

Algebraiske grupper og moduliteori

Fredrik Meyer

29. september 2014

1 Representation theory in general

Let V be a vector space. Briefly, a *representation* of any group G on V is just a group homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$.

Example 1.1. The *trivial representation* is given by sending every $g \in G$ to the identity transformation. ★

Example 1.2. Suppose G is a finite group. Then there is an embedding $G \hookrightarrow S_n$, and every element of S_n can be represented by permutation matrices (that is, matrices M_g such that $Me_i = e_{g(i)}$ for all $g \in G$). This defines a representation of G in k^n . ★

Example 1.3. Suppose G acts on a (finite) set X . Let V be the vector space with basis identified with the elements of X . Then G acts on V by linearity: for each $g \in G$, $\rho(g)$ is the linear map sending e_x to e_{gx} . Such representations are called *permutation representations*. ★

A *morphism of representations* $(\rho, V), (\rho', W)$ consists of commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\psi} & W \\ \rho(g) \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho'(g) \\ V & \xrightarrow{\psi} & W \end{array}$$

for each $g \in G$. Thus, if ψ is invertible, this says that the linear operators $\rho(s), \rho'(s)$ are similar.

2 Algebraic groups

Algebraic groups are group objects in the category of affine varieties. More precisely:

Definition 2.1. Let A be a finitely generated k -algebra. An *affine algebraic group* is a quadruple $(A, \mu_A, \epsilon, \iota)$ where $\mu_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes_k A$ (the *coproduct*), $\epsilon : A \rightarrow k$ (the *coidentity*), $\iota : A \rightarrow A$ (the *coinverse*) are k -algebra homomorphisms, satisfying the following conditions:

1. Coassociativity. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & A \otimes_k A \\ \mu_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \mu_A \\ A \otimes_k A & \xrightarrow{\mu_A \otimes \text{id}_A} & A \otimes_k A \otimes_k A \end{array}$$

2. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & k \otimes_k A & & \\ & \nearrow \epsilon \otimes \text{id}_A & & \searrow \simeq & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A \otimes_k A & & A \\ & \searrow \text{id}_A \otimes \epsilon & & \nearrow \simeq & \\ & & A \otimes_k k & & \end{array}$$

and is equal to the identity.

3. Inverse. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & k \\ \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \\ A \otimes_k A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \otimes \iota} & A \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\cdot} A \end{array}$$

Here the right arrow is the morphism making A a k -algebra. The last arrow in the lower sequence is multiplication in A .

■

Example 2.2. Let G be any group, and let $k[G]$ be its group ring. Let A be its k -linear dual, that is $A = \text{Hom}_k(k[G], k)$. This is a priori just another vector space, but we can give it the structure of a k -algebra by defining multiplication as follows: let $\lambda : k[G] \rightarrow k, \gamma : k[G] \rightarrow k$ be k -linear maps. It is enough to say what should happen on a basis, and a basis is given by the elements g of G . Then, set $(\lambda \cdot \gamma)(g) = \lambda(g) \cdot \gamma(g)$.

Then set $\mu : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ to be the dual of the multiplication map on $k[G]$. Explicitly, let $m : k[G] \otimes_k k[G] \rightarrow k[G]$ denote the multiplication map. Let $\lambda : k[G] \rightarrow k$ be an element of A . Then we can form $m^*\lambda = \lambda \circ m$, which is an element of $(k[G] \otimes k[G])^\vee$. For finite-dimensional vector spaces, this is isomorphic to $A \otimes A$, which gives our multiplication map μ . The coidentity is given by sending $\lambda : k[G] \rightarrow k$ to $\lambda(1_G)$, where $1_G \in G \subseteq k[G]$.

For example: let $G = C_n$ be the cyclic group of order n . Then $k[G] = k[t]/(t^n - 1)$, and since this is finite-dimensional over k , we can find an isomorphism $k[G] \approx A$. Unwinding definitions, we see that [??] (I dont see this) ★

Example 2.3. Let $A = k[s]$ be the polynomial ring in one variable. This is the coordinate ring of \mathbb{A}_k^1 . We can define

$$\mu(s) = s \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes s.$$

Also, $\epsilon(s) = 0$, and $\iota(s) = -s$. ★

Definition 2.4. An *action* of an affine algebraic group $G = \text{Spec } A$ on an affine variety $X = \text{Spec } R$ is a morphism $G \times X \rightarrow X$ defined dually by a k -algebra morphism $\mu_R : R \rightarrow R \otimes_k A$ satisfying the following two conditions.

1. The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\mu_R} & R \otimes_k A \\ & \searrow \text{id}_R & \downarrow \text{id}_R \otimes \epsilon \\ & & R \simeq R \otimes_k k \end{array}$$

2. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\mu_R} & R \otimes_k A \\ \mu_R \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_R \otimes \text{id}_A \\ R \otimes_k A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_R \otimes \mu_A} & R \otimes_k A \otimes_k A \end{array}$$

■

3 Representations of algebraic groups

Let $G = \operatorname{Spec} A$ be an affine algebraic group over a field k .

Definition 3.1. An *algebraic representation* of G is a pair (V, μ_V) consisting of a k -vector space V and a k -linear map $\mu_V : V \rightarrow V \otimes_k A$ satisfying the following two conditions:

1. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\mu_V} & V \otimes_k A \\ & \searrow \operatorname{id}_V & \downarrow \operatorname{id}_V \otimes \epsilon \\ & & V \simeq V \otimes_k k \end{array} \quad (1)$$

is commutative.

2. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\mu_V} & V \otimes_k A \\ \mu_V \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_V \otimes \operatorname{id}_A \\ V \otimes_k A & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}_V \otimes \mu_A} & V \otimes_k A \otimes_k A \end{array}$$

is commutative. Here μ_A is the coproduct in the coordinate ring of G .

■

Remark. In lieu of Definition 2.4, we see that any action of an algebraic group G on an affine variety $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ is a representation of G on the infinite-dimensional k -vector space $R = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

We often drop the subscript from μ_V unless confusion may arise. The same comment applies to tensor products. They will always be over the ground field unless otherwise stated. We will sometimes refer to a representation (V, μ_V) sometimes as “a representation $\mu : V \rightarrow V \otimes A$ ” and sometimes as just “a representation V ”.

Definition 3.2. Let $\mu : V \rightarrow V \otimes A$ be a representation of $G = \operatorname{Spec} A$. Then:

1. A vector $x \in V$ is said to be *G-invariant* if $\mu(x) = x \otimes 1$.
2. A subspace $U \subset V$ is called a *subrepresentation* if $\mu(U) \subseteq U \otimes A$.

■

Proposition 3.3. *Every representation V of G is locally finite-dimensional. Precisely: every $x \in V$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subrepresentation of G .*

Bevis. Write $\mu(x)$ as a finite sum $\sum_i x_i \otimes f_i$ for $x_i \in V$ and linearly independent $f_i \in A$. This we can always do, by definition of tensor product and bilinearity. Let U be the subspace of V spanned by the vectors x_i .

Now, by the commutativity of the diagram (1) it follows that

$$x = \sum_i \epsilon(f_i) x_i.$$

By the commutativity of the second diagram in the definition, it follows that

$$\sum_i \mu_V(x_i) \otimes f_i = \sum_i x_i \otimes \mu_A(f_i) \in U \otimes A_k \otimes_k A.$$

Because each term of the right-hand-side is contained in $U \otimes A \otimes A$, it follows that $\mu_V(x_i)$ is contained in U since the f_i are linearly independent.

Thus x is contained in the finite-dimensional representation $\mu_V|_U : U \rightarrow U \otimes A$. \square

Repr. of \mathbb{G}_m .

Euler operator.

Characters.

3.1 Linear reductivity

Definition 3.4. An algebraic group G is said to be *linearly reductive* if, for every epimorphism $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ of G -representations, the induced map of G -invariants $\varphi^G : V^G \rightarrow W^G$ is surjective. \blacksquare

For the following proposition, assume that *char* k does not divide $|G|$.

Proposition 3.5. *Every finite group G is linearly reductive.*

Bevis. Let $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ be the given epimorphism of representations. Let $R : V \rightarrow V^G \subset V$ be given by $v \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot v$. Let $w \in W^G$. Then it is an easy calculation to check that $\varphi(R(v)) = R(\varphi(v))$, from which it follows that $\varphi(R(v)) = w$ (note that $R|_{W^G} = \text{id}_{W^G}$). \square

The homomorphism R above is called the *Reynolds operator*.