

# Algebraiske grupper og moduliteori

Fredrik Meyer

29. september 2014

## 1 Representation theory in general

Let  $V$  be a vector space. Briefly, a *representation* of any group  $G$  on  $V$  is just a group homomorphism  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ .

**Example 1.1.** The *trivial representation* is given by sending every  $g \in G$  to the identity transformation. ★

**Example 1.2.** Suppose  $G$  is a finite group. Then there is an embedding  $G \hookrightarrow S_n$ , and every element of  $S_n$  can be represented by permutation matrices (that is, matrices  $M_g$  such that  $Me_i = e_{g(i)}$  for all  $g \in G$ ). This defines a representation of  $G$  in  $k^n$ . ★

**Example 1.3.** Suppose  $G$  acts on a (finite) set  $X$ . Let  $V$  be the vector space with basis identified with the elements of  $X$ . Then  $G$  acts on  $V$  by linearity: for each  $g \in G$ ,  $\rho(g)$  is the linear map sending  $e_x$  to  $e_{gx}$ . Such representations are called *permutation representations*. ★

A *morphism of representations*  $(\rho, V), (\rho', W)$  consists of commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\psi} & W \\ \rho(g) \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho'(g) \\ V & \xrightarrow{\psi} & W \end{array}$$

for each  $g \in G$ . Thus, if  $\psi$  is invertible, this says that the linear operators  $\rho(s), \rho'(s)$  are similar.

## 2 Algebraic groups

Algebraic groups are group objects in the category of affine varieties. More precisely:

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $A$  be a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra. An *affine algebraic group* is a quadruple  $(A, \mu_A, \epsilon, \iota)$  where  $\mu_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes_k A$  (the *coproduct*),  $\epsilon : A \rightarrow k$  (the *coidentity*),  $\iota : A \rightarrow A$  (the *coinverse*) are  $k$ -algebra homomorphisms, satisfying the following conditions:

1. Coassociativity. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & A \otimes_k A \\ \mu_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \mu_A \\ A \otimes_k A & \xrightarrow{\mu_A \otimes \text{id}_A} & A \otimes_k A \otimes_k A \end{array}$$

2. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & k \otimes_k A & & \\ & \nearrow \epsilon \otimes \text{id}_A & & \searrow \simeq & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A \otimes_k A & & A \\ & \searrow \text{id}_A \otimes \epsilon & & \nearrow \simeq & \\ & & A \otimes_k k & & \end{array}$$

and is equal to the identity.

3. Inverse. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & k \\ \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \\ A \otimes_k A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \iota} & A \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\cdot} A \end{array}$$

Here the right arrow is the morphism making  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra. The last arrow in the lower sequence is multiplication in  $A$ .

■

**Example 2.2.** Let  $G$  be any group, and let  $k[G]$  be its group ring. Let  $A$  be its  $k$ -linear dual, that is  $A = \text{Hom}_k(k[G], k)$ . This is a priori just another vector space, but we can give it the structure of a  $k$ -algebra by defining multiplication as follows: let  $\lambda : k[G] \rightarrow k, \gamma : k[G] \rightarrow k$  be  $k$ -linear maps. It is enough to say what should happen on a basis, and a basis is given by the elements  $g$  of  $G$ . Then, set  $(\lambda \cdot \gamma)(g) = \lambda(g) \cdot \gamma(g)$ .

Then set  $\mu : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  to be the dual of the multiplication map on  $k[G]$ . Explicitly, let  $m : k[G] \otimes_k k[G] \rightarrow k[G]$  denote the multiplication map. Let  $\lambda : k[G] \rightarrow k$  be an element of  $A$ . Then we can form  $m^*\lambda = \lambda \circ m$ , which is an element of  $(k[G] \otimes k[G])^\vee$ . For finite-dimensional vector spaces, this is isomorphic to  $A \otimes A$ , which gives our multiplication map  $\mu$ . The coidentity is given by sending  $\lambda : k[G] \rightarrow k$  to  $\lambda(1_G)$ , where  $1_G \in G \subseteq k[G]$ .

For example: let  $G = C_n$  be the cyclic group of order  $n$ . Then  $k[G] = k[t]/(t^n - 1)$ , and since this is finite-dimensional over  $k$ , we can find an isomorphism  $k[G] \approx A$ . Unwinding definitions, we see that [??] (I don't see this) ★

**Definition 2.3.** An *action* of an affine algebraic group  $G = \text{Spec } A$  on an affine variety  $X = \text{Spec } R$  is a morphism  $G \times X \rightarrow X$  defined dually by a  $k$ -algebra morphism  $\mu_R : R \rightarrow R \otimes_k A$  satisfying the following two conditions.

1. The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\mu_R} & R \otimes_k A \\ & \searrow \text{id}_R & \downarrow \text{id}_R \otimes \epsilon \\ & & R \simeq R \otimes_k k \end{array}$$

2. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\mu_R} & R \otimes_k A \\ \mu_R \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_R \otimes \text{id}_A \\ R \otimes_k A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_R \otimes \mu_A} & R \otimes_k A \otimes_k A \end{array}$$

■

### 3 Representations of algebraic groups

Let  $G = \text{Spec } A$  be an affine algebraic group over a field  $k$ .

**Definition 3.1.** An *algebraic representation* of  $G$  is a pair  $(V, \mu_V)$  consisting of a  $k$ -vector space  $V$  and a  $k$ -linear map  $\mu_V : V \rightarrow V \otimes_k A$  satisfying the following two conditions:

1. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\mu_V} & V \otimes_k A \\ & \searrow \text{id}_V & \downarrow \text{id}_V \otimes \epsilon \\ & & V \simeq V \otimes_k k \end{array} \quad (1)$$

is commutative.

2. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\mu_V} & V \otimes_k A \\ \mu_V \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_V \otimes \text{id}_A \\ V \otimes_k A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_V \otimes \mu_A} & V \otimes_k A \otimes_k A \end{array}$$

is commutative. Here  $\mu_A$  is the coproduct in the coordinate ring of  $G$ .

■

**Remark.** In lieu of Definition 2.3, we see that any action of an algebraic group  $G$  on an affine variety  $X = \text{Spec } R$  is a representation of  $G$  on the infinite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space  $R = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

We often drop the subscript from  $\mu_V$  unless confusion may arise. The same comment applies to tensor products. They will always be over the ground field unless otherwise stated. We will sometimes refer to a representation  $(V, \mu_V)$  sometimes as “a representation  $\mu : V \rightarrow V \otimes A$ ” and sometimes as just “a representation  $V$ ”.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\mu : V \rightarrow V \otimes A$  be a representation of  $G = \text{Spec } A$ . Then:

1. A vector  $x \in V$  is said to be  *$G$ -invariant* if  $\mu(x) = x \otimes 1$ .
2. A subspace  $U \subset V$  is called a *subrepresentation* if  $\mu(U) \subseteq U \otimes A$ .

■

**Proposition 3.3.** Every representation  $V$  of  $G$  is locally finite-dimensional. Precisely: every  $x \in V$  is contained in a finite-dimensional subrepresentation of  $G$ .

*Bevis.* Write  $\mu(x)$  as a finite sum  $\sum_i x_i \otimes f_i$  for  $x_i \in V$  and linearly independent  $f_i \in A$ . This we can always do, by definition of tensor product and bilinearity. Let  $U$  be the subspace of  $V$  spanned by the vectors  $x_i$ .

Now, by the commutativity of the diagram (1) it follows that

$$x = \sum_i \epsilon(f_i) x_i.$$

By the commutativity of the second diagram in the definition, it follows that

$$\sum_i \mu_V(x_i) \otimes f_i = \sum_i x_i \otimes \mu_A(f_i) \in U \otimes A_k \otimes_k A.$$

Because each term of the right-hand-side is contained in  $U \otimes A \otimes A$ , it follows that  $\mu_V(x_i)$  is contained in  $U$  since the  $f_i$  are linearly independent.

Thus  $x$  is contained in the finite-dimensional representation  $\mu_V|_U : U \rightarrow U \otimes A$ .  $\square$

Repr. of  $\mathbb{G}_m$ .

Euler operator.

Characters.