### **Instructions**

**Submission:** Assignment submission will be via courses.uscden.net. By the submission date, there will be a folder set up in which you can submit your files. Please be sure to follow all directions outlined here.

You can submit multiple times, but only *the last submission* counts. That means if you finish some problems and want to submit something first and update later when you finish, that's fine. In fact you are encouraged to do this: that way, if you forget to finish the homework on time or something happens, you still get credit for whatever you have turned in.

Problem sets must be typewritten or neatly handwritten when submitted. In both cases, your submission must be a single PDF. Please also follow the rules below:

- The file should be named as firstname\_lastname\_USCID.pdf (e.g., Joe\_Doe\_1234567890.pdf).
- Do not have any spaces in your file name when uploading it.
- Please include your name and USCID in the header of the report as well.

Total points: 40 points

#### Notes on notation:

- Unless stated otherwise, scalars are denoted by small letter in normal font, vectors are denoted by small letters in bold font and matrices are denoted by capital letters in bold font.
- $\|.\|$  means L2-norm unless specified otherwise i.e.  $\|.\| = \|.\|_2$

## Problem 1 Optimization over the simplex (14 points)

In this exercise you will prove two optimization results over the simplex that we used multiple times in the lectures. These results will also help you solve other problems in this homework.

The K-1 dimensional simplex is simply the set of all distributions over K elements, denoted by  $\Delta = \{ \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^K \mid q_k \geq 0, \ \forall k \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^K q_k = 1 \}.$ 

**1.1** Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_K$  be K positive numbers. Prove that the solution of the following optimization problem

$$\arg\max_{\mathbf{q}\in\Delta}\sum_{k=1}^{K}a_k\ln q_k$$

is  $\mathbf{q}^*$  such that  $q_k^* = \frac{a_k}{\sum_{k'} a_{k'}}$  (that is,  $q_k^* \propto a_k$ ). Hint: the Lagrangian of this problem is

$$L(\mathbf{q}, \lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_K) = \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \ln q_k + \lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^K q_k - 1\right) + \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k q_k$$

for Lagrangian multipliers  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \geq 0$ . Now apply KKT conditions to find  $\mathbf{q}^*$ . (5 **points**)

The stationary condition states that for each k,

$$\frac{a_k}{q_k^*} + \lambda + \lambda_k = 0$$

and thus

$$q_k^* = -\frac{a_k}{\lambda + \lambda_k} \neq 0.$$

The complementary slackness condition implies that  $\lambda_k q_k^* = 0$  and thus  $\lambda_k = 0$ . Finally, feasibility implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} q_k^* = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{a_k}{\lambda} = 1.$$

Solving for  $\lambda$  and plugging it back gives the solution  $q_k^* = \frac{a_k}{\sum_{k'} a_{k'}}$ .

#### **Rubrics:**

- 2 points for using the stationary condition correctly
- 2 points for using the complementary slackness condition correctly
- 1 point for combining everything to finish the proof. You do not have to apply the last feasibility condition, since  $q_k^* = -\frac{a_k}{\lambda}$  implies the required statement  $q_k^* \propto a_k$  already.
- **1.2** Let  $b_1, ..., b_K$  be K real numbers and H be the entropy function. Prove that the solution of the following optimization problem

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{q} \in \Delta} \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{q} + H(\mathbf{q}) = \arg \max_{\mathbf{q} \in \Delta} \sum_{k=1}^K (q_k b_k - q_k \ln q_k)$$

is  $\mathbf{q}^*$  such that  $q_k^* \propto e^{b_k}$ . Hint: follow the exact same steps as in the previous problem, that is, write down the Lagrangian and then apply KKT conditions. (7 points)

The Lagrangian of this problem is

$$L(\mathbf{q}, \lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_K) = \sum_{k=1}^K (q_k b_k - q_k \ln q_k) + \lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^K q_k - 1\right) + \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k q_k.$$
 (2 points)

The stationary condition implies that for each k

$$b_k - 1 - \ln q_k + \lambda + \lambda_k = 0,$$

and thus

$$q_k = \exp(b_k - 1 + \lambda + \lambda_k) \propto e^{b_k + \lambda_k} \neq 0.$$

Complementary slackness then implies  $\lambda_k = 0$  and thus  $q_k \propto e^{b_k}$ .

#### Rubrics:

- 2 points for the Lagrangian. You can also write the equality constraint as two inequality constraints, leading to two corresponding Lagrangian multipliers. Another acceptable form is to first convert the problem to minimization by taking negation, then follow the steps discussed in the class.
- 5 points for solving it, based on the same rubrics for Problem 1.1.

**1.3** In the lecture we derived EM through a lower bound of the log-likelihood function. Specifically, on Slide 42 of Lecture 8, we find the tightest lower bound by solving

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{q}_n \in \Delta} \mathbb{E}_{z_n \sim \mathbf{q}_n} \left[ \ln p(\mathbf{x}_n, z_n ; \theta^{(t)}) \right] + H(\mathbf{q}_n).$$

Use the result from Problem 1.2 to find the solution (you already know what it is from Slide 42). (2 points)

This is exactly in the same form of the problem in 1.2 with  $b_k = \ln p(\mathbf{x}_n, z_n = k ; \theta^{(t)})$ . So the solution is

$$q_{nk}^* \propto p(\mathbf{x}_n, z_n = k; \theta^{(t)}),$$

or in other words,

$$q_{nk}^* = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_n, z_n = k \,; \theta^{(t)})}{\sum_{k'=1}^K p(\mathbf{x}_n, z_n = k' \,; \theta^{(t)})} = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_n, z_n = k \,; \theta^{(t)})}{p(\mathbf{x}_n \,; \theta^{(t)})} = p(z_n = k \mid \mathbf{x}_n \,; \theta^{(t)}).$$

Rubrics: 1 point for the correct usage of Problem 1.2 and another 1 point for the correct final answer.

# Problem 2 Gaussian Mixture Model (8 points)

In the lecture we applied EM to learn Gaussian Mixture Models (GMMs) and showed the M-Step without a proof on Slide 48 of Lecture 8 . In this problem you will prove this for the simpler one-dimensional case. Specifically consider a one-dimensional GMM that has the following density function for *x*:

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \omega_k \mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu_k, \sigma_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{\omega_k}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_k} \exp\left(\frac{-(x - \mu_k)^2}{2\sigma_k^2}\right)$$

where:

- *K* is the number of Gaussians components
- $\mu_k$  and  $\sigma_k^2$  are the mean and the variance of the k-th component
- $\omega_k$  is the mixture weight for component k and satisfies:

$$\forall k, \omega_k > 0 \text{ and } \sum_k \omega_k = 1.$$

Prove that the maximizer of the expected complete log-likelihood (with  $\gamma_{nk}$  being the posterior of latent variables computed from the previous E-Step)

$$\sum_{n}\sum_{k}\gamma_{nk}\ln\omega_{k}+\sum_{n}\sum_{k}\gamma_{nk}\ln\mathcal{N}(x_{n}\mid\mu_{k},\sigma_{k})$$

is the following

$$\omega_k = \frac{\sum_n \gamma_{nk}}{N}, \qquad \mu_k = \frac{1}{\sum_n \gamma_{nk}} \sum_n \gamma_{nk} x_n, \qquad \sigma_k^2 = \frac{1}{\sum_n \gamma_{nk}} \sum_n \gamma_{nk} (x_n - \mu_k)^2.$$

Hint: you can make use of the result from Problem 1.1.

To find  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_K$ , we simply solve

$$\arg\max_{\omega\in\Delta}\sum_{n}\sum_{k}\gamma_{nk}\ln\omega_{k}.$$

According to Problem 1.1 with  $a_k = \sum_n \gamma_{nk}$ , the solution is

$$\omega_k = \frac{\sum_n \gamma_{nk}}{\sum_k \sum_n \gamma_{nk}} = \frac{\sum_n \gamma_{nk}}{\sum_n 1} = \frac{\sum_n \gamma_{nk}}{N}.$$
 (2 points)

To find  $\mu_k$  and  $\sigma_k$ , we solve for each k

$$\arg\max_{\mu_k,\sigma_k} \sum_{n} \gamma_{nk} \ln \mathcal{N}(x_n \mid \mu_k, \sigma_k) = \arg\max_{\mu_k,\sigma_k} \sum_{n} \gamma_{nk} \left( \ln \frac{1}{\sigma_k} - \frac{(x_n - \mu_k)^2}{2\sigma_k^2} \right). \tag{2 points}$$

First we set the derivative w.r.t.  $\mu_k$  to 0:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_k^2} \sum_n \gamma_{nk} (x_n - \mu_k) = 0, \tag{2 points}$$

which gives  $\mu_k = \frac{1}{\sum_n \gamma_{nk}} \sum_n \gamma_{nk} x_n$ . Next we set the derivative w.r.t.  $\sigma_k$  to 0:

$$\sum_{n} \gamma_{nk} \left( -\frac{1}{\sigma_k} + \frac{(x_n - \mu_k)^2}{\sigma_k^3} \right) = 0.$$
 (2 points)

Solving for  $\sigma_k$  gives  $\sigma_k^2 = \frac{1}{\sum_n \gamma_{nk}} \sum_n \gamma_{nk} (x_n - \mu_k)^2$ .

## Problem 3 EM (18 points)

Consider the following probabilistic model to generate a non-negative integer x. First, draw a hidden binary variable z from a Bernoulli distribution with mean  $\pi \in [0,1]$ , that is,  $p(z=1;\pi)=\pi$  and  $p(z=0;\pi)=1-\pi$ . If z=0, set x=0; otherwise, draw x from a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$  so that

$$p(x|z=1;\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!}.$$

Given N samples  $x_1, \ldots, x_N$  generated independently in this way, follow the steps below to derive the EM algorithm for this model. (This is also a good example to see why finding the exact MLE is difficulty; you might try it yourself.)

- **3.1** Fixing the model parameters  $\pi$  and  $\lambda$ , for each sample n, find the posterior distribution of the corresponding hidden variable  $z_n$ :  $p(z_n|x_n;\pi,\lambda)$ . You will find it useful to consider the cases  $x_n>0$  and  $x_n=0$  separately. Given that  $z_n$  is binary, this means that you have to find the value of the following four quantities:
  - $\gamma'_0 = p(z_n = 0 | x_n > 0; \pi, \lambda),$
  - $\gamma_1' = p(z_n = 1 | x_n > 0; \pi, \lambda),$
  - $\gamma_0 = p(z_n = 0 | x_n = 0; \pi, \lambda),$
  - $\gamma_1 = p(z_n = 1 | x_n = 0; \pi, \lambda)$ . (6 points)

According to the model, if  $x_n > 0$ , then the hidden variable  $z_n$  must be 1. Therefore

$$\gamma_0' = 0$$
 and  $\gamma_1' = 1$ .

On the other hand, if  $x_n = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} p(z_n|x_n = 0; \pi, \lambda) &\propto p(z_n, x_n = 0; \pi, \lambda) \\ &= p(z_n; \pi) p(x_n = 0|z_n; \lambda) \\ &= \begin{cases} (1 - \pi) \cdot 1 = 1 - \pi & \text{if } z_n = 0 \\ \pi \cdot \frac{\lambda^0 e^{-\lambda}}{0!} = \pi e^{-\lambda} & \text{if } z_n = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{1-\pi}{1-\pi+\pi e^{-\lambda}} \ \ \text{and} \ \ \gamma_1 = \frac{\pi e^{-\lambda}}{1-\pi+\pi e^{-\lambda}}.$$

### **Rubrics:**

- 1 point each for  $\gamma'_0$  and  $\gamma'_1$ .
- 2 points each for  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$ . It is okay to leave these in the proportional form for this question. However, for Problem 3.4, one has to plug in the exact form instead of the proportional form.
- **3.2** Suppose that we have computed  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma'_0, \gamma'_1$  from the previous value of  $\pi$  and  $\lambda$ . Now, write down the expected complete likelihood function  $Q(\pi, \lambda)$  in terms of the data  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , the posteriors  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma'_0, \gamma'_1$ , and the parameters  $\pi$  and  $\lambda$  (show intermediate steps). This completes the E-step. (3 **points**)

By definition,

$$\begin{split} Q(\pi,\lambda) &= \sum_{n:x_n > 0} \gamma_0' \ln p(x_n, z_n = 0; \pi, \lambda) + \sum_{n:x_n > 0} \gamma_1' \ln p(x_n, z_n = 1; \pi, \lambda) \\ &+ \sum_{n:x_n = 0} \gamma_0 \ln p(x_n = 0, z_n = 0; \pi, \lambda) + \sum_{n:x_n = 0} \gamma_1 \ln p(x_n = 0, z_n = 1; \pi, \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{n:x_n > 0} \ln p(x_n, z_n = 1; \pi, \lambda) + \sum_{n:x_n = 0} (\gamma_0 \ln p(x_n = 0, z_n = 0; \pi, \lambda) + (1 - \gamma_0) \ln p(x_n = 0, z_n = 1; \pi, \lambda)) \\ &= \sum_{n:x_n > 0} \ln \left(\pi \cdot \frac{\lambda^{x_n} e^{-\lambda}}{x_n!}\right) + \sum_{n:x_n = 0} \left(\gamma_0 \ln (1 - \pi) + (1 - \gamma_0) \ln \left(\pi \cdot \frac{\lambda^0 e^{-\lambda}}{0!}\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{n:x_n > 0} (\ln \pi + x_n \ln \lambda - \lambda - \ln(x_n!)) + \sum_{n:x_n = 0} (\gamma_0 \ln (1 - \pi) + (1 - \gamma_0) (\ln \pi - \lambda)) \end{split}$$

Rubrics: 2 points for the derivation (okay to skip some steps, such as the first or the second equality), and 1 point for the correct final form, which can be written in different ways but must be only in terms of the data  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , the posteriors  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma'_0, \gamma'_1$ , and the parameters  $\pi$  and  $\lambda$ .

**3.3** Find the maximizer  $\pi^*$  and  $\lambda^*$  for the function  $Q(\pi,\lambda)$  from the previous question (show your derivation). You might find it convenient to use the notation  $N_0 = |\{n : x_n = 0\}|$  (that is, the number of examples with value 0) in your solution. This completes the M-step. **(6 points)** 

The partial derivative of  $Q(\pi, \lambda)$  with respect to  $\pi$  is

$$\sum_{n:x_n>0} \frac{1}{\pi} + \sum_{n:x_n=0} \left( -\frac{\gamma_0}{1-\pi} + \frac{1-\gamma_0}{\pi} \right) = \frac{N - N_0 \gamma_0}{\pi} - \frac{N_0 \gamma_0}{1-\pi}.$$

Setting it to zero and solving for  $\pi$  gives

$$\pi^* = 1 - \frac{N_0 \gamma_0}{N}.$$

On the other hand, the partial derivative of  $Q(\pi, \lambda)$  with respect to  $\lambda$  is

$$\sum_{n:x_n>0} \left(\frac{x_n}{\lambda} - 1\right) + N_0(\gamma_0 - 1) = \frac{\sum_{n:x_n>0} x_n}{\lambda} + N_0\gamma_0 - N.$$

Setting it to zero and solving for  $\lambda$  gives

$$\lambda^* = \frac{\sum_{n:x_n>0} x_n}{N - N_0 \gamma_0}.$$

Rubrics: 3 points each for  $\pi^*$  and  $\lambda^*$  (2 points for the derivation and 1 point for the final expression). Note that the correct answers can be written in different ways (e.g. using  $\gamma_1$ ). Do not deduct points if the mistake is solely due to the incorrect form of  $Q(\pi, \lambda)$  from the last question.

3.4 Combining all the results, write down the EM update formulas for  $\pi^{\text{new}}$  and  $\lambda^{\text{new}}$ , using only the data  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  and the previous parameter values  $\pi^{\text{old}}$  and  $\lambda^{\text{old}}$  (do not use  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma'_0, \gamma'_1$ ). (3 points) Simply combine the results from Problems 3.1 and 3.3:

$$\pi^{\text{new}} = 1 - \frac{N_0 \frac{1 - \pi^{\text{old}}}{1 - \pi^{\text{old}} + \pi^{\text{old}} e^{-\lambda^{\text{old}}}}}{N} = 1 - \frac{N_0 (1 - \pi^{\text{old}})}{N (1 - \pi^{\text{old}} + \pi^{\text{old}} e^{-\lambda^{\text{old}}})}'$$

$$\lambda^{\text{new}} = \frac{\sum_{n: x_n > 0} x_n}{N - \frac{N_0 (1 - \pi^{\text{old}})}{1 - \pi^{\text{old}} + \pi^{\text{old}} e^{-\lambda^{\text{old}}}}}$$

Rubrics: 1.5 points each. Note again that the correct answers can be written in different ways. Do not deduct points if the mistake is inherited from Problems 3.1 and 3.3. Do deduct one point (for each of the two parameters) if plugging in the unnormalized values of the posteriors.