Denoising Autoencoders

John Thickstun

The idea of a denoising autoencoder [Vincent et al., 2010] is to recover a data point $x \sim p$ given a noisy observation, for example $\tilde{x} = x + \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)$. These models were initially introduced to provide an objective for unsupervised pre-training of deep networks. While that training methodology has become less relevant over time, the denoising autoencoder has been adapted as a means of constructing generative models [Bengio et al., 2013] leading to recent spectacular results [Ho et al., 2020].

Denoising AEs

Formally, let $x \sim p$, $\tilde{x} \sim p(\cdot|x)$, which together define a joint distribution $p(x, \tilde{x}) = p(\tilde{x}|x)p(x)$. A denoising autoencoder is a model of the posterior distribution

$$p(x|\tilde{x}) = \frac{p(\tilde{x}|x)p(x)}{\int_{\mathcal{X}} p(\tilde{x}|y)p(y) \, dy}.$$
 (1)

Specifically, we model $p_{\theta}(x|\tilde{x}) = \mathcal{N}(g_{\theta}(f_{\varphi}(\tilde{x})), \sigma^2 I)$, where $f_{\varphi} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Z}$ and $g_{\theta} : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ are neural networks work parameters φ and θ respectively. Fitting this model using the MLE leads to the reconstruction objective

$$\theta^*, \varphi^* = \underset{\theta, \varphi}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \underset{(x, \tilde{x}) \sim p}{\mathbb{E}} \|x - g_{\theta}(f_{\varphi}(\tilde{x}))\|^2.$$
 (2)

We can think of the composition $g_{\theta} \circ f_{\varphi}(\tilde{x})$ as projecting a corrupted data point $\tilde{x} \sim p(\cdot|x)$ back onto the support of p (the data manifold) and we can think of the latent space \mathcal{Z} as a coordinate system on the data manifold. Previewing the work of Bengio et al. [2013] and [Ho et al., 2020], we can imagine constructing a Markov chain of denoising autoencoders that guides us from samples $x_0 = \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ through a sequence of denoising operations $x_s \sim p_{s,\theta}(\cdot|x_{s-1})$ to produce a final sample x_t distributed approximately according to p.

Denoising Score Matching

We can use a denoising autoencoder to construct an explicit score matching estimator, following Vincent [2011]. Recall that the score function estimator given by minimization of the Fisher divergence

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg\min_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p} \left[\frac{1}{2} \| s_{\theta}(x) - \nabla_x \log p(x) \|_2^2 \right]. \tag{3}$$

This expression requires that we evaluate gradients of the unknown density p(x), which are not accessible to us. Previously, we saw an implicit score matching estimator [Hyvärinen, 2005] for estimating this quantity using samples. Denoising AEs offer another alternative.

Suppose we are willing to settle for samples of an estimate of the density p(x) given by the noisy distribution

$$q(\tilde{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(\tilde{x}|x)p(x) dx. \tag{4}$$

For example, if $p(\tilde{x}|x) = p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x) = \mathcal{N}(\tilde{x}; x, \sigma^2 I)$ for small variance σ^2 , then $q(\tilde{x}) = q_{\sigma}(\tilde{x})$ is a Gaussian convolution corresponding to a mildly-smoothed version of p(x), with $D(q_{\sigma} \parallel p) \to 0$ as $\sigma^2 \to 0$. Suppose we want to calculate the score matching estimator for this noisy distribution q_{σ} . The following proposition shows that this is much easier than score matching with p.

Proposition 1. (Denoising Score Matching) [Vincent, 2011]

$$\underset{\theta}{\arg\min} \underset{\tilde{x} \sim q_{\sigma}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \| s_{\theta}(\tilde{x}) - \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log q_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}) \|_{2}^{2} \right] = \underset{\theta}{\arg\min} \underset{\tilde{x} \sim p_{\sigma}(\cdot|x)}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \| s_{\theta}(\tilde{x}) - \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x) \|_{2}^{2} \right]. \quad (5)$$

Proof. Expanding the quadratic and dropping the constant term, we have

$$\arg\min_{\theta} \underset{\tilde{x} \sim q_{\sigma}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \| s_{\theta}(\tilde{x}) - \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log q_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}) \|_{2}^{2} \right] = \arg\min_{\theta} \underset{\tilde{x} \sim q_{\sigma}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \| s_{\theta}(\tilde{x}) \|^{2} - s_{\theta}(\tilde{x})^{T} \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log q_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}) \right]. \quad (6)$$

$$\underset{\tilde{x} \sim q_{\sigma}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[s_{\theta}(\tilde{x})^{T} \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log q_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}) \right] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} s_{\theta}(\tilde{x})^{T} \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log q_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}) q_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}$$

$$(7)$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{X}} s_{\theta}(\tilde{x})^T \nabla_{\tilde{x}} q_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} \tag{8}$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{X}} s_{\theta}(\tilde{x})^T \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x) dx d\tilde{x}$$
(9)

$$= \int_{\mathcal{X}} s_{\theta}(\tilde{x})^{T} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \nabla_{\tilde{x}} p(x) p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x) dx d\tilde{x}$$
 (10)

$$= \int_{\mathcal{X}} s_{\theta}(\tilde{x})^{T} \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x) \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x) dx d\tilde{x}$$
 (11)

$$= \iint_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} p(x) p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x) s_{\theta}(\tilde{x})^{T} \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x) d(x, \tilde{x})$$
 (12)

$$= \underset{\tilde{x} \sim p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x)}{\mathbb{E}} \left[s_{\theta}(\tilde{x})^{T} \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x) \right]. \tag{13}$$

From this and Eq 6, ==>

$$\arg\min_{\theta} \underset{\tilde{x} \sim q_{\sigma}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \| s_{\theta}(\tilde{x}) \|^{2} - s_{\theta}(\tilde{x})^{T} \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log q_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}) \right]$$
(14)

$$= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \underset{\tilde{x} \sim p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x)}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|s_{\theta}(\tilde{x})\|^{2} - s_{\theta}(\tilde{x})^{T} \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x) \right]$$

$$\tag{15}$$

$$= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \underset{\substack{x \sim p \\ \tilde{x} \sim p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x)}}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|s_{\theta}(\tilde{x})\|^{2} - s_{\theta}(\tilde{x})^{T} \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x) + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x)\|^{2} \right]$$
(16)

$$= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \underset{\tilde{x} \sim p_{\sigma}(\cdot|x)}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \| s_{\theta}(\tilde{x}) - \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log p_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}|x) \|_{2}^{2} \right]$$

$$\tag{17}$$

References

- Yoshua Bengio, Li Yao, Guillaume Alain, and Pascal Vincent. Generalized denoising auto-encoders as generative models. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 899–907, 2013. (document)
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2020. (document)
- Aapo Hyvärinen. Estimation of non-normalized statistical models by score matching. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 2005. (document)
- Pascal Vincent. A connection between score matching and denoising autoencoders. *Neural computation*, 2011. (document), 1
- Pascal Vincent, Hugo Larochelle, Isabelle Lajoie, Yoshua Bengio, Pierre-Antoine Manzagol, and Léon Bottou. Stacked denoising autoencoders: Learning useful representations in a deep network with a local denoising criterion. *Journal of machine learning research*, 2010. (document)