

# Exercises

Fredrik Meyer

December 14, 2014

I solve and type exercises from different places (read *books*).

## 1 Algebraic Geometry - Hartshorne

### 1.1 Chapter I - Varieties

**Exercise 1** (Exercise 1.1). a) Let  $Y$  be the plane curve  $y = x^2$ . Show that  $A(Y)$  is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in one variable over  $k$ .

b) Let  $Z$  be the plane curve  $xy = 1$ . Show that  $A(Z)$  is not isomorphic to a polynomial ring in one variable over  $k$ .

c) Let  $f$  be any irreducible quadratic polynomial in  $k[x, y]$ , and let  $W$  be the conic defined by  $f$ . Show that  $A(W)$  is isomorphic to  $A(Y)$  or  $A(Z)$ . Which one is it when?



**Solution 1.** a) We have  $A(Y) = k[x, y]/(y - x^2)$ . An isomorphism  $A(Y) \rightarrow k[t]$  is given by  $x \mapsto t$  and  $y \mapsto t^2$ .

b) We have  $A(Z) = k[x, y]/(xy - 1) \simeq k[x, \frac{1}{x}]$ . So we must show that  $k[x, \frac{1}{x}] \not\simeq k[x]$ . It can be computed that the first one has automorphisms given by  $x \mapsto cx^n$  for  $c$  nonzero and  $n \neq 0$ . The second has as automorphisms  $ax + b$  ( $a \neq 0$ ). So the first one have an abelian automorphism group, the second has not.

c) What is special about  $A(Y)$  and  $A(Z)$ ? Staring at pictures, we see that any line in  $\mathbb{A}^2$  intersects  $Y$  in at least one point, but in the case of  $Z$ , there exist two lines which do not intersect  $Z$ . We claim that this is the only two things that can happen.

First we claim that if we are in the second situation, that is, if there exist a pair of lines  $\ell, \ell'$  such that  $W \cap \ell = W \cap \ell' = \emptyset$ , then  $W \simeq Z$ .

A general quadric can be written as

$$ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + dx + ey + f = 0.$$

Suppose now  $\ell \cap W = \emptyset$ . This is equivalent to  $I(f, \ell^\vee) = (1)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $\ell = \{x = 0\}$ . Then

$$I(f, \ell) = (cy^2 + ey + f, x).$$

This generates  $k[x, y]$  if and only if  $c = e = 0$  and  $f \neq 0$ . Thus  $f$  must be of the form

$$ax^2 + bxy + dx + f = 0$$

with  $f \neq 0$ . But this can be written as

$$x(ax + by + d) + f = 0.$$

Put  $y' = ax + by + d$ . Then  $I(W)$  takes the form  $(xy' + f = 0)$ , which is clearly isomorphic to  $Z$  after a linear change of coordinates. Note that the other line not meeting  $W$  is the line given by  $y' = ax + by + d = 0$ .

Assume now that we are in the other situation, namely that *every* line in  $\mathbb{A}^2$  meets  $W$ . Now pick a tangent line  $\ell$  of  $W$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\ell$  is  $\{y = 0\}$ . This is a tangent line if and only if it meets  $W$  doubly, meaning that  $I(W) + (\ell^\vee)$  takes the form  $(l^2, y)$  for some linear form  $l$ . We can also assume that  $\ell \cap W = (0, 0)$ , so that  $I(W) + (\ell^\vee) = (x^2, y)$ . But this means that

$$\begin{aligned} I(W) + I(\ell) &= (ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + dx + ey + f, y) \\ &= (ax^2 + dx + f, y) \end{aligned}$$

We want  $ax^2 + dx + f = x^2$ . This can happen only if  $d = f = 0$  and  $a \neq 0$ . Thus the quadric takes the form

$$ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + ey = 0.$$

Now we claim that there exist one line at each point of  $W$  that intersect  $W$  transversally in exactly one point. This is the case for  $Y$ . Consider the pencil of lines through  $(0, 0)$  defined by  $x = \lambda y$ . We want to find  $\lambda$  such that the intersection is transversal and only one point. We have

$$(ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + ey, x - \lambda y) = ((a\lambda^2 + b\lambda + c)y^2 + ey, x - \lambda y).$$

This have exactly one solution if and only if  $a\lambda^2 + b\lambda + c = 0$ . This is solvable since  $a \neq 0$  and since all lines intersect  $W$ . Thus choose  $\lambda$  as above. We can rotate this line such that it becomes  $x = 0$ . Then the equation takes the form

$$ax^2 + bxy + ey = 0.$$

We have still not arrived at  $y = x^2$ . Let now  $y = \lambda x$  be a general line through the origin. We demand that this intersect  $W$  twice for every  $\lambda$  such that the line is not tangent. We get that the intersection is given by

$$ax^2 + b\lambda x + ex = x((a + \lambda b)x + e) = 0.$$

For this to have two solutions for every  $\lambda$  we must have  $a + \lambda b \neq 0$  for all  $\lambda$ . But this requires  $b = 0$ . Thus the equation is

$$ax^2 + ey = 0$$

which is the conic we were looking for.

♡

**Exercise 2** (Exercise 1.2, the twisted cubic curve). Let  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^3$  be the set  $\{(t, t^2, t^3) \mid t \in k\}$ . Show that  $Y$  is an affine variety of dimension 1. Find generators for the ideal  $I(Y)$ . Show that  $A(Y)$  is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in one variable over  $k$ . We say that  $Y$  is given by the *parametric equation*  $x = t, y = t^2, z = t^3$ . ♠

**Solution 2.** An affine variety is by definition a closed irreducible subset of  $\mathbb{A}^3$ . So we must find an irreducible ideal  $I$  such that  $Z(I) = Y$  (forgive the abuse of notation).

I claim that  $I(Y) = \langle x^2 - y, x^3 - z \rangle$ . Clearly, every  $P \in Y$  satisfies these equations. This shows the inclusion  $Y \subset Z(I)$ . Now suppose  $P \in Z(I)$ , that is,  $f(P) = 0$  for all  $f \in I$ . In particular  $(x^2 - y)(P) = 0$  and  $(x^3 - z)(P) = 0$ . Thus  $y = x^2$  and  $z = x^3$ . So if  $P = (a, b, c) \in k^3$ , then  $P = (a, a^2, a^3)$ , so  $P \in Y$ . This shows that  $Z(I) = Y$ . If we can show that  $I$  is prime, then it follows that  $I(Y) = I$  and that  $Y$  is a variety.

In fact, we claim that  $k[x, y, z]/I \simeq k[t]$ , implying that  $I$  is prime. The map  $\varphi$  is given by  $x \mapsto t, y \mapsto t^2, z \mapsto t^3$ . Then clearly  $I \subseteq \ker \varphi$ . We must show equality. So suppose  $\varphi(f) = 0$ .

First we claim that any  $f \in k[x, y, z]$  can be written as  $f = R(x) + S(x)y + T(x)z + i(x, y, z)$  where  $i$  is a polynomial in  $I$ . We prove this by induction on  $\deg f$ . If  $\deg f = 1$ , this is trivially true. The rest of the proof proceeds by tedious induction. ♡

## 1.2 Chapter II - Schemes

**Exercise 3** (Exercise 7.1). Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a locally ringed space and let  $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a surjective map of invertible sheaves on  $X$ . Show that  $f$  is an isomorphism. ♠

**Solution 3.** Since  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}$  are invertible, we have isomorphisms  $\mathcal{L}_x \approx \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_x \approx \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  for each  $x \in X$ .

But  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathcal{O}_{X,x}) = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ , that is, all homomorphisms are given by multiplication by some  $h \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ . But since  $f$  was surjective, we conclude that  $h$  is outside  $\mathfrak{m}_x$ , the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ . But then  $h$  is a unit, so  $f$  is an isomorphism. ♥

## 1.3 Chapter III - Cohomology

**Exercise 4** (Exercise 4.3). Let  $X = \mathbb{A}_k^2 = \text{Spec } k[x, y]$  and let  $U = X \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Use a suitable open cover of  $X$  by open affine subsets to show that  $H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$  is isomorphic to the  $k$ -vector space spanned by  $\{x^i y^j \mid i, j < 0\}$ . In particular, it is infinite-dimensional, and so  $U$  cannot be affine (not projective either). ♠

**Solution 4.** We can cover  $U$  by  $U_1 = \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{x = 0\}$  and  $U_2 = \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{y = 0\}$ . We have  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{xy = 0\}$ . Also,  $\mathcal{O}(U_1) = k[x, y, \frac{1}{x}]$  and  $\mathcal{O}(U_2) = k[x, y, \frac{1}{y}]$  and  $\mathcal{O}(U_1 \cap U_2) = k[x, y, \frac{1}{xy}]$ . Then the Čech complex takes the form

$$0 \rightarrow k[x, y, \frac{1}{x}] \times k[x, y, \frac{1}{y}] \xrightarrow{d} k[x, y, \frac{1}{xy}] \rightarrow 0,$$

the differential being difference. Then  $H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$  can be computed as the homology at the second term. But nothing on the left side can hit anything of the form  $x^i y^j$  with  $i, j < 0$ . Anything else is hit. Thus we have

$$H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \simeq \{x^i y^j \mid i, j < 0\}$$

as  $k$ -vector spaces. ♥

**Exercise 5** (Exercise 4.7). Let  $X$  be the subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$  defined by a single homogeneous polynomial  $f(x_0, x_1, x_2) = 0$  of degree  $d$ . Assume that  $(1, 0, 0)$  is not on  $X$ . Then show that  $X$  can be covered by the two open affine subsets  $U = X \cap \{x_1 \neq 0\}$  and  $V = X \cap \{x_2 \neq 0\}$ . Now calculate the Čech complex

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X) \oplus \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(U \cap V, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

explicitly, and thus show that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_k H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) &= 1 \\ \dim_k H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) &= \frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2).\end{aligned}$$

♠

**Solution 5.**  $X$  can be covered by just two open affines since  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (U \cup V) = \{(1 : 0 : 0)\}$ , which was assumed not to lie on the curve.

The open affine subset  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  can be identified with the polynomial ring  $k[u, v]/\langle f(u, 1, v) \rangle$ , and  $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_X) = k[x, y]/f(x, y, 1)$ . The differential is then given by

$$(g(u, v), h(x, y)) \mapsto g(xy^{-1}, y^{-1}) - h(x, y) \in k[x, y, \frac{1}{y}].$$

We can assume that  $f = x_0^d$ , since what really matters is the degree, and we are just doing linear algebra.

We first calculate  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . So suppose  $g(xy^{-1}, y^{-1}) - h(x, y) = 0$  in  $k[x, y, y^{-1}]/\langle f(x, y, 1) \rangle$ . By definition this means that

$$g(xy^{-1}, y^{-1}) - h(x, y) = f(x, y, 1) \cdot \tilde{f}(x, y, \frac{1}{y})$$

for some polynomial  $\tilde{f}$ . Write  $\tilde{f}$  as  $\tilde{f}_0 + \tilde{f}_1$ , where  $\tilde{f}_0 = \sum_{j < 0} a_{ij} x^i y^j$  and  $\tilde{f}_1 \in k[x, y]$ . Then we have the equality

$$g(xy^{-1}, y^{-1}) - h(x, y) = \sum_{j < 0} a_{ij} x^{i+d} y^j + \sum_{j \geq 0} x^{i+d} y^j.$$

First of all, we see that the constant terms of  $g$  and  $h$  must be equal, because there are no constant terms on the right hand side. Secondly,  $g(xy^{-1}, y^{-1})$  consists solely of terms with  $j < 0$ . Thus the non constant terms of  $g(xy^{-1}, y^{-1})$  must be equal to the left term of the right hand side above. But both terms of the right hand side are zero modulo  $f$ , so the constant terms of  $g(xy^{-1}, y^{-1})$  are also zero mod  $f$ . The same holds for  $h(x, y)$ . Thus  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \{(c, c) \mid c \in k\} \simeq k$ .

Now we compute  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Consider a monomial  $x^i y^j$  in the target. If both  $i, j \geq 0$ , then it is hit by  $(0, -x^i y^j)$ . Likewise, if  $j \geq i$ , then  $(x^i y^{j-i}, 0) \mapsto x^i x^{-j}$ . Thus all monomials  $x^i y^{-j}$  with  $j \geq i$  is zero in the cokernel. Further, if  $i \geq d$ , then  $x^i y^j$  is already zero! Thus, we can draw

the non-zero monomials in the cokernel as points in the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . This is a triangle of length  $d - 2$ . Thus the dimension of  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is

$$1 + 2 + \dots + d - 3 + d - 2 = \frac{1}{2}(d - 2)(d - 2 + 1) = \frac{1}{2}(d - 2)(d - 1).$$

♡

## 1.4 Chapter IV - Curves

**Exercise 6** (Exercise 1.1). Let  $X$  be a curve and  $P \in X$  a point. Show that there exists a nonconstant rational function  $f \in K(X)$  which is regular everywhere except at  $P$ . ♠

**Solution 6.** Let  $D$  be the divisor  $D = nP$ . The linear system

$$\{E = D + f \geq 0\}$$

consists of all divisors linearly equivalent to  $D$ . But these are classified by those  $f$  with  $(f) \geq -nP$ , i.e. those  $f$  with at most poles of order  $n$  at  $P$ .

By Riemann-Roch we have

$$l(D) - l(K - D) = \deg D + 1 - g = n + 1 - g.$$

If  $n$  is large enough,  $K - D$  will have negative degree, so  $l(K - D) = 0$ . Thus for large  $n$ , we can get  $l(D)$  as big as we want.

♡

## 2 Commutative Algebra - Eisenbud

### 2.1 Chapter 16 - Modules of Differentials

**Exercise 7** (Exercise 16.1). Show that if  $b \in S$  is an idempotent ( $b^2 = b$ ), and  $d : S \rightarrow M$  is any derivation, then  $db = 0$ . ♠

**Solution 7.** This is trivial.  $db = d(b^2) = 2db$ . If  $2 = 0$ , then the statement is automatically true. If not, then  $db = 0$  by subtraction. ♡

## 3 Deformation Theory - Hartshorne

### 3.1 Chapter I.3 - The $T^i$ functors

**Exercise 8** (Exercise 3.1). Let  $B = k[x, y](xy)$ . Show that  $T^1(B/k, M) = M \otimes k$  and  $T^2(B/k, M) = 0$  for any  $B$ -module  $M$ . ♠

**Solution 8.** Since  $B$  is defined by a principal ideal in  $P = k[x, y]$ , it follows that  $L_2 = 0$  in the cotangent complex. Thus  $T^2(B/k, M)$  is automatically zero.

We have that  $L_1 = B$  and  $L_0 = Bdx \oplus Bdy$  with  $d_1$  being  $f \mapsto (fy, fx)$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}(-, M)$ , we get  $\text{Hom}(L_0, M) = M \oplus M$  and  $\text{Hom}(L_1, M) = M$ .

We have  $\text{Hom}(B \oplus B, M) \simeq M \oplus M$  by  $\phi \mapsto (\phi(1, 0), \phi(0, 1))$ . We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(B \oplus B, M) & \xrightarrow{\psi^*} & \text{Hom}(B, M) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ M \oplus M & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

Under these isomorphisms, it is easy to see that the bottom map is given by

$$(\phi(1, 0), \phi(0, 1)) \mapsto y\phi(1, 0) + x\phi(0, 1).$$

Thus since  $T^1$  is the cokernel of this map, we must have  $T^1(B/k, M) = M \otimes k$ .  $\heartsuit$

**Exercise 9** (Exercise 3.3). Let  $B = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy, y^2)$ . Show that  $T^0(B/k, B) = k^4$ ,  $T^1(B/k, B) = k^4$  and  $T^2(B/k, B) = k$ .  $\spadesuit$

**Solution 9.** Let's compute  $L_2$  first. For that we need part of a resolution of  $I$ . We have in fact

$$0 \rightarrow \text{im} \begin{pmatrix} -y & 0 \\ x & -y \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow P(-2)^3 \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0.$$

The Koszul relations are given by

$$\text{im} \begin{pmatrix} -y^2 & -xy & 0 \\ 0 & x^2 & -y^2 \\ x^2 & 0 & xy \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let's compute  $Q/F_0$  (relations modulo Koszul relations). Since  $Q$  is generated in degree 3, and  $F_0$  is of degree 4, we have  $\dim_k(Q/F_0)_3 = 2$ . Let's consider degree 4. As a  $k$ -vector space  $Q_4$  is spanned by the four elements

$$\begin{pmatrix} -y^2 \\ xy \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -y^2 \\ xy \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -yx \\ x^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -yx \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The two in the middle are already Koszul relations, so that  $(Q/F_0)_4$  have dimension  $\leq 2$ . But we also have

$$\begin{pmatrix} -y^2 \\ xy \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ yx \\ -x^2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -y^2 \\ 0 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $\dim_k(Q/F_0)_4 = 1$ , since the second term above is a Koszul relation. Similarly we find that  $\dim_k(Q/F_0)_5 = 0$ . Hence,  $L_2$  is the 3-dimensional  $k$ -vector space spanned by  $Q_3$  and one more relation.  $L_1$  is  $F \otimes B = B^3$ , and  $L_0$  is  $B \oplus B$ , spanned by  $dx, dy$ .

Taking duals, we get that  $L_2 = \text{Hom}(Q/F_0, B)$ . This set can be identified with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(Q/F_0, B) &= \{\varphi : Q \rightarrow B \mid \varphi|_{F_0} = 0\} \\ &= \{\varphi : Q \rightarrow P \mid \text{im } \varphi|_{F_0} \subseteq I\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since  $I = \mathfrak{m}^2$ , we must have that  $\varphi$  sends the two generators of  $Q$  to something of degree 1 (degree 0 is not ok, since then  $F_0$  would be sent outside  $I$ ). Thus  $\text{Hom}(Q/F_0, B)$  is  $2 \times 2 = 4$ -dimensional, spanned by

$$\text{im} \begin{pmatrix} y & x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

But  $d_2$  is the dual of the inclusion  $Q \rightarrow F$  from the exact sequence above. The dual is given by transposing, and we are left with one column - in conclusion,  $T^2(B/k, B)$  is one-dimensional.

The Jacobian of  $I$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2x & y & 0 \\ 0 & x & 2y \end{pmatrix},$$

and it is easily seen that the kernel of  $\text{Jac} \otimes B$  is given by  $\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \subset B^3$ . The two relations kill off two dimensions, so  $\dim_k T^1(B/k, B) = \dim_k \mathfrak{m}^{\oplus 3} - 2 = 6 - 2 = 4$ .

Also  $T^0(B/k, B)$  is  $B^2$  modulo the image of the Jacobian. The constants are left untouched, so  $\dim_k T^0(B/k, B) = 2 + 2 + 2 - 3 = 3$ . A basis is given by  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1)$  and  $(x, y)$ . (thus Hartshorne is wrong?)  $\heartsuit$



## 4 Introduction to Commutative Algebra - Atiyah-MacDonald

### 4.1 Chapter 1 - Rings and ideals

**Exercise 10.** Let  $x$  be a nilpotent element of a ring  $A$ . Show that  $1 + x$  is a unit of  $A$ . Deduce that the sum of a nilpotent element and a unit is a unit. ♠

**Solution 10.** Suppose  $x^{n+1} = 0$  and that  $x^n \neq 0$ . Consider

$$s = 1 - x + x^2 - x^3 + \dots + x^n$$

Then

$$sx = x - x^2 + x^3 - x^4 + \dots - x^n$$

since  $x^{n+1} = 0$ . But then  $s + sx = 1$ , so that  $s(1 + x) = 1$ . Hence  $1 + x$  is a unit. To prove that the sum of any unit and any nilpotent is a unit, note that if  $u$  is any unit, then  $u^{-1}x$  is still nilpotent. So since  $u + x = u(1 + u^{-1}x)$  and product of units are units, the claim follows. ♥

**Exercise 11** (Exercise 11). A ring  $A$  is *Boolean* if  $x^2 = x$  every  $x \in A$ . In a Boolean ring  $A$ , show that

- i)  $2x = 0$  for all  $x \in A$ .
- ii) Every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal, and  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  is a field with two elements.
- iii) Every finitely generated ideal in  $A$  is principal.

♠

**Solution 11.** i) We have  $4x = 4x^2 = (2x)^2 = 2x$ , hence  $2x = 0$ .

- ii) Consider  $A/\mathfrak{p}$ . This is an integral domain in which  $x^2 = x$  for all  $x \in A/\mathfrak{p}$ . But then  $x^2 - x = x(x - 1) = 0$ . Hence either  $x = 0$  or  $x = 1$ , hence  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  can have only two elements. Thus it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  which is a field, hence  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal.
- iii) Let  $I = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ . Every ideal is contained in a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Consider the image of  $I$  in  $A/\mathfrak{m}$ .
- iv) By induction we can assume that  $I$  is generated by two elements, say  $I = (a_1, a_2)$ . Then I claim that  $I = (a_1 + a_2)$ . Clearly  $(a_1 + a_2) \subseteq (a_1, a_2)$ . The other direction will follow if we can see that  $a_1 a_2 = 0$  (or



So suppose  $M \otimes_A N = 0$ . Then  $(M \otimes_A N)_k = 0$ . But this is isomorphic to  $M_k \otimes_A N_k$  since  $k \otimes_A k = k$ . But  $M_k \otimes_A N_k \simeq M_k \otimes_k N_k$ , as  $k$ -modules, since everything in  $\mathfrak{m}$  acts trivially on  $M_k$ . But these are vector spaces over a field, now we must have  $M_k = 0$  or  $N_k = 0$ , and by Nakayama we are done.  $\heartsuit$

**Exercise 16** (Exercise 4). Let  $M_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) be any family of  $A$ -modules, and let  $M$  be their direct sum. Then  $M$  is flat if and only if each  $M_i$  is flat.  $\spadesuit$

**Solution 16.** Let

$$0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$$

be any exact sequence. Then tensoring with  $M$  gives

$$0 \rightarrow N' \otimes_A M \rightarrow N \otimes_A M \rightarrow N'' \otimes_A M \rightarrow 0.$$

We only need to check that the left map is injective. But we have  $N' \otimes_A M \simeq \bigoplus_i N' \otimes_A M_i$  and  $N \otimes_A M \simeq \bigoplus_i N \otimes_A M_i$ , and thus the left map is just the direct sum of all the maps

$$0 \rightarrow N' \otimes_A M_i \rightarrow N \otimes_A M_i,$$

which is injective if and only if each  $M_i$  is flat.  $\heartsuit$

**Exercise 17** (Exercise 5). Let  $A[x]$  be the ring of polynomials in one indeterminate over a ring  $A$ . Prove that  $A[x]$  is flat  $A$ -algebra.  $\spadesuit$

**Solution 17.** We have  $A[x] = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i A$  as an  $A$ -module. Now use Exercise 4.  $\heartsuit$

**Exercise 18** (Exercise 24). If  $M$  is an  $A$ -module, the following are equivalent:

- i)  $M$  is flat.
- ii)  $\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N) = 0$  for all  $n > 0$  and  $A$ -modules  $N$ .
- iii)  $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, N) = 0$  for all  $A$ -modules  $N$ .

**Solution 18.** To compute  $\text{Tor}_A^n(M, N)$ , one takes an  $A$ -resolution of  $N$  and tensor it with  $M$  and take homology. But  $M$  is flat, so the sequence stays exact, so the homology is zero. This shows  $i) \Rightarrow ii)$ .

The implication  $ii) \Rightarrow iii)$  is trivial.

Now let

$$0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$$

be any exact sequence of  $A$ -modules. Then by properties of the Tor functor, we have an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1(M, N'') \rightarrow N' \otimes M \rightarrow N \otimes M \rightarrow N'' \otimes M \rightarrow 0.$$

But  $\mathrm{Tor}_1(M, N'') = 0$ , so the sequence is short exact. Hence  $M$  is flat. ♡

**Exercise 19** (Exercise 25). Let

$$0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence with  $N''$  flat. Then  $N'$  is flat if and only if  $N$  is flat. ♠

**Solution 19.** We have from the Tor exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1(N', M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1(N, M) \rightarrow 0$$

since  $\mathrm{Tor}_2(N'', M) = \mathrm{Tor}_1(N'', M) = 0$ . The statement follows. ♡

♠

### 4.3 Chapter III - Rings and modules of fractions

**Exercise 20** (Exercise 1). Let  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring  $A$ , and let  $M$  be a finitely-generated  $A$ -module. Prove that  $S^{-1}M = 0$  if and only if there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $sM = 0$ . ♠

**Solution 20.** Suppose there exists such  $s$ . Let  $m/s' \in S^{-1}M$ . This is zero if and only if there exists  $s \in M$  such that  $s(s'm) = 0$ . But  $ss'm = s'sm = s'0 = 0$ . So  $m = 0$  in  $S^{-1}M$ . (note that we did not use finite generation)

Now let  $m_1, \dots, m_r$  be a set of generators for  $M$  and suppose that  $S^{-1}M = 0$ . Then for each  $i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ), there exists  $s_i$  such that  $s_i m_i = 0$ . Since every element of  $M$  is an  $A$ -linear combination of the  $m_i$ , it follows that the product  $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r$  makes  $sM = 0$ . ♡

### 4.4 Chapter 5 - Integral dependence and valuations

**Exercise 21** (Exercise 1). Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an integral morphism of rings. Show that  $f^* : \mathrm{Spec} B \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A$  is a closed mapping. ♠

**Solution 21.** The map  $f^*$  is by definition given by  $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p} \cap A$ . A closed subset of  $\mathrm{Spec} B$  is by definition

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} B \mid \mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{a}\}$$

for some ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subset B$ .

Then the image of  $V(\mathfrak{a})$  is the set

$$f^*(V(\mathfrak{a})) = \{\mathfrak{p} \cap A \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} B, \mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{a}\}$$

I claim that this is equal to

$$V(\mathfrak{a} \cap A) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} A \mid \mathfrak{q} \supset \mathfrak{a} \cap A\},$$

which clearly is a closed subset of  $\operatorname{Spec} A$ .

One direction is obvious: let  $\mathfrak{p} \cap A$  be an element of  $f^*(V(\mathfrak{a}))$ . This is a point of  $\operatorname{Spec} A$ , and clearly  $\mathfrak{p} \cap A \supset \mathfrak{a} \cap A$  since  $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{a}$ .

The other direction needs the going up Theorem 5.10. Suppose  $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{a} \cap A)$ . Then by Going Up, there exists  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} B$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \cap A = \mathfrak{q}$ . But we need to check that  $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{a}$ . That is, we need to prove the assertion that if  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p} \cap A$  and  $\mathfrak{q} \supset \mathfrak{a} \cap A$ , then  $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{a}$ . So suppose  $a \in \mathfrak{a} \subset B$ . Then  $a$  satisfies an equation

$$a^n + b_{n-1}a^{n-1} + \dots + b_1a + b_0 = 0$$

with  $b_i \in A$ . Since  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ , we see that  $b_0 \in \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p} \cap A$ . Hence

$$a^n + b_{n-1}a^{n-1} + \dots + b_1a = a(a^{n-1} + b_{n-1}a^{n-2} + \dots + b_1) \in \mathfrak{p}$$

since  $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . But  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime so either  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$  and we are done, or  $a^{n-1}b_{n-1}a^{n-2} + \dots + b_1 \in \mathfrak{p}$ , and we can continue by induction.

Hence we are done. ♥

## 4.5 Chapter 7 - Noetherian rings

**Exercise 22** (Exercise 11). Let  $A$  be a ring such that  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is Noetherian for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ . Is  $A$  necessarily noetherian? ♠

**Solution 22.** Consider the ring

$$A = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \cdots.$$

It is a countable product of noetherian rings. The primes are just the coordinate axes, and each localization is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ . Thus each  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is Noetherian, but  $A$  is not. ♥

**Exercise 23** (Exercise 15). Let  $A$  be a Noetherian local ring,  $\mathfrak{m}$  its maximal ideal and  $k$  its residue field and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module. Then the following are equivalent:

- i)  $M$  is free.
- ii)  $M$  is flat.
- iii) The mapping  $\mathfrak{m} \otimes M \rightarrow A \otimes M$  is injective.
- iv)  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(k, M) = 0$ .



**Solution 23.** The implication  $i) \Rightarrow ii)$  is trivial. One way is to compute  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(M, N)$  for any  $A$ -module  $N$ . But a free resolution of  $M$  is just one-term, so  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(M, N)$  is automatically zero.

The implication  $ii) \Rightarrow iii)$  follows by tensoring the inclusion  $\mathfrak{m} \hookrightarrow A$  with  $M$ .

The implication  $iii) \Rightarrow iv)$  follows from the Tor exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(A, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^A(k, M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \otimes M \rightarrow A \otimes M \rightarrow k \otimes M \rightarrow 0.$$

The leftmost term is zero since  $A$  is a free  $A$ -module, and by  $iii)$  and exactness we must as well have  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(k, M) = 0$ .

Now for  $iv) \Rightarrow i)$ . Choose element  $m_i \in M$  ( $0 \leq i \leq r$ ) such that they form a  $k$ -basis for  $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ . Choose a surjection  $f : A^r \rightarrow M$  and let  $E = \ker f$  be its kernel. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow A^r \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

of finitely-generated  $A$ -modules ( $E$  is finitely generated by Proposition 6.2). Tensor the sequence by  $k$ , and get

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(k, M) \rightarrow E/\mathfrak{m}E \rightarrow k^r \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{m}M \rightarrow 0.$$

The left-most term is zero by assumption. The last two spaces are  $k$ -vector spaces of the same dimension, and it follows that  $E/\mathfrak{m}E = 0$ . But then it follows that  $E$  is zero by Nakayama's lemma, hence  $M$  is free.  $\heartsuit$

**Exercise 24** (Exercise 16). Let  $A$  be a Noetherian ring,  $M$  a finitely-generated  $A$ -module. Then the following are equivalent:

- i)  $M$  is a flat  $A$ -module.
- ii)  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a free  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} A$ .
- iii)  $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a free  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module for each maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

So flatness is the same as being locally free. ♠

**Solution 24.** The implications  $i \Rightarrow ii)$  and  $ii) \Rightarrow iii)$  follows trivially from the previous exercise. We prove  $iii) \Rightarrow i)$ .

Applying the Tor functor commutes with localization, hence we have  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(M, N)_{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathrm{Tor}_1^{A_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\mathfrak{m}}, N_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0$  for all  $\mathfrak{m}$ . But being zero is a local property, so it follows that  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(M, N) = 0$  for all  $A$ -modules  $N$ . Hence  $M$  is flat. ♡