

# Exercises

Fredrik Meyer

December 2, 2014

I solve and type exercises from different places (read *books*).

## 1 Algebraic Geometry - Hartshorne

### 1.1 Chapter I - Varieties

**Exercise 1** (Exercise 1.1). a) Let  $Y$  be the plane curve  $y = x^2$ . Show that  $A(Y)$  is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in one variable over  $k$ .

b) Let  $Z$  be the plane curve  $xy = 1$ . Show that  $A(Z)$  is not isomorphic to a polynomial ring in one variable over  $k$ .

c) Let  $f$  be any irreducible quadratic polynomial in  $k[x, y]$ , and let  $W$  be the conic defined by  $f$ . Show that  $A(W)$  is isomorphic to  $A(Y)$  or  $A(Z)$ . Which one is it when?



**Solution 1.** a) We have  $A(Y) = k[x, y]/(y - x^2)$ . An isomorphism  $A(Y) \rightarrow k[t]$  is given by  $x \mapsto t$  and  $y \mapsto t^2$ .

b) We have  $A(Z) = k[x, y]/(xy - 1) \simeq k[x, \frac{1}{x}]$ . So we must show that  $k[x, \frac{1}{x}] \not\simeq k[x]$ . It can be computed that the first one has automorphisms given by  $x \mapsto cx^n$  for  $c$  nonzero and  $n \neq 0$ . The second has as automorphisms  $ax + b$  ( $a \neq 0$ ). So the first one have an abelian automorphism group, the second has not.

c) It is seen by tedious calculations that any quadric in  $\mathbb{A}^2$  can be reduced to one of the form

$$x^2 + bxy + y^2 - c = 0$$

by linear transformations. [|||||[[[HOW TO DO THE REST??]]]]



## 1.2 Chapter II - Schemes

**Exercise 2** (Exercise 7.1). Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a locally ringed space and let  $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a surjective map of invertible sheaves on  $X$ . Show that  $f$  is an isomorphism. ♠

**Solution 2.** Since  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}$  are invertible, we have isomorphisms  $\mathcal{L}_x \approx \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_x \approx \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  for each  $x \in X$ .

But  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathcal{O}_{X,x}) = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ , that is, all homomorphisms are given by multiplication by some  $h \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ . But since  $f$  was surjective, we conclude that  $h$  is outside  $\mathfrak{m}_x$ , the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ . But then  $h$  is a unit, so  $f$  is an isomorphism. ♥

## 1.3 Chapter III

**Exercise 3** (Exercise 4.3). Let  $X = \mathbb{A}_k^2 = \text{Spec } k[x, y]$  and let  $U = X \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Use a suitable open cover of  $X$  by open affine subsets to show that  $H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$  is isomorphic to the  $k$ -vector space spanned by  $\{x^i y^j \mid i, j < 0\}$ . In particular, it is infinite-dimensional, and so  $U$  cannot be affine (not projective either). ♠

**Solution 3.** We can cover  $U$  by  $U_1 = \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{x = 0\}$  and  $U_2 = \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{y = 0\}$ . We have  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{xy = 0\}$ . Also,  $\mathcal{O}(U_1) = k[x, y, \frac{1}{x}]$  and  $\mathcal{O}(U_2) = k[x, y, \frac{1}{y}]$  and  $\mathcal{O}(U_1 \cap U_2) = k[x, y, \frac{1}{xy}]$ . Then the Čech complex takes the form

$$0 \rightarrow k[x, y, \frac{1}{x}] \times k[x, y, \frac{1}{y}] \xrightarrow{d} k[x, y, \frac{1}{xy}] \rightarrow 0,$$

the differential being difference. Then  $H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$  can be computed as the homology at the second term. But nothing on the left side can hit anything of the form  $x^i y^j$  with  $i, j < 0$ . Anything else is hit. Thus we have

$$H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \simeq \{x^i y^j \mid i, j < 0\}$$

as  $k$ -vector spaces. ♥

## 1.4 Chapter IV

**Exercise 4** (Exercise 1.1). Let  $X$  be a curve and  $P \in X$  a point. Show that there exists a nonconstant rational function  $f \in K(X)$  which is regular everywhere except at  $P$ . ♠

**Solution 4.** Let  $D$  be the divisor  $D = nP$ . The linear system

$$\{E = D + f \geq 0\}$$

consists of all divisors linearly equivalent to  $D$ . But these are classified by those  $f$  with  $(f) \geq -nP$ , i.e. those  $f$  with at most poles of order  $n$  at  $P$ .

By Riemann-Roch we have

$$l(D) - l(K - D) = \deg D + 1 - g = n + 1 - g.$$

If  $n$  is large enough,  $K - D$  will have negative degree, so  $l(K - D) = 0$ . Thus for large  $n$ , we can get  $l(D)$  as big as we want.

♡

## 2 Commutative Algebra - Eisenbud

### 2.1 Chapter 16 - Modules of Differentials

**Exercise 5** (Exercise 16.1). Show that if  $b \in S$  is an idempotent ( $b^2 = b$ ), and  $d : S \rightarrow M$  is any derivation, then  $db = 0$ . ♠

**Solution 5.** This is trivial.  $db = d(b^2) = 2db$ . If  $2 = 0$ , then the statement is automatically true. If not, then  $db = 0$  by subtraction. ♡

## 3 Deformation Theory - Hartshorne

### 3.1 Chapter I.3 - The $T^i$ functors

**Exercise 6** (Exercise 3.1). Let  $B = k[x, y](xy)$ . Show that  $T^1(B/k, M) = M \otimes k$  and  $T^2(B/k, M) = 0$  for any  $B$ -module  $M$ . ♠

**Solution 6.** Since  $B$  is defined by a principal ideal in  $P = k[x, y]$ , it follows that  $L_2 = 0$  in the cotangent complex. Thus  $T^2(B/k, M)$  is automatically zero.

We have that  $L_1 = B$  and  $L_0 = Bdx \oplus Bdy$  with  $d_1$  being  $f \mapsto (fy, fx)$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}(-, M)$ , we get  $\text{Hom}(L_0, M) = M \oplus M$  and  $\text{Hom}(L_1, M) = M$ .

We have  $\text{Hom}(B \oplus B, M) \simeq M \oplus M$  by  $\phi \mapsto (\phi(1, 0), \phi(0, 1))$ . We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(B \oplus B, M) & \xrightarrow{\psi^*} & \text{Hom}(B, M) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ M \oplus M & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

Under these isomorphisms, it is easy to see that the bottom map is given by

$$(\phi(1, 0), \phi(0, 1)) \mapsto y\phi(1, 0) + x\phi(0, 1).$$

Thus since  $T^1$  is the cokernel of this map, we must have  $T^1(B/k, M) = M \otimes k$ .  $\heartsuit$

**Exercise 7** (Exercise 3.3). Let  $B = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy, y^2)$ . Show that  $T^0(B/k, B) = k^4$ ,  $T^1(B/k, B) = k^4$  and  $T^2(B/k, B) = k$ .  $\spadesuit$

**Solution 7.** Let's compute  $L_2$  first. For that we need part of a resolution of  $I$ . We have in fact

$$0 \rightarrow \text{im} \begin{pmatrix} -y & 0 \\ x & -y \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow P(-2)^3 \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0.$$

The Koszul relations are given by

$$\text{im} \begin{pmatrix} -y^2 & -xy & 0 \\ 0 & x^2 & -y^2 \\ x^2 & 0 & xy \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let's compute  $Q/F_0$  (relations modulo Koszul relations). Since  $Q$  is generated in degree 3, and  $F_0$  is of degree 4, we have  $\dim_k(Q/F_0)_3 = 2$ . Let's consider degree 4. As a  $k$ -vector space  $Q_4$  is spanned by the four elements

$$\begin{pmatrix} -y^2 \\ xy \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -y^2 \\ xy \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -yx \\ x^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -yx \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The two in the middle are already Koszul relations, so that  $(Q/F_0)_4$  have dimension  $\leq 2$ . But we also have

$$\begin{pmatrix} -y^2 \\ xy \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ yx \\ -x^2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -y^2 \\ 0 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $\dim_k(Q/F_0)_4 = 1$ , since the second term above is a Koszul relation. Similarly we find that  $\dim_k(Q/F_0)_5 = 0$ . Hence,  $L_2$  is the 3-dimensional  $k$ -vector space spanned by  $Q_3$  and one more relation.  $L_1$  is  $F \otimes B = B^3$ , and  $L_0$  is  $B \oplus B$ , spanned by  $dx, dy$ .

Taking duals, we get that  $L_2 = \text{Hom}(Q/F_0, B)$ . This set can be identified with

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hom}(Q/F_0, B) &= \{\varphi : Q \rightarrow B \mid \varphi|_{F_0} = 0\} \\ &= \{\varphi : Q \rightarrow P \mid \text{im } f|_{F_0} \subseteq I\}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, since  $I = \mathfrak{m}^2$ , we must have that  $\varphi$  sends the two generators of  $Q$  to something of degree 1 (degree 0 is not ok, since then  $F_0$  would be sent outside  $I$ ). Thus  $\text{Hom}(Q/F_0, B)$  is  $2 \times 2 = 4$ -dimensional, spanned by

$$\text{im} \begin{pmatrix} y & x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

But  $d_2$  is the dual of the inclusion  $Q \rightarrow F$  from the exact sequence above. The dual is given by transposing, and we are left with one column - in conclusion,  $T^2(B/k, B)$  is one-dimensional.

The Jacobian of  $I$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2x & y & 0 \\ 0 & x & 2y \end{pmatrix},$$

and it is easily seen that the kernel of  $\text{Jac} \otimes B$  is given by  $\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \subset B^3$ . The two relations kill off two dimensions, so  $\dim_k T^1(B/k, B) = \dim_k \mathfrak{m}^{\oplus 3} - 2 = 6 - 2 = 4$ .

Also  $T^0(B/k, B)$  is  $B^2$  modulo the image of the Jacobian. The constants are left untouched, so  $\dim_k T^0(B/k, B) = 2 + 2 + 2 - 3 = 3$ . A basis is given by  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1)$  and  $(x, y)$ . (thus Hartshorne is wrong?)  $\heartsuit$

## 4 Introduction to Commutative Algebra - Atiyah-MacDonald

### 4.1 Chapter 1 - Rings and ideals

**Exercise 8.** Let  $x$  be a nilpotent element of a ring  $A$ . Show that  $1 + x$  is a unit of  $A$ . Deduce that the sum of a nilpotent element and a unit is a unit.  $\spadesuit$

**Solution 8.** Suppose  $x^{n+1} = 0$  and that  $x^n \neq 0$ . Consider

$$s = 1 - x + x^2 - x^3 + \dots + x^n$$

Then

$$sx = x - x^2 + x^3 - x^4 + \dots - x^n$$

since  $x^{n+1} = 0$ . But then  $s + sx = 1$ , so that  $s(1 + x) = 1$ . Hence  $1 + x$  is a unit. To prove that the sum of any unit and any nilpotent is a unit, note that if  $u$  is any unit, then  $u^{-1}x$  is still nilpotent. So since  $u + x = u(1 + u^{-1}x)$  and product of units are units, the claim follows. ♡

## 4.2 Chapter 2 - Modules

**Exercise 9** (Exercise 1). Show that  $\mathbb{Z}/m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n = 0$  if  $m, n$  are coprime. ♠

**Solution 9.** Write  $1 = am + bn$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \otimes 1 &= (am + bn) \otimes 1 = am \otimes 1 + bn \otimes 1 \\ &= 0 + bn \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes bn = 1 \otimes 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

And we are done. ♡

**Exercise 10** (Exercise 2). Let  $A$  be a ring,  $\mathfrak{a}$  an ideal, and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Then  $(A/\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_A M$  is isomorphic to  $M/\mathfrak{a}M$ . ♠

**Solution 10.** Start with

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring with  $M$  gives

$$\mathfrak{a} \otimes M \rightarrow M \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M \rightarrow 0.$$

But  $\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M \simeq \mathfrak{a}M$ , so that the sequence reads  $A/\mathfrak{a} \otimes M \simeq M/\mathfrak{a}M$ . ♡

**Exercise 11** (Exercise 3). Let  $A$  be a local ring,  $M, N$  finitely generated  $A$ -modules. Prove that if  $M \otimes N = 0$ , then  $M = 0$  or  $N = 0$ . ♠

**Solution 11.** First a counterexample if  $A$  is not a local ring. Let  $A = k[x]$  and  $M = k[x]/(x-1)$  and  $N = k[x]/(x)$ . We can write  $1 = -(x-1) + x$ . Then  $M \otimes_A N = 0$  by the same method as in Exercise 1 ( $1 \otimes 1 = (-x+1+x) \otimes 1 = x \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes x = 0$ ).

Let  $M_k := M \otimes k = M/\mathfrak{m}M$ . By Nakayama's lemma,  $M_k = 0 \Rightarrow M = 0$ .

So suppose  $M \otimes_A N = 0$ . Then  $(M \otimes_A N)_k = 0$ . But this is isomorphic to  $M_k \otimes_A N_k$  since  $k \otimes_A k = k$ . But  $M_k \otimes_A N_k \simeq M_k \otimes_k N_k$ , as  $k$ -modules, since everything in  $\mathfrak{m}$  acts trivially on  $M_k$ . But these are vector spaces over a field, now we must have  $M_k = 0$  or  $N_k = 0$ , and by Nakayama we are done.  $\heartsuit$