Value dependent types for the CLI

Fraser Waters*

10/01/13

Contents

Ι	Introduction	2
1	Motivation	2
2	Performance	3
3	Value dependent types	4
4	The CLI	4
5	Project	5
II	Background	5
6	The CLI 6.1 Common intermediate language	5 6 6 7
7	$\mathbf{C}++$	8
8	$\mathbf{F}\#$	8
9	Path dependent types	9
10	Virtual types	10
11	First class types	11

^{*}fraser.waters 08@imperial.ac.uk

12 Generalized algebraic data types	11
III Project plan	12
13 Investigation	13
14 Design	13
15 Implementation	
IV Evaluation plan	13
16 Semantics	
17 Implementation	

Part I

Introduction

1 Motivation

One of the main motivations for looking into value dependence is for numeric types such as Vector3, Vector4, Matrix3x4. These are used for graphics and physics applications where most vectors and matrices in the problem domain are small (3 or 4 elements). Currently there is no nice way to represent all the different sizes for types like this in C# (or any other CLI language). Consequently it lead me to the creation of a numeric type generator, a separate program that outputs the source code for a pre defined set of configurations (currently Vector2 to Vector8 and Matrix2x2 up to Matrix4x4). While the use of these types is mostly acceptable extending them is difficult. The following shows the code used to generate all the required dot product functions currently, and how I imagine it might look with value dependence.

```
WriteLine("/// <summary>");
WriteLine("/// Calculates the dot product (inner product) of two vectors.");
WriteLine("/// </summary>");
WriteLine("/// <param name=\"right\">First source vector.</param>");
WriteLine("/// <param name=\"right\">Second source vector.</param>");
WriteLine("/// <returns>The dot product of the two vectors.</returns>");
if (!Type.IsCLSCompliant) { WriteLine("[CLSCompliant(false)]"); }
WriteLine("public static float Dot({0} left, {0} right)", Name);
WriteLine("{");
Indent();
```

The usage of these types would remain nearly the same, the following shows how they look at the moment compared to what they might look like with value dependence.

```
var a = new Vector3(1, 1, 1);
var b = new Vector3(2, 2, 2);

var ab = b - a;
var dot = Vector.Dot(ab, a);

var a = new Vector < 3 > (1, 1, 1);
var b = new Vector < 3 > (2, 2, 2);

var ab = b - a;
var dot = Vector.Dot(ab, a);
```

2 Performance

Currently we can we can define an interface Vector that defines the indexing operator and length property, and then write functions useing this interface. This saves us the effort of creating and maintaining the generator but at the cost of run time performance.

```
interface Vector
{
    int Length { get; }
    float this[int index] { get; }
}
public static float Dot<T>(T a, T b) where T : Vector
{
```

The issue with this (and this give some suggestions as to how we would want to implement dependent types) is that it adds an extra instance variable to each vector and means that the loop cannot be unrolled. For high performance code that theses small vector types are supposed to be used for that's an unacceptable trade off. With dependent types we could write code in a similar way but with better performance characteristics.

While these vector types are the main motivator for value dependence there are more uses for value dependent types, we explore these in the background section.

3 Value dependent types

Dependent types allow static typing of expression based on values rather than just other types. There are some functional languages such as Agda, Coq and Idris that support dependent types, but in object oriented languages dependent types are not so common. While fully general value dependent types are rare, some weaker versions, including path dependent types and virtual types, are used in some mainstream languages. Notably Scala supports both path dependence and virtual types, F# supports units of measure allowing numbers to be typed based on a unit value, and C++ has templates that can be parametrized on values.

4 The CLI^1

The CLI is a specification for a virtual execution environment, that is implemented by Microsoft's CLR (often confused with the .NET branding) and the open source Mono project. It is targeted by VB, C#, F#, IronPython and other languages. It retains a high level of type information, more so than the Java Virtual Machine (which for example has no concept of generic types despite Java supporting them).

The ability to retain high level type information allows easy interoperability between separate CLI modules, even with modules compiled using different languages. This feature starts to fall apart when languages add typing extensions that aren't supported by the CLI. Units of measure in F#, for example, are erased at compile time meaning that other modules which consume an F#

¹Common Language Infrastructure

module where units of measure were used cannot see the units. This loss of typing information is not ideal, as it reduces interoperability, and so prompts us to consider adding value dependence as a CLI feature and not just an extension to a current CLI language such as C# or F#. If units can be written in terms of dependent types then we can $f\!i\!x$ them, else at least our extension does not suffer the same problem of interoperability. Of course any new features added to the CLI should be backwards compatible and efficient, we need to keep in mind the size of the new types and their instances, the size of the byte code and the speed to process it and the speed and size of the JITed code.

5 Project

This project will investigate value dependent types in the CLI. It will be split into 3 parts.

- 1. To investigate the use and benefits of value dependent typing.
- 2. To show how value dependent types could be added to the CLI, preferably in a clean and backwards compatible way.
- 3. If part 2 is successful to implement value dependent typing in Mono.
- 4. If part 2 is unsuccessful then an through explanation of why it can't be done should be written.

Part II

Background

6 The CLI

6.1 Common intermediate language

The CLI runs Common Intermediate Language (CIL) byte code. CIL is a type rich, stack based assembly language.

CIL supports many features not common the low level assembly code. As well as basic operations such as add, jump, load, store, operations such as field access, method call, object creation, casting etc all have CIL instructions.

While CIL is targeted by a variaty of languages Visual Basic and C# match it's semantics most closely. We will use C# code instead of raw CIL when possible in examples. Some C# features are uncommon in other languages so we we'll briefly go over them.

6.2 Value and Reference types

The CLI differentates between value types (structs) and reference types (classes). Value types are allocated inline, either on the stack or as part of a containing types allocation. Reference types are allocated on the heap and refered to by a pointer (called a reference).

6.3 Properties

As pointed out above the CIL has instructions for field access but it also supports properites. In the CIL code these look similar to method calls but in C# they look like field access.

```
class Square {
          public int Length;
          public int Area { get { return Length * Length; } }
}

void Main() {
          Square sq = new Square();
          sq.Length = 4;
          Console.WriteLine(sq.Area); // outputs 16
}
```

Properties support get and set methods (both optional), which do not have to have the same visibility (it's valid to have a public get and private set). Propeties can also have parameters which turns them into indexers.

6.4 Generics

The CLI supports parametric polymorphic types via generics types that are parametrized on other types (value dependence would allow types to also be parametrized on values). The MSR White paper [9] describes some initial design considerations to do parametric polymorphism in COM+ (the original name for what became the CLI and .NET). While the final design and implementation that shipped with .NET differs slightly from the design presented in [9], the paper does give an insight into what we need to be thinking about while designing value parametrics. It's worth taking some time to look at how generics ended up being specified in [5] and implemented in Mono (due to copyright reasons we can't look at Microsoft's open source CLR code).

Generics are defined in section II.9 of [5]. A type in the CLI can have a fixed generic arity (that is generics are not variadic), the parameters are unnamed and are accessed by index (either !0 or for type parameters and !!0 for method parameters). Each type parameter may be constrained by a number of properties, including constraints on being a value or reference type, having a defined base class or interface or being default constructable. Type parameters can be value or reference types, this is a marked difference from the suggestion in [9] which suggested that value types should not be allowed due to having to re-JIT the types code for each value type.

Generics allow the CLI to represent types such as $List \langle T \rangle$ while retaining run time information such that the run time type of $List \langle object \rangle$ is different to $List \langle int \rangle$. $List \langle int \rangle$ is also special in that int is a value type and yet the run time can use a $List \langle int \rangle$ without causing excessive boxing of values.

If we look at the definition of List $\langle T \rangle$ in Microsoft's distribution of .NET 4.0 we can see how the generic parameter is declared and used.

```
.class public auto ansi serializable beforefieldinit List'1
1
2
  extends System. Object
3
  implements System. Collections. Generic. IList '1<!0>,
4
           System. Collections. Generic. ICollection '1 < !0 >,
           System. Collections. Generic. IEnumerable '1 < !0 >,
5
6
           System. Collections. IList,
7
           System. Collections. ICollection,
8
           System. Collections. IEnumerable
9
  {
```

The declaration .class...List'1 declares a new class type with one generic parameter, which has no constraints. The implements clause lists a number of interfaces which List implements, the first three of these interfaces are themselves generic. On line 3 the System.Collections.Generic.IList'1 syntax indicates that we mean the generic IList with one parameter '1, $\langle 10 \rangle$ refers to the first generic class parameter, and passes that as the type argument to IList.

7 C++

Uses of value templates in C++ looking at the standard library, Boost and CML (the Configurable Math Library). The standard C++ library uses value templates in a few places including std::ratio, the random number generation library and $type_traits$. The open source Boost[1] libraries make use of value templates much more, using them in obvious ways in the Array library, which is for safer arrays using a new class $Array \langle T, N \rangle$, but also scattered throughout the other libraries. For example in Spirit::Qi, a parser combinator library, the type $uint_parser$ is templated on the type name of the integer type to return but also on the values of the radix and minimum and maximum digits to parse. Finally CML[2] uses value templates to define the sizes of vectors and matrices, vector is templated on a type name ElementT and StorageT. Moreover two of the built in storage types (fixed and external) are templated on the value of how many elements they store. When using these statically sized storage types you get extra static type safety that you're not mixing vector sizes in operations.

8 F#

F# has the ability to markup number values with units of measure that allow checking of units at compile time. This extra checking can prevent mistakes such as that which brought down the Mars Climate Orbiter in 1999 because of a mismatch between Imperial and Metric units in force calculation, a very expensive mistake as the craft cost \$125 million. Units of measure are done at compile time and all units information is erased from the run time, this means that values cast to Object cannot be recast to a measured type safely at run time, but also that these units cannot be exposed as part of a public interface to be consumed by other CLI languages such as C# or VB.

Units of measure are declared as opaque types marked up with the Measure attribute.

```
[<Measure>] type meter
```

Units of measure can also be declared as equal to other units, for example milliliters as cubic centimeters.

```
[< Measure >] type ml = cm<sup>3</sup>
```

The normal unit operators such as multiplication, division and powers are usable and can be worked out by the type inference engine. For example in the following, code type inference correctly identifies distance as type $float \langle meter \rangle$.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \texttt{let} & \texttt{speed} & = 55.0 < \texttt{meter/second} > \\ \texttt{let} & \texttt{time} & = 3.5 < \texttt{second} > \\ \texttt{let} & \texttt{distance} & = \texttt{speed} & * \texttt{time}; \\ \\ \texttt{speed} & : & \texttt{float} < \texttt{meter/second} > \\ \\ \texttt{time} & : & \texttt{float} < \texttt{second} > \\ \end{array}
```

distance : float < meter >

The compiler will normalize units of measure to a standard form, from the MSDN documentation[3]

"Unit formulas that mean the same thing can be written in various equivalent ways. Therefore, the compiler converts unit formulas into a consistent form, which converts negative powers to reciprocals, groups units into a single numerator and a denominator, and alphabetizes the units in the numerator and denominator."

Units of measure are a common praise of F# and provided a valuable case study for us to use in our type system extension.

9 Path dependent types

Path dependent types like those found in Scala are similar to value dependent types in that they depend on the value of the object that created them, but they are not as general. An example of path dependence in Scala is the following Board and Coordinate example [4].

```
case class Board (length: Int, height: Int)
2
      case class Coordinate(x: Int, y: Int)
3
4
        require(0 <= x && x < length && 0 <= y && y < height)
6
      val occupied = scala.collection.mutable.Set[Coordinate]()
7
8
    val b1 = Board(20, 20)
10
    val b2 = Board(30, 30)
    \mathbf{var} \ \mathbf{b3} = \mathbf{b1}
12
    val c1 = b1.Coordinate(15, 15)
13
    val c2 = b2.Coordinate(25, 25)
   b1.occupied += c1
15
16
   b2.occupied += c2
17
   b3.occupied += c1
    // Next line doesn't compile
18
   b1.occupied += c2
```

Here the type of c1 and c2 depend on the values b1 and b2. Not that it is in fact the values not these specific identifiers that are the dependence, as shown on line 17. Path dependence in the type system does not allow line 19, which is stricter than just inner classes in Java.

Path dependence is an extension of the fact that in Scala and Java inner classes are created via an instance of the outer class and maintain a reference to their creator. I call the creation via an instance of the outer class an instance inner types, as opposed to static inner types that do not require an instance of the outer class. The CLI does not support path dependent types or instance inner types, the only difference between inner and outer class in the CLI is

viability (that is an inner class can be made private and thus only be accessed by the outer class). While it's possible to require a reference to the outer class as part of the inner class's constructor it is not a requirement. While instance created inner classes and then path dependence could be added at the language level this leads to the risk that Scala ran into where the virtual machine reflection system no longer resembled the language type system, thus pushing for the implementation of a whole new reflection system to be built.

Therefore if we are to investigate the addition of adding path dependent types we also need to add instance inner types to the CLI. Alternatively we could try to design value dependence such that the following was possible.

```
class Board
2
      int length , height;
3
 4
      public Board(int length, int height)
5
6
7
        this.length = length;
8
        {f this}.height = height;
9
10
      class Coordianate < Board b>
11
12
        public Coordinate(int x, int y)
13
14
           require (0 <= x && x < b.length && 0 <= y && y < b.height)
15
16
17
18
      Set < Coordinate < this >> occupied = new Set < Coordinate < this >>;
19
20
    }
```

Allowing the value parameter to be any type is much more general than path dependence, In this case *Coordianate* would not even need to be an inner class of *Board*. However this is a very ambitious addition and if it's even possible would require more investigation.

10 Virtual types

Virtual types are also found in Scala, they allow a subclass to override a type variable in the super class. In the following example the type T declared in class A is made more specific in the subclass B.

```
1
   class A
2
   {
3
     type T
4
     abstract T foo();
5
   }
6
   class B
8
9
     override type T = String
     override T foo() { return "string"; }
```

11 }

While virtual types can be useful everything they accomplish can also be done with generics, albeit with sometime much more syntax. [7] shows how the same program can be expressed with virtual types or parametrized types. While one way is often more elegant than the other you gain little in supporting both. As parametrized types are already supported by the CLI virtual types are not hugely interesting.

11 First class types

Cayenne[6] is a language with support for dependent types and first class types (i.e. types can be be used like values). As Cayenne is a functional language inspired by Haskell, it's unlikely we can lift ideas straight from it to be used in the CLI, however it provides an example of a very general dependent types system. Two core features of Cayenne are dependent functions and dependent records. Dependent functions allow a function return type to depend on the value of the parameter, as shown in the following example from [6].

```
printfType :: String -> #
    PrintfType "" = String
    PrintfType ('\%':'d':cs) = Int
 3
                                         -> PrintfType cs
    PrintfType (%':'s':cs) = Stirng -> PrintfType cs
PrintfType ('%':_:cs) = PrintfType cs
 4
 6
    PrintfType (:cs)
                                             PrintfType cs
    printf :: (fmt::String) -> PrintfType fmt
9
    printf fmt = pr fmt "
10
    pr :: (fmt::String) -> String -> PrintfType fmt
11
12
          res = res
    13
   \operatorname{pr}(',\%':'s':cs) \operatorname{res} = \setminus (s::\mathbf{String}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{pr}(cs(res++s))
14
    pr ('%':c:cs) res
                          = pr cs (res ++ [c])
15
    pr (c:cs) res
                          = pr css (res ++ [c])
```

In this example the type of printf depends on the value of the parameter fmt. This also shows how types and values are treated equally in Cayenne. The type # is the type of all types (normal notation is * but # was chosen to avoid clashes with the infix operator *).

12 Generalized algebraic data types

Generalized algebraic data types (GADTs) are predominately a feature of functional languages. The use of GADTs in object orientated languages is less common but [8] shows how all GADT programs can be expressed in C# and that with some small modifications to the language be easily supported. The two modifications proposed by [8] are an extension of the switch statement and an extension of generic constratints.

The extension to generic constraints would allow equality constraints on generic types, section 3.1 (Equational constraints for C#) of [8] describes this extension. This would allow a generic type to be declared equal to another type, this would be checked staticly at compile time. For example a list flatten method could check that the list was a list of lists.

```
public abstract classt List<T> {
3
     public abstract List <T> Append(List <T> list);
     4
5
6
   public class Nil<T> : List<T> {
8
     public override List <U> Flatten <U>() {
9
       return new Nil <U>;
10
   }
11
12
13
   public class Cons<T> : List <T> {
14
     T head; List <T> tail;
     public override List <U> Flatten <U>() {
15
       return this.head.Append(this.tail.Flatten());
16
17
   }
18
```

Calling Flatten on a $List \langle T \rangle$ would staticly check that $T = List \langle U \rangle$ where U is any type. Thus in the method body of flatten we can assume that the type of head is $List \langle U \rangle$ which has an Append method. While the paper suggests this as a C# extension generic constraints are currently encoded at the CLI level and so we could add this as a CLI extension, thus allowing this to be added to C# and other languages easily.

The second proposal is an extession to the switch statement to allow switching on types, binding type variables in switch case clauses and matching multiple expressions.

```
1     switch (e1, e2)
2     case (Lit x, Lit y):
3        return x.value == y.value;
4     case (Tuple<A,B> x, Tuple<C,D> y):
5        return Eq(x.fst, y.fst) && Eq(x.snd, y.snd);
6     default:
7     returna false;
8    }
```

While switch statements are a language feature (at the CLI level they are encoded the same as multiple if statements) the authors point out that support at the CLI level for a match-and-bind primative would be useful (see the end of section 3.4 in [8]).

Part III

Project plan

As already briefly mentioned in the introduction this project can be split into three major parts.

13 Investigation

The first part of the project is an investigation into value dependent types and similar systems. This has already been covered somewhat in our background research. An understanding of how these systems are useful and how they can be designed and implemented will be used to guide us on the design of the CLI extension. This investigation will form the background research part of the final report and will be mostly done as part of the intrim report due January the 15th.

14 Design

The second part of the project is to design an extension to the CLI that supports dependent types. Exactly what would be supported in this new system will depend on where are investigation has taken us. We will show what changes need to be made to ECMA-335 to support the extension. To allow time to work on an implementation the design should be completed by the April 22nd, giving us two months to work on the implementation. If an implementable design is not possible then those two months will be used to document why this is so.

15 Implementation

The last part of the project is to implement the extension. We will be using the open source Mono project for this. This will require us to change both the run time and the assembler, to support the new syntax. This will be completed by the project deadline of June 18th.

Part IV

Evaluation plan

16 Semantics

Defining semantics for what value dependence means can be done in two ways. Firstly we could extend the ECMA specification, which is written in formal

specification speak. Secondly we could take a formal specification of the CLI and extend that. If we can work out how value dependence should work in the CLI then extending the ECMA specification is a required aim. Extending a formal specification would be a stretch goal to complete once other goals are achieved.

17 Implementation

Given an extension to the CLI specification we want to show that the extension can be implemented. To do this we will extend the open source Mono run time to support value dependent types. The most important aspect is correctness but performance should be kept in mind. As pointed out in section 2 we want certain performance characteristics out of the system.

- [1] Boost. http://www.boost.org.
- [2] Configurable math library. http://www.cmldev.net.
- [3] Units of measure (f#). http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/dd233243.aspx.
- [4] What is meant by scala's path-dependent types? http://stackoverflow.com/questions/2693067/what-is-meant-by-scalas-path-dependent-types.
- [5] Ecma-335 common language infrastructure (cli). 2012.
- [6] Lennart Augustsson. Cayenne a language with dependent types.
- [7] Kim B Bruce, Martin Odersky, and Philip Wadler. A staticlly safe alternative to virtual types. 1998.
- [8] Andrew Kennedy and Claudio V Russo. Generalized algebraic data types and object-oriented programming. 2005.
- [9] Don Syme, Nick Benton, Simon Peyton-Jones, and Cedric Fournet. Proposed extensions to com+ vos. 1999.