Results so far

Fredrik Meyer

January 14, 2015

1 Introduction

In the article [Gaĭ09], it is proven that there exists a triangulation of \mathbb{CP}^2 with 15 vertices and other nice properties [[expand]]. The triangulation is constructed by "glueing" cones of 3-spheres along a triangle. Call this triangulation for \mathcal{S} .

A smoothing of the associated Stanley-Reisner scheme $\mathbb{P}(S)$ would have interesting properties. In particular, it would be a hyper-Kähler variety [[EXPLAIN]]

The Hilbert polynomial of $\mathbb{P}(S)$ is $(9/2)t^4 + (15/2)t^2 + 3$. The simplicial complex S have f-vector (15, 90, 240, 270, 108). In particular, the degree of $\mathbb{P}(S)$ is 108.

Here are a few computations:

Lemma 1.1. The module of first-order deformations of $\mathbb{P}(S)$ is 90-dimensional, i.e. $\dim_k T^1(\mathbb{P}(S)) = 90$.

Lemma 1.2. The obstruction module have $\dim_k T^2(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K})) = 306$.

The link of S at one of its vertices is a particularly simple 3-sphere, namely the join of the boundaries of two hexagons. Call this K. Then K have f-vector (1, 12, 48, 72, 36). In particular the Stanley-Reisner scheme $\mathbb{P}(K)$ have degree 36.

Consider now $K * \Delta^1$. This a cone over a ball, so it is topologically a 5-dimensional ball. In fact, it is the join of two hexagons. So it is a 5-dimensional ball. Consider now the polytope P * P that is the convex hull

of the columns of the matrix

This polytope is the join of two *actual* hexagons. Thus, by standard theory,

2 Deformations of the 5-dimensional toric variety

Recall that the 5-dimensional toric variety T had 2-dimensional singularities (actually two disjoint copies of dP_6).

Theorem 2.1. There exists a flat deformation of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K} * \Delta^1)$, $\mathfrak{X} \to S$, such that $\mathfrak{X}_{t_1} = T$ for some $t_1 \in S$ and such that the general fiber \widetilde{T} have one-dimensional singularities.

Proof. As per now, the proof is purely by computer. The technique is this: First, consider the monomial degeneration of T to the Stanley-Reisner ring $A(\mathcal{K} * \Delta^1)$ (recall that $\mathcal{K} = D_6 * D_6$). Choose deformation parameters t_i perturbing the equations "in the direction of T", meaning that we only choose parameters introducing terms already occurring in the equations of T.

Now, using the package VersalDeformations, it is possible to produce a flat family $\mathfrak{X} \to S'$ with $\mathfrak{X}_0 = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K} * \Delta^1)$.

The base space is a union of toric varieties of dimensions 14, 13, 13, 12, respectively. Call the largest one for S. The equations are

$$\begin{vmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_2 & \cdots & t_6 \\ t_7 & t_8 & t_9 & \cdots & t_{12} \end{vmatrix} \le 1 \qquad \begin{vmatrix} t_{13} & t_{14} & t_{15} & \cdots & t_{18} \\ t_{19} & t_{20} & t_{21} & \cdots & t_{24} \end{vmatrix} \le 1$$

By restriction, we get the claimed family $\mathfrak{X} \to T$. It is cheched by setting $t_i = i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 12$ and $t_i = 2i$ for $i = 13, \ldots, 24$ that the resulting fiber have one-dimensional singularities. The reason we don't set all $t_i = 1$, is that this point lies in the intersection of the components of S.

Corollary 2.2. The Stanley-Reisner scheme $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K})$ smooths to a smooth Calabi-Yau variety X.

Proof. The scheme $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K})$ sits as a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K} * \Delta^1)$. Complete intersections deform together with the ambient variety, so $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K} * \Delta^1)$ deforms to a general complete intersection in \widetilde{T} . Since \widetilde{T} have curve singularities, it follows by two applications of Bertini's theorem [Har77, Theorem II.8.18], that X is smooth.

Now what we would really like to do is to compute the Hodge numbers $h^{ij} = \dim_k H^j(X, \Omega_X^i)$ of X.

We can however compute the Hodge numbers of T. The hope is that there is some sort of Lefschetz theorem giving us the Hodge numbers of X.

Theorem 2.3. We have
$$h^{11}(\widetilde{T}) = 1$$
 and $h^{12}(\widetilde{T}) = 12$.

Proof. Again, this is purely computational. We use long exact sequences together with sheaf cohomology computations in Macaulay2.

Since the ideal of \widetilde{T} is rather complicated, doing this naïvely does not work. The trick is to choose the right term order. Since we know that \widetilde{T} has a nice degeneration, we would like to find a term order such that its initial ideal is precisely the Stanley-Reisner ideal.

The Macaulay2 package gfanInterface provides an interface with gfan, which is a program that can compute weight vectors given polynomials with prescribed initial terms. The weight vector is

$$\omega = (1, 1, 4, 7, 7, 4, 1, 1, 4, 7, 7, 4, 1, 1).$$

With this term order, giving a very small Gröbner basis (18 elements), the computations are much faster than with the standard term order. We are able to compute resolutions of all the relevant modules within a few minutes in total.

We have an exact sequence of sheaves on \widetilde{T} :

$$0 \to \mathscr{T}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{T}} \to \Omega^1_{\widetilde{T}} \to 0.$$

This sequence can be broken into two short exact sequences. The relevant one is this:

$$0 \to \operatorname{im} d \to \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{T}} \to \Omega^1_{\widetilde{T}} \to 0. \tag{1}$$

We also have the restriced Euler sequence:

$$0 \to \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{T}} \to \mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{T}}(-1)^{14} \to \mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{T}} \to 0. \tag{2}$$

We first compute h^{11} . From (1) we get a long exact sequence

$$\ldots \to H^1(\operatorname{im} d) \to H^1(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{T}}) \to H^1(\Omega^1_{\widetilde{T}}) \to H^2(\operatorname{im} d) \to \ldots$$

The cohomology of $H^1(\operatorname{im} d)$ and $H^2(\operatorname{im} d)$ was computed with Macaulay2 to be both zero. Thus $H^1(\Omega^1_{\widetilde{T}}) \simeq H^1(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{T}})$. From the Euler sequence we get

$$\ldots \to H^0(\mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{T}}(-1)^{14}) \to H^0(\mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{T}}) \to H^1(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{T}}) \to H^1(\mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{T}}(-1)^{14}) \to \ldots$$

But the left and right terms are both zero. Hence $h^{11}=1.$ We now compute $h^{12}.$

From (1) we again get

$$0 \to H^2(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{T}}) \to H^2(\Omega^1_{\widetilde{T}}) \to H^3(\operatorname{im} d) \to H^3(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\widetilde{T}}) \to \dots,$$

where we have used that $H^2(\operatorname{im} d) = 0$. But from the Euler sequence we get that the right term is also zero. Thus $H^2(\Omega^1_{\widetilde{T}}) \simeq H^3(\operatorname{im} d)$. This last group can be computed in Macaulay2 to be 12-dimensional.

Remark. The reader may wonder we just didn't ask Macaulay2 to compute the cohomology sheaf $\Omega^1_{\widetilde{T}}$ directly, e.g. by the command HH^i(cotangentSheaf Proj A). The reason is that Macaulay2's algorithms actually compute the sheaf, and not just the dimension, and this is too computationally intensive.

Remark (Question). A computation revelae that $H^i(\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2) \simeq H^i(\text{im } d)$ for $i \geq 2$. This could be because the singularities are of dimension 1. Is there a theoretical result to this effect?

We can compute it Macaulay2 that:

Lemma 2.4. The first cotangent module of \widetilde{T} has $\dim_k T^1(\widetilde{T}/k) = 26$.

We have that $h^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K} * \Delta^1), \mathcal{T}) = 14$ by Theorem 5.2 in [AC10]. Thus these numbers fit in the narrative that we should have $T^1_{X_0} = h^1(\mathcal{T}_{X_t}) + h^0(\mathcal{T}_{X_0})$. (IS THERE ANY HEURISTIC FOR THIS??)

3 Computing the Hodge numbers of X

First a lemma that will be useful later:

Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}^{13}}$ be the normal sheaf of X in \mathbb{P}^{13} . Then $h^3(\mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_X^2) = h^0(\mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}^{13}})$.

Proof. By Serre duality $h^{3-i}(\mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_X^2) = h^i((\mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_X^2)^{\vee} \otimes \omega)$, where ω is the dualizing sheaf. But X is Calabi-Yau, so $\omega \simeq \mathscr{O}_X$. The dual of $\mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_X^2$ is by definition the normal bundle.

Consider the Euler sequence

$$0 \to \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_X \to \mathscr{O}_X(-1)^{14} \to \mathscr{O}_X \to 0.$$

Since X is a deformation of a Stanley-Reisner sphere, we know the cohomology of \mathcal{O}_X . So we can extract the cohomology of $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$.

Lemma 3.2. We have

$$H^{i}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}) = egin{cases} 0 & \textit{if } i = 0 \\ 1 & \textit{if } i = 1 \\ 0 & \textit{if } i = 2 \\ 167 & \textit{if } i = 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The full long exact sequence is:

$$0 \to H^0(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathscr{O}_X(-1)^{14}) \to H^0(\mathscr{O}_X) \to$$

$$H^1(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_X) \to H^1(\mathscr{O}_X(-1)^{14}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathscr{O}_X) \to$$

$$H^2(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_X) \to H^2(\mathscr{O}_X(-1)^{14}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathscr{O}_X) \to$$

$$H^3(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_X) \to H^3(\mathscr{O}_X(-1)^{14}) \longrightarrow H^3(\mathscr{O}_X) \to 0$$

Inserting the dimensions we know, we get:

$$0 \to H^0(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_X) \qquad 0 \to 1 \to$$

$$H^1(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_X) \to 0 \qquad \to 0 \to$$

$$H^2(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_X) \to 0 \qquad \to 0 \to$$

$$H^3(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_X) \to 168 \qquad \to 1 \to 0$$

Hence we conclude.

Since X is smooth, the conormal sequence is exact, so we have

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_X^2 \to \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^{13}} \otimes \mathscr{O}_X \to \Omega^1_X \to 0.$$

Lemma 3.3. We have equalities

$$h^{11}(X) = h^2(\mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_X^2) - h^1(\mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_X^2) + 1$$

and

$$h^{21}(X) = h^0(\mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}^{13}}) - 167.$$

Hence also

$$\frac{\chi(X)}{2} = 168 - \chi(\mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_X^2),$$

where χ denotes the Euler characteristic.

Proof. Write up the long exact sequence coming from the conormal sequence of X and use Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.4. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_Y/\mathcal{I}_Y^2\big|_X \to \mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_X^2 \to \mathscr{O}_X(-1)^2 \to 0.$$

Proof. There is an exact sequence of ideal sheaves

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_Y \to \mathcal{I}_X \to \mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_Y \to 0.$$

First tensoring with \mathcal{O}_Y gives [[WHY IS THIS EXACT?]]

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_Y/\mathcal{I}_Y^2 \to \mathcal{I}_X \otimes \mathscr{O}_Y \to \mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_Y \otimes \mathscr{O}_Y \to 0.$$

Tensoring with \mathscr{O}_X gives the statement since X is a complete intersection of two linear subspaces, and hence its normal bundle in Y is just $\mathscr{O}_X(-1)^2$. \square

Corollary 3.5. Hence:

$$h^0(\mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}^{13}}) = h^3(\mathcal{I}_Y/\mathcal{I}_Y^2\big|_X) + 24.$$

We also have the following:

Lemma 3.6. The Hodge number $h^{21}(X)$ of X satisfies the following equation:

$$h^{12}(X) = 120 + h^2(\Omega^1_{Y/k}\Big|_X) - h^3(\Omega^1_{Y/k}\Big|_k).$$

References

- [AC10] Klaus Altmann and Jan Arthur Christophersen. Deforming Stanley-Reisner schemes. *Math. Ann.*, 348(3):513–537, 2010.
- [Gaĭ09] A. A. Gaĭfullin. A minimal triangulation of the complex projective plane that admits a chessboard coloring of four-dimensional simplices. Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova, 266(Geometriya, Topologiya i Matematicheskaya Fizika. II):33-53, 2009.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.