

Exercises on linear programming—advanced

Doctoral course, 2026

- (1) Show that any problem in inequality form can be put in standard form and vice-versa.
- (2) (*Controlling the quality of solutions without resolving*) Consider the linear program

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize } & \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t. } & A' \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}' \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1 \\ & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{aligned}$$

This problem is therefore in standard form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize } & \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t. } & A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \\ & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A' & & \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let B be a feasible basis of this problem and \mathbf{r} the vector of reduced costs associated with this basis. Show that if $\mathbf{r} \not\geq \mathbf{0}$, then the optimal value v of this problem satisfies

$$\mathbf{c}_B^T A_B^{-1} \mathbf{b} + (\min_j r_j) \leq v \leq \mathbf{c}_B^T A_B^{-1} \mathbf{b}.$$

- (3) (*An algorithmic proof of the strong duality theorem of linear optimization*) We know that there is a pivot rule that ensures that the simplex algorithm always ends.

* Deduce an alternative proof of the theorem of strong duality in linear optimization.

- (4) (*How to get “non-degenerate” linear programs*) A basis B is *degenerate* if the corresponding solution has one or more entries equal to 0 among those indexed by B . A linear program is *degenerate* if it admits at least one degenerate feasible basis. Consider a linear program under the standard form:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize } & \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t. } & A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \\ & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

* Show that there exist vectors β of arbitrarily small norm such that the linear program obtained by replacing \mathbf{b} with $\mathbf{b} + \beta$ is non-degenerate.

- (5) (*Foundations of column generation*) Let (P) be the linear program

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize } & \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t. } & A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \\ & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $I \subseteq [n]$ and consider (P^I)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize } & \mathbf{c}_I \cdot \mathbf{x}' \\ \text{s.t. } & A_I \mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{b} \\ & \mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{R}_+^I. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by (D) and (D^I) the dual problems of (P) and (P^I) respectively, and let $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ be an optimal solution of (D^I) . Show that if $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ is a feasible solution for (D) , then the optimal value of (P) coincides with that of (P^I) .

This is the fundamental remark on which *column generation* relies, which is a useful method for solving linear programs with too many variables for being given directly to a solver.

- (6) Consider two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} of \mathbb{R}^n Show that there exist vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}$, not necessarily distinct, such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_n & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{x}^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^\top & -\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0}^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{z}^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{w}^\top & 1 + \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{u} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Deduce from it the identity

$$\det(I_n + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^\top) = 1 + \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{u},$$

used in the proof of the expression of the Löwner–John ellipsoid.

- (7) Consider a non-empty polytope $P = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{b}\}$. Let t be any positive real number. Show that the polytope $P' = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{b} - t\mathbf{1}\}$ has a non-empty interior.
- (8) Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph, with costs c_e attached to the edges $e \in E$. A classical relaxation of the traveling salesman problem is given by the following linear program:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize } & \sum_{e \in E} c_e x_e \\ \text{s.t. } & \sum_{e \in \delta(v)} x_e = 2 \quad \forall v \in V \\ & \sum_{e \in \delta^-(S)} x_e \geq 2 \quad \forall S \in 2^V \setminus \{\emptyset, V\} \\ & 0 \leq x_e \leq 1 \quad \forall e \in E. \end{aligned}$$

Show that the optimal value of the linear program can be computed in polynomial time.

- (9) Let $D = (V, A)$ be a directed graph, with a specified vertex $r \in V$ and positive costs c_a on the arcs $a \in A$. Consider the following linear program.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize } & \sum_{a \in A} c_a x_a \\ \text{s.t. } & \sum_{a \in \delta^-(S)} x_a \geq 1 \quad \forall S \subseteq V \setminus \{r\} \\ & x_a \geq 0 \quad \forall a \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Show that the problem can be solved in polynomial time.

The motivation is the following. An *arborescence* is a directed graph whose underlying undirected graph is a tree and that has a vertex from which all other vertices can be reached by a directed path. It is known that the linear program models the problem of computing the minimum-cost spanning arborescence, which can thus be computed in polynomial time.