

# LinguaFrancaClocks

Frédéric Boulanger

March 5, 2020

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Basic definitions</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Periodic clocks . . . . .	2
1.2	Sporadic clocks . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Properties of clocks</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Merging clocks</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Bounded clocks</b>	<b>8</b>
4.1	Main theorem . . . . .	12
<b>5</b>	<b>Logical time</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1	Chrono-periodic and chrono-sporadic clocks . . . . .	13
<b>6</b>	<b>Tests</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>theory</b>	<i>LinguaFrancaClocks</i>	

**imports** *Main*

**begin**

## 1 Basic definitions

Instants are represented as the natural numbers. A clock represents an event that may occur or not at any instant. We model a clock as a function from `nat` to `bool`, which is `True` at every instant when the clock ticks (the event occurs).

**type-synonym** *clock* =  $\langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$

## 1.1 Periodic clocks

A clock is (k,p)-periodic if it ticks at instants separated by p instants, starting at instant k.

**definition**  $kp\text{-periodic} :: \langle [nat, nat, clock] \Rightarrow bool \rangle$   
**where**  $\langle kp\text{-periodic } k \ p \ c \equiv$   
 $(p > 0) \wedge (\forall n. c \ n = ((n \geq k) \wedge ((n - k) \bmod p = 0))) \rangle$

A 1-periodic clock always ticks starting at its offset

**lemma**  $one\text{-periodic-ticks}$ :  
**assumes**  $\langle kp\text{-periodic } k \ 1 \ c \rangle$   
**and**  $\langle n \geq k \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle c \ n \rangle$   
**using**  $assms \ kp\text{-periodic-def} \text{ by } simp$

A p-periodic clock is a (k,p)-periodic clock starting from a given offset.

**definition**  $\langle p\text{-periodic } p \ c \equiv (\exists k. kp\text{-periodic } k \ p \ c) \rangle$

**lemma**  $p\text{-periodic-intro}[intro]$ :  
 $\langle kp\text{-periodic } k \ p \ c \implies p\text{-periodic } p \ c \rangle$   
**using**  $p\text{-periodic-def} \text{ by } blast$

No clock is 0-periodic.

**lemma**  $no\text{-}0\text{-periodic}$ :  
 $\langle \neg p\text{-periodic } 0 \ c \rangle$   
**by**  $(simp \ add: \ kp\text{-periodic-def} \ p\text{-periodic-def})$

A periodic clock is a p-periodic clock for a given period.

**definition**  $\langle periodic \ c \equiv (\exists p. p\text{-periodic } p \ c) \rangle$

**lemma**  $periodic\text{-intro1}[intro]$ :  
 $\langle p\text{-periodic } p \ c \implies periodic \ c \rangle$   
**using**  $p\text{-periodic-def} \ periodic\text{-def} \text{ by } blast$

**lemma**  $periodic\text{-intro2}[intro]$ :  
 $\langle kp\text{-periodic } k \ p \ c \implies periodic \ c \rangle$   
**using**  $p\text{-periodic-intro} \ periodic\text{-intro1} \text{ by } blast$

## 1.2 Sporadic clocks

A clock is p-sporadic if it ticks at instants separated at least by p instants.

**definition**  $p\text{-sporadic} :: \langle [nat, clock] \Rightarrow bool \rangle$   
**where**  $\langle p\text{-sporadic } p \ c \equiv \forall t. c \ t \longrightarrow (\forall t'. (t' > t \wedge c \ t') \longrightarrow t' > t + p) \rangle$

Any clock is 0-sporadic

**lemma**  $sporadic\text{-}0$ :  $\langle p\text{-sporadic } 0 \ c \rangle$   
**unfolding**  $p\text{-sporadic-def} \text{ by } auto$

We define sporadic clock as p-sporadic clocks for some non null interval p.

**definition**  $\langle \text{sporadic } c \equiv (\exists p > 0. \text{ p-sporadic } p \ c) \rangle$

**lemma** *sporadic-intro*[intro]  
 $\langle \llbracket \text{p-sporadic } p \ c; p > 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{sporadic } c \rangle$   
**using** *sporadic-def* **by** *blast*

## 2 Properties of clocks

Some useful lemmas about modulo.

**lemma** *mod-sporadic*:  
**assumes**  $\langle (n::\text{nat}) \bmod p = 0 \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \forall n'. (n < n' \wedge n' < n+p) \longrightarrow \neg(n' \bmod p = 0) \rangle$   
**using** *assms less-imp-add-positive* **by** *fastforce*

**lemma** *mod-sporadic'*:  
**assumes**  $\langle (n::\text{nat}) \bmod p = 0 \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \forall n'. (n < n' \wedge (n' \bmod p = 0)) \longrightarrow n' \geq n+p \rangle$   
**proof** –  
 $\{ \text{fix } n' \text{ assume } h: \langle n < n' \wedge n' \bmod p = 0 \rangle$   
 $\text{hence } \langle n' \geq n+p \rangle \text{ using } \text{mod-sporadic}[OF \text{ assms}] \text{ by } \text{auto}$   
 $\} \text{ thus } ?thesis \text{ by } \text{simp}$   
**qed**

**lemma** *mod-offset-sporadic*:  
**assumes**  $\langle (n::\text{nat}) \geq k \rangle$   
**and**  $\langle (n - k) \bmod p = 0 \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \forall n'. (n < n' \wedge n' < n+p) \longrightarrow \neg((n'-k) \bmod p = 0) \rangle$   
**proof** –  
**from** *assms* **have**  $\langle \forall n'. n' > n \longrightarrow (n'-k) > (n-k) \rangle$  **by** (*simp add: diff-less-mono*)  
**with** *mod-sporadic*[*OF assms*(2)] **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*  
**qed**

**lemma** *mod-offset-sporadic'*:  
**assumes**  $\langle (n::\text{nat}) \geq k \rangle$   
**and**  $\langle (n - k) \bmod p = 0 \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \forall n'. (n < n' \wedge ((n'-k) \bmod p = 0)) \longrightarrow n' \geq n+p \rangle$   
**proof** –  
**from** *assms* **have**  $\langle \forall n'. n' > n \longrightarrow (n'-k) > (n-k) \rangle$  **by** (*simp add: diff-less-mono*)  
**with** *mod-sporadic*[*OF assms*(2)] **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*  
**qed**

A (p+1)-periodic clock is p-sporadic.

**lemma** *periodic-suc-sporadic*:  
**assumes**  $\langle \text{p-periodic } (p+1) \ c \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \text{p-sporadic } p \ c \rangle$   
**proof** –

**from** *assms* *p-periodic-def* **obtain** *k*  
**where**  $\langle kp\text{-periodic } k \text{ (Suc } p) \text{ } c \rangle$  **by** (*auto simp add: Suc-eq-plus1[symmetric]*)  
**hence**  $\langle \forall n. c \ n = ((n \geq k) \wedge ((n - k) \bmod (\text{Suc } p) = 0)) \rangle$  **unfolding**  
*kp-periodic-def* **by** *simp*  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**unfolding** *p-sporadic-def*  
**using** *mod-offset-sporadic'* [**where** *k=k* **and** *p=(Suc p)*]  
**by** (*simp add: Suc-le-lessD*)  
**qed**

### 3 Merging clocks

The result of merging two clocks ticks whenever any of the two clocks ticks.

**definition** *merge* ::  $\langle [clock, clock] \Rightarrow clock \rangle$  (**infix**  $\langle \oplus \rangle$  60)  
**where**  $\langle c1 \oplus c2 \equiv \lambda t. c1 \ t \vee c2 \ t \rangle$

**lemma** *merge-comm*:  $\langle c \oplus c' = c' \oplus c \rangle$   
**by** (*auto simp add: merge-def*)

Merging two sporadic clocks does not necessary yields a sporadic clock.

**lemma** *merge-no-sporadic*:  
 $\langle \exists c \ c'. \text{sporadic } c \wedge \text{sporadic } c' \wedge \neg \text{sporadic } (c \oplus c') \rangle$

**proof** –

**define** *c* :: *clock* **where**  $\langle c = (\lambda t. t \bmod 2 = 0) \rangle$   
**define** *c'* :: *clock* **where**  $\langle c' = (\lambda t. t \geq 1 \wedge (t-1) \bmod 2 = 0) \rangle$

**have**  $\langle p\text{-periodic } 2 \text{ } c \rangle$  **unfolding** *p-periodic-def* *kp-periodic-def*  
**using** *c-def* **by** *auto*

**hence**  $1:\langle \text{sporadic } c \rangle$   
**using** *periodic-suc-sporadic* *Suc-1[symmetric]* *sporadic-def* *zero-less-one*  
**by** *auto*

**have**  $\langle p\text{-periodic } 2 \text{ } c' \rangle$  **unfolding** *p-periodic-def* *kp-periodic-def* **using** *c'-def*  
**by** *auto*

**hence**  $2:\langle \text{sporadic } c' \rangle$   
**using** *periodic-suc-sporadic* *Suc-1[symmetric]* *sporadic-def* *zero-less-one*  
**by** *auto*

**have**  $\langle \neg \text{sporadic } (c \oplus c') \rangle$

**proof** –

**{ assume**  $\langle \text{sporadic } (c \oplus c') \rangle$   
**from** *this* **obtain** *p* **where**  $\ast:\langle p > 0 \rangle$  **and**  $\langle p\text{-sporadic } p \text{ } (c \oplus c') \rangle$   
**using** *sporadic-def* **by** *blast*  
**hence**  $\langle \forall t. (c \oplus c') \ t \longrightarrow (\forall t'. (t < t' \wedge (c \oplus c') \ t') \longrightarrow t' > t + p) \rangle$   
**by** (*simp add:p-sporadic-def*)  
**moreover** **have**  $\langle (c \oplus c') \ 0 \rangle$  **using** *c-def* *c'-def* *merge-def* **by** *simp*  
**moreover** **have**  $\langle (c \oplus c') \ 1 \rangle$  **using** *c-def* *c'-def* *merge-def* **by** *simp*  
**ultimately** **have** *False* **using**  $\ast$  **by** *blast*

```

    } thus ?thesis ..
qed
with 1 and 2 show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

Get the number of ticks on a clock from the beginning up to instant  $n$ .

**definition**  $\text{ticks-up-to} :: \langle \text{clock}, \text{nat} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{nat}$   
**where**  $\langle \text{ticks-up-to } c \ n = \text{card } \{t. t \leq n \wedge c \ t\} \rangle$

There cannot be more than  $n$  event occurrences during  $n$  instants.

**lemma**  $\langle \text{ticks-up-to } c \ n \leq \text{Suc } n \rangle$

**proof** –

```

  have finite:  $\langle \text{finite } \{t::\text{nat}. t \leq n\} \rangle$  by simp
  have incl:  $\langle \{t::\text{nat}. t \leq n \wedge c \ t\} \subseteq \{t::\text{nat}. t \leq n\} \rangle$  by blast
  have  $\langle \text{card } \{t::\text{nat}. t \leq n\} = \text{Suc } n \rangle$  by simp
  with card-mono[OF finite incl] show ?thesis unfolding ticks-up-to-def by simp
qed

```

Counting event occurrences.

**definition**  $\langle \text{count } b \ n \equiv \text{if } b \text{ then } \text{Suc } n \text{ else } n \rangle$

The count of event occurrences cannot grow by more than one at each instant.

**lemma**  $\text{count-inc}: \langle \text{count } b \ n \leq \text{Suc } n \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{count-def}$  **by**  $\text{simp}$

Alternative definition of the number of event occurrences using fold.

**definition**  $\text{ticks-up-to-fold} :: \langle \text{clock}, \text{nat} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{nat}$   
**where**  $\langle \text{ticks-up-to-fold } c \ n = \text{fold count } (\text{map } c \ [0..<\text{Suc } n]) \ 0 \rangle$

Alternative definition of the number of event occurrences as a function.

**fun**  $\text{ticks-up-to-fun} :: \langle \text{clock}, \text{nat} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{nat}$   
**where**  
 $\langle \text{ticks-up-to-fun } c \ 0 = \text{count } (c \ 0) \ 0 \rangle$   
 $| \langle \text{ticks-up-to-fun } c \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{count } (c \ (\text{Suc } n)) \ (\text{ticks-up-to-fun } c \ n) \rangle$

Proof that the original definition and the function definition are equivalent.

Use this to generate code.

**lemma**  $\text{ticks-up-to-is-fun}[\text{code}]: \langle \text{ticks-up-to } c \ n = \text{ticks-up-to-fun } c \ n \rangle$

**proof** ( $\text{induction } n$ )

**case**  $0$

```

  have  $\langle \text{ticks-up-to } c \ 0 = \text{card } \{t. t \leq 0 \wedge c \ t\} \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: ticks-up-to-def)
  also have  $\langle \dots = \text{card } \{t. t=0 \wedge c \ t\} \rangle$  by simp
  also have  $\langle \dots = (\text{if } c \ 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: Collect-conv-if)
  also have  $\langle \dots = \text{ticks-up-to-fun } c \ 0 \rangle$ 

```

```

    using ticks-up-to-fun.simps(1) count-def by simp
    finally show ?case .
next
case (Suc n)
show ?case
proof (cases ⟨c (Suc n)⟩)
case True
hence ⟨{t. t ≤ Suc n ∧ c t} = insert (Suc n) {t. t ≤ n ∧ c t}⟩ by auto
hence ⟨ticks-up-to c (Suc n) = Suc (ticks-up-to c n)⟩
by (simp add: ticks-up-to-def)
also have ⟨... = Suc (ticks-up-to-fun c n)⟩ using Suc.IH by simp
finally show ?thesis by (simp add: count-def ⟨c (Suc n)⟩)
next
case False
hence ⟨{t. t ≤ Suc n ∧ c t} = {t. t ≤ n ∧ c t}⟩ using le-Suc-eq by blast
hence ⟨ticks-up-to c (Suc n) = ticks-up-to c n⟩
by (simp add: ticks-up-to-def)
also have ⟨... = ticks-up-to-fun c n⟩ using Suc.IH by simp
finally show ?thesis by (simp add: count-def ⟨¬c (Suc n)⟩)
qed
qed

```

Number of event occurrences during an  $n$  instant window starting at  $t_0$ .

**definition** *tick-count* ::  $\langle \text{clock}, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{nat}$   
**where**  $\langle \text{tick-count } c \ t_0 \ n \equiv \text{card } \{t. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + n \wedge c \ t\} \rangle$

The number of event occurrences is monotonous with regard to the window width.

**lemma** *tick-count-mono*:

```

assumes ⟨n' ≥ n⟩
shows ⟨tick-count c t0 n' ≥ tick-count c t0 n⟩
proof –
have finite: ⟨finite {t::nat. t0 ≤ t ∧ t < t0+n' ∧ c t}⟩ by simp
from assms have incl:
  ⟨{t::nat. t0 ≤ t ∧ t < t0+n ∧ c t} ⊆ {t::nat. t0 ≤ t ∧ t < t0+n' ∧ c t}⟩ by
auto
have ⟨card {t::nat. t0 ≤ t ∧ t < t0+n ∧ c t}
  ≤ card {t::nat. t0 ≤ t ∧ t < t0+n' ∧ c t}⟩
using card-mono[OF finite incl] .
thus ?thesis using tick-count-def by simp
qed

```

The interval  $[t, t+n[$  contains  $n$  instants.

**lemma** *card-interval*:  $\langle \text{card } \{t. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + n\} = n \rangle$

```

proof (induction n)
case 0
then show ?case by simp
next
case (Suc n)

```

**have**  $\langle \{t. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + (Suc\ n)\} = insert\ (t_0 + n)\ \{t. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + n\} \rangle$   
**by** *auto*  
**hence**  $\langle card\ \{t. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + (Suc\ n)\} = Suc\ (card\ \{t. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + n\}) \rangle$   
**by** *simp*  
**with** *Suc.IH* **show** *?case* **by** *simp*  
**qed**

There cannot be more than  $n$  occurrences of an event in an interval of  $n$  instants.

**lemma** *tick-count-bound*:  $\langle tick-count\ c\ t_0\ n \leq n \rangle$   
**proof** –  
**have** *finite*:  $\langle finite\ \{t. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + n\} \rangle$  **by** *simp*  
**have** *incl*:  $\langle \{t. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + n \wedge c\ t\} \subseteq \{t. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + n\} \rangle$  **by** *blast*  
**show** *?thesis* **using** *tick-count-def card-interval card-mono[OF finite incl]* **by** *simp*  
**qed**

No event occurrence occur in 0 instant.

**lemma** *tick-count-0[code]*:  $\langle tick-count\ c\ t_0\ 0 = 0 \rangle$   
**unfolding** *tick-count-def* **by** *simp*

Event occurrences starting from instant 0 are event occurrences from the beginning.

**lemma** *tick-count-orig[code]*:  
 $\langle tick-count\ c\ 0\ (Suc\ n) = ticks-up-to\ c\ n \rangle$   
**unfolding** *tick-count-def ticks-up-to-def*  
**using** *less-Suc-eq-le* **by** *simp*

Counting event occurrences between two instants is simply subtracting occurrence counts from the beginning.

**lemma** *tick-count-diff[code]*:  
 $\langle tick-count\ c\ (Suc\ t_0)\ n = (ticks-up-to\ c\ (t_0 + n)) - (ticks-up-to\ c\ t_0) \rangle$   
**proof** –  
**have** *incl*:  $\langle \{t. t \leq t_0 \wedge c\ t\} \subseteq \{t. t \leq t_0 + n \wedge c\ t\} \rangle$  **by** *auto*  
**have**  $\langle \{t. (Suc\ t_0) \leq t \wedge t < (Suc\ t_0) + n \wedge c\ t\} = \{t. t \leq t_0 + n \wedge c\ t\} - \{t. t \leq t_0 \wedge c\ t\} \rangle$  **by** *auto*  
**hence**  $\langle card\ \{t. (Suc\ t_0) \leq t \wedge t < (Suc\ t_0) + n \wedge c\ t\} = card\ \{t. t \leq t_0 + n \wedge c\ t\} - card\ \{t. t \leq t_0 \wedge c\ t\} \rangle$   
**by** (*simp add: card-Diff-subset incl*)  
**thus** *?thesis* **unfolding** *tick-count-def ticks-up-to-def* .  
**qed**

The merge of two clocks has less ticks than the union of the ticks of the two clocks.

**lemma** *tick-count-merge*:  
 $\langle tick-count\ (c \oplus c')\ t_0\ n \leq tick-count\ c\ t_0\ n + tick-count\ c'\ t_0\ n \rangle$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $\langle \{t::nat. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + n \wedge ((c \oplus c')\ t)\} \subseteq \{t. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + n \wedge c\ t\} \cup \{t. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + n \wedge c'\ t\} \rangle$

$= \{t::nat. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0+n \wedge c\ t\} \cup \{t::nat. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0+n \wedge c'\ t\}$   
**using** *merge-def* **by** *auto*  
**hence**  $\langle \text{card } \{t::nat. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0+n \wedge ((c \oplus c')\ t)\} \rangle$   
 $\leq \text{card } \{t::nat. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0+n \wedge c\ t\}$   
 $+ \text{card } \{t::nat. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0+n \wedge c'\ t\}$  **by** (*simp add: card-Un-le*)  
**thus** *?thesis* **unfolding** *tick-count-def* .  
**qed**

## 4 Bounded clocks

An (n,m)-bounded clock does not tick more than m times in a n interval of width n.

**definition** *bounded* ::  $\langle [nat, nat, clock] \Rightarrow bool \rangle$   
**where**  $\langle \text{bounded } n\ m\ c \equiv \forall t. \text{tick-count } c\ t\ n \leq m \rangle$

All clocks are (n,n)-bounded.

**lemma** *bounded-n*:  $\langle \text{bounded } n\ n\ c \rangle$   
**unfolding** *bounded-def* **using** *tick-count-bound* **by** (*simp add: le-imp-less-Suc*)

A sporadic clock is bounded.

**lemma** *spor-bound*:  
**assumes**  $\langle \forall t::nat. c\ t \longrightarrow (\forall t'. (t < t' \wedge t' \leq t+n) \longrightarrow \neg(c\ t')) \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \forall t::nat. \text{card } \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c\ t'\} \leq 1 \rangle$   
**proof** –  
{ **fix**  $t::nat$   
**have**  $\langle \text{card } \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c\ t'\} \leq 1 \rangle$   
**proof** (*cases*  $\langle c\ t \rangle$ )  
**case** *True*  
**with** *assms* **have**  $\langle \forall t'. (t < t' \wedge t' \leq t+n) \longrightarrow \neg(c\ t') \rangle$  **by** *simp*  
**hence** *empty*:  $\langle \text{card } \{t'. t < t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c\ t'\} = 0 \rangle$  **by** *simp*  
**have** *finite*:  $\langle \text{finite } \{t'. t < t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c\ t'\} \rangle$  **by** *simp*  
**have** *notin*:  $\langle t \notin \{t'. t < t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c\ t'\} \rangle$  **by** *simp*  
**have**  $\langle \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c\ t'\} \rangle$   
 $= \text{insert } t\ \{t'. t < t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c\ t'\}$  **using**  $\langle c\ t \rangle$  **by** *auto*  
**hence**  $\langle \text{card } \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c\ t'\} = 1 \rangle$   
**using** *empty card-insert-disjoint[OF finite notin]* **by** *simp*  
**then show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*  
**next**  
**case** *False*  
**then show** *?thesis*  
**proof**(*cases*  $\langle \exists tt. t < tt \wedge tt \leq t+n \wedge c\ tt \rangle$ )  
**case** *True*  
  
**hence**  $\langle \exists ttmin. t < ttmin \wedge ttmin \leq t+n \wedge c\ ttmin \rangle$   
 $\wedge \langle \forall tt'. (t < tt' \wedge tt' \leq t+n \wedge c\ tt') \longrightarrow ttmin \leq tt' \rangle$   
**by** (*metis add-lessD1 add-less-mono1 assms le-eq-less-or-eq*  
*le-refl less-imp-le-nat nat-le-iff-add nat-le-linear*)



from *this* obtain *ttmin* where  
 $tmin: \langle t < ttmin \wedge ttmin \leq t+n \wedge c \ ttmin$   
 $\wedge (\forall tt'. (t < tt' \wedge tt' \leq t+n \wedge c \ tt') \longrightarrow ttmin \leq tt') \rangle$  by *blast*  
 hence *tick*: $\langle c \ ttmin \rangle$  by *simp*  
 with *assms* have *notick*: $\langle (\forall t'. ttmin < t' \wedge t' \leq ttmin + n \longrightarrow \neg c \ t') \rangle$   
 by *simp*  
 have  $\langle \forall t'. (t < t' \wedge t' < ttmin) \longrightarrow \neg c \ t' \rangle$  using *tmin*  $\langle \neg c \ t \rangle$  by *auto*  
 moreover from *notick* *tmin* have  
 $\langle \forall t'. (ttmin < t' \wedge t' \leq t+n) \longrightarrow \neg c \ t' \rangle$  by *auto*  
 ultimately have  $\langle \forall t':nat. (t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t') \longrightarrow t' = ttmin \rangle$   
 using *tick* *tmin*  $\langle \neg c \ t \rangle$  *le-eq-less-or-eq* by *auto*  
 hence  $\langle \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\} = \{ttmin\} \rangle$  using *tmin* by *fastforce*  
 hence  $\langle card \ \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\} = 1 \rangle$  by *simp*  
 thus *?thesis* by *simp*  
 next  
 case *False*  
 with  $\langle \neg c \ t \rangle$  have  $\langle \forall t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \longrightarrow \neg c \ t' \rangle$   
 using *nat-less-le* by *blast*  
 hence  $\langle card \ \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\} = 0 \rangle$  by *simp*  
 thus *?thesis* by *linarith*  
 qed  
 qed  
 } thus *?thesis* ..  
 qed

A sporadic clock is bounded.

**lemma** *spor-bound'*:

assumes  $\langle \forall t::nat. c \ t \longrightarrow (\forall t'. (t < t' \wedge c \ t') \longrightarrow t' > t+n) \rangle$   
 shows  $\langle \forall t::nat. card \ \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\} \leq 1 \rangle$   
 proof –  
 { fix *t::nat*  
 have  $\langle card \ \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\} \leq 1 \rangle$   
 proof (cases  $\langle c \ t \rangle$ )  
 case *True*  
 with *assms* have  $\langle \forall t'. (t < t' \wedge c \ t') \longrightarrow t' > t+n \rangle$  by *simp*  
 hence *empty*:  $\langle card \ \{t'. t < t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\} = 0 \rangle$  by *auto*  
 have *finite*:  $\langle finite \ \{t'. t < t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\} \rangle$  by *simp*  
 have *notin*:  $\langle t \notin \{t'. t < t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\} \rangle$  by *simp*  
 have  $\langle \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\}$   
 $= insert \ t \ \{t'. t < t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\} \rangle$  using  $\langle c \ t \rangle$  by *auto*  
 hence  $\langle card \ \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\} = 1 \rangle$   
 using *empty* *card-insert-disjoint*[*OF* *finite* *notin*] by *simp*  
 then show *?thesis* by *simp*  
 next  
 case *False*  
 then show *?thesis*  
 proof (cases  $\langle \exists tt. t < tt \wedge tt \leq t+n \wedge c \ tt \rangle$ )  
 case *True*

hence  $\langle \exists ttmin. t < ttmin \wedge ttmin \leq t+n \wedge c \ ttmin$   
 $\wedge (\forall tt'. (t < tt' \wedge tt' \leq t+n \wedge c \ tt') \longrightarrow ttmin \leq tt') \rangle$   
 by (metis add-lessD1 add-less-mono1 assms le-Suc-ex le-eq-less-or-eq le-refl  
 less-imp-le-nat nat-le-linear nat-neq-iff)  
 from this obtain ttmin where  
 $tmin: \langle t < ttmin \wedge ttmin \leq t+n \wedge c \ ttmin$   
 $\wedge (\forall tt'. (t < tt' \wedge tt' \leq t+n \wedge c \ tt') \longrightarrow ttmin \leq tt') \rangle$  by blast  
 hence tick: $\langle c \ ttmin \rangle$  by simp  
 with assms have notick: $\langle (\forall t'. ttmin < t' \wedge c \ t' \longrightarrow t' > ttmin + n) \rangle$  by  
 simp  
 have  $\langle \forall t'. (t < t' \wedge t' < ttmin) \longrightarrow \neg c \ t' \rangle$  using tmin  $\langle \neg c \ t \rangle$  by auto  
 moreover from notick tmin have  
 $\langle \forall t'. (ttmin < t' \wedge t' \leq t+n) \longrightarrow \neg c \ t' \rangle$  by auto  
 ultimately have  $\langle \forall t':nat. (t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t') \longrightarrow t' = ttmin \rangle$   
 using tick tmin  $\langle \neg c \ t \rangle$  le-eq-less-or-eq by auto  
 hence  $\langle \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\} = \{ttmin\} \rangle$  using tmin by fastforce  
 hence  $\langle card \ \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\} = 1 \rangle$  by simp  
 thus ?thesis by simp  
 next  
 case False  
 with  $\langle \neg c \ t \rangle$  have  $\langle \forall t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \longrightarrow \neg c \ t' \rangle$   
 using nat-less-le by blast  
 hence  $\langle card \ \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\} = 0 \rangle$  by simp  
 thus ?thesis by linarith  
 qed  
 qed  
 } thus ?thesis ..  
 qed

An n-sporadic clock is (n+1, 1)-bounded.

**lemma spor-bounded:**

assumes  $\langle p\text{-sporadic } n \ c \rangle$   
 shows  $\langle bounded \ (n+1) \ 1 \ c \rangle$

**proof** –

from assms have  $\langle \forall t. c \ t \longrightarrow (\forall t'. (t < t' \wedge c \ t') \longrightarrow t' > t+n) \rangle$   
 using p-sporadic-def by simp  
 from spor-bound'[OF this] have  $\langle \forall t. card \ \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' \leq t+n \wedge c \ t'\} \leq 1 \rangle$ .  
 hence  $\langle \forall t. card \ \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' < Suc \ (t+n) \wedge c \ t'\} \leq 1 \rangle$   
 using less-Suc-eq-le by auto  
 hence  $\langle \forall t. card \ \{t'. t \leq t' \wedge t' < t + Suc \ n \wedge c \ t'\} \leq 1 \rangle$  by auto  
 thus ?thesis unfolding bounded-def tick-count-def Suc-eq-plus1 .  
 qed

An n-sporadic clock is (n+2, 2)-bounded.

**lemma spor-bounded2:**

assumes  $\langle p\text{-sporadic } n \ c \rangle$   
 shows  $\langle bounded \ (n+2) \ 2 \ c \rangle$

**proof** –

from spor-bounded[OF assms] have

```

      *:⟨∀ t. card {t'. t ≤ t' ∧ t' < t + Suc n ∧ c t'} ≤ 1⟩
    unfolding bounded-def tick-count-def by simp
  hence ⟨∀ t. card {t'. t ≤ t' ∧ t' < Suc (t + Suc n) ∧ c t'} ≤ Suc 1⟩
  proof -
    { fix t::nat
      from * have **:⟨card {t'. t ≤ t' ∧ t' < t + Suc n ∧ c t'} ≤ 1⟩ by simp
      have ⟨card {t'. t ≤ t' ∧ t' < Suc (t + Suc n) ∧ c t'} ≤ Suc 1⟩
      proof (cases ⟨c (t + Suc n)⟩)
        case True
          hence ⟨{t'. t ≤ t' ∧ t' < Suc (t + Suc n) ∧ c t'}
            = insert (t+Suc n) {t'. t ≤ t' ∧ t' < t + Suc n ∧ c t'}⟩ by auto
          hence ⟨card {t'. t ≤ t' ∧ t' < Suc (t + Suc n) ∧ c t'}
            = Suc (card {t'. t ≤ t' ∧ t' < t + Suc n ∧ c t'})⟩ by simp
          thus ?thesis using ** by simp
        case False
          hence ⟨{t'. t ≤ t' ∧ t' < Suc (t + Suc n) ∧ c t'}
            = {t'. t ≤ t' ∧ t' < t + Suc n ∧ c t'}⟩ using less-Suc-eq by blast
          hence ⟨card {t'. t ≤ t' ∧ t' < Suc (t + Suc n) ∧ c t'}
            = (card {t'. t ≤ t' ∧ t' < t + Suc n ∧ c t'})⟩ by simp
          thus ?thesis using ** by simp
        qed
      } thus ?thesis ..
    }
  qed
  thus ?thesis unfolding bounded-def tick-count-def
    by (metis Suc-1 add-Suc-right Suc-eq-plus1)
  qed

```

A bounded clock on an interval is also bounded on a narrower interval.

```

lemma bounded-less:
  assumes ⟨bounded n' m c⟩
    and ⟨n' ≥ n⟩
  shows ⟨bounded n m c⟩
  using assms(1) unfolding bounded-def
  using tick-count-mono[OF assms(2)] order-trans by blast

```

The merge of two bounded clocks is bounded.

```

lemma bounded-merge:
  assumes ⟨bounded n m c⟩
    and ⟨bounded n' m' c'⟩
    and ⟨n' ≥ n⟩
  shows ⟨bounded n (m+m') (c⊕c')⟩
  using tick-count-merge bounded-less[OF assms(2,3)] assms(1,2) add-mono order-trans
  unfolding bounded-def by blast

```

The merge of two sporadic clocks is bounded.

```

lemma sporadic-bounded1:
  assumes ⟨p-sporadic n c⟩
    and ⟨p-sporadic n' c'⟩

```

```

    and  $\langle n' \geq n \rangle$ 
    shows  $\langle \text{bounded } (n+1) \ 2 \ (c \oplus c') \rangle$ 
  proof -
    have  $1: \langle \text{bounded } (n+1) \ 1 \ c \rangle$  using spor-bounded[OF assms(1)] .
    have  $2: \langle \text{bounded } (n'+1) \ 1 \ c' \rangle$  using spor-bounded[OF assms(2)] .
    from assms(3) have  $3: \langle n'+1 \geq n+1 \rangle$  by simp
    have  $\langle 1+1 = (2::\text{nat}) \rangle$  by simp
    with bounded-merge[OF 1 2 3] show ?thesis by metis
qed

```

## 4.1 Main theorem

The merge of two sporadic clocks is bounded on the min of the bounding intervals.

```

theorem sporadic-bounded-min:
  assumes  $\langle p\text{-sporadic } n \ c \rangle$ 
    and  $\langle p\text{-sporadic } n' \ c' \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{bounded } ((\min n \ n') + 1) \ 2 \ (c \oplus c') \rangle$ 
proof (cases  $\langle n \leq n' \rangle$ )
  case True
    hence  $\langle \min n \ n' = n \rangle$  by simp
    thus ?thesis using sporadic-bounded1[OF assms True] by simp
  next
    case False
    hence  $1: \langle n' = \min n \ n' \rangle$  and  $2: \langle n' \leq n \rangle$  by simp +
    from sporadic-bounded1[OF assms(2) assms(1) 2] 1 show ?thesis using
    merge-comm by simp
qed

```

## 5 Logical time

Logical time is a natural number that is attached to instants. Logical time can stay constant for an arbitrary number of instants, but it cannot decrease. When logical time stays constant for an infinite number of instants, we have a Zeno condition.

```

typedef time =  $\langle \{t::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}. \text{mono } t\} \rangle$ 
using mono-Suc by blast

```

**setup-lifting** *type-definition-time*

A chronometric clock is a clock associated with a time line.

**type-synonym** *chronoclock* =  $\langle \text{clock} \times \text{time} \rangle$

@term  $c \nabla t$  tells whether chronometric clock  $c$  ticks at instant  $t$ .

```

definition ticks ::  $\langle [\text{chronoclock}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  (infix  $\langle \nabla \rangle$  60)
  where  $\langle c \nabla t \equiv (\text{fst } c) \ t \rangle$ 

```

@term $c_t$  is the logical time on clock  $c$  at instant  $t$ .

**lift-definition**  $time-at :: \langle [chronoclock, nat] \Rightarrow nat \rangle \langle \cdot \rangle [60, 60]$   
**is**  $\langle \lambda c t. (snd\ c)\ t \rangle$ .

**lemmas**  $chronoclocks-simp[simp] = ticks-def\ time-at-def$

As consequence of the definition of the  $time$  type,  $(\nabla)$  is monotonous for any clock.

**lemma**  $mono-chronotime$ :  
 $\langle mono\ (time-at\ c) \rangle$  **using**  $Rep-time$  **by**  $auto$

An event occurs at a given time if the clock ticks at some instant at that time.

**definition**  $occurs :: \langle [nat, chronoclock] \Rightarrow bool \rangle$   
**where**  $\langle occurs\ n\ c \equiv \exists k. (c\ \nabla\ k \wedge c_k = n) \rangle$

An event occurs once at a given time if the clock ticks at exactly one instant at that time.

**definition**  $occurs-once :: \langle [nat, chronoclock] \Rightarrow bool \rangle$   
**where**  $\langle occurs-once\ n\ c \equiv \exists !k. (c\ \nabla\ k \wedge c_k = n) \rangle$

**lemma**  $occurs-once-occurs$ :  
 $\langle occurs-once\ n\ c \implies occurs\ n\ c \rangle$   
**unfolding**  $occurs-once-def\ occurs-def$  **by**  $blast$

A clock is strict at a given time if it ticks at most once at that time.

**definition**  $strict-at :: \langle [nat, chronoclock] \Rightarrow bool \rangle$   
**where**  $\langle strict-at\ n\ c \equiv (occurs\ n\ c \longrightarrow occurs-once\ n\ c) \rangle$

**definition**  $strict-clock :: \langle chronoclock \Rightarrow bool \rangle$   
**where**  $\langle strict-clock\ c \equiv (\forall n. strict-at\ n\ c) \rangle$

## 5.1 Chrono-periodic and chrono-sporadic clocks

The introduction of logical time allows us to define periodicity and sporadicity on logical time instead of instant index.

**definition**  $kp-chronoperiodic :: \langle [nat, nat, chronoclock] \Rightarrow bool \rangle$   
**where**  $\langle kp-chronoperiodic\ k\ p\ c \equiv (p > 0) \wedge (\forall n. occurs\ n\ c = ((n \geq k) \wedge ((n - k) \bmod p = 0))) \rangle$

**definition**  $p-chronoperiodic :: \langle [nat, chronoclock] \Rightarrow bool \rangle$   
**where**  $\langle p-chronoperiodic\ p\ c \equiv \exists k. kp-chronoperiodic\ k\ p\ c \rangle$

**definition**  $chronoperiodic :: \langle [chronoclock] \Rightarrow bool \rangle$   
**where**  $\langle chronoperiodic\ c \equiv \exists p. p-chronoperiodic\ p\ c \rangle$

A clock is strictly chronoperiodic if it ticks only once at the logical times when it ticks.

**definition** *chronoperiodic-strict* ::  $\langle [\text{chronoclock}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$   
**where**  $\langle \text{chronoperiodic-strict } c \equiv \text{chronoperiodic } c \wedge \text{strict-clock } c \rangle$

**definition** *p-chronoperiodic-strict* ::  $\langle [\text{nat}, \text{chronoclock}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$   
**where**  $\langle \text{p-chronoperiodic-strict } p \ c \equiv \text{p-chronoperiodic } p \ c \wedge \text{strict-clock } c \rangle$

**lemma**  $\langle \text{chronoperiodic-strict } c \implies \text{chronoperiodic } c \rangle$   
**unfolding** *chronoperiodic-strict-def* **by** *simp*

**definition** *p-chronosporadic* ::  $\langle [\text{nat}, \text{chronoclock}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$   
**where**  $\langle \text{p-chronosporadic } p \ c \equiv$   
 $\forall t. \text{occurs } t \ c \longrightarrow (\forall t'. (t' > t \wedge \text{occurs } t' \ c) \longrightarrow t' > t + p) \rangle$

**definition**  $\langle \text{p-chronosporadic-strict } p \ c \equiv \text{p-chronosporadic } p \ c \wedge \text{strict-clock } c \rangle$

**definition**  $\langle \text{chronosporadic } c \equiv (\exists p > 0. \text{p-chronosporadic } p \ c) \rangle$

**definition**  $\langle \text{chronosporadic-strict } c \equiv \text{chronosporadic } c \wedge \text{strict-clock } c \rangle$

**lemma** *chrono-periodic-suc-sporadic*:

**assumes**  $\langle \text{p-chronoperiodic } (p+1) \ c \rangle$

**shows**  $\langle \text{p-chronosporadic } p \ c \rangle$

**proof** –

**from** *assms p-chronoperiodic-def* **obtain** *k*

**where**  $\langle \text{kp-chronoperiodic } k \ (p+1) \ c \rangle$  **by** *blast*

**hence**  $\ast: \langle \forall n. \text{occurs } n \ c \equiv ((n \geq k) \wedge ((n - k) \bmod (p+1) = 0)) \rangle$

**unfolding** *kp-chronoperiodic-def* **by** *simp*

**with** *mod-offset-sporadic'[of k - (p+1)]* **have**

$\langle \forall n. \text{occurs } n \ c \longrightarrow (\forall n'. (n < n' \wedge ((n' - k) \bmod (p+1) = 0)) \longrightarrow n' \geq n + p + 1) \rangle$

**by** *simp*

**thus** *?thesis* **unfolding** *p-chronosporadic-def* **by** (*simp add: \* Suc-le-lessD*)

**qed**

**lemma** *chrono-periodic-suc-sporadic-strict*:

**assumes**  $\langle \text{p-chronoperiodic-strict } (p+1) \ c \rangle$

**shows**  $\langle \text{p-chronosporadic-strict } p \ c \rangle$

**using** *assms chrono-periodic-suc-sporadic*

*p-chronoperiodic-strict-def p-chronosporadic-strict-def*

**by** *simp*

Number of ticks up to a given logical time. This counts distinct ticks that happen at the same logical time.

**definition** *chrono-dense-up-to* ::  $\langle [\text{chronoclock}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$   
**where**  $\langle \text{chrono-dense-up-to } c \ n = \text{card } \{t. c_t \leq n \wedge c \nabla t\} \rangle$

A clock is Zeno if it ticks an infinite number of times in a finite amount of time.

**definition** *zeno-clock* ::  $\langle \text{chronoclock} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$

**where**  $\langle \text{zeno-clock } c \equiv (\exists \omega. \text{infinite } \{t. c_t \leq \omega \wedge c \nabla t\}) \rangle$

Number of occurrences of an event up to a given logical time. This does not count separately ticks that occur at the same logical time.

**definition**  $\text{chrono-up-to} :: [\text{chronoclock}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

**where**  $\langle \text{chrono-up-to } c \ n = \text{card } \{t. t \leq n \wedge \text{occurs } t \ c\} \rangle$

For any time  $n$ , a non Zeno clock has less occurrences than ticks up to  $n$ . This is also true for Zeno clock, but we count ticks and occurrences using *card*, and in Isabelle/HOL, the cardinal of an infinite set is 0, so the inequality breaks when there are infinitely many ticks before a given time.

**lemma** *not-zeno-sparse*:

**assumes**  $\langle \neg \text{zeno-clock } c \rangle$

**shows**  $\langle \text{chrono-up-to } c \ n \leq \text{chrono-dense-up-to } c \ n \rangle$

**proof** –

**from** *assms* **have**  $\langle \text{finite } \{t. c_t \leq n \wedge c \nabla t\} \rangle$

**unfolding** *zeno-clock-def* **by** *simp*

**moreover from** *occurs-def* **have**

$\langle \exists f. \forall t. t \leq n \wedge \text{occurs } t \ c \longrightarrow$

$(\exists k. f \ k = t \wedge c_k \leq n \wedge c \nabla k) \rangle$  **by** *auto*

**hence**

$\langle \exists f. \forall t \in \{t. t \leq n \wedge \text{occurs } t \ c\}.$

$\exists k. f \ k = t \wedge k \in \{k. c_k \leq n \wedge c \nabla k\} \rangle$  **by** *simp*

**hence**  $\langle \exists f. \{t. t \leq n \wedge \text{occurs } t \ c\} \subseteq \text{image } f \ \{k. c_k \leq n \wedge c \nabla k\} \rangle$

**by** *fastforce*

**ultimately have**  $\langle \text{card } \{t. t \leq n \wedge \text{occurs } t \ c\} \leq \text{card } \{k. c_k \leq n \wedge c \nabla k\} \rangle$

**using** *surj-card-le* **by** *blast*

**thus** *?thesis*

**unfolding** *chrono-up-to-def* *chrono-dense-up-to-def* *occurs-def* **by** *simp*

**qed**

## 6 Tests

**abbreviation**  $\langle c1::\text{clock} \equiv (\lambda t. t \geq 1 \wedge (t-1) \bmod 2 = 0) \rangle$

**abbreviation**  $\langle c2::\text{clock} \equiv (\lambda t. t \geq 2 \wedge (t-2) \bmod 3 = 0) \rangle$

**value**  $\langle c1 \ 0 \rangle$

**value**  $\langle c1 \ 1 \rangle$

**value**  $\langle c1 \ 2 \rangle$

**value**  $\langle c1 \ 3 \rangle$

**value**  $\langle c2 \ 0 \rangle$

**value**  $\langle c2 \ 1 \rangle$

**value**  $\langle c2 \ 2 \rangle$

**value**  $\langle c2 \ 3 \rangle$

**value**  $\langle c2 \ 4 \rangle$

**value**  $\langle c2 \ 5 \rangle$

**lemma**  $\langle kp\text{-periodic } 1 \ 2 \ c1 \rangle$   
**using**  $kp\text{-periodic-def}$  **by**  $simp$

**lemma**  $\langle kp\text{-periodic } 2 \ 3 \ c2 \rangle$   
**using**  $kp\text{-periodic-def}$  **by**  $simp$

**abbreviation**  $\langle c3 \equiv c1 \oplus c2 \rangle$

**value**  $\langle map \ c1 \ [0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10] \rangle$   
**value**  $\langle map \ c2 \ [0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10] \rangle$   
**value**  $\langle map \ c3 \ [0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10] \rangle$

**lemma**  $\langle interv\text{-}2 : \{t :: nat. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + 2 \wedge 1 \leq t \wedge (t - 1) \bmod 2 = 0\} = \{t. (t = t_0 \vee t = t_0 + 1) \wedge 1 \leq t \wedge (t - 1) \bmod 2 = 0\} \rangle$   
**by**  $auto$

**lemma**  $\langle bounded \ 2 \ 1 \ c1 \rangle$

**proof**  $-$

**have**  $\langle \forall t. tick\text{-}count \ c1 \ t \ 2 \leq 1 \rangle$

**proof**  $-$

**{ fix**  $t_0 :: nat$

**have**  $\langle tick\text{-}count \ c1 \ t_0 \ 2 \leq 1 \rangle$

**proof**  $\langle cases \ t_0 \rangle$

**case**  $0$

**hence**  $\langle tick\text{-}count \ c1 \ t_0 \ 2 = ticks\text{-}up\text{-}to \ c1 \ 1 \rangle$

**using**  $tick\text{-}count\text{-}orig$  **by**  $(simp \ add : numeral\text{-}2\text{-}eq\text{-}2)$

**also have**  $\langle \dots = card \ \{t :: nat. t \leq 1 \wedge 1 \leq t \wedge (t - 1) \bmod 2 = 0\} \rangle$

**unfolding**  $ticks\text{-}up\text{-}to\text{-}def$  **by**  $simp$

**also have**  $\langle \dots \leq card \ \{t :: nat. t \leq 1 \wedge 1 \leq t\} \rangle$

**by**  $(metis \ (mono\text{-}tags, \ lifting) \ Collect\text{-}cong \ cancel\text{-}comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add\text{-}class.diff\text{-}cancel \ le\text{-}antisym \ le\text{-}refl \ mod\text{-}0)$

**also have**  $\langle \dots = card \ \{t :: nat. t = 1\} \rangle$  **by**  $(metis \ le\text{-}antisym \ order\text{-}refl)$

**also have**  $\langle \dots = 1 \rangle$  **by**  $simp$

**finally show**  $?thesis$  .

**next**

**case**  $(Suc \ nat)$

**then show**  $?thesis$

**proof**  $\langle cases \ \langle (t_0 - 1) \bmod 2 = 0 \rangle \rangle$

**case**  $True$

**with**  $Suc$  **have**  $\langle t_0 \bmod 2 \neq 0 \rangle$  **by**  $arith$

**hence**  $\langle \{t. (t = t_0 \vee t = t_0 + 1) \wedge 1 \leq t \wedge (t - 1) \bmod 2 = 0\} =$

$\{t_0\} \rangle$

**using**  $True$  **by**  $auto$

**hence**  $\langle \{t. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + 2 \wedge 1 \leq t \wedge (t - 1) \bmod 2 = 0\} = \{t_0\} \rangle$

**using**  $interv\text{-}2$  **by**  $simp$

**thus**  $?thesis$  **unfolding**  $tick\text{-}count\text{-}def$  **by**  $simp$

**next**

**case**  $False$

**with**  $Suc$  **have**  $\langle t_0 \bmod 2 = 0 \rangle$  **by**  $arith$



```

    hence  $\langle \{t. (t = t_0 \vee t = t_0 + 1) \wedge 1 \leq t \wedge (t - 1) \bmod 2 = 0\} =$ 
 $\{t_0+1\} \rangle$ 
      by auto
    hence  $\langle \{t. t_0 \leq t \wedge t < t_0 + 2 \wedge 1 \leq t \wedge (t - 1) \bmod 2 = 0\} =$ 
 $\{t_0+1\} \rangle$ 
      using interv-2 by simp
    thus ?thesis unfolding tick-count-def by simp
  qed
}
}
thus ?thesis ..
qed
thus ?thesis using bounded-def by simp
qed
end

```