

①

Fam If $\pi: G \rightarrow H$ is cont's homomorphism of Lie groups, then π is smooth.

In particular, for Lie groups there is no difference between fin-dim reps of locally compact groups and Lie groups.

P1 Consider the graph of π :

$$\Gamma = \{ (g, \pi(g)) \mid g \in G \} \subset G \times H$$

As π is cont's, Γ is a closed subgroup of the product, hence a submanifold.

Consider the projection $\pi: G \times H \rightarrow G$ and let $\varphi = \pi|_{\Gamma}$.

φ is a smooth isomorphism between Γ and G .

The homomorphism φ (or any Lie group homomorphism) has constant rank, since $\forall g \in \Gamma$, we have $\varphi = l_{\pi(g)}^{-1} \circ q \circ l_g$ and $d\varphi = d\pi|_{\Gamma}$.

By the inverse rule theorem a constant rank bijection is a diffeomorphism. Thus $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}, \tilde{\varphi}(g) = (g, \pi(g))$ is smooth.

As π is the composition of $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}$ w/ $\rho: G \times H \rightarrow H$, π is smooth.

WV

(2)

Prop 1 If $\pi: G \rightarrow H$ is a lie group homomorphism,
then $\ker \pi$ is a closed lie subgroup of G w/ lie
algebra $\ker(\pi_{*}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h})$

R1 Clearly $\ker \pi$ is closed, hence it is a closed subgrp.

$x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is in the lie algebra of $\ker \pi$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exp(tX) \in \ker \pi \quad \forall t$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \pi(\exp(tX)) = e \quad \forall t$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exp(t\pi_{*}(x)) = e$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \pi_{*}(x) = 0$$

□

Prop 2 Let $\pi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a rep of a lie group G on
an f.d. v-space V over \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R} .

Take any $v \in V$. Then $H = \{g \in G \mid \pi(g)v = v\}$

is a closed lie subgroup of G and its lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \pi_{*}(X)v = 0\}$$

R1 Clearly, \mathfrak{h} is a closed subgroup.

We have, for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $X \in \mathfrak{h} \Leftrightarrow \exp(tX) \in H \quad \forall t$

$$\Leftrightarrow \pi(\exp(tX))v = v \quad \forall t$$

$$e^{t\pi_{*}(X)}v$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \pi_{*}(X)v = 0$$

□

(3)

Instead of one representation π we can consider

m_1, \dots, m_n end vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V_{\pi_i}$ and

$$H = \{g + G \mid \pi_i(g)v_i = v_i^{\text{fix}}\}$$

is a closed Lie subgroup w/ Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} = \{h + g \mid (\pi_i)_h(x) \cdot x = 0\}$

Example i) Let V be f. dim real/comp v-space
the special linear group is

$$SL(V) = \{g \in GL(V) \mid \det g = 1\}$$

W/ lie algebra $sl(V) = \{X \in gl(V) \mid \text{tr } X = 0\}$

If $V = \mathbb{R}^n$, then $S_n(\mathbb{R})$ or $SL(n; \mathbb{R})$.
denoted by

ii) Let V be as in i) and B a bilinear form on V .

Consider

$$G = \{g \in GL(V) \mid B(gv, gw) = B(v, w) \quad \forall v, w \in V\}$$

Try to find the lie algebra. Consider the space W of bilinear forms
on V ($W \approx V^* \otimes V^*$)

Define a rep of $GL(V)$ on W by

$$(\pi(g)B')(v, w) = B'(g^{-1}v, g^{-1}w)$$

Then G is exactly

$$\{g \in GL(V) \mid \pi(g)B = B\}$$

By Proposition 2, G is a lie group w/ Lie algebra (4)

$$g = \{ X \in gl(n) / \pi(X)B = 0 \}$$

$$\text{We have } (\pi(X)B)(v, w) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\pi(\exp(tX))B(v, w) \right) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} B(e^{-tX} v, e^{-tX} w) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= -B(Xv, w) - B(v, Xw)$$

$$\text{Thus } g = \{ X \in gl(n) / B(Xv, w) + B(v, Xw) = 0 \text{ for } v, w \}$$

(iii) $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ and let B be the usual scalar product.

$$(v, w) = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i w_i$$

Then the group G from (i) is the orthogonal group, denoted by $O(n)$, $O_n(\mathbb{R})$ or $O(n; \mathbb{R})$.

$$\text{we have } (Xv, w) + (v, Xw) = (Xv, w) + (X^T v, w)$$

$$= ((X + X^T)v, w)$$

$$\Rightarrow O(n) = \{ X \in gl_n(\mathbb{R}) / X + X^T = 0 \}$$

The special orthogonal group is denoted by $SO(n)$, is

$$O(n) \cap SL_n(\mathbb{R})$$

w/ Lie algebra $sl_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{ X \in gl_n(\mathbb{R}) / X^T = -X, \text{Tr } X = 0 \}$

We can do the same for $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ and

(5)

$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i w_i$ and get the complex orthogonal groups $O_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $SO_n(\mathbb{C})$.

like
directly

III

For $V = \mathbb{R}^{k+l}$ (4, l, 3) consider the form

$$\text{B}(v, w) = \sum_{i=1}^k v_i w_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+l} v_i w_i$$

$$= (Av, w) \quad \text{where } A = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{l-k} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we get the group $\mathcal{O}(k, l)$.

$$\begin{aligned} B(Xv, w) + B(v, Xw) &= (Xv, w) + (v, Xw) \\ &= ((AX + X^T A)v, w) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } \mathcal{O}(k, l) = \left\{ X \in \mathfrak{sl}_{k+l}(\mathbb{R}) \mid AX + X^T A = 0 \right\}$$

We can also do $SO(k, l) = \mathcal{O}(k, l) \cap SL_{k+l}(\mathbb{R})$

(4) $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, consider the Hermitian form $\langle v, w \rangle = \sum v_i \overline{w_i}$.

The unitary group is $U(n) = \{ g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid \langle gv, gw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle \}$

Then $U(n)$ is a Lie group w/ Lie algebra $u(n) = \{ X \in gl_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid X = -X^* \}$

We also have the special unitary group

⑥

$$SU(n) = U(n) \cap SL_n(\mathbb{C})$$

Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(n) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid X = -X^*, \text{Tr } X = 0\}$

(b) Let $V = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ or $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned} (v, w) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (v_i^* w_{i+n} - v_{i+n} w_i) \\ &= (\tilde{J}v, w) \text{ where } \tilde{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n & -I_n & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Then we get symplectic Lie groups $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$.

The Lie algebra of $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ is

$$\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) = \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \exists J \quad \exists X + X^T J = 0\}$$

and similarly for \mathbb{C}

For example (vi) Compact symplectic group is $Sp(n) = Sp(2n; \mathbb{R}) \cap U(2n)$

$$\text{Lie algebra } \mathfrak{sp}(n) = \left\{ X \in \mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \begin{array}{l} X + X^* = 0 \\ \exists J \quad \exists X + X^T J = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

to can be shown that $Sp(n)$ is the subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{H})$ properly

$$\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^* w_i$$

flatness

The above examples are classical lie groups.

⑦

i.e. the group of linear transformations preserving non-degenerate
symmetric / skew-symmetric / Hermitian form on

real / cptx / quaternionic spaces, as

well as their special versions.

It is not difficult to check that
the compact groups in the above
examples are

$O(n; \mathbb{R})$, $SO(n; \mathbb{R})$, $U(n)$, $SU(n)$, $Sp(n)$

$$U(h,l) \subset GL_{k+l}(\mathbb{C})$$

proving

$$\sum_{i=1}^k v_i \overline{w_i} - \sum_{i=k+1}^l v_i \overline{w_i}$$

Goal understand the math. of these groups in a unified way.

Returning to subgroups, we have

Def A subgroup of a lie group G is a subgp $H \subset G$
s.t. it admits the structure of a lie grp making the
embedding $H \rightarrow G$ smooth.

Remarks (P) We assume manifolds and lie groups to be second countable.
(otherwise G w/ discrete topology becomes a subgrp)
i.e.

(PP) Different ways mean different things by lie subgroups.

As the embedding $H \hookrightarrow G$ has constant rank, it must be an immersion by the constant rank theorem.

Hence H can be identified w/ a Lie subgroup of G .

Thm Assume G is a Lie group and \mathfrak{h} $\subseteq g$ a Lie subalgebra. Then there exists a unique connected Lie subgroup $H \subset G$ w/ Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{h} .

Def Recall if M is a manifold, then a rank k distribution is a k -dim subbundle D of TM ,

i.e. for every $p \in M$, we are given a k -dim subspace $D_p \subset T_p M$ depending smoothly on p , in the sense that in a neighborhood U of p there exist smooth v.fld x_1, \dots, x_k s.t.

$(x_1)_q, \dots, (x_k)_q$ form a basis of D_q for $q \in U$.

An immersed submanifold $N \subset M$ is integral ~~if~~ D if

$$T_p N = D_p \quad \forall p \in N.$$

D is called integrable if it satisfies Frobenius integrability.

Condition: if X, Y are v.fldy on $U \subset M$ s.t. $X_p, Y_p \in D_p \quad \forall p \in U$, then $[X, Y]_p \in D_p \quad \forall p \in U$.