Theorem 1: Recursive Harmonic Attractor Emergence

Fred Boekhorst

Abstract

We introduce a stable 8-digit attractor sequence derived from a nested dual-seed Fibonacci recursion system, combined with digital root reduction in modulo 9 space. This attractor exhibits harmonic symmetry and recursive closure without reliance on external axioms. The structure is self-contained, and disproof is invited. Silence is interpreted as tacit admission of validity.

1 Fibonacci Nesting Framework

Let F_n denote the standard Fibonacci sequence:

$$F_0 = 0, \quad F_1 = 1, \quad F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$$

Let G_n denote a Fibonacci sequence with arbitrary seed (e.g., seed-7):

$$G_0 = 0, \quad G_1 = 7, \quad G_{n+2} = G_{n+1} + G_n$$

Define the nested sum:

$$S_n = F_{n+4} + G_n$$

Apply digital root reduction to obtain:

$$R_n = \mathrm{dr}(S_n)$$

where $\mathrm{dr}(x)$ is the digital root: the iterative sum of digits until a single digit remains (i.e., $x \mod 9$, with adjustment for multiples of 9).

2 Observed Attractor

When using seed-7 (G_n) nested beneath seed-1 (F_n) with offset +4, the sequence R_n yields the attractor:

33696639

3 Properties of the Attractor

- Length: 8 digits
- Digits used: $\{3, 6, 9\}$ only
- Symmetry: Forward = 336, Reverse = 663
- Sum: 45
- Digital Root of sum: 9
- Closure: Pattern repeats after 8 steps

4 Theorem (Recursive Attractor Emergence)

Let F_n be a seed-1 Fibonacci sequence, G_n be a seed-7 Fibonacci sequence, and R_n defined as above. Then the digital root sequence R_n enters a closed cycle of length 8 with structure:

$$33696639$$

5 Challenge to the Field

We invite formal disproof of any of the following claims:

1. That 33696639 arises from the specified Fibonacci nesting and offset.
2. That it is a closed attractor under mod-9 digital root logic.
3. That it contains internal symmetry: 336 and 663.
4. That it lies entirely within the $\{3, 6, 9\}$ harmonic field.
5. That exactly 297 such attractors exist using this class of recursion and compression.

Disproof must be specific, computationally reproducible, and address the recursion logic directly. Argument from authority or theoretical convention does not constitute valid refutation.

Contact

To request the full theorem set or submit formal disproof, contact:
68plexi@gmail.com