

Semisimple compact connected Lie group G

①

\mathfrak{g}

- $Z(G)$ is finite

$$- f(g) = 0$$

$$- \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \Delta = \mathfrak{h}^* \quad (\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta = i\mathfrak{t}^*)$$

Then \tilde{G} is compact, equivalently, $\pi_1(\tilde{G})$ is finite.

Reason $\Omega \subset X^*(T) \subset P = \{ \beta \in i\mathfrak{t}^* \mid \frac{\alpha(\beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \alpha \}$

The argument shows that if $X^*(T) = P$,
then $m(G) = 0$.

We show that the converse holds tomorrow)

- This can be used to check that $SO(n)$ and $Sp(n)$ are simply connected

If G is not semisimple, then $G/Z(G)$ is semisimple by
the above $\Omega/\pi_1(\tilde{G})$. We showed that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$
as a Lie algebra. (check!)

Prop Any compact connected Lie group G can be written as

$\overline{T^n \times H}/P$ where H is a compact ~~simply~~ simply connected
semisimple Lie group and P is a finite
subgroup of $T^n \times Z(H)$.

pf

$G/Z(G)$ is semisimple by Lie algebras

②

$$g/Z(G) \hookrightarrow [g, g]$$

Consider the universal cover H of $G/Z(G)$.
Then H is compact semisimple. The identifications of the
Lie algebra of H w/ $[g, g] \subset g$ defining a homomorphism
 $H \xrightarrow{\pi} G$.

Then we get a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} Z(G)^0 \times H &\xrightarrow{\pi'} G \\ (g, h) &\longmapsto g\pi(h) \end{aligned}$$

At the Lie algebra level this is an isomorphism. Hence π'
is surjective and $\pi = \ker \pi'$ is finite (b.c. $\ker \pi = 0$ on Lie
alg. level)

Classification of Simply Connected Semisimple compact Lie Groups

Let G be a compact connected Lie group. Fix a maximal torus $T \subset G$.
Consider a fiducial rep $\pi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$. (Assume V is comp.)

We can decompose $\pi|_T$ into two isotropic components.

Thus, for $\lambda \in X^*(T)$, define

$$V(\lambda) = \left\{ v \in V \mid \sum_{H \in T} H v = \lambda(H)v + \sum_{H \in T} H f_H v \right\}$$

$$f_H(v)$$

The elements of $V(\lambda)$ are called levels of weights. ③

Put $P(V) = \{ \lambda + \rho^\vee \mid V(\lambda) \neq 0 \} \subset X^*(T)$

Then $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(V)} V(\lambda)$

If $x \in g_\alpha$, then $XV(\lambda) \subset V(\lambda + \alpha)$

Indeed, for $h \in h$, $v \in V(\lambda)$

$$\begin{aligned} HXv &= (Hx - xH)v + xHv \\ &= [H, x]_v + \lambda(H)x_v \\ &= \alpha(H)x_v + \lambda(H)x_v \\ &= (\alpha(H) + \lambda(H))x_v \end{aligned}$$

(root)

Prop Let $\lambda \in P(V)$ and $\alpha \in \Delta$. Then

① The set $\{ \lambda + k\alpha \in P(V) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ has the form

$$\lambda - q\alpha, \lambda - (q-1)\alpha, \dots, \lambda + p\alpha \text{ for some } p, q \geq 0$$

$$\text{s.t. } p - q = \lambda(H_\alpha)$$

② $\sum_{\alpha} V(\lambda - p\alpha) = V(\lambda + p\alpha)$

from lowest possible to highest possible

(4)

PfConsider the copy $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ Spanned by $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{h}_\alpha$.We consider V as a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})_\alpha$ -module.From the rep. theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ we know that
the set $\{\lambda(h_\alpha) + 2k \mid \lambda + k\alpha \in P(V)\}$

has the form

$$\{-n, -n+2, \dots, n\}$$

for some integer $n = 2s$

This means that

$$\{\lambda + k\alpha \in P(V)\} = \{\lambda - q\alpha, \dots, \lambda + p\alpha\}$$

$$\text{w/ } -n = \lambda(h_\alpha) - 2q, \quad n = \lambda(h_\alpha) + 2p$$

$$\therefore p-q = \lambda(h_\alpha)$$

(ii) The second claim follows from the property of left spin's

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})\text{-module } V_S \text{ has } E^{2s} Z_S = \bigoplus_{\text{shallow } \neq 0} \mathbb{Z}_S$$

■

(5)

Corollary If $\lambda, \lambda + \alpha \in P(V)$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta$,
 then $E_V(\lambda) \neq \emptyset$.

In particular applying this to the adjoint rep., we conclude that if
 $\alpha \in \Delta, \lambda + \alpha \in \Delta$, then $[g_\alpha, g_\beta] = g_{\alpha+\beta}$

one-dim.
 nonge

Prop Fix a system of simple roots $\Pi \subset \Delta$. Then
~~every~~ every $\alpha \in \Delta$ can be written as

$$\alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_k} \text{ s.t. } \alpha_{i_j} \in \Pi \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_l} \in \Delta \text{ for all } l = 1, \dots, k.$$

If This is equivalent to saying that if $\lambda \in \Delta + \Pi$, then
 $\exists \alpha \in \Pi$ s.t. $\lambda - \alpha \in \Delta$.

Assume this is not true. So $\lambda - \alpha \notin \Delta \forall \alpha \in \Pi$.

Then $\lambda - \alpha \in \Delta^+$, $\forall \alpha \in \Pi$ (as $\Delta = \Delta_+ \cup \Delta_-$)

By the previous prop, the set $\{\lambda + k\alpha \in \Delta \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

has the form $\{\lambda - q\alpha, \dots, \lambda + p\alpha\}$ w/ $p - q = -\lambda(H_\alpha)$.

By assumption, we conclude that $q=0$ and $p=-\lambda(H_\alpha) \geq 0$.

so $\lambda(H_2) \leq 0$.

As $\lambda \in \Delta_+$, $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha \alpha$ for some $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. ⑥

Then

$$(\lambda, \lambda) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha (\alpha, \lambda) \leq 0 \text{ so } \lambda = 0,$$

which is a contradiction since $0 \notin \Delta$.

Combining this w/ the previous corollary we get

Corollary

g_λ is generated by h and E_α, F_α
for $\alpha \in \Pi$.

If $\lambda = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k$ for $\alpha_i \in \Pi$ as in the proposition,

$$\text{then } g_\lambda = [E_{\alpha_1}, E_{\alpha_2}, E_{\alpha_3}, \dots, E_{\alpha_k}] \otimes C$$

(first main thm in this p. of the course)

Thm Two compact simply connected semisimple Lie groups
are isomorphic iff their root systems are isomorphic.

Sketch

Let us describe the Lie algebra of a compact semisimple Lie group in terms of its root system.

Let us fix a maximal torus and a system of simple roots $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$

We write H_i, E_i, F_i for $H_{\alpha_i}, E_{\alpha_i}, F_{\alpha_i}$.

Construct the Gran ~~substitution~~ matrix

⑦

"choose"

$$A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^r \quad a_{ij} = \frac{2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}$$

Let Ω be the universal lie algebra w/ generators

$$\hat{H}_i, \hat{E}_i, \hat{F}_i \quad (i=1, \dots, r)$$

and the relations

$$[\hat{H}_i, \hat{H}_j] = 0 \quad H_{ij},$$

$$[\hat{H}_i, \hat{E}_j] = a_{ij} \hat{E}_j \quad H_{ij}$$

$$[\hat{H}_i, \hat{F}_j] = a_{ij} \hat{F}_j$$

$$[\hat{E}_i, \hat{F}_j] = \hat{H}_i$$

$$\text{and } [\hat{E}_i, \hat{F}_j] = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j$$

(to construct Ω , we take the free non-associative algebra w/ generators

$\hat{H}_i, \hat{E}_i, \hat{F}_i$ and divide by the ideal generated by the elements xx and $(xy)z + (zx)y + (yx)z$)

Then we have a lie algebra homomorphism $\pi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$

$$\hat{H}_i \mapsto H_i \\ \text{etc}$$

How do we choose $\ker \pi$?

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \text{span}\{\hat{H}_i\}$, $\Omega_+ \subset \Omega$ the lie subalgebra generated

by \hat{E}_i ($i=1, \dots, r$), $\Omega_- \subset \Omega$ gen. by \hat{F}_i ($i=1, \dots, r$)

(scratches to explain...)

Then it is not difficult to see that

$$\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}_- \oplus \overset{\wedge}{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{P}_+ \quad \text{as a V-space}$$

(8)

Define $\overset{\wedge}{\alpha_j} \in \overset{\wedge}{\mathfrak{h}}$ by $\overset{\wedge}{\alpha_j}(\overset{\wedge}{H_i}) = \alpha_{ji}$

Then we can define root spaces

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\lambda} = \{ \hat{X} \in \mathfrak{P} \mid [\hat{H}, \hat{X}] = \lambda(\hat{H})\hat{X} + \hat{H}\lambda\}$$

Then $\mathfrak{P} = \overset{\wedge}{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathfrak{P}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{P}_-$ and $\mathfrak{P}_+ = \bigoplus_{\lambda = n_1\overset{\wedge}{\alpha_1} + \dots + n_r\overset{\wedge}{\alpha_r}} \mathfrak{P}_{\lambda}$

and $\mathfrak{P}_- = \bigoplus_{\lambda = -n_1\overset{\wedge}{\alpha_1} - \dots - n_r\overset{\wedge}{\alpha_r}} \mathfrak{P}_{\lambda}$

(exc!)

Now, if $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{P}$ is an ideal, then $\mathfrak{P} = \bigoplus_{\lambda} (\mathfrak{P}_{\lambda} \cap \mathfrak{p})$

It follows that the sum of all ideals \mathfrak{p} in \mathfrak{P} such that

$$\mathfrak{p} \cap \overset{\wedge}{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$$
 we still get an ideal s.t. $\mathfrak{m} \cap \overset{\wedge}{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$

Claim: the kernel($\pi: \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$) = \mathfrak{m} . $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \underline{\mathfrak{m}}$.