

Math 280B, Winter 2005

Doob's Inequalities

Everything that follows takes place on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) equipped with a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, with $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$ for all n .

1. Submartingale maximal inequality. Let $\{X_n\}$ be a non-negative submartingale (for example, $X_n = |M_n|$ if $\{M_n\}$ is a martingale, or $X_n = S_n^+$ if $\{S_n\}$ is a submartingale), and define $X_n^* := \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} X_k$. Then

$$P[X_n^* \geq t] \leq t^{-1} E[X_n; X_n^* \geq t] \leq t^{-1} E[X_n], \quad \forall t > 0.$$

2. Lemma. If $\{Y_n\}$ is a submartingale and T is a stopping time bounded above by a positive integer N , then

$$Y_T \leq E[Y_N | \mathcal{F}_T].$$

Proof. If $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_N; A] &= \sum_{n=0}^N E[Y_N; A \cap \{T = n\}] \geq \sum_{n=0}^N E[Y_n; A \cap \{T = n\}] \text{ stopping time-decomp.} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N E[Y_T; A \cap \{T = n\}] = E[Y_T; A] \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from the submartingale property of Y because $A \cap \{T = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$. \square

3. Proof of the maximal inequality. Fix a positive integer n and define $T := \min\{k \geq 0 : X_k \geq b\} \wedge n$.

$$\{X_n^* \geq b\} = \{X_T \geq b\}.$$

Fact.

$T = \min\{0 \leq k \leq n : X_k \in A\}$ ($\min \emptyset = n$)
 \Rightarrow exists $0 \leq k \leq n$ $X_k \in A$ iff $X_T \in A$
or $\cup\{0 \leq k \leq n : X_k \in A\} = \{X_T \in A\}$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P[X_n^* \geq t] &= P[X_T \geq t] \leq E[X_T; X_T \geq t]/t \\ &\leq t^{-1} E[X_n; X_T \geq t] = t^{-1} E[X_n; X_n^* \geq t] \\ &\leq t^{-1} E[X_n], \end{aligned}$$

the second inequality following from the Lemma. \square

Fact.

$\cup\{0 \leq k \leq n : X_k \in A\} = \sum\{X_k \in A, x_0, \dots, x_{k-1} \notin A\}$

1

Proof Doob ineq. by the fact?

4. Lemma. Let W and Z be non-negative rvs. Then for any $r > 0$,

$$E[W \cdot Z^r] = r \int_0^\infty t^{r-1} E[W; Z > t] dt.$$

5. L^p Maximal Inequality. If $\{X_n\}$ is a positive submartingale and $1 < p < \infty$, then for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\|X_n^*\|_p \leq C_p \|X_n\|_p,$$

where $X_n^* := \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} X_k$ and $C_p := p/(p-1)$.

Proof. Fix n . By Lemma 4 (twice) and the maximal inequality 1,

$$\begin{aligned} E[(X_n^*)^p] &= p \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} P[X_n^* > t] dt \\ &\leq p \int_0^\infty t^{p-2} P[X_n; X_n^* > t] dt \\ &= \frac{p}{p-1} E[X_n (X_n^*)^{p-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Hölder's inequality,

$$(1) \quad \|X_n^*\|_p^p = E[(X_n^*)^p] \leq \frac{p}{p-1} E[X_n (X_n^*)^{p-1}] \leq C_p^p \|X_n\|_p \cdot \|(X_n^*)^{p-1}\|_q.$$

Here $q = p/(p-1)$ is the conjugate exponent of p . In particular, $(p-1)q = p$, so $\|(X_n^*)^{p-1}\|_q = \|X_n^*\|_p^{p/q}$. Therefore, (1) implies

$$\|X_n^*\|_p^{p-p/q} \leq C_p \|X_n\|_p,$$

which is the stated inequality because $p - p/q = 1$. \square

6. Submartingale upcrossing inequality. Let $\{X_n\}$ be a submartingale, and for real numbers $a < b$ let $U_n = U_n(a, b)$ be the number of upcrossings of the interval (a, b) that X completes by time n . Then for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$E[U_n] \leq \frac{E[(X_n - a)^+] - E[(X_0 - a)^+]}{b - a}.$$

Proof. [The proof is the additive analog of the proof of Dubins's inequality.] Define recursively, $T_1 = \min\{k \geq 0 : X_k \leq a\}$, $T_2 = \min\{k \geq T_1 : X_k \geq b\}$, $T_3 = \min\{k \geq T_2 : X_k \leq a\}$, etc. Then

$$\{U_n \geq m\} = \{T_{2m} \leq n\},$$

and (just as in the discussion of Dubins's inequality)

$$H_k := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T_{2m-1} < k \leq T_{2m} \text{ for some } m \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

defines a bounded predictable process. Notice that the process $Y_n := (X_n - a)^+$ is a non-negative submartingale, and that the number of upcrossings of (a, b) by X is precisely the same as the

number of upcrossings of $(0, b - a)$ by Y . Also, $\{((1 - H) \cdot Y)_n\}$ is a submartingale because $0 \leq H_k \leq 1$. Because each upcrossing completed by time n contributes at least $b - a$ to the total determining $(H \cdot Y)_n$, and the possible upcrossing-in-progress at time n contributes a non-negative amount, we have

$$(b - a)U_n \leq (H \cdot Y)_n.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (b - a)E[U_n] &\leq E[(H \cdot Y)_n] = E[Y_n - ((1 - H) \cdot Y)_n] \\ &\leq E[Y_n] - E[((1 - H) \cdot Y)_0] \\ &= E[(X_n - a)^+] - E[(X_0 - a)^+]. \end{aligned}$$

□

7. Corollary. *If $\{X_n\}$ is a submartingale with $\sup_n E[X_n^+] < \infty$, then $X_\infty := \lim_n X_n$ exists a.s., and X_∞ is integrable.*

Proof. Suppose that $M := \sup_n E[X_n^+] < \infty$. From the elementary inequality $(x - a)^+ \leq x^+ + a^-$ we deduce that for any real a

$$E[(X_n - a)^+] \leq M + a^-$$

for all n . Thus, by Fatou's lemma, the total number $U_\infty(a, b) := \uparrow \lim_n U_n(a, b)$ of upcrossings of (a, b) made by X has finite expectation:

$$E[U_\infty(a, b)] \leq \liminf_n \frac{E[(X_n - a)^+]}{b - a} \leq M + |a| < \infty.$$

In particular,

$$P[U_\infty(a, b) < \infty] = 1, \quad \forall a < b.$$

Therefore $X_\infty := \lim_n X_n$ exists a.s. Moreover, $E[X_\infty^+] \leq M < \infty$ by Fatou. On the other hand, because $x^- = x^+ - x$, Fatou's lemma also yields

$$E[X_\infty^-] \leq \liminf_n E[X_n^-] = \liminf_n E[X_n^+ - X_n] \leq \liminf_n E[X_n^+ - X_0] \leq M - E[X_0] < \infty,$$

where the second inequality follows from the submartingale property of X . It follows that $E|X_\infty| < \infty$; in particular, X_∞ is finite a.s. □