

Sequential Models in Data Science: Bayesian Regression

Ariel Fuxman & Gilad Zusman

2. Computing the Posterior of w

1. Denote $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Since $\epsilon_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, then $y_i|w \sim \mathcal{N}(y(x_i, w), \sigma^2)$ as a sum of a gaussian variable and a constant variable. Hence, the likelihood of w is:

$$\begin{aligned} f(Y|w) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i|w) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - y(x_i, w))^2\right) = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y(x_i, w))^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

2. The Bayes formula for the posterior of w is:

$$f(w|Y) = \frac{f(Y|w)\pi(w)}{f(Y)},$$

where $\pi(w)$ is the joint distribution of the weights w_i .

3. Let us define the matrix $\Phi := (\phi_j(x_i))_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$. Since $y(x_i, w) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \phi_j(x_i)$, it allows us to use matrix multiplication to write:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y(x_i, w))^2 = \|Y - \Phi w\|^2.$$

Assuming the weights w_i are independent,

$$\pi(w) = \prod_{i=1}^n \pi(w_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2} w_i^2\right) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2} \|w\|^2\right).$$

The MAP estimator is defined as $w_{\text{MAP}} := \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmax}} f(w|Y)$. Looking at the Bayes formula for the posterior distribution, we see that $w_{\text{MAP}} = \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmax}} f(Y|w)\pi(w)$, since the denominator does not depend on w .

$$\begin{aligned} f(Y|w)\pi(w) &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y(x_i, w))^2\right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2} \|w\|^2\right) \\ \implies \ln f(Y|w)\pi(w) &= \ln\left(\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{n/2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2}\right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y(x_i, w))^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|w\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The term $\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{n/2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2}$ does not depend on w , so:

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\text{MAP}} &= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y(x_i, w))^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|w\|^2\right) = \\ &= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y(x_i, w))^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|w\|^2\right) = \\ &= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y(x_i, w))^2 + \alpha\sigma^2 \|w\|^2\right) \\ &= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left(\|Y - \Phi w\|^2 + \alpha\sigma^2 \|w\|^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is the solution a regularized least squares problem with regularization parameter $\lambda = \alpha\sigma^2$.

3. The Gaussian Nature of the Posterior and the Prediction

1. We will prove the proposition in two ways, the second way relying on the Lemmas. We will show that $w|Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= (\Phi^T \Phi + \alpha\sigma^2 I_n)^{-1} \Phi^T Y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \Sigma &= \sigma^2 (\Phi^T \Phi + \alpha\sigma^2 I_n)^{-1} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We saw that

$$f(Y|w) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|Y - \Phi w\|^2\right)$$

and

$$\pi(w) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2} \|w\|^2\right),$$

so the posterior

$$f(w|Y) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \|Y - \Phi w\|^2 + \alpha \|w\|^2 \right) \right).$$

We are now left with showing that:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \|Y - \Phi w\|^2 + \alpha \|w\|^2 = (w - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (w - \mu) + \text{Const.}$$

since that constant (with respect to w) is inside the exponent, and just gets absorbed as a multiplicative constant, still achieving proportionality to the Gaussian $\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (w - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (w - \mu) \right)$. Indeed, the right side is:

$$\begin{aligned} (w - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (w - \mu) &= (w^T - \mu^T) \Sigma^{-1} (w - \mu) = \\ &= w^T \Sigma^{-1} w - \underbrace{w^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu}_{\in \mathbb{R}} - \underbrace{\mu^T \Sigma^{-1} w}_{\in \mathbb{R}} + \mu^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu = \\ &= w^T \Sigma^{-1} w - 2\mu^T \Sigma^{-1} w + \mu^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu, \end{aligned}$$

and the left side is:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \|Y - \Phi w\|^2 + \alpha \|w\|^2 &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (Y - \Phi w)^T (Y - \Phi w) + \alpha w^T w = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (Y^T - w^T \Phi^T) (Y - \Phi w) + \alpha w^T w = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(Y^T Y - \underbrace{Y^T \Phi w}_{\in \mathbb{R}} - \underbrace{w^T \Phi^T Y}_{\in \mathbb{R}} + w^T \Phi^T \Phi w \right) + \alpha w^T w = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (Y^T Y - 2Y^T \Phi w + w^T \Phi^T \Phi w) + \alpha w^T w = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} w^T (\Phi^T \Phi + \alpha \sigma^2 I_n) w - \frac{2}{\sigma^2} Y^T \Phi w + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} Y^T Y. \end{aligned}$$

To continue, note that:

$$\Sigma = \sigma^2 (\Phi^T \Phi + \alpha \sigma^2 I_n)^{-1} \implies \Sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\Phi^T \Phi + \alpha \sigma^2 I_n)$$

In addition, since Σ is symmetric (easy to see),

$$\mu = (\Phi^T \Phi + \alpha \sigma^2 I_n)^{-1} \Phi^T Y \implies \mu = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \Sigma \Phi^T Y \implies \mu^T = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} Y^T \Phi \Sigma^T = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} Y^T \Phi \Sigma$$

which means that:

$$\mu^T \Sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} Y^T \Phi.$$

So we have shown that

$$w^T \Sigma^{-1} w - 2\mu^T \Sigma^{-1} w = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} w^T (\Phi^T \Phi + \alpha \sigma^2 I_n) w - \frac{2}{\sigma^2} Y^T \Phi w.$$

The second way to prove the theorem is using **Lemma 1** and **Lemma 2**: □

Looking at the expressions for the probability distributions of w and $Y|w$,

$$\begin{aligned} w &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{\alpha} I_n) \\ Y|w &\sim \mathcal{N}(\Phi w, \sigma^2 I_n), \end{aligned}$$

so by **Lemma 1** we conclude that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} w \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha} I & \frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi^T \\ \frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi & \frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Now, using **Lemma 2**,

$$w|Y \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi^T \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I \right)^{-1} Y, \frac{1}{\alpha} I - \frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi^T \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi \right).$$

To show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi^T \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I \right)^{-1}, \\ \Sigma &= \frac{1}{\alpha} I - \frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi^T \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi, \end{aligned}$$

we use the **Woodbury formula** (see Wikipedia):

Proposition 1. *Let $A_{n \times n}, C_{k \times k}, U_{n \times k}, V_{k \times n}$ be matrices. Then,*

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U (C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)^{-1} VA^{-1}$$

Continuing with the proof,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi^T \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I \right)^{-1} &= \Phi^T \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I \right)^{-1} = \\
&= \Phi^T (\alpha I)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I \right)^{-1} = \\
&= \Phi^T (\Phi \Phi^T + \alpha \sigma^2 I)^{-1} = \\
&= (\Phi^{-T})^{-1} (\Phi \Phi^T + \alpha \sigma^2 I)^{-1} = \\
&= (\Phi + \alpha \sigma^2 \Phi^{-T})^{-1} = \\
&= (\Phi^{-T} \Phi^T \Phi + \alpha \sigma^2 \Phi^{-T})^{-1} = \\
&= (\Phi^{-T} (\Phi^T \Phi + \alpha \sigma^2 I))^{-1} = \\
&= (\Phi^T \Phi + \alpha \sigma^2 I)^{-1} \Phi^T,
\end{aligned}$$

showing that

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi^T \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I \right)^{-1} Y.$$

Now, using **Proposition 1**,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\alpha} I - \frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi^T \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(I - \Phi^T \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \Phi \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I \right)^{-1} \Phi \right) = \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(I - (\Phi^T \Phi + \alpha \sigma^2 I)^{-1} \Phi^T \Phi \right) = \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(I - (\Phi^T \Phi + \alpha \sigma^2 I)^{-1} ((\Phi^T \Phi)^{-1})^{-1} \right) = \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(I - (I + \alpha \sigma^2 (\Phi^T \Phi)^{-1})^{-1} \right) = \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(I - I^{-1} + I^{-1} \alpha \sigma^2 (\Phi^T \Phi)^{-1} (I + \alpha \sigma^2 (\Phi^T \Phi)^{-1})^{-1} \right) = \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\alpha \sigma^2 (\Phi^T \Phi + \alpha \sigma^2 I)^{-1} \right) = \Sigma
\end{aligned}$$

2. In the previous question, we saw that $w|Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$. Note that:

$$y(x_*, w) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \phi_i(x_*) = \langle (\phi_1(x_*), \dots, \phi_n(x_*)), w \rangle = f^T w.$$

This means that $y_*|w, Y = y_*|w \sim \mathcal{N}(f^T w, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ (Since the weights alone entirely determine the distrub-

tion of w). Using **Lemma 1**, we conclude that:

$$y_\star | Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\underbrace{f^T \mu}_{y(x_\star, \mu)}, f^T \Sigma f + \hat{\sigma}^2).$$

4. Sparse Bayseian Learning

1. As expected, the proof is very similar to **4.1**. This time,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\omega) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \pi(w_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_i}{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha_i}{2} w_i^2\right) = (2\pi)^{n/2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i w_i^2\right) = \\ &= (2\pi)^{n/2} (\det(A))^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} w^T A w\right) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} w^T A w\right). \end{aligned}$$

Meaning that this time have to show that:

$$\beta \|Y - \Phi w\|^2 + w^T A w = (w - m)^T \Sigma^{-1} (w - m) + Const,$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \beta \Sigma \Phi^T Y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \Sigma &= (\beta \Phi^T \Phi + A)^{-1} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

This time, the left hand side is:

$$w^T \underbrace{(\beta \Phi^T \Phi + A)}_{\Sigma^{-1}} w - 2 \underbrace{\beta Y^T \Phi}_{m} w + \beta Y^T Y$$

and the right side is unchanged, finishing the proof.

2.

$$Y | w, \alpha, \beta \sim \mathcal{N}(\Phi w, \sigma^2 I),$$

$$w | \alpha, \beta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, A^{-1}),$$

so by **Lemma 1**,

$$Y | \alpha, \beta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Phi A^{-1} \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I).$$

To continue, we use **Weinstein–Aronszajn identity** (see Wikipedia):

Proposition 2. *Let $A_{m \times n}, B_{n \times m}$ be matrices. Then,*

$$\det(I_m + AB) = \det(I_n + BA)$$

We use it to derive the expression for the determinant of the covariance matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} |A| |\Phi A^{-1} \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I| &= |\sigma^2 I| |A| |(\beta \Phi)(A^{-1} \Phi^T) + I| = \\ &= |\sigma^2 I| |A| |A^{-1} \beta \Phi^T \Phi + I| \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^n \left| \underbrace{\beta \Phi \Phi^T + A}_{\Sigma^{-1}} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies |\Phi A^{-1} \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I| = \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^n \frac{1}{|A|} |\Sigma^{-1}|$$

$$\implies \ln |\Phi A^{-1} \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I| = -|\Sigma| - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(\alpha_i) - n \ln \beta.$$

Using **Proposition 1**,

$$(\Phi A^{-1} \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I)^{-1} = \beta I - \beta \Phi \underbrace{(A + \beta \Phi^T \Phi)^{-1}}_{\Sigma} \Phi^T \beta$$

$$\implies Y^T (\Phi A^{-1} \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I)^{-1} Y = \beta Y^T Y - \underbrace{\beta Y^T \Phi \Sigma \Phi^T \beta Y}_{m^T \Sigma^{-1} m}$$

since,

$$m^T \Sigma^{-1} m = (\beta \Sigma \Phi^T Y)^T \Sigma^{-1} \beta \Sigma \Phi^T Y = \beta Y^T \Phi \underbrace{\Sigma^T}_{\Sigma} \Sigma^{-1} \beta \Sigma \Phi^T Y = \beta Y^T \Phi \Sigma \Phi^T \beta Y.$$

Lastly,

$$f(Y|\alpha, \beta) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} (|\Phi A^{-1} \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I|)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} Y^T (\Phi A^{-1} \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I)^{-1} Y\right)$$

$$\ln f(Y|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \left(-n \ln(2\pi) + \ln |\Sigma| + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \alpha_i + n \ln \beta - \beta Y^T Y + m^T \Sigma^{-1} m \right).$$