



**BRNO UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY**

VYSOKÉ UČENÍ TECHNICKÉ V BRNĚ

**FACULTY OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

FAKULTA INFORMAČNÍCH TECHNOLOGIÍ

**DEPARTMENT OF INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS**

ÚSTAV INTELIGENTNÍCH SYSTÉMŮ

## **PERFORMANCE TESTING AND ANALYSIS OF QPID DISPATCH ROUTER**

TESTOVÁNÍ A ANALÝZA VÝKONNOSTI QPID DISPATCH ROUTERU

**MASTER'S THESIS**

DIPLOMOVÁ PRÁCE

**AUTHOR**

AUTOR PRÁCE

**Bc. JAKUB STEJSKAL**

**SUPERVISOR**

VEDOUCÍ PRÁCE

**Ing. TOMÁŠ FIEDOR**

**BRNO 2017**

## Abstract

Application performance testing has recently become more important during the application development of all kinds. This paper maps the fundamentals of performance testing that are commonly used. It analyzes performance testing of components used in Messaging systems, especially Messaging Broker and Qpid-Dispatch. Currently used methods for performance testing of these components are primarily focused on Messaging Broker by Messaging Performance Tool. This paper also describes improvements of Messaging Performance Tool to extend performance testing of Qpid-Dispatch and its capabilities in automatic testing. The final report evaluates the proposed application, the performance of Qpid-Dispatch component and develops ideas for future works.

## Abstrakt

Výkonností testování aplikací nabírá v poslední době na důležitosti během vývoje aplikací všeho druhu. Tato práce mapuje základy testování výkonu, které jsou aplikovatelné na libovolné aplikace. Následně analyzuje testování výkonu komponent používaných v Messaging systémech a to konkrétně Messaging Broker a Qpid-Dispatch. Využívané metody testování výkonu je zaměřeno zejména na Messaging Broker pomocí Messaging Performance Tool. Dále je popsáno vylepšení této aplikace o rozšíření testování systému Qpid-Dispatch a její možnosti při automatizovaném testování. Výsledná zpráva vyhodnocuje navrženou aplikaci, zhodnocuje výkon komponenty Qpid-Dispatch a rozvíjí myšlenky pro další rozšíření.

## Keywords

Testing, performance analysis, performance testing, network technologies, router, Qpid-Dispatch

## Klíčová slova

Testování, analýza výkonu, testování výkonu, síťové technologie, router, Qpid-Dispatch

## Reference

STEJSKAL, Jakub. *Performance Testing and Analysis of Qpid Dispatch Router*. Brno, 2017. Master's thesis. Brno University of Technology, Faculty of Information Technology. Supervisor Ing. Tomáš Fiedor

# Performance Testing and Analysis of Qpid Dispatch Router

## Declaration

Hereby I declare that this master's thesis was prepared as an original author's work under the supervision of Ing. Tomáš Fiedor. The supplementary information was provided by Ing. Zdeněk Kraus and Otavio Rodolfo Piske from Red Hat, Inc. All the relevant information sources, which were used during preparation of this thesis, are properly cited and included in the list of references.

.....

Jakub Stejskal

March 11, 2018

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank to my supervisors, Ing. Tomáš Fiedor from BUT VUT and Ing. Zdeněk Kraus from Red Hat, Inc. for guiding and important information about performance problems. Also to my colleagues Otavio Rodolfo Piske for his time during introduction and explanation of his Messaging Performance Tool and Dominik Lenocho for Qpid-Dispatch introduction.

# Contents

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                 | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Fundamentals of Software Performance Testing</b> | <b>5</b>  |
| 2.1      | Performance Testing Process . . . . .               | 5         |
| 2.2      | Performance Issues . . . . .                        | 7         |
| 2.3      | Types of Performance Testing . . . . .              | 9         |
| 2.4      | Performance Metrics . . . . .                       | 14        |
| 2.4.1    | Throughput . . . . .                                | 15        |
| 2.4.2    | Response Time and Latency . . . . .                 | 15        |
| 2.4.3    | Resource Usage . . . . .                            | 18        |
| 2.4.4    | Error Rate . . . . .                                | 18        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Messaging Performance Tool</b>                   | <b>19</b> |
| 3.1      | Test Case Scenario . . . . .                        | 21        |
| 3.2      | Communication Between Components . . . . .          | 21        |
| 3.3      | Measuring Process . . . . .                         | 21        |
| 3.4      | Collected Data Format . . . . .                     | 23        |
| 3.5      | Related Works . . . . .                             | 24        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Analysis and Design</b>                          | <b>25</b> |
| 4.1      | Qpid-Dispatch Router . . . . .                      | 25        |
| 4.1.1    | Theory of Operation . . . . .                       | 25        |
| 4.1.2    | Addresses and Connections . . . . .                 | 26        |
| 4.1.3    | Message Routing . . . . .                           | 26        |
| 4.2      | Automatic Topology Generator . . . . .              | 27        |
| 4.2.1    | Topology Components . . . . .                       | 27        |
| 4.2.2    | Format of Input and Output . . . . .                | 28        |
| 4.2.3    | Graph Metadata . . . . .                            | 29        |
| 4.2.4    | Topology Deployment . . . . .                       | 30        |
| 4.3      | Qpid-Dispatch Performance Module . . . . .          | 30        |
| 4.3.1    | Communication with Agent . . . . .                  | 32        |
| 4.3.2    | Collected Data . . . . .                            | 32        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Implementation</b>                               | <b>33</b> |
| 5.1      | Used Technologies . . . . .                         | 33        |
| 5.1.1    | Ansible . . . . .                                   | 33        |
| 5.1.2    | Docker . . . . .                                    | 34        |
| 5.2      | Topology Generator . . . . .                        | 35        |

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 5.2.1    | Template Generator . . . . .                                | 35        |
| 5.2.2    | Generation of Variables . . . . .                           | 35        |
| 5.2.3    | Configuration Files Generation and Deployment . . . . .     | 35        |
| 5.3      | Qpid-Dispatch Performance Module . . . . .                  | 35        |
| 5.3.1    | MPT Preparations . . . . .                                  | 35        |
| 5.3.2    | Agent Module Integration . . . . .                          | 35        |
| 5.3.3    | Groovy As an Extension Handler . . . . .                    | 35        |
| 5.3.4    | Agent Capabilities . . . . .                                | 35        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Experimental Evaluation</b>                              | <b>36</b> |
| 6.1      | Performance Testing on Various Generated Topology . . . . . | 36        |
| 6.2      | Testing results . . . . .                                   | 36        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Future work and ideas</b>                                | <b>37</b> |
| <b>8</b> | <b>Summary</b>  | <b>38</b> |
|          | <b>Bibliography</b>   | <b>39</b> |
| <b>A</b> | <b>The Maestro Protocol</b>                                 | <b>41</b> |
| A.1      | The Maestro Commands . . . . .                              | 41        |
| <b>B</b> | <b>Topology Generator</b>                                   | <b>42</b> |
| B.1      | Inventory . . . . .   | 42        |
| B.2      | Graph Metadata . . . . .                                    | 42        |
| B.3      | Topology Generator Output . . . . .                         | 43        |
| B.4      | Qpid-Dispatch Configuration File Template . . . . .         | 44        |
| B.5      | Topology Generator Source Code . . . . .                    | 44        |

# 1 Introduction

Good application performance is one of the main goals during the software development. But what makes software performance so important? Software reliability has to be guaranteed by the owner, but with undesirable performance there could be a lot of issues. This can badly influence software behavior. And this can cause a significant outflow of the consumers, and even brand destruction, financial damage, or loss of trust. These few reasons should be enough to do a proper performance testing before every software release, especially for large projects where industries guarantee certain level of software behavior and they would not be able to assure it with insufficient performance testing. Great emphasis on software performance is, in particular, in space programs, medical facilities, army systems, or energy distribution systems. In these fields it is necessary to ensure proper application behavior for a long time under high load and without any unexpected behavior such as high response time, frequent delays, or timeouts, because every failure is paid dearly.

Nowadays every developer should try to use well established frameworks which can make their work easier. Frameworks handle complex underlying issues such as security, performance, and code clarity. This way developers can invest more time in the actual functionality and meet the application requirements, since frameworks are usually optimized for one particular job. In the past every developer had to spend significant portion of development time tuning performance which led to spending more time and money for software development. But not everyone has enough knowledge of performance testing and this makes performance analysis and optimization even more difficult. This leads to a need for specialized performance tools which can provide more sophisticated information, however, actually useful tools are usually proprietary and/or too expensive.

A very important part of the performance analysis is the right choice of *key performance indicators* (KPIs) [18] and effective interpretation of the results. The right choice of KPIs allows faster detection of performance problems and help developers with fixes and meeting the *performance standards* [18] set up by application owner or customer in time before the release.

In general an application performance is important. However, smooth network application or hardware performance became much more demanded nowadays, since most of the communication is via the Internet. Obviously when you make a payment in your internet banking you definitely want to have a stable connection to your bank's website without any delay. Network stability is significantly influenced by network components like routers and switches and hence their performance should be under utmost case. We refer to network performance testing as measurement of network service quality which is directly influenced by *bandwidth, throughput, latency*, etc.

For performance testing of particular network messaging system developed by *Red Hat Inc.* there is an existing solution —Messaging Performance Tool (MPT) [20]. MPT is specialized for the performance testing of *Message Broker* (Broker) [21] — network application

level software cooperating with *Qpid-dispatch service* [22] in the network as the message distributor. Unfortunately, the current version of MPT does not support performance testing of enough component like the Router component, Qpid-dispatch. In this work we will focus on this particular short coming and develop a worthy solution allowing proper performance testing of the Qpid-dispatch service.

This thesis is structured as follows. First, we define fundamentals of performance testing in Chapter 2. The rest of the thesis focuses on performance testing and analysis of Qpid-dispatch, an application level router designed by Red Hat Inc. Qpid-dispatch performance testing is based on MPT described in Chapter 3. Description includes *measurement process* and *measured data description and evaluation*. The main goal of the thesis is to analyze MPT and design module for the Qpid-dispatch performance testing as described in Chapter 4 together with used protocols and *Automatic Topology Generator* for semi-automated network generation and deployment. Used technologies, tools and implementation processes of each component are described in Chapter 5. The most important part of the thesis is Chapter 6, containing the data gathering from routers located in different types of topology, data evaluation and representation which leads to conclusion about performance of Qpid-dispatch. Finally, Chapter 8 summarizes the thesis and proposes ideas for future use of developed tool.

# 2 Fundamentals of Software Performance Testing

Usual goal of performance testing is to ensure that the application runs reasonably fast enough to keep the attention of users, even with unexpected amount of clients using the application at the same time. But why is it so important to have the application optimized for the best speed? Simply, when your application have slow response, long load time or bad scalability, the first website which user will visit afterwards will be web of your competitor. That is the reason why speed is currently one of the most significant performance factor of common performance problems. This chapter summarizes the fundamentals of performance testing which includes common performance processes, issues, and metrics, based on knowledge available in [18, 17, 12, 2].

## 2.1 Performance Testing Process

The main goal of the performance testing is to ensure the following application attributes [13]:

- Reliability and Stability**—the ability of software to perform its functions in system environment under some system load for acceptable<sup>1</sup> period of time,
- Scalability**—the ability of software to behave properly under various types of system load and handle increasing amount of workload (such as network traffic, server load, data transfer, etc.) which need new hardware for cluster expand,
- Processing time and Speed**—the ability of software to react quickly without low response time during any acceptable system load,
- Availability**—the ability of software to make all of its functions available during any acceptable system load. The ability of software, deployed in cluster, to provide all functions during node crash is called High Availability.

Similarly to software development process, performance testing process consist of usual engineering steps ranging from requirements definition to data evaluation. These steps also includes design, implementation, and execution of performance tests with data collection. The graphical representation of the performance testing process is depicted in the Figure 2.1.

---

<sup>1</sup>During software development there is a document with Software Requirements Specification which specifies software metrics, including performance.






Figure 2.1: Performance Testing Process with the four most important parts and their individual steps based on [23].

In the Figure 2.1 you can see scheme of performance testing process where each level represent time for each step. Lower level refer to more time spend on that step.

The first step of performance testing process is the selection of *performance requirements* for the application. In this step, testing engineer has to analyze *software under test - SUT*, suitable performance metrics, that will model the application performance, and set performance requirements usually with customer and project manager. The result should include answers to questions such as:

- How many end users will the application need to handle at release, after 6 months or in 1 year ?
- Where will these users be physically located, and how will they connect to the application?
- How many end users will be concurrently connected in average at release, after 6 months and 1 year?

Based on answer to these studies, the engineer should be able to select important key performance indicators for performance test cases. Some of these indicators may be *response time*, *stability*, *scalability*, or *speed*. However, there is huge amount of possible indicators so it is necessary to properly analyze the whole application and also take into consideration another needs like an error rate, system resources, etc. Result of this phase should be

a binding document with all performance requirements to be tested and, in case of detected performance degradation, such defect must be fixed with reference to this document.

The next step is to define the *performance testing strategy*, corresponding to planning and design. It is extremely important to allocate enough time for SUT testing effectively, because, as it was mentioned in Chapter 1, performance testing is not an easy task and detecting all of the possible issues of tested components is very time consuming process. Every plan should take into account the following considerations:

**Prepare the test environment** — this step include choosing right hardware for testing, then installing the necessary software for running load injectors, tested components, etc., and other equipment depend on application purpose such as routers, switchers, mobile devices, etc.

**Provide sufficient workload injectors** — preparing the workload injector may take few days; we usually requires a few workstations or servers to simulate real traffic.

**Identify and implement use cases** — this include identification of important parts of the system which may have an impact on performance; time needed for each use case may be different because some use cases can be simple such as navigating to a web application home page, but some may be complex such as filtering specific communication.

**Instrument the test environment** — install and configure the monitoring software on the test environment.

**Deal with detected problems** — test can detect significant performance issues, but their investigation and fix may take a long time. After fix the retest of issue is needed.

While this process seems trivial, the opposite is true, in especially in case of network applications. Most of performance issues manifest at big workloads or high number of users, e.g. when million users are sending requests to the network device at the same time it could lead to an unacceptable device crash. Workload injectors are designated to simulate real user activity, and allows automatic analysis of performance behavior for tested application or device. Depending on the used technology, there can be a limit on the number of virtual users that can be generated by a single injector. These automated workload injectors are necessary for effective performance testing.

After describing the plan we implement and execute proposed test cases. Environment and workload injectors are ready for execution, so last step before the testing itself is the implementation of tests. Thanks to the careful planing, engineers should have enough time to implement test cases with reference to proposed design.

Final step of performance testing process is results evaluation. Output of this step is usually technical report with all selected performance key indicators, used workload and Collected Data Format for each test case. Then follows the data evaluation with thorough analysis of degradation localization. Additionally, the report usually contains syntactical graphs which display performance metrics along the duration of test execution.

## 2.2 Performance Issues

*Performance issue* is a common label for an unexpected application or device behavior which affects its performance. Usually, those issues are hard to detect because they manifest only under certain circumstances such as high load or long application run time. In the network

applications there are several particular issues that are more frequently occurring than others. In following, I will describe selected issues in more detail.

## Performance Degradation

Unclean code usually leads to inefficient algorithms, application deadlocks, or memory leaks, which all can eventually cause the performance degradation. The problem is that these issues are usually detected only during the long run time of application or inability of an application to handle high load. For this kind of issues there is a performance testing method called *soak testing* [9, 15] which is described in Section 2.3. Soak test is intended to identify problems that may appear only after long period of application run-time<sup>2</sup>, hence its necessary to run this type of tests during application development. The network applications are usually need to be available for 24 hours per day. The duration of a soak test should have some correlation to the operational mode of the system under test. Following scenarios may represent performance issues detectable by soak tests:

- a constant degradation in response time, when the system is run over the time,
- any degradation in system resources that are not apparent during short runs but will surface during long run time such as free disk space, or memory consumption
- a periodical process that may affect the performance of the system, but can be detected only during long run time as backup process, exporting of data to a 3rd party system, etc.,
- development of new features for already exists components.

## Response Time

Response time is how long it takes system to accept, evaluate, and respond to the user for his request e.g. HTTP request for particular website. Different actions and requests can have significantly different response time and with that provide different load on the system. For example retrieving document from web-server by its ID is considerably faster than searching for the same document by keywords. Response time is mostly measured during the *load test* [15] of the application. Well designed test should consider different types of load on the system, various kind of requests, and different number of connected end-users at the same time. For user based systems we usually consider 3 threshold for the response time values:

- 0.1 second** — this represent an ideal response time for the application, because user feels that system is reacting instantly and does not notice any interruptions.
- 1 second** — this is the highest acceptable response time when user still does not feel any interruptions, but can feel a little delay; this still represent no bad impact on the user experience.
- 10 second** — this is the limit after which response time become unacceptable and user will probably stop using your application.

---

<sup>2</sup>Soak Test - refer to HW testing method during which engineers soak device into water and check for bubble leaks.

However response time limits for non-human interactive system are more strict. They could acquire values in milliseconds or less.

[[Prvni iterace]]

## Traffic Spikes

As *traffic spike* [17, 6] we can understand the sudden surge in demand from users. Typically doubling or multiplying of traffic level in short period of time. In real network, spikes are result of high workload, e.g. caused by higher amount of users trying to concurrently use the service over the network. For example we can experience sudden traffic spike in response time after publishing new popular viral context on video servers, start of sales events, reservation of limited amount of tickets or subject registration at university. Scheduled automatic backup or system upgrade for whole company during early morning hours can cause traffic spikes.

Traffic spikes can lead to inappropriate system behavior such as *long response time*, *bad throughput*, and *limited concurrency*. To prevent the impact of traffic spikes on system performance, it is necessary to do sophisticated infrastructure monitoring and network load analysis, in order to distinguish between normal traffic and attack on the system. Suitable methods for testing of spikes is one of variant of *stress testing* [15] and it is described in Section 2.3 in more details. Network system should offer load balancing, thus it should be able to redirect traffic to another node with same service in case of high load which can cause performance issues due inappropriate resource usage.




Figure 2.2: The graph shows amount of concurrent sessions depending on time. During to network traffic monitoring the traffic spike occurring after 5 hours from test start.

## 2.3 Types of Performance Testing

For performance testing there are many types of suitable test methods. Which test you should use is determined by the nature of the system, testing requirements or how much time we have left for the performance testing. The following terms are generally well known and used in practice and each of them characterizes category or suite of the tests:

- **Testing methods**—load testing, stress testing, endurance testing, **[[scalability, volume, recovery]]**
- **Testing approaches**—smoke testing, regression testing, benchmark testing

Their description is based on knowledge available in [5, 9, 18, 2].

## Load Testing

Finding maximal load is a testing method which studies how the system behaves during different types of workload within acceptable time range. Basically it simulates the real-world load. During the load test we mainly focus on metric response time of the system for requests. Requests are generated by users or another systems communicating with the SUT. Main goal is to determine if the system can handle required workload according to performance requirements. Load test is designed to measure the response time of system transactions under normal or peak workload. When the response time of the system dramatically increases or becomes unstable, we conclude that system reached its maximum operating capacity. After successful testing, we should mark the workload requirements as fulfilling or analyze Collected Data Format and report issues to the developers. In the Figure 2.3 you can see the graph of load test showing workload of raising requests to the web server at the same time where the system response time does not exceed 3.5 seconds.




Figure 2.3: Response time of the system during the load testing depended on load size.

The following lists show common scenarios for load testing:

- The system interact with multiple users at same time.
- The system tracking communication and analyze it.

- Web services and information systems.

Typical system issues covered by load testing:

- Concurrent users connections can eventually result into slow response time or system crash.
- Network systems without redundancy connections can shutdown whole network under normal defined workload.
- Data availability during multiple session to data server.
- Connection rejection (timeout).

## Stress Testing

Stress testing is the specific type of load testing, where we do not measure normal workload, but focus on unexpected workloads or traffic spikes. The main purpose is to study how the system behaves in extreme conditions such as enormous number of concurrent requests, using a server with much less memory or a weaker CPU, and analyze the system performance threshold. Its very useful to know performance threshold in order to know the difference between performance under normal workload and performance threshold. The following enumeration lists common stress test scenarios:

- Monitor the system behavior with over maximum of users logged in at the same time.
- All user performing critical operations at the same time.
- All users accessing the same file at the same time.
- Hardware issues such as server in cluster down.

Typical issues, which are covered by stress testing:

- Sudden performance degradation.
- System will recover after stress test (system is operational after test).
- System does not crash during stress test.
- All subsystems such as database, load balancer, etc. remains operational.

When engineers finish stress testing and found the limits of the system, they also can test the system recovery after crash during finding of the system limits.

In the Figure 2.4 is recorded stress testing with raising load and response time. Everything is fine until the amount of requests exceed 3000 requests per second. With higher load there comes performance issues which leads to unexpected rise of the response time.




Figure 2.4: Stress testing diagram capturing dependency of response time on amount of requests.

## Endurance Testing

Endurance, or stability/soak testing refer to the method, that tries to identify problems, that may appear only after the extended period of time e.g. The system could seem stable for one week, but after some longer period, problems such as memory leaks or not enough disk space can appear. Soak tests mainly focus on measuring of memory as performance metric. The following are common issues found by soak test:

- Serious memory leaks that can eventually result into the system crash.
- Improperly closed database connections that could starve the system.
- Improperly closed connections between system layers that could stall any of the system modules.
- Step-wise degradation that could lead to high response time and the system becomes inefficient.

Typical scenarios for use soak testing:

- Developed system uses multiple database connections.
- There is a chance for inappropriately allocated memory, and memory free.
- Disk space limitation for store logs or other data.

This sort of test needs to use appropriate monitoring system to achieve high efficiency. Problems detected by soak tests are typically manifested by gradual system slowdown in response time or as a sudden loss of system availability.




Figure 2.5: Soak testing with memory usage dependent on time.

In the Figure 2.5 you can see raising memory usage after period of time. The SUT can handle requests but as time goes by memory usage is too high that the SUT will crash. This may be caused by memory leak or an inappropriate algorithm use.

## Smoke Testing

Smoke testing approach is inspired by similar hardware technique, when engineers checks for presource of the smoke from the device after turning the power on. Basically, its similar for software, since main goal of smoke test is to test basic functionality of the system and guarantee that the system is ready for build. However, smoke tests are testing the functionality on a surface level, so it may not be enough for deep testing of basic system functions. When smoke tests fail, the system is tagged as unstable, because it cannot ensure its basic functionality and it is not tested anymore until the smoke test pass. Smoke test are designed to uncover obvious errors which saves time, money and effort of the engineers. These tests should be used with every new build, since new features could harm previous system functionality. The following lists show common scenarios for smoke testing:

- New system's build or version is ready for further testing or productilization.

Typical system issues covered by smoke testing testing:

- System without main functionality is useless, because test coverage of functionality is low.
- Main functionality can result into system crash.

Smoke testing is not typical performance testing approach, but it can be used for initial load test for check if system can be started.



## Regression Testing

Whenever engineers develop new feature and want to update the previous build it has to pass the *regression tests*<sup>3</sup> [4]. Regression tests are designed to test functionality of the latest build updated with new feature. The main objective is to determine, if new feature affects already functional parts of the system. This type of tests is very important, because engineers do not always realize, which parts of the system will be indirectly affected. During regression testing, new test cases are not created, but previous test cases are automatic re-executed and analyzed. Typical scenarios for regression testing:

- New feature of system is ready for use.

Common issues covered by regression testing:

- New feature could adversely affect already working components of the system.

## Benchmark Testing

*Benchmark testing*<sup>3</sup> is approach, which collects performance data during the system run on different hardware machines [16]. Collected Data Format have significant value when we want smooth run of the system on an older hardware, hence we can discover performance issues under normal load. However, the system does not run smoothly on prepared hardware, only options is to run benchmark tests on different machines with different hardware and under different load.

- Can identify minimal requirements for HW, metrics, etc.
- Can validate supported HW configuration.

## 2.4 Performance Metrics

During the performance testing we can monitor a lot of metrics, which can have different importance based on the system's purpose. The following lists the most common metrics that are monitored during the performance testing of all applications, no matter of developing language.

In the testing systems, performance metrics are collected during long process of collecting, analyzing and reporting information regarding performance of whole system or individual component. This process can be different for each metric, since each metric needs different type of the system analysis.

### How to Measure

Performance measurement process can be divided into several steps. Metrics are usually measured after a warm-up period of time after the commencement of traffic, because it takes a while for workload to stabilize. Stabilized workload is necessary for measurements because unstable workload can negatively affect the measurement results. In the Figure 2.6 you can see workload phases with marked part for the performance testing.

---

<sup>3</sup>Approach for test suits, where are used other methods like Load testing, Stress testing, etc.




Figure 2.6: Load phases of performance measurement process.

Workload during testing does not have to be on the same value during whole testing. In particular, load testing finds the highest load during which the system can work properly. This limit is found by raising the load and monitoring the system as it is shown in the Figure 2.3.

#### 2.4.1 Throughput

Throughput is a metric, which refers to the number of requests per second that the system can handle. *Network throughput* is the rate of successful message deliveries over a communication channel. Throughput is measured by load testing; suitable strategy for measuring throughput is to continuously raise the load until response takes longer than acceptable threshold. [\[\[neco dopsat\]\]](#)

#### 2.4.2 Response Time and Latency

Response time as an issue was already mentioned in Subsection 2.2; response time as a metric consists of two parts which are *latency* and *service time*.

##### Service Time

Service time is the time it takes the system to evaluate and send a response to a user request. In particular, when a user sends a request for a web page to a server, it takes the server time to evaluate the request and send a proper response back to the user; this is the service time. Measurement can be performed easily using a stopwatch which starts at the receipt of a request and stops after the response is sent. Service time can be affected by any item which leads to a performance degradation as described in Subsection 2.2.

##### Latency

The second part of the response time is latency [8, 7], which represents a delay between sending the request on the client side and receiving it for evaluation on the server side.

Hence latency is the common problem in the network systems such as data center, web server, etc., because request/response needs to travel over the physical medium between the client and the server. Client and server could be located on different continents, thus the message have to travel long distance and latency is increasing.

## Round Trip Time

Round-trip time (RTT) is time that it takes for a signal to be sent with time it takes for an acknowledgement of that signal to be received. In network, the RTT is one of the several factors that affects the signal latency. Basically, RTT is depends on the distance between sender and receiver, because that distance the signals must be travel by.




Figure 2.7: Diagram capturing difference between latency and response time.

In the Figure 2.7 you can see response time and both it parts: latency and service time. Service time is usually smaller than latency since latency depends on distance. When you add service time value and latency value you will get response time at certain time.

## Average and Percentile Response Time

There are two common ways of measuring the response time [14]: Average (mean) response time is calculated as the sum of all measured times divided by the count of users requests. While this seems trivial, in many times, the average response time does not actually reflect the real response time of the system. How is that possible? In reality, most application have few heavy outliers such as very slow transactions. In the Figure 2.8 you can see few slow transactions which drag the average of the response time to the right. This naturally leads to an inaccurate specification of response time.




Figure 2.8: Transactions response time with calculated average and median of response time.

In the Figure 2.8 the average represent inaccurate response time, which is higher than real one.

The better solution how to determine the actual response time is Percentile. The percentile is statistic method, which cut measured ordered values into hundredths and then characterize the value below which a given percentage of measurements in a group of particular measurements falls. In the Figure 2.8 you can see the *median* value, which reflects more realistic value of the system response time. Median value is same such as the 50th percentile. In this case, there is no problem, because user will expect slower response time than it has.




Figure 2.9: Transactions response time with calculated average and median of response time.

The Figure 2.9 shows different situation. Average represent inaccurate response time, which says, that STU is faster than is in reality. Average response time seems better than median, which reflects the expectation of faster system response time than it has. In real systems, we usually use values of the 90th percentile and the 99th percentile. 90th percentile mean, that there is only 10 % transactions slower then marked response time. In the Figure 2.9, a considerable percentage of transactions are very fast (first 50 percent), while the bulk of transactions are several times slower. Thus, calculated percentile gets more realistic values than average response time.

### 2.4.3 Resource Usage

Applications running at servers with long run-time competes over a limited amount of resource available for use. Thus makes resource usage another important metric, which needs to be monitored since not enough resources could shut down the whole system. Main resources for monitoring and utilization are:

**CPU usage**—inappropriate usage of CPU could lead to performance degradation, because low priority processes may occupy CPU ahead of the higher priority processes. CPU usage is structuring into system usage and user usage. High system usage can cause problems or bottlenecks.

**Memory usage**—full consumption of memory could cause performance degradation.

**Disk space**—for example when using storage disk as a database, there should be preventive measures to backup the data and free up disk space.

**Operating System limits**—system's memory, and CPU capabilities.

### 2.4.4 Error Rate

Error Rate is a metric, which commonly occurs in the network systems, especially under high load. During the communication between client and server there could be error caused by another network device (router, switch, etc.) or signal disruption of the data during the transfer. The Error Rate is the mathematical calculation that produces a percentage of problem requests compared to all requests. In the ideal system, there should be zero network error present, however, in reality is in-feasible. This usually leads to a performance degradation and low throughput, because damaged data need to be resent. Error rate is a significant metric because it tells engineers how many requests failed at a particular point in time of performance testing. This metric is more evident when you can see the percentage of problem strongly increasing, hence you can detect problem easily.

[[Druha iterace]]

## 3 Messaging Performance Tool

Performance of *Message-Oriented Middleware* (MOM) [11] is one of the most critical elements of quality assurance for enterprise integration system. There are multiple messaging components developed in Red Hat such as messaging clients, Message Broker, Message Router (Qpid-dispatch service) and stream-like message distributions tools. Note that from now on I will shorten the messaging client to just client.

A Message Broker is an example of MOM. Its purpose is to receive, store and distribute messages, which are sent and received by clients. The performance capabilities of a Message Broker are important for its users, because being able to handle a large amount of transactions in a timely manner is an important characteristic of MOM. Users choose MOM for message distribution so they do not have to develop their own messaging distribution systems. Another benefit of using specialized MOM is robustness and guaranteed performance. For example in automated systems, where components communicates with each other by command exchange. Amount of exchanged commands is dependent on system size. We want to get systems results as soon as possible and for that is important to ensure smooth and quick message exchange.

*The Maestro* [20] is a testing system designed for testing the performance of MOM. On the Figure 3.1 you can see the architecture of Maestro. The Maestro is deployed as a cluster system on several machines. A typical deployment consist of one node for Maestro Broker, one or more for Senders, one or more for Receivers and the SUT. The architecture of Maestro system consists of several components:

**Maestro Broker** — any *Message Queuing Telemetry Transport*<sup>1</sup> (*MQTT*) capable broker with several topics. This component takes care of distribution of control messages between other cluster components such as Maestro Clients and MPT Back-end.

**Meastro Clients** — this component contains the client API as well as the test scripts for each test case. A sub-component called Reporter takes care of data reporting to the user, which means data visualization on the web.

**MPT Back-end** — consists of sender, receiver and inspector parts. Sender, and receiver ensure message sending to the SUT and receiving from SUT. Inspector monitors workload over the SUT and reporting collected performance metrics to the testing cluster. Maestro currently has two back-ends:

- **Java** — used for JMS-based<sup>2</sup> testing, including *Advanced Message Queuing Protocol* (*AMQP*) [19], OpenWire and Core protocols.

---

<sup>1</sup>MQTT - <http://mqtt.org/>

<sup>2</sup>JMS - Java Message Service

- **C** — used for AMQP and *Streaming Text Oriented Messaging Protocol*<sup>3</sup> (STOMP) protocol testing.




Figure 3.1: Architecture of the Maestro.

<sup>3</sup>STOMP - <https://stomp.github.io/>

### 3.1 Test Case Scenario

Configuration of each test case is specified by several options defined in Groovy<sup>4</sup> script. The script specifies test behavior with the following elements:

- **message size** — message size in bytes,
- **number of connected clients** — count of senders and receivers connected to the SUT,
- **test duration (time or load)** — end condition of each test, can be specified by time, limit or message count,
- **message rate** — the desired rate that the system should try to maintain (0 for unbounded rate).

The test script is also responsible for starting and stopping the test. We can also specify the test profile. Depending on the test profile, the script may also be responsible for increasing or decreasing the workload on the SUT during the test scenario. The load can be modified by increasing the target rate or the number of parallel connections. Multiple combinations of this options can create a lot of test cases with different loads for the SUT. Every test will produce its own logs which are processed by the reporting sub-component on the client side and used for monitoring the metrics. Maestro Reporter produce data visualizations, such as charts, from stored logs.

### 3.2 Communication Between Components

The Maestro Protocol is a binary protocol implemented on top of MessagePack<sup>5</sup>. For message exchange between nodes it currently uses MQTT protocol (version 3.1.1) and for sending the testing data to data server uses HTTP protocol (version 1.1). The messages exchanged between the peers of testing cluster are called notes in the following description.

Each note has a specific format containing three parts. First is *Type* which is short integer that identifies the purpose of the note, which is one of the following values:

- **Request (0)** — a note sent by a controller node to the test peers.
- **Response (1)** — a note sent by a testing peer as a response for a request.
- **Notification (2)** — a note sent by a testing peer as a reaction to an event.

The second part is *Command* which identifies the action to be executed or, in some cases that was executed. Currently, there are 17 command represented by a long integer. The last part is *Payload* which refers to data carried by the note as part of its command. Detailed description of commands and its payload is available in Appendix A.1.

### 3.3 Measuring Process

Measures process starts after dynamic test generation with options passed from the test file. Senders will start sending messages to the SUT. Inspector starts monitoring the behavior

---

<sup>4</sup>Object-oriented programming language for Java platform <http://groovy-lang.org/>

<sup>5</sup>Messagepack - <https://msgpack.org/>



of the SUT and writes it to the disk. For monitoring, Inspector uses the Broker management interface. That is a REST interface that exposes (via HTTP protocol) internal JVM<sup>6</sup> and Broker detailed information. Data collection by Inspector is simple and pretty straightforward:

1. Inspector sends a HTTP request with the *JavaScript Object Notation*<sup>7</sup> (JSON) content to the Broker REST interface.
2. Broker evaluate the request and sent response to the Inspector.
3. Inspector collects the response.

Errors occurred during the information collection may cause the test case to fail.

However, there are two problem factors; the first is that inspector should not influence the performance of the SUT. Current method for the information collection works like the management interface call method with request for information and get response. During this call, the method usually involves locks to guarantee the thread safety and exclusive access. However, calling this method too often can cause Broker performance degradation. In order to reduce this risk, the inspector enforces a collection interval of 10 seconds and uses only certain operations. This strategy reduces the hits on management interface to 2 or 3 hits every 10 seconds.

The second, often problem factor, is the large size of the stored logs. This is mitigated by the usage of the compression methods. However, compressed logs can still fill the whole hard drive during the long test-run, so old logs has to be erased at some point of time. The collected logs can be safely erased when the test is completed. Currently the Maestro generates about 1 Gb of uncompressed data per hour of testing.

## Testing Metrics

Which metrics are collected depends on the cluster component. In the Table 3.1 we can see the summary of the metrics, which are collected for each component.

| Component       | Metrics          | Description   |
|-----------------|------------------|---|
| <b>Sender</b>   | Throughput       | Throughput of the sender  |
| <b>Receiver</b> | Throughput       | Throughput of the receiver                                      |
|                 | Latency          | Time between send and receive messages                          |
| <b>Broker</b>   | JVM heap memory  | Maximum, minimum, and current Eden, Survivor, and Tenured space |
|                 | JVM non-heap     | PermGen or Metaspace <sup>8</sup>                               |
|                 | Broker internals | Queue size and expiration count                                 |
|                 | OS basic memory  | Physical and swap memory usage                                  |
|                 | OS resources     | Count of file descriptors                                       |

Table 3.1: Maestro metrics summary.

Throughput of the sender or receiver refers to the message count sent/received during the performance test run. This metric is collected by each sender and receiver. Latency is

<sup>6</sup>JVM - Java Virtual Machine

<sup>7</sup>JSON - <https://www.json.org/>

<sup>8</sup>Eden, Survivor and Tenured space are internal Java memory spaces.

collected only by receiver. It refers to the time between send and receive of the message. This time can be influenced by the Quality of Service or other parameters. Since Messaging Broker is written in Java JVM memory metric is relevant. High JVM memory usage can point to the memory leak or bad algorithm implementation. Broker queue has size threshold and message expiration time. When no one picks-up the message from the queue after some period of time there is no need to keep old messages and it's unnecessary to fill too much of the memory.

Last metric is the OS resource spending during the performance testing. It is not relevant for broker performance, but it is helpful to know data e.g. CPU usage, memory usage, etc., in case of Broker crash debugging.

### 3.4 Collected Data Format

Data are collected by Inspector. Inspector monitors the broker and collects information about the workload. Output of this measurement should be one file for each active inspector. The broker inspector file is composed of the following columns:

- **Timestamp** — the date and time for the data sample in the format YYYY-MM-DD hh:mm:ss using the W3C defined standard for datetime.
- **Load** — size of the system load.
- **Open file descriptors** — number of opened file descriptors.
- **Free file descriptors** — number of free file descriptors.
- **Free memory** — free physical memory.
- **Free swap memory** — swap free memory.
- **Swap committed** — swap committed memory.
- **Eden initial** — Eden initial memory.
- **Eden committed** — Eden committed memory.
- **Eden max** — Eden maximum (limit) memory.
- **Eden used** — Eden used memory.
- **Survivor initial** — Survivor initial memory.
- **Survivor committed** — Survivor committed memory.
- **Survivor max** — Survivor maximum (limit) memory.
- **Survivor used** — Survivor used memory.
- **Tenured initial** — Tenured initial memory.
- **Tenured committed** — Tenured committed memory.
- **Tenured max** — Tenured max memory.
- **Tenured used** — Tenured used memory.
- **PM initial** — Permgen or Metaspace initial memory (either Permgen or Metaspace depending the JVM version).
- **PM committed** — Permgen or Metaspace committed memory (either Permgen or Metaspace depending the JVM version).

- **PM max** — Permgen or Metaspace maximum memory (either Permgen or Metaspace depending the JVM version).
- **PM used** — Permgen or Metaspace used memory (either Permgen or Metaspace depending the JVM version).
- **Queue size** — number of messages waiting for processing in the queue.
- **Consumers** — number of consumers connected to the queue.
- **Acknowledged** — number of acknowledged messages in the queue.
- **Expired** — number of expired messages in the queue.

Maestro sender and receiver generate another relative performance testing data. Receiver generates latency file with the following data:

- **Start Time-stamp** — start time of the receiving.
- **End Time-stamp** — end time of the receiving.
- **Interval Maximum** — collected maximum latency.
- **Interval Compressed Histogram** — compressed histogram of measurement's latency in HDR<sup>9</sup> format.

Both, sender and receiver generate rate (throughput) data file. It contains data about send or received data by each peer. Data are stored in a compressed comma-separated values (CSV) file with the following columns:

- **eta** — represents the estimated time of departure/arrival for the message, relative to the start of the test.
- **ata** — represents the actual time of departure/arrival for the message, relative to the start of the test.

### 3.5 Related Works

One of the related tools for performance testing is *SpecJMS* [10]. It is the industry-standard benchmark for evaluating the performance of enterprise message-oriented middleware servers based on JMS.

[[optat se otavia proc je to naprd]]

---

<sup>9</sup>HDR - <http://hdrhistogram.github.io/HdrHistogram/JavaDoc/org/HdrHistogram/package-summary.html>

## 4 Analysis and Design

MPT is generally designed for performance testing of Broker. However, with the service growth, the need for performance testing of Qpid-Dispatch comes. This Chapter is focused on two things: firstly, analyze Qpid-Dispatch service, describe its capabilities and methodology. Secondly describe design of Topology Generator and Qpid-Dispatch Performance Module for MPT.

### 4.1 Qpid-Dispatch Router

Qpid-Dispatch is a lightweight AMQP message router for building scalable available and performant messaging networks. With respect to ISO/OSI<sup>1</sup> model, this router is an application layer program running as a normal user program or as a daemon. Key features of Qpid-Dispatch are:

- Connects clients and brokers into an internet-scale messaging network with uniform addressing.
- Supports high-performance direct messaging.
- Uses redundant network paths to route around failures.
- Streamlines the management of large deployments.

The following theory about Qpid-Dispatch router is based on knowledge available in [22].

#### 4.1.1 Theory of Operation

The router accepts AMQP connections from senders and receivers and create AMQP connections to Message Brokers or similar AMQP-based services. Through this connection is sender able to reach message receiver, which can be another client in the network or message broker. The client can exchange messages directly with another client without involving a broker at all. The router classifies incoming messages and routes them between senders and receivers. The router is designed to be deployed in topologies of multiple routers, preferably with redundant paths to provide continued connectivity in the case of any router in the network fails. For routing Qpid-Dispatch uses link-state routing protocols<sup>2</sup> and algorithms similar to OSPF or IS-IS to calculate best path (path with the lowest cost) from sender to receiver through the whole network and to recover from failures.

---

<sup>1</sup>ISO/OSI - <http://www.studytonight.com/computer-networks/complete-osi-model>

<sup>2</sup>Link-state protocols - <https://www.certificationkits.com/cisco-certification/ccna-articles/cisco-ccna-intro-to-routing-basics/cisco-ccna-link-state-routing-protocols/>

### 4.1.2 Addresses and Connections

Qpid-Dispatch is able to connect clients servers, AMQP services, and other router implementations through network connections. The router provides multiple components and settings for specifying service on the other side of connection link.

**Addresses**<sup>3</sup> — are used to control the flow of messages across a network of routers. Addresses can specify messages and they are also used during the creation of links since links are bounded to specific address field of a source and a target. The addresses can refer to topics or queues that match multiple consumers to multiple producers. There are two types of addresses:

- **mobile** — The address is a rendezvous between senders and receivers. The router is message distributor.
- **link route** — The addresses defines a private messaging path between sender and receiver. The router only passes messages between end points.

**Listener** — accept client connections. Listeners has several types that are defined by their role:

- **normal** — The connection is used for AMQP clients using normal message delivery.
- **inter-router** — The connection is created to another router. Inter-router connection can only be establish over inter-route listeners.
- **route-container** — The connection is established to a broker or other resource that holds known addresses.

**Connector** — used as an interface for create connection with brokers or other AMQP entities using connectors. Such as listeners, connector has several types that are defined by their role:

- **normal** — The connection is used for AMQP clients using normal message delivery. The router will initiate the connection but links are created by the peer that accepts the connection.
- *Inter-router* and *route-container* modes are the same such as listener's modes.

To ensure security the router uses *SSL/TLS - Sockets Layer and Transport Layer Security*<sup>4</sup> protocol and related certificates and *SASL - Simple Authentication and Security Layer*<sup>5</sup> protocol mechanisms to encrypt and authenticate remote peers. Router listeners act as network servers and connectors act as network clients. Both connection components may be configured securely with SSL/TLS and SASL.

### 4.1.3 Message Routing

Addresses have semantics associated with them. This semantics control how routers behave when they see the address being used. There are two ways how the router can routing messages based on addresses:

---

<sup>3</sup>Addresses in this discussion refer to AMQP protocol addresses, not to TCP/IP addresses.

<sup>4</sup>SSL - <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6101>; TLS - <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5246>

<sup>5</sup>SASL - <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4422>

**Routing pattern**—define the paths which message with a mobile address can take. Routing patterns can be used in both case of message delivery; with broker or directly through the router.

- **Balanced**—An anycast<sup>6</sup> method which allows multiple receivers to use the same address.
- **Closest**—An anycast method in which every message is sent along the shortest path to reach the destination.
- **Multicast**—method for send copy of the message to every receiver with the same address.

**Routing mechanism**—define the path to endpoint for message from sender to receiver.

- **Message routed**—message delivery is done based on address in message's *to* field. The router check destination address of the message and find the same address in its routing table. The message is sent to all links with that address.
- **Link routed**—this method uses same routing table like Message routing but the difference is that the routing occurs during the link-attach operation and link attaches are propagated along the appropriate path to the destination. It results into a chain of links from source to destination.

Message may be delivered with various degrees of reliability such as *at most once*, *at least once* and *exactly once*.

## 4.2 Automatic Topology Generator

For various kind of messaging systems testing we needs multiple topologies with different components and setting. Creating and deploying the scenarios manually for each test scenario is slow and annoying, after few scenarios. Solution to this problem is divided into two parts: simple topology generator, that transform metadata, defined by user, into configuration files for each component contained in metadata, and automatic *Ansible* scripts, which deploy whole topology to physical machines. User has to define metadata file, single file for whole topology instead of single file for each component, and then start Ansible script which ensure configuration files generation and deployment.

### 4.2.1 Topology Components

Messaging system consists of multiple components with specific role. In our case, testing topologies will consider clients, brokers, and routers. Clients refer to message senders and receivers and there is no need for specific configuration of each clients at all. Message settings is another case, but MPT deal with it as was mentioned at Chapter 3.

#### Broker

Broker configuration file offers various setting and protocols such as specialized queueing behaviors, message persistence, and manageability. The following list shows several capabilities of broker:

---

<sup>6</sup>Anycast vs. Multicast - Anycast method send data to every node in network. Multicast method send data only to specified group of nodes.

- **User access** — allows guest access or authentication access for users.
- **Multiple Protocol Support** — broker supports AMQP, MQTT, STOMP, OpenWire and Core protocols.
- **Connections** — can establish connection to another AMQP-based service such as another broker or router.
- **Queues** — user can specify new queues in configuration file or allow auto-create option.
- **Messaging types** — refer to approach how to deliver messages, examples are point-to-point and publish-subscribe approach.
- **Logging level** — broker offers to setup different logging levels.

However, broker configuration is not implemented yet, but design of automatic configuration generation will be shared with router configuration generation.

## Router

Just like broker configuration, router offer various types of configurations. The basics were explained in Subsections 4.1.2 and 4.1.3, but for better understanding of all capabilities I recommend Qpid-Dispatch documentation [22].

### 4.2.2 Format of Input and Output

Input structure should be user-friendly and easy to update even in case of large topologies. As input is choose one file called `config.yml` in *YAML*<sup>7</sup> language, which is similar to JSON but it's better readable for humans. Generator needs information about all hosts in topology and which type of topology it should generate. For that purpose there is two attributes in configuration file; first is *inventory path* which refer to location of *Inventory*. *Inventory* is file, containing all hosts in topology in specific format. This format is available in Appendix B.1. It is simple configuration file with enumeration of host names and their IP addresses. Second attribute is which type of topology it should generate. There user can specify one of the simple types of graph, such as line, circle, complete, etc., which do not need any other information except *Inventory* or he can specify path to graph metadata. Graph metadata are more described in Subsection 4.2.3.

On the other hand, output format should be easy for automatic parsing. The best format for machine parsing is JSON or YAML format, since both of them are loaded with same functions in ansible. Output of the generator will be passed to ansible script immediately after creation without any user intervention. However, user should have option to see generator output in YAML format, because in case of larger topologies JSON is badly readable for human eyes. Output will be one JSON file with variables for template. Each node from *Inventory* will have its own variables separated from variables of other nodes. Scheme of the input and output for Topology Generator you can see in the Figure 4.1.




Figure 4.1: Topology Generator with input and output scheme.

### 4.2.3 Graph Metadata

At first, we should specify technology which will be used for implementation of Topology Generator. *NetworkX* is a Python package for creation and manipulation of complex networks. This package offer features for creating graphs, multigraphs, random graph generators, plot created graph, and etc. NetworkX also offers graph import and export in YAML structured file. This type of file is very useful as graph metadata, simple example of this file is shown in Appendix B.2.

Here user can specify any setting for each node. For example, user can specify listener for router 1, and connector for router 2 as you can see on the example below.

```

---
directed: false
graph: {}
nodes:
- type: router
  id: router1
  listener:
    - host: 0.0.0.0
      port: 1080
      role: inter-router
- type: router
  id: router2
  connector:
    - name: router1
      host: router1
      port: 5675
      role: inter-router
multigraph: false

```

From this metadata NetworkX create two nodes with type, id, and listener or connector attributes. This attributes will be used for generating configuration files for each node. All possible attributes that user can specify for each node is available in Appendix B.4.

However, specifying all attributes of each node is not very user-friendly approach, especially in case of large topologies. User could only specify nodes, and links between them and generator will add all necessary attributes for establish connection between nodes. Example of this metadata file you can see in Appendix B.2.

<sup>7</sup>YAML - <http://docs.ansible.com/ansible/latest/YAMLSyntax.html>



#### 4.2.4 Topology Deployment

Every node specified in Inventory has to receive proper configuration files for services running on it. This job will be handled by Ansible, since it can connect to all nodes from Inventory and copy configuration files to proper destination folders. Ansible script load data from Topology Generator and create configuration files based on loaded variables and common template for Qpid-Dispatch. Created file will be sent to proper node based on node name from Inventory, which has to be same like router name specified in generated variables. You can see scheme of configuration deployment in the Figure 4.2.




Figure 4.2: Scheme of configuration files deployment to the nodes.

### 4.3 Qpid-Dispatch Performance Module

We saw architecture of Maestro in the Figure 3.1. This architecture cannot use all performance testing and network recovery possibilities of router. For better performance analysis and measurements is necessary to design and implement additional functionality for MPT.




Figure 4.3: Simple network with router shut-down demonstration.

In the Figure 4.4 is updated version of Maestro architecture. Proper performance testing of router and network analysis with few routers needs some kind of agent, which can manipulate each node. In particular, MPT should be able to shut down one of the router node and Collected Data Format about network behavior during this situation. This actions will be handled by new back-end component called *Agent*.




Figure 4.4: Architecture of updated Maestro for testing of Qpid-dispatch router.

In the Figure 4.3a you can see simple scheme of topology and one agent monitoring router 2. Communication passing through router 2 and message is delivered to receiver

without problems. Shut-down demonstration is in the Figure 4.3b where you can see command from agent to router 2. In that case, the network will choose redundant link through router 3. This scenario can answer the question *How this incident influence latency between sender and receiver?*

Another part is communication inside Maestro cluster with new component. Communication between cluster back-end and user client is happening through Maestro Broker and for proper message distribution new topic has to be added. As was mentioned in Section 3.4, Maestro Clients communicating with back-end via specialized command. Router Agent will accept new set of commands for router control. This set has to be added to existing Maestro Clients. All additional components or components for update are highlighted by red color in the Figure 4.4. Example of simple testing topology consists of two routers and two brokers is also available in that Figure.

#### 4.3.1 Communication with Agent

For communication inside Maestro testing cluster is used The Maestro Protocol which was described in Subsection 3.2. MPT Clients has to know how to communicate with new component in the cluster and for this its necessary to add new communication commands. The following list shows new commands which should be added:

- **MAESTRO\_NOTE\_START\_ROUTER (17)** — start specific router in the network.
- **MAESTRO\_NOTE\_STOP\_ROUTER (18)** — stop specific router in the network.
- **MAESTRO\_NOTE\_RESTART\_ROUTER (19)** — restart specific router in the network.
- **MAESTRO\_NOTE\_ROUTE\_ERROR (20)** — signals error during routing.

#### 4.3.2 Collected Data

Collected data Format for new module will be almost same as it is in current version of MPT (described in Subsection 3.4). Since Qpid-Dispatch is written in C, there is no need to monitoring Java memory space. The following list shows updated data collected by Inspector:

- **Timestamp** — the date and time for the data sample in the format YYYY-MM-DD hh:mm:ss using the W3C defined standard for datetime.
- **Load** — size of system load.
- **Open file descriptors** — number of opened file descriptors.
- **Free file descriptors** — number of free file descriptors.
- **Free memory** — free physical memory.
- **Free swap memory** — swap free memory.
- **Swap committed** — swap committed memory.
- **Consumers** — number of active connectors connected to the router.
- **Message amount** — amount of routed messages.

Data collected by senders and receivers remains same as its in current version of MPT.

# 5 Implementation

This chapter summarizes the implementation of components, which were described in the Chapter 4. The main part is the Agent module for MPT, which is implemented in Java and Groovy language. The second part is topology generator, which is python package for automatic generation of dispatch topology based on user's metadata. Since topology deployment is not part MPT or Topology generator, there is also described *Ansible* and *Docker* technology. Measurement data gathering and reporting is done by MPT parts which already been mentioned in the Chapter 4.

## 5.1 Used Technologies

Messaging Performance Tool is a very large project with several parts. The most of MPT, such as command parsing, reporting, clients abstractions and so on, are written in Java language. But it is not pure Java code. For specify the test, which using MPT, is used Groovy language. Groovy is basically lightweight version of Java with several advantages. From my point of view are Groovy scripts more readable for those who are not more familiar with Java code. Groovy scrips are also used as reaction for specific commands for extension points, but this is deeply described in the Subsection 5.3.1.

On the other hand, Topology Generator is a new simple project. For easy integration to another projects, quick development and easy code preview I choose *Python* language. Whole generator is created as one package, which is available for install on every machine with installed Python version 2.7 and higher. Already mentioned technologies are very common and almost every programmer have heard about Java and Python. In the following subsections I describe technologies, which are not common, but they are widely in use.

### 5.1.1 Ansible

Ansible [3] is simple automation framework which allow users to automate daily tasks on multiple nodes or containers. Basic types of tasks which can be automated by Ansible are:

- **Provisioning** — setup the various servers user need in network infrastructure.
- **Configuration management** — change configuration of an application, operation system or device. Basically it allows start, stop and restart services, install or update application or perform a wide variety of other configuration tasks.
- **Application deployment** — automatic deployment of internally developed application to your systems with all dependencies.

Ansible scripts are written in YAML language which makes Ansible scripts to easy readable for humans and very simple to manage. Another advantage is that user does not

even need to know commands used to accomplish a particular tasks. All is needed to specify what state does user wants the system to be in. Ansible is available on multiple systems with really short list of dependencies; Linux based systems needs Python and Windows need PowerShell, both systems needs SSH.




Figure 5.1: Ansible architecture. [[Placeholder]]

In this thesis is Ansible used for several tasks; main is to deploy systems on specific nodes. As I want to run performance tests of Qpid-dispatch on multiple topology scenarios it is necessary to do system deployment quickly and automated which is easy with Ansible. System deployment contains MPT installation, Qpid-dispatch installation and other services based on testing scenario. The next task is to create and deploy configuration files for each router machine. This task runs Topology generator and based on its output create configuration file for each machine.

### 5.1.2 Docker

Docker [1] is an open platform which provide developing, shipping and running application separately from your infrastructure. Basically, docker is specific type of virtualization technology which allows the ability to package and run an application in a loosely isolated environment called a container. Docker containers are lightweight virtual machines run directly within the host machine's kernel. This means that you can run more containers than virtual machines on specific hardware, even you can run containers on virtual machines.

Docker container are build up from a dockerfile where are specified container attributes such as OS in container, environment variables and steps for install applications. Output of build command is docker image. This image is ready for run as a container with another specific attributes such as exposes ports. Containers can be attach to same network which allow communication between all containers in the same network.




Figure 5.2: Docker architecture. [[Placeholder]]

Since docker is able to run services such as Qpid-dispatch very easily and also allow communication between containers, we are able to deploy MPT with proper SUT on containers and analyze behavior in the container network or just run MPT on single machine. However, for proper performance results we need real machines, docker containers are used only for testing and trying some basic stuffs with MPT.

## 5.2 Topology Generator

### 5.2.1 Template Generator

### 5.2.2 Generation of Variables

### 5.2.3 Configuration Files Generation and Deployment

## 5.3 Qpid-Dispatch Performance Module

### 5.3.1 MPT Preparations

### 5.3.2 Agent Module Integration

### 5.3.3 Groovy As an Extension Handler

### 5.3.4 Agent Capabilities

## 6 Experimental Evaluation

### 6.1 Performance Testing on Various Generated Topology

### 6.2 Testing results

## 7 Future work and ideas



## 8 Summary

In this report we described the fundamentals of performance testing, common performance metrics and bugs and selected related tools. Further, we introduced the architecture and functionality of Messaging Performance Tool (MPT), and proposed its extension necessary to performance testing of Qpid-Dispatch tool. Moreover, we designed and implemented the Topology Generator tool, which is going to be used for semi-automatic topology configuration generation, which will significantly simplify the testing phase. The source code of the topology generator can be found in Appendix [B.5](#).

In the follow-up work, the core of the thesis — a module for Qpid-Dispatch performance testing — will be implemented, integrated into MPT and the architecture and functionality of Topology Generator will be refined to allow precise performance testing of Qpid-Dispatch tool together with fine data analysis. The work will be concluded with experimental evaluation based on implemented modules and tools.

# Bibliography

- [1] Docker. Online. [visited 2018/03/11].  
Retrieved from: <https://docs.docker.com/engine/docker-overview/>
- [2] ISTQB Foundation Level and Agile Tester Certification guide. Online. [visited 2017/11/29].  
Retrieved from: <http://istqbexamcertification.com/>
- [3] Network Automation with Ansible. Online. [visited 2018/03/11].  
Retrieved from: <https://www.ansible.com/overview/networking>
- [4] Regression Testing. Online. [visited 2017/11/15].  
Retrieved from:  
<http://softwaretestingfundamentals.com/regression-testing/>
- [5] Software Testing Dictionary. Online. [visited 2017/11/15].  
Retrieved from: [https://www.tutorialspoint.com/software\\_testing\\_dictionary](https://www.tutorialspoint.com/software_testing_dictionary)
- [6] Anukool Lakhina, C. D., Mark Crovella: Diagnosing Network-Wide Traffic Anomalies. Online. [visited 2017/11/13].  
Retrieved from: <http://www.cs.bu.edu/fac/crovella/paper-archive/sigc04-network-wide-anomalies.pdf>
- [7] Bhatt, N.: Performance Testing – Response vs. Latency vs. Throughput vs. Load vs. Scalability vs. Stress vs. Robustness. Online. [visited 2017/11/5].  
Retrieved from: <https://nirajrules.wordpress.com/2009/09/17/measuring-performance-response-vs-latency-vs-throughput-vs-load-vs-scalability-vs-stress-vs-robustness/>
- [8] Broadwell, P. M.: Response Time as a Performability Metric for Online Services. Online. [visited 2017/11/19].  
Retrieved from: <http://roc.cs.berkeley.edu/papers/csd-04-1324.pdf>
- [9] Buch, D.: 4 types of load testing and when each should be used. Online. [visited 2017/11/5].  
Retrieved from: <https://www.radview.com/blog/4-types-of-load-testing-and-when-each-should-be-used>
- [10] Corporation, S. P. E.: SpecJMS. Online. [visited 2018/01/03].  
Retrieved from: <https://www.spec.org/jms2007/>
- [11] Curry, E.: Message-Oriented Middleware. Online. [visited 2017/12/21].  
Retrieved from: <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.418.173&rep=rep1&type=pdf>

- [12] Din, G.: *A Performance Test Design Method and its Implementation Patterns for Multi-Services Systems*. PhD. Thesis. Technical University of Berlin. Berlin, Germany. 2008.
- [13] Gao, J.; Ravi, C. S.; Raquel, E.: Measuring Component-Based Systems Using a Systematic Approach and Environment. Online. [visited 2017/10/26]. Retrieved from: <https://subs.emis.de/LNI/Proceedings/Proceedings58/GI.Proceedings.58-6.pdf>
- [14] Kopp, M.: Why Averages Suck and Percentiles are Great. Online. [visited 2017/11/20]. Retrieved from: <https://www.dynatrace.com/blog/why-averages-suck-and-percentiles-are-great/>
- [15] Manzor, S.: Application Performance Testing Basics. Online. [visited 2017/10/26]. Retrieved from: <http://www.agileload.com/docs/default-document-library/application-performance-testing-basics-agileload.pdf>
- [16] Marko Aho, C. V.: Computer System Performance Analysis and Benchmarking. Online. [visited 2017/11/15]. Retrieved from: [http://www.cs.inf.ethz.ch/37-235/studentprojects/vinckier\\_aho.pdf](http://www.cs.inf.ethz.ch/37-235/studentprojects/vinckier_aho.pdf)
- [17] Martina, K.: *Unified Reporting for Performance Testing*. Master's Thesis. Brno University of Technology, Faculty of Information Technology. Brno. 2017.
- [18] Molyneaux, I.: *The Art of Application Performance Testing: Help for Programmers and Quality Assurance*. O'Reilly Media, Inc.. first edition. 2009. ISBN 0596520662, 9780596520663.
- [19] OASIS: *Advanced Message Queuing Protocol (AMQP) Version 1.0*. 2012.
- [20] Piske, O. R.: Messaging Performance Tool. [Online; visited 2017/10/15]. Retrieved from: <http://orpiske.github.io/msg-perf-tool>
- [21] Red Hat, Inc.. Raleigh, North Carolina, U.S.: *Red Hat JBoss AMQ 7.0 Using AMQ Broker*. 2017. available at [https://access.redhat.com/documentation/en-us/red\\_hat\\_jboss\\_amq/7.0/pdf/using\\_amq\\_broker/Red\\_Hat\\_JBoss\\_AMQ-7.0-Using\\_AMQ\\_Broker-en-US.pdf](https://access.redhat.com/documentation/en-us/red_hat_jboss_amq/7.0/pdf/using_amq_broker/Red_Hat_JBoss_AMQ-7.0-Using_AMQ_Broker-en-US.pdf).
- [22] Red Hat, Inc.. Raleigh, North Carolina, U.S.: *Red Hat JBoss AMQ 7.0 Using AMQ Interconnect*. 2017. available at [https://access.redhat.com/documentation/en-us/red\\_hat\\_jboss\\_amq/7.0/pdf/using\\_amq\\_interconnect/Red\\_Hat\\_JBoss\\_AMQ-7.0-Using\\_AMQ\\_Interconnect-en-US.pdf](https://access.redhat.com/documentation/en-us/red_hat_jboss_amq/7.0/pdf/using_amq_interconnect/Red_Hat_JBoss_AMQ-7.0-Using_AMQ_Interconnect-en-US.pdf).
- [23] Sharma, D.: Why and How: Performance Test. Online. [visited 2017/10/26]. Retrieved from: <http://www.qaiconferences.org/tempQAAC/Why%20&%20How-Performance%20Test.pdf>

# A The Maestro Protocol

## A.1 The Maestro Commands

Complete commands description is available on the following link as a part of Maestro documentation.

<https://github.com/orpiske/msg-perf-tool/tree/master/doc/maestro/protocol>

# B Topology Generator

## B.1 Inventory

An example of Inventory file for Topology Generator and Ansible deployment scripts.

```
[clients]
sender ansible_host=10.0.0.1
receiver ansible_host=10.0.0.2

[routers]
router1 ansible_host=10.0.0.3
router2 ansible_host=10.0.0.4

[brokers]
broker1 ansible_host=10.0.0.5

[nodes:children]
brokers
clients
routers
```

## B.2 Graph Metadata

Example of graph metadata file for Topology Generator. Generator will generate graph with 2 routers and 3 brokers where routers are connected together and each broker is connected to one router.

```
---
directed: false
graph: {}
nodes:
- type: router %node type
  id: router1 %node name
- type: router
  id: router2
- type: broker
  id: broker1
- type: broker
  id: broker2
```

```

links:
- source: router2 %source node for link
  target: router1 %target node for link
- source: router2
  target: broker2
- source: router1
  target: broker1
multigraph: false

```

## B.3 Topology Generator Output

Example of Topology Generator output in YAML format. This output is for two directly connected routers.

```

---
confs:
- machine: router1
  router:
    - id: router1
      mode: standalone
  listener:
    - host: 0.0.0.0
      role: inter-router
      port: 6000
    - host: 0.0.0.0
      authenticatePeer: 'no'
      role: normal
      port: 5000
      saslMechanisms: ANONYMOUS
  connector:
    - host: router2
      role: inter-router
      port: 6001
  address:
    - prefix: closest
      distribution: closest
    - prefix: multicast
      distribution: multicast
    - prefix: unicast
      distribution: closest
- machine: router2
  router:
    - id: router2
      mode: standalone
  listener:
    - host: 0.0.0.0
      role: inter-router
      port: 6001

```

```
- host: 0.0.0.0
  authenticatePeer: 'no'
  role: normal
  port: 5001
  saslMechanisms: ANONYMOUS
connector:
- host: router1
  role: inter-router
  port: 6000
address:
- prefix: closest
  distribution: closest
- prefix: multicast
  distribution: multicast
- prefix: unicast
  distribution: closest
```

## B.4 Qpid-Dispatch Configuration File Template

Template for configuration files for current version of Qpid-Dispatch is available at <https://github.com/rh-messaging-qe/ansible-qpid-dispatch/blob/master/test/files/templates/qdrouterd-roland.conf.j2>.

## B.5 Topology Generator Source Code

Complete source code of Topology Generator is available at <https://github.com/rh-messaging-qe/iqa-topology-generator>.