

Let G be a compact simply connected semisimple Lie group.

①

$$\text{F.dim reps of } G = \text{fin. dim } \mathfrak{g}\text{-modules}$$

$$= \text{fin. dim } \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}\text{-modules}$$

$$= \text{fin. dim } U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})\text{-modules}$$

If V is a $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ -module (f.d. or not), then V has ≥ 1 highest weight if

- $v \in V(\lambda) = \{w \in V \mid h_w = \lambda(h) w \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$

- $E_{\alpha}v = 0 \ \forall \alpha \in \Delta_+$.

($\Leftrightarrow E_{\alpha_i}v = 0 \ \forall i = 1, \dots, r$ ($\mathcal{D} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$))

- If in addition $v \in V$ spans V , so $V \cong U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})v$,
then V is a highest weight module.

If V is finite-dimensional highest weight module, and its weight is in P_+ .

$$\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \frac{2(\alpha, \lambda)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}$$

For $\lambda \in P_+$, we have a 1-dim. \mathbb{C} -module $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} = \mathbb{C}$,

where $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. (Borel subalgebra)

\mathbb{G}_λ defined by $H| = \lambda(H)|$ ②
 $E_\alpha| = 0$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^+$.

define

$$\mathbb{L}_\lambda = U(\mathfrak{g}_0) \underset{U\mathfrak{g}}{\otimes} \mathbb{G}_\lambda$$

$$\text{But } v_\lambda = 1 \otimes 1 \in \mathbb{L}_\lambda.$$

Then v_λ generates \mathbb{L}_λ and $Hv_\lambda = \lambda(H)v_\lambda, E_\alpha v_\lambda = 0$.

thus \mathbb{L}_λ is a highest weight module with highest weight

vector v_λ of wt λ .

It is called a Verma module.

It has the following universal property: if V is a highest weight module w/ highest weight vector $w \in V(1)$, then there exists an

! morphism $\mathbb{L}_\lambda \rightarrow V$ s.t. $v_\lambda \mapsto w$.

Indeed $\mathbb{G}_\lambda \rightarrow V$ is a morphism of \mathfrak{g} -modules. Then we
 $1 \mapsto w$

define $\mathbb{L}_\lambda \rightarrow V$ to be the composition

$$\mathbb{L}_\lambda = U(\mathfrak{g}_0) \underset{U\mathfrak{g}}{\otimes} \mathbb{G}_\lambda \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}_0) \underset{U\mathfrak{g}}{\otimes} V \longrightarrow V$$

(3)

By the PBW theorem, we have $U(\mathfrak{g}_0) \simeq U_{\mathbb{N}_+} \otimes U_E$

where $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_n$ as $U_{\mathbb{N}_+}$ - U_E modules.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} L_\lambda &= U(\mathfrak{g}_0) \underset{U_E}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_\lambda = (U_{\mathbb{N}_+} \otimes U_E) \underset{U_E}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_\lambda \\ &\simeq U_{\mathbb{N}_+} \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} (U_E \underset{U_E}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_\lambda) \\ &\simeq U_{\mathbb{N}_+} \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_\lambda \\ &\simeq U_{\mathbb{N}_+} \end{aligned}$$

as $U_{\mathbb{N}_+}$ -modules. In other words, we have an isomorphism of $U_{\mathbb{N}_+} \ni x \mapsto x\lambda$.

$U_{\mathbb{N}_+}$ -module $U_{\mathbb{N}_+} \simeq L_\lambda$

Since $F_{\beta_1}^{a_1} \cdots F_{\beta_m}^{a_m} v_\lambda$ is of weight $\lambda - a_1\beta_1 - \cdots - a_m\beta_m$ for $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \Delta_+$, we have a weight decomposition

$$L_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\mu \leq \lambda} L_\lambda(\mu)$$

where $L_\lambda(\mu) = \{v \in L_\lambda \mid hv = \mu(H)v + H + h\}$

(4)

and $\mu \leq \lambda$ means that $1 - \mu = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i d_i$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

By the PBW-theorem, if $\Delta_+ = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$,

then $\{F_{B_1}^{a_1}, \dots, F_{B_m}^{a_m}\}_{a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a basis in $U_{\mathfrak{n}_+}$.

It follows that $\dim L_\lambda(\mu) =$ the no. of ways of writing $\lambda \vdash \mu$ as

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_j B_j \quad (a_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+) \quad \left(\text{called Kostant's partition formula of } \lambda \vdash \mu \right)$$

In particular, $\dim L_\lambda(\mu)$ is always finite $\forall \mu$.

For any submodule $M \subset L_\lambda$ we have

$$M = \bigoplus_{\mu \leq \lambda} (M \cap L_\lambda(\mu)).$$

It follows that the sum of all submodules s.t. $v_\lambda \notin M$ (there is $M \cap L_\lambda(\mu) = 0$)

still has the same property $v_\lambda \notin M$.

$$\text{Define } V_\lambda = \frac{L_\lambda}{M}.$$

Denote by \mathcal{Z}_λ the base of V_λ in V_λ .

Th For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, V_λ is simple (there is no proper submodule). Furthermore, any simple highest weight module is iso to V_λ for a ! defined $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

R If there is a proper submodule in V_λ then its preimage $M \subset L_\lambda$ satisfies

$$M_\lambda \subset M \subset L_\lambda.$$

If $V_\lambda \in M$, then $M = V_\lambda$ (which is not the case). Then $V_\lambda \notin M$, and so $M = M_\lambda$ by maximality of M . Thus V_λ is simple.

If V is a simple highest weight-module M highest weight vector $v \in V(\lambda)$. Then we have a morphism

$$\pi: L_\lambda \rightarrow V$$

$$v_\lambda \mapsto v$$

Then $v_\lambda \notin \ker \pi$ since $\ker \pi \subset M_\lambda$. We cannot have $\ker \pi \neq M_\lambda$, as $\pi(M_\lambda)$ is a proper submodule of V . So $\ker \pi = M_\lambda$ and $V \cong V_\lambda$.

The highest weight is ! determined, as all other weights of V satisfy λ .

As any fin.dim simple module is a highest weight module, (6)

to finish the classification of such modules (thus fin.dim reps of \mathfrak{g}), it remains to understand when V_λ is fin.dim.

Prop V_λ is fin.dim $\Leftrightarrow \lambda \in P_+$.

Pf

~~Sketch~~ The implication \Rightarrow we already know.

(A)

(Conversely), take $\lambda \in P_+$.

Claim 1 $F_i^{\lambda(H_i)+1} v_\lambda \in M_\lambda$ for all $i=1, \dots, r$.

Let us show that $F_i^{\lambda(H_i)+1} v_\lambda$ is a highest weight vector (of weight $\lambda - (\lambda(H_i) + 1)\alpha_i$). Therefore it generates a submodule with weight $\leq \lambda - (\lambda(H_i) + 1)\alpha_i$, hence this submodule is contained in M_λ .

We have to check that

$$E_j F_i^{\lambda(H_i)+1} v_\lambda = 0 \quad \text{for } j=1, \dots, r.$$

If $j \neq i$, then $[E_i, E_j] = 0$ so

$$E_j F_i^{\lambda(H_i)+1} v_\lambda = F_i^{\lambda(H_i)+1} E_j v_\lambda = 0.$$

For $j=i$, recall that we have

②

$$E_1 F_i^n V_\lambda = (\lambda(h_i) - (n-1)) h_i F_i^{n-1} V_\lambda$$

(some proof for $SV(2)$)

Thus for $n = \lambda(h_i) + l$, we get

$$E_1 F_i^{\lambda(h_i)+l} V_\lambda = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

Claim 2: For every $i = 1, \dots, r$, V_λ decomposes as a sum of fin. dim $sl_2(\mathbb{C})_i$ -modules, where $sl_2(\mathbb{C})_i = \text{Span} \{ F_i, h_i, E_i \} \cong sl_2(\mathbb{C})$.

By claim 1, we have $F_i^{\lambda(h_i)+l} \underset{\cancel{\exists}}{=} 0$.

This implies that $\{ \underset{\lambda}{\exists}, F_i \}_{\lambda} \cup \dots, F_i^{\lambda(h_i)} \underset{\cancel{\exists}}{=} \text{Span}$ a fin. dim $sl_2(\mathbb{C})_i$ -module (of $\text{Span} \{ \frac{h_i}{2} \}$)

Let $V \subset V_\lambda$ be the sum of f.dim $sl_2(\mathbb{C})_i$ -modules (i fixed)

Then $\underset{\lambda}{\exists} \in V$, so if we prove that V is a $g_{\mathbb{C}}$ -submodule, then $V = V_\lambda$.

To this, it suffices to show that if $M \subset V$ is a f.dim $sl_2(\mathbb{C})_i$ -module, then $E_j M + E_i E_j M + \dots + E_i^{-a_{ij}} E_j M$ ($j \neq i$) is still a $sl_2(\mathbb{C})_i$ -module (Gel's criterion requires E_i, F_j)

Take $E_j M, F_j M, H_j M \subset V_i$ so V is a \mathfrak{g}_P -module.

Recall that we have the Serre relation

$$(\text{ad } E_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(E_j) = 0.$$

Let us show that for all $k \geq 0$, we have

$$E_i^k E_j M \subset (\text{ad } E_i)^k(E_j)M + E_i^k F_j M + \dots + E_i^k H_j M,$$

$$\text{i.e. } (\text{ad } E_i)^k E_j M \subset E_j^k E_j M + E_i^k F_j M + \dots + E_i^k H_j M$$

The proof is by induction on k . Nothing to prove for $k=0$.

Assume the claim is true for k .

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^{k+1} E_j M &\subset E_j \left((\text{ad } E_i)^k (E_j)M + E_i^{k-1} M + \dots + E_i M \right) \\ &\subset (\text{ad } E_i)^{k+1} (E_j)M + (\text{ad } E_i)^k M + E_i^{k+1} F_j M + \dots + E_i^k H_j M. \\ &\stackrel{\text{induction}}{\subset} (\text{ad } E_i)^{k+1} (E_j)M + E_i^k E_j M + \dots + E_i^k H_j M. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Similarly } (\text{ad } E_i)^{k+1} (E_j)M \subset E_i (\text{ad } E_i)^k (E_j)M + (\text{ad } E_i)^{k+1} (E_j)M$$

$$\stackrel{\text{ind.}}{\subset} E_i^{k+1} E_j M + \dots + E_i^k H_j M.$$

Thus proves the induction step.

then for $h = l - \alpha_{ij}$, we get

$$E_i^{-\alpha_{ij}} E_j M \subset E_i^{-\alpha_{ij}} E_j M + \dots + E_j M$$

this shows that $E_i^{-\alpha_{ij}} E_j M + \dots + E_j M$ is invariant under E_i .

Claim 2

It is easy to see that this space is invariant under F_i and f_i . This proves the second claim.

Claim 3 For any $w \in W$ and $\mu \in P$, we have

$$\dim V_\lambda(\mu) = \dim_\lambda(w\mu)$$

For any i , we have that V_λ is a sum of f.dim $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ -submodules.

Then we get a representation of $SU(2)$ on V_λ . defined by the ~~envelope~~ simple

$$sl_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong sl_2(\mathbb{C})_i$$

Consider its action by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2)$ for this

representation.

It maps $V_\lambda(\mu)$ onto $V_\lambda(s_i\mu)$ (see the part of the fact that s is a root system)

thus also $\dim V_\lambda(\mu) = \dim V_\lambda(s_i\mu)$ for any simple reflection s .

By \mathfrak{S}_3 since W this holds for all $w \in W$.

(by the
Serre relation)

⑨

Claim 4 There are finitely many weights $\mu \in P$ s.t. $V_\lambda(\mu) \neq 0$.

By Claim 3, if $V(\mu) \neq 0$, then $V(\omega\mu) \neq 0$ for any wt W .
 We can choose W s.t. $W\mu \in P_+ = \overline{P \cap C}$

(as W acts transitively on the w. chambers)

Therefore, it suffices to show that there are only fin. many weights $\mu \in P_+$

st. $\mu \in \Lambda$.

Indeed we have $\lambda - \mu = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \alpha_i$ $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Then $\gamma_{\lambda, \lambda}(\lambda + \lambda) - (\mu, \mu) = (\lambda + \mu, \lambda - \mu)$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^r c_i (\lambda + \mu, \alpha_i) \geq 0$$

≥ 0 since in P_+

the $\|\mu\| \leq \|\lambda\|$ as P is a lattice, there are only fin. many elements $\mu \in P$ s.t. $\|\mu\| \leq \|\lambda\|$.

As $\dim V_\lambda(\mu) \leq \dim L_\lambda(\mu) < \infty$

we conclude that $\dim Y < \infty$

(11)

$$g_{\oplus} = \frac{e}{m}$$

A more careful look at the proof gives the Facts:

Fact $\forall \lambda \in P_+, M_{\lambda}^{\#}$ is generated by the vector $F_i^{l(\lambda_i) + 1}$,
 $i=1, \dots, r$.

More fact The following is very useful:

The any fin.dim. highest w. module is irreducible.

Hence $\beta_0 \in V_{\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in P_+$.

R: Let V be a high. weight fin. dim modul "w" height λ

$$\exists \varepsilon \in V(\lambda) \quad (\lambda \in P_+)$$

As any fin.dim sp. of G decomposes into irreducibles,

$$\text{we have } V \cong V_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\lambda_n}, \quad \lambda_i \in P_+$$

$$\text{But } V(\lambda) \not\cong \mathbb{C}\zeta$$

Here, under the identification of V w/ $V_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\lambda_n}$

we have $\exists \varepsilon \in V_{\lambda_i}(\lambda)$ for some i . As ε spans V , we get

$$V \cong V_{\lambda_i}$$

(11)