance of one or the other of these two cesses is by no means confined to verbal art. The same oscillation in sign systems other than language.7 A salient example from the in of painting is the manifestly metonymic orientation of Cubism, when object is transformed into a set of synecdoches; the Surrealist pa responded with a patently metaphoric attitude. Ever since the tions of D. W. Griffith, the art of the cinema, with its highly deve capacity for changing the angle, perspective, and focus of short broken with the tradition of the theater and ranged an unpreces variety of synecdochic close-ups and metonymic setups in general motion pictures as those of Charlie Chaplin and Eisenstein (1950), devices in turn were overlayed by a novel, metaphoric montage wits lap dissolves—the filmic similes (see Balazs 1952).

he bipolar structure of language (or other semiotic systems) and, in asia, the fixation on one of these poles to the exclusion of the othersystematic comparative study. The retention of either of these matives in the two types of aphasia must be confronted with the ominance of the same pole in certain styles, personal habits, current ens, etc. A careful analysis and comparison of these phenomena the whole syndrome of the corresponding type of aphasia is an rative task for joint research by experts in psychopathology, psylinguistics, poetics, and semiotics, the general science of signs. dichotomy discussed here appears to be of primal significance and emience for all verbal behavior and for human behavior in general.8 indicate the possibilities of the projected comparative research, I ean example from a Russian folktale which employs parallelism as mc:device: "Thomas is a bachelor: Jeremiah is unmarried" (Fomá Erjóma neženát). Here the predicates in the two parallel clauses sociated by similarity: they are in fact synonymous. The subjects clauses are masculine proper names and hence morphologically while on the other hand they denote two contiguous heroes of me tale, created to perform identical actions and thus to justify the vnonymous pairs of predicates. A somewhat modified version of ne construction occurs in a familiar wedding song in which each wedding guests is addressed in turn by his first name and patro-Gleb is a bachelor; Ivanovič is unmarried." While both prediere are again synonyms, the relationship between the two subjects **ged:** both are proper names denoting the same man and are norsed contiguously as a mode of polite address.

quotation from the folktale, the two parallel clauses refer to two facts, the marital status of Thomas and the similar status of In the verse from the wedding song, however, the two clauses enymous: they redundantly reiterate the celibacy of the same litting him into two verbal hypostases.

Russian novelist Gleb Ivanovič Uspenskij (1840–1902) in the last his life suffered from a mental illness involving a speech disorder. **Ename** and patronymic, Gleb Ivanovič, traditionally combined in mercourse, for him split into two distinct names designating two beings: Gleb was endowed with all his virtues, while Ivanovič. relating a son to his father, became the incarnation of all s vices. The linguistic aspect of this split personality is the mability to use two symbols for the same thing, and it is thus a disorder. Since the similarity disorder is bound up with the

metonymic bent, an examination of the literary manner Uspenskii employed as a young writer takes on particular interest. And the state of Anatolij Kamegulov (1930), who analyzed Uspenskij's style, bears of our theoretical expectations. He shows that Uspenskij had a particular penchant for metonymy, and especially for synecdoche, and that carried it so far that "the reader is crushed by the multiplicity of deta unloaded on him in a limited verbal space, and is physically unable grasp the whole, so that the portrait is often lost" (pp. 65 and 145).

To be sure, the metonymic style in Uspenskij is obviously prompte by the prevailing literary canon of his time, late nineteenth-centure "realism": but the personal stamp of Gleb Ivanovic made his pen parts ularly suitable for this artistic trend in its extreme manifestations and finally left its mark upon the verbal aspect of his mental illness.

A competition between both devices, metonymic and metaphoric manifest in any symbolic process, be it intrapersonal or social. Thus an inquiry into the structure of dreams, the decisive question is whether the symbols and the temporal sequences used are based on contigue (Freud's metonymic "displacement" and synecdochic "condensation" on similarity (Freud's "identification and symbolism" (Freud 1955)) principles underlying magic rites have been resolved by Frazer (198 chap. 3) into two types: charms based on the law of similarity and the founded on association by contiguity. The first of these two great branches of sympathetic magic has been called "homeopathic" or "in tative," and the second, "contagious" magic. This bipartition is indeilluminating. Nonetheless, for the most part, the question of the poles is still neglected, despite its wide scope and importance for study of any symbolic behavior, especially verbal, and of its impairment What is the main reason for this neglect?

Similarity in meaning connects the symbols of a metalanguage with symbols of the language referred to. Similarity connects a metaphor term with the term for which it is substituted. Consequently, when can structing a metalanguage to interpret tropes, the researcher possess more homogeneous means to handle metaphor, whereas metonym based on a different principle, easily defies interpretation. Therefore nothing comparable to the rich literature on metaphor (Stutterheim 194 can be cited for the theory of metonymy. For the same reason generally realized that Romanticism is closely linked with metapho whereas the equally intimate ties of Realism with metonymy usual remain unnoticed. Not only the tool of the observer but also the object of observation are responsible for the preponderance of metaphorious metonymy in scholarship. Since poetry is focused upon the sign. pragmatic prose primarily upon the referent, tropes and figures were studied mainly as poetic devices. The principle of similarity undering

the metrical parallelism of lines or the phonic equivalence of ming words prompts the question of semantic similarity and contrast; re-exist, for instance, grammatical and antigrammatical but never mmatical rhymes. Prose, on the contrary, is forwarded essentially contiguity. Thus for poetry, metaphor—and for prose, metonymy line of least resistance, and consequently the study of poetical es is directed chiefly toward metaphor. The actual bipolarity has been cially replaced in these studies by an amputated, unipolar scheme strikingly enough, coincides with one of the two aphasic patterns, ely with the contiguity disorder.