

BERN UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES

BTI7311P - PROJECT 1

State of WebRTC and its pitfalls

Authors:
Frédéric N. Lehmann

Tutor:
Dr. Simon Kramer

March 10, 2020



Abstract

In this paper we will explore the state of WebRTC and its architectural pitfalls. WebRTC is a web API for real-time communication on a peer to peer basis. Goal of this work will be to document the state of WebRTC and its real world applications. We will explore those possibilities on the basis of example applications and their implementations and limitations.

Contents

Abstract

List of Figures	4
1 Introduction	5
1.1 Support	5
1.2 Session Establishment	5
1.2.1 Network Address Translation (NAT)	5
1.2.2 Session Traversal Utilities for NAT (STUN)	5
1.2.3 Traversal Using Relays around NAT (TURN)	6
1.2.4 Session Description Protocol (SDP)	7
1.2.5 ICE Candidates	7
1.2.6 Complete communication schema	7
1.3 Security	8
1.4 Related topics	8
2 WebRTC example applications	10
2.1 Connect	10
2.2 Disconnect	11
2.3 Sending Data	11
2.4 Video chat	12
2.4.1 Accessing client media	12
3 Learnings	15
3.0.1 STUN / TURN Server	15
3.0.2 Jitsi Videobridge	15
Bibliography	16

List of Figures

1.1	STUN communication schema, https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/WebRTC_API/Protocols	6
1.2	TURN communication schema, https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/WebRTC_API/Protocols	7
1.3	WebRTC Complete communication schema, https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/WebRTC_API/Connectivity	8

1 Introduction

WebRTC is short for web real-time communication, it is an API that modern browser support and can be used by web developers to implement a peer to peer communication. It can be used to capture and stream audio and/or video data, as well as to exchange arbitrary data between browser without requiring an intermediary.

1.1 Support

All major browser support WebRTC in its newest release. Older versions might not, or only partially, implement this API so the Adapter.js [1] project should be considered for productive solutions. For detailed information on supported browsers use caniuse [2].

1.2 Session Establishment

The session establishment uses different network methods to create connection to a peer. This also includes substitutions for situations where the default connection can not be established.

1.2.1 Network Address Translation (NAT)

Is used to give devices in a network a public IP address. This is achieved by translating requests from the device's private IP to the router's public IP with a unique port. The goal is to not need a unique public IP for each device.

1.2.2 Session Traversal Utilities for NAT (STUN)

This protocol is used to discover the public address of the peer. It also will determine any restrictions that would prevent a direct connection with a peer.

The peer sends a 'who am i' request to a STUN server which responds with the public address of the peer.




Figure 1.1: STUN communication schema, https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/WebRTC_API/Protocols

There are some open STUN servers available:

- `stun.l.google.com:19302`
- `stun[1-4].l.google.com:19302`
- `stunserver.org`
- `stun.schlund.de`
- `stun.voipstunt.com`

There can be found more online.

1.2.3 Traversal Using Relays around NAT (TURN)

If STUN can't be used, because for example 'Symmetric NAT' is employed in the network, TURN will be used as fallback. This is achieved by opening a connection with a TURN server, this server then will relaying all information through that server.




Figure 1.2: TURN communication schema, https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/WebRTC_API/Protocols

There are open TURN servers, for example provided by google. But this will mean all communication is going through a foreign server which might not be acceptable.

1.2.4 Session Description Protocol (SDP)

This standard describes the multimedia content of a connection. This includes a resolution, formats, codecs, encryption, etc. basically it is the metadata describing the content not the content itself.

1.2.5 ICE Candidates

Peers have to exchange information about the network connection, this is known as an ICE candidate. Each peer proposes its best candidate, and will work down to the worst candidate until they agree on a common candidate. This will be UDP ideally but can be TCP as well.

1.2.6 Complete communication schema

The following figure gives an overview over the complete communication mechanism. They also include the fallback mechanisms in case the default is not acceptable.




Figure 1.3: WebRTC Complete communication schema, https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/WebRTC_API/Connectivity

1.3 Security

Generally WebRTC traffic is encrypted using Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS). DTLS is a derivative of SSL, meaning your data will be as secure as using any standard SSL based connection. Traffic that is relayed over a TURN server on the other hand is not necessarily end-to-end encrypted.

Confidentiality for the application data relayed by TURN is best provided by the application protocol itself, since running TURN over TLS does not protect application data between the server and the peer. If confidentiality of application data is important, then the application should encrypt or otherwise protect its data. For example, for real-time media, confidentiality can be provided by using SRTP. [4]

1.4 Related topics

There are multiple related topics to WebRTC. In this section we'll try to give a quick overview over the most important ones.

Media Capture and Streams API

This API is heavily related to WebRTC, it provides support for streaming audio and video data. Provided are interfaces and methods for working with the success and error callbacks when using the data asynchronously and the events that are fired during the process, as well as the constraints associated with data formats.

Web media technologies

This topic represents all available API's for creating, presenting and managing audio, video and other media. Contained are HTML elements, DOM interfaces and other features.

2 WebRTC example applications

In this chapter we'll show some example applications and implementations. These are in a minified version and do not necessarily represent the best practices that should be applied. Nevertheless, there will be sources provided where those best practices can be deducted.

2.1 Connect

This examples shows in a minimal way, how to create a RTC connection. Important in this case is that, the sender creates an offer which is then used to create the `localDescription` for the sender and the `remoteDescription` for the receiver. The receiver on the other hand creates an answer which is used to set the `localDescription` of the receiver and the `remoteDescription` of the sender.

This example shows a version where the sender and receiver are the same client. For a real world application those code parts would be separated, the offer and the answer would be transferred through a signaling service which is not part of the WebRTC specs. Additionally the ICE Candidates would need to negotiated between the peers. This will be explored in a following section.

```
1 // Create connections
2 const sender = new RTCPeerConnection();
3 const receiver = new RTCPeerConnection();
4
5 // Set ICE candidate
6 sender.onicecandidate = e =>
7   !e.candidate || receiver.addIceCandidate(e.candidate);
8 receiver.onicecandidate = e =>
9   !e.candidate || sender.addIceCandidate(e.candidate);
10
11 // Create offer and set description
12 const offer = await sender.createOffer();
13 await sender.setLocalDescription(offer);
14 await receiver.setRemoteDescription(offer);
15
16 // Create answer from receiver and set description
17 const answer = await receiver.createAnswer();
18 await receiver.setLocalDescription(answer);
19 await sender.setRemoteDescription(answer);
```

2.2 Disconnect

The connection can be closed by simply call the close function of the RTC connection.

```
1 sender.close();
2 receiver.close();
```

2.3 Sending Data

In this section we will showcase the ability to transfer arbitrary data between peers. In our case we will send text data, but it could be data in any format.

```
1 let sender;
2 let senderChannel;
3 let receiver;
4
5 async function send() {
6   senderChannel.send(document.querySelector("#senderArea").value);
7 }
8
9 async function init() {
10  // Create sender / receiver connection
11  sender = new RTCPeerConnection(null);
12  senderChannel = sender.createDataChannel("sendDataChannel");
13
14  // Set ICE candidate
15  sender.onicecandidate = e =>
16    !e.candidate || receiver.addIceCandidate(e.candidate);
17
18  receiver = new RTCPeerConnection(null);
19
20  // Set ICE candidate
21  receiver.onicecandidate = e =>
22    !e.candidate || sender.addIceCandidate(e.candidate);
23
24  receiver.ondatachannel = e => {
25    e.channel.onmessage = event => {
26      document.querySelector("#recieverArea").value = event.data;
27    };
28  };
29
30  const offer = await sender.createOffer();
31  sender.setLocalDescription(offer);
32  receiver.setRemoteDescription(offer);
33
34  const answer = await receiver.createAnswer();
35  receiver.setLocalDescription(answer);
36  sender.setRemoteDescription(answer);
37 }
38
```

```

39 (function() {
40     init();
41 })();

```

2.4 Video chat

2.4.1 Accessing client media

Feature check

First we should check if the current environment supports the needed API's.

```

1 function hasUserMedia() {
2     return !(navigator.mediaDevices
3         && navigator.mediaDevices.getUserMedia);
4 }

```

Access media

Then when we have checked if the API's is present we can access client medias, given the user has given his consent.

First we'll add a video channel so the user can see the input from the camera.

```
<video autoplay></video>
```

For more details on best practices with video medias <https://developers.google.com/web/fundamentals/med>

Then we use this code to access the media and present it with the video tag.

```

1 const constraints = {
2     audio: true,
3     video: true
4 };
5
6 const video = document.querySelector("video");
7
8 if (hasUserMedia()) {
9     navigator
10     .mediaDevices
11     .getUserMedia(constraints)
12     .then(stream => {
13         video.srcObject = stream;
14     });
15 }

```

<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/MediaDevices/getUserMedia>

Send Media

```
1 import { hasUserMedia, startStream } from "../media";
2
3 let sender;
4 let receiver;
5
6 function end() {
7   sender.close();
8   receiver.close();
9   sender = null;
10  receiver = null;
11 }
12
13 async function start() {
14   // Check if the client supports the needed API
15   if (!hasUserMedia()) return;
16
17   // Start the video / audio stream
18   const stream = await startStream();
19
20   // Create sender / receiver connection
21   sender = new RTCPeerConnection();
22   receiver = new RTCPeerConnection();
23
24   // Set ICE candidate
25   sender.onicecandidate = e =>
26     !e.candidate || receiver.addIceCandidate(e.candidate);
27   receiver.onicecandidate = e =>
28     !e.candidate || sender.addIceCandidate(e.candidate);
29
30   // Listen on incoming stream
31   receiver.ontrack = e => {
32     document.querySelector("#remoteVideo").srcObject = e.streams[0];
33   };
34
35   // Bind stream to sender
36   stream.getTracks().forEach(track => sender.addTrack(track, stream));
37
38   // Create offer and set description
39   const offer = await sender.createOffer();
40   await sender.setLocalDescription(offer);
41   await receiver.setRemoteDescription(offer);
42
43   // Create answer from receiver and set description
44   const answer = await receiver.createAnswer();
45   await receiver.setLocalDescription(answer);
46   await sender.setRemoteDescription(answer);
47 }
```

3 Learnings

3.0.1 STUN / TURN Server

To not be dependent from open STUN/TURN servers one can deploy his own servers. There are open source projects covering this case. For example coturn [3].

3.0.2 Jitsi Videobridge

Video conferencing can be a resource intensive task for a browser. Which leads it to be a solution that is not really scalable. This is where Jitsi comes to the rescue. Jitsi Videobridge is an open source lightweight video conferencing server. It is used to implement scalable video conferencing platforms. It is an Selective Forwarding Unit (SFU) which relays video streams between peers.

Bibliography

- [1] *Adapter.js*. URL: <https://github.com/webrtc/adapter> (visited on 03/03/2020).
- [2] *Can I Use*. 2020. URL: <https://caniuse.com/>.
- [3] *Coturn*. URL: <https://github.com/coturn/coturn> (visited on 03/03/2020).
- [4] *TURN security*. URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5766#section-17.1.6> (visited on 03/03/2020).