02466 Project work in Artificial Intelligence and Data LOGBOOK

Magnus Fredslund, s183905@student.dtu.dk Jakob B. Andersen, s183909@student.dtu.dk Hanlu He, s183914@student.dtu.dk

The main purpose of the logbook is that it serves as a tool for you to keep track of the project and document project meetings.

Project Meetings

Week 01: 05.02.20-11.02.20

Questions:

Which project is the best?

Advantages and disadvantages of each?

Reading, who and what Getting overview of methods and state of art for the different projects. - All

Implementation, who and what Implementation of base UI to easier visualize the game - MF

Results, who and what Choosing the "Myretuen" - project

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together Magnus implement UI and game logic so we have the environment ready before creating the AI

Week 02: 12.02.20-18.02.20

First meeting:

Discussing basic ideas for implementation

Discussing ideas for AI

Showing the UI and discussing our choices of limitations and which game rules we did not include in

first version

Action points for next week

Continuing with the game implementation - Magnus

Consider his ideas next meeting same time next week

Project Meetings

Week 02: 12.02.20-18.02.20

Questions:

What is the best ways to start our project - All

What is our timeline - All

How should we structure our time - All

Reading, who and what

Saw online lectures from David Silver - Deepmind - Hanlu

Read code - Jakob

Implementation, who and what

Implementation of game logic and finishing UI - Magnus

Week 03: 19.02.20-25.02.20

Presentation of results since last meeting

Showed our random agents playing and discussed the implementation of the first simple Linear Model Plans for this week is to implement our first simple linear model.

Project Meetings

Week 03: 19.02.20-25.02.20

Questions

Saw online lectures from David Silver - Deepmind - Magnus

Started reading Impala paper - Hanlu

Started on online reinforcement course - Hanlu

Implementation, who and what

Finished implementation of game - Magnus, Jakob

Implemented first linear model (LM) - Magnus

Results, who and what

After 525 rounds of play against a random agent - Magnus

Score LM 327 vs random 182 (16 ties) - (No self-play,... No search... The training was included in these games)

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

We saw first prove that a agent could play this game, by beating the random agent 2:1

Discussed improvement of AI for later implementation

Saved state in git under branch 'version-0.1'

Finished Project Plan - All

Week 04: 26.02.20-03.03.20

Suggested implementation of TD lambda

Suggested self-play

Project Meetings

Week 04: 26.02.20-03.03.20

Questions

Reading, who and what Implementation, who and what

Results, who and what

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

Refactoring code for speed improvements and easier to create new agents – Magnus
Created a Player Agent controlled using the UI - Magnus
Implemented simple Linear Model – Magnus and Jakob
Implemented explore mode – Magnus
Implemented self-play – Magnus
Implemented Neural Network AI – Jakob
Feature engineering for better features (the state vector) – Magnus & Jakob

Score NN win rate score of +90%-97% against random Agent Much faster learning due to TD lambda

Saved state in git under branch 'version-0.2'

Week 05: 04.03.20-10.03.20

Presentation of results since last meeting
Action points for next week
Showed code as well as talked about our current progress
Talked about the implementation of IMPALA
Discussed a possible ELO system
Discussed the report

Project Meetings

Week 05: 04.03.20-10.03.20

Questions

Reading, who and what

Implementation, who and what

Results, who and what

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

Implemented TD lambda – Jakob

Configured High performance computing for easy training of multiple agents – Magnus

Saved state in git under branch 'version-0.3'

Implemented Impala v1− Magnus
Implemented Elo v1 − Magnus
Created probability game specific feature - Jakob
Feature engineering − Magnus and Jakob
Fixed reward system − Jakob
Wrote first version of method for report − Magnus
Tested Elo with NNAgent and Random − Elo Random ≈ 1100 vs Elo NNAgent ≈ 1500

Saved state in git under branch 'version-0.4'

Week 06: 11.03.20-17.03.20

Presentation of results since last meeting
Action points for next week
Talked about improvements to the Elo system
Talked about improvements to the impala system
Talked about the report

Project Meetings

Week 06: 11.03.20-17.03.20

Questions

Reading, who and what Implementation, who and what

Results, who and what

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

Speed improvements – Magnus

Simplified process of testing for more organized testing – Magnus

Fixed Elo system for absolute scoring – Magnus

Minimax search – Jakob

Minimax added hyperparameters – Jakob

Minimax made it work with the softmax function – Jakob

New optimizing function - Jakob

Changed Impala system to a batch training process – Magnus

Implemented new way of controlling the exploration by Boltzmann Approach – Magnus

Read TD-Gammon - Jakob

Read enough of IMPALA to conclude it could not be used – Magnus and Jakob

Rapport introduction and references – Hanlu

Rapport method and Environment – Magnus and Jakob

Saved state for Midtvejsaflevering under branch 'version-0.5'

Week 07: 18.03.20-24.03.20

Presentation of results since last meeting

Action points for next week

We discussed the fact that the impala system could not be used due to our limitations of not having a policy network, which is not easily implementable due to the complexities of the action space.

Talked about doing more tests

Project Meetings

Week 07: 18.03.20-24.03.20

Questions

Reading, who and what Implementation, who and what

Results, who and what

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

Given feedback to another group

Made improvements in the testing system, more parameters can now be tested - Magnus

Refactored code – Magnus

Implemented dropout for the linear models - Magnus

Week 08: 25.03.20-31.03.20

Presentation of results since last meeting

Action points for next week

Discussed report with general positive feedback but some improvements to notation and so on, which

Tue would add as comments in the report because he didn't have write access before.

Showed some of the testing we had done and discussed further testing strategies

More testing as well as code improvement is the plan for this week

Feedback Meetings

Week 08: 25.03.20-31.03.20

We gave the prepare feedback to the other group and they gave us feedback They had some structural improvements of the report to make it easier for the reader They gave concreate improvements to some of the sections in the method which they found the

hardest to read.

They said we should add a better description of the implementation for better reproducibility. They generally liked the report and their feedback was appreciated

Project Meetings

Week 08: 25.03.20-31.03.20

Questions

Reading, who and what

Implementation, who and what

Results, who and what

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

Implemented dropout for the NN models – Magnus and Jakob

Changes to Elo system – Jakob

Implemented weighted chooser - Jakob

Created mean variance plot for easier and better comparison between the models – Magnus

Week 09: 01.04.20-14.04.20

Presentation of results since last meeting

Action points for next week

Talked about the report and looked and some of the results, focus points for the following week is to create more results and wait with the methods section.

Project Meetings

Week 09: 01.04.20-14.04.20

Questions

Reading, who and what Implementation, who and what

Results, who and what

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

Speed improvements for minmax – Magnus

Both Magnus and Jakob can now run tests on each server, leading to double the testing speed.

Calculating Elo for fixed models cleverrandom +- calcprob.

Small change to reward system

New animation when playing - Magnus

Week 10: 15.04.20-21.04.20

Presentation of results since last meeting

Action points for next week

Showed the current plots and discussed Monto Carlo search and Q-learning ideas, as well as an idea to change the rule a bit (fruit mode).

Implement these things and do more plots and results.

Project Meetings

Week 10: 15.04.20-21.04.20

Questions

Reading, who and what

Implementation, who and what

Results, who and what

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

A lot of testing – Magnus and Jakob Implemented Monto Carlo search - Jakob New features – Jakob New fruit mode – Jakob and Magnus

Generated a lot of good plots as a guidance to the final plots - Magnus

Week 11: 22.04.20-28.04.20

Presentation of results since last meeting
Action points for next week
Showed plots and results as well as discussing the new final changes.
Focus on results and report.

Project Meetings

Week 11: 22.04.20-28.04.20

Questions
Reading, who and what
Implementation, who and what
Results, who and what

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

Planned the tests we must run. – Magnus and Jakob Run tests, and create results plot – Magnus and Jakob More plots and testing. – Magnus The code has been locked and branched Then all the tests can be re-ran with same underlying code Saved state in git under branch 'version-0.6'

Week 12: 29.04.20-05.05.20

Presentation of results since last meeting

Action points for next week

Talked shortly about our plan to run the experiments in the exam period, since most of these tests takes several days.

Project Meetings

Week 12: 29.04.20-05.05.20

Questions

Reading, who and what Implementation, who and what

Results, who and what

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

Rewrote parts of methods – Jakob

Started running the different tests – Magnus

Created process for capturing detailed images of UI as well as capturing game play videos. – Magnus

Week 13: 06.05.20-12.05.20

Presentation of results since last meeting
Action points for next week
Short meeting just showing some of our plots and what the next plots we would make was.

Project Meetings

Week 13: 06.05.20-12.05.20

Questions
Reading, who and what
Implementation, who and what
Results, who and what

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

Rewrote more parts of methods – Jakob
Ran tests – Magnus and Jakob
Created more scripts to plot the different results – Magnus
Finalized analyze script to get more detailed view of the Al's – Magnus

Exam period

Project Meetings

Week 13: 13.05.20-03.06.20

Questions
Reading, who and what
Implementation, who and what
Results, who and what

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

Ran a lot of tests – Magnus and Jakob

Created some more plotting scripts – Magnus

Most of the results was made during this process. Due to the large amounts of time needed for each results as well as, since the code was already written, the process of running the test was quick and easy, so we still had time for the exams.

Project Meetings

Week 14: 04.06.20-10.06.20

Questions
Reading, who and what
Implementation, who and what
Results, who and what

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

Started writing results with the results from the exam period. – Magnus and Jakob Ran last test before choosing best AI as well as some missing parts – Magnus and Jakob Stated running the best version of the AI for the normal game as well as fruit version – Magnus and Jakob

Created plots for the result gathered – Magnus and Jakob Fixed and small improvements to the report – Magnus and Jakob

Project Meetings

Week 14: 11.06.20-17.06.20

Questions

Reading, who and what Implementation, who and what Results, who and what

Started on video pitch - Hanlu

Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

Ran the cleverrandom estimates for the fruit version. – Magnus and Jakob
Created final plots for the report – Magnus and Jakob
Finished minimax tests – Jakob
Tested AI against people – AII + family
Created csv-file with data from all the games – Magnus
Created Jupiter notebook to analyze the data and created several analyses - Magnus
Worked on report – Jakob and Magnus

Week 14: 18.06.20-24.06.20

Presentation of results since last meeting Action points for next week

Final feedback of report before the hand in.

Discussed different focus point in the report writing.

Project Meetings

Week 14: 18.06.20-24.06.20

Questions

Reading, who and what
Implementation, who and what
Results, who and what
Decisions, who and what, what do you do alone, what do you do together

Finished Jupiter notebook to analyze the data and created several analyses – Magnus Created Final plots - Magnus Finished Report – Magnus, Jakob, Hanlu Finished *video pitch - Hanlu*

Saved state in git under branch 'version-1.0'