

# Programmazione

Prof. Marco Bertini marco.bertini@unifi.it http://www.micc.unifi.it/bertini/



## Const correctness



### What is const correctness?

- It is a semantic constraint, enforced by the compiler, to avoid modification of a particular object marked as CONSt
- const can be used in various scopes:
  - outside of classes at global/namespace scope:

```
const double AspectRatio = 1.653;
// much better than a C style define:
#define ASPECT_RATIO 1.653
```



### Class constants

- It's usable for static objects at file, function and block level
- It's usable also for class specific constants, e.g. for static and non-static data members:

```
class VideoFrame {
  private:
    static const int PALFrameRate;
    ...
};
const int VideoFrame::PALFrameRate = 25;
```



## Pointers and constancy

 We can specify that a pointer is constant, that the data pointed to is constant, that both are constant (or neither):



## Pointers and constancy - cont.

 If Const appears to the left of \* then what is pointed to is constant, if it's on the right then the pointer is constant:

const char\* const p means that p is a constant pointer to constant chars

according to this writing char const\*
 p is the same of const char\*



## References and constancy

 You can not change an alias, i.e. you can't reassign a reference to a different object, so:

Fred& const x makes no sense (it's the same thing of Fred& x), however:

const Fred& x is OK: you can't change the Fred object using the x reference.



## Functions and constancy

- The most powerful use of const is its application to function declarations: we can refer to function return value, function parameters and (for member functions) to the function itself
- Helps in reducing errors, e.g. you are passing an object as parameter using a reference/pointer and do not want to have it modified:

```
void foo(const bar& b);
// b can't be modified
// use const params whenever possible
```



### const return value

Using a const return value reduces errors in client code, e.g.:

```
class Rational { //...};
const Rational operator*(
                    const Rational& lhs,
                    const Rational& rhs
                         );
Rational a,b,c;
// let's say we missed an =
// to make a comparison...
(a*b)=c; // it's now illegal thanks to
         // const return value !
```



### const return value - cont.

 When returning a reference probably it's better to return it as constant or it may be used to modify the referenced object:

```
class Person {
public:
  string& badGetName() {
       return name;
  //...
private:
  string name;
};
void myCode(Person& p) {
  p.badGetName() = "Igor"; // can change the name
                            // attribute of Person
```



### const member functions

- The purpose of CONSt member functions is to identify which functions can be invoked on const objects.
  - These functions inspect and do not mutate an object.
  - NOTE: it's possible to overload methods that change only in constancy!
     It's useful if you need a method to inspect and mutate with the same name



 this is useful when dealing with objects that are passed as const references:

```
void print(const TextBlock& ctb, size_t pos) {
  cout << ctb[pos]; // calls the const version of []
};</pre>
```



 C++ compilers implement bitwise constancy, but we are interested in logical constancy, e.g. the const reference return value seen before or we may need to modify some data member within a const method (declared mutable):



- To avoid code duplication between const and non-const member functions that have the same behaviour can be solved:
  - putting common tasks in private methods called by the two versions of the const/ non-const methods
  - casting away constancy, with the non-const method calling the const method (see later)



# C++ and casting



## C++ casting

- C++ casts are more restricted than C style casts
- In general the lesser we cast the better: C++
  is a type safe language and casts subvert this
  behaviour
  - e.g. Const\_cast can be used to eliminate code duplication: the benefits are worth the risk



### C and C++ casts

- C style casts, to cast an expression to be of type T:
  - (T) expression
  - T(expression)
- C++ style casts:
  - static\_cast<T>(expression)
  - dynamic\_cast<T>(expression)
  - const\_cast<T>(expression)
  - reinterpret\_cast<T>(expression)



## static\_cast

- Static\_cast forces implicit conversions, such as non-const objects to const objects (as seen in const/non-const methods), int to double, Void\* to typed pointers, pointer-to-base to pointer-to-derived (but no runtime check).
- it's the most useful C++ style cast

```
int j = 41;
int v = 4;
float m = j/v; // m = 10
float d = static_cast<float>(j)/v; // d = 10.25

BaseClass* a = new DerivedClass();
static_cast<DerivedClass*>(a)->derivedClassMethod();
```



## static\_cast - cont.

• Prefer Static\_cast over C style cast, because we get the type safe conversion of C++:

```
class MyClass : public MyBase {...};
class MyOtherStuff {...};
MyBase *pSomething; // filled somewhere
MyClass *pMyObject;
pMyObject = static_cast<MyClass*>(pSomething);
// Safe, as long as we checked
pMyObject = (MyClass*)(pSomething); // Same as static_cast<>
// Safe; as long as we checked but harder to read
MyOtherStuff *pOther;
pOther = static_cast<MyOtherStuff*>(pSomething);
// Compiler error: Can't convert
pOther = (MyOtherStuff*)(pSomething); // No compiler error.
            // Same as reiterpret_cast<> and it's wrong!!!
```



# dynamic\_cast

- dynamic\_cast performs safe (runtime check)
  downcasting: i.e. determines if an object is of a
  particular type in an inheritance hierarchy.
  - it has a runtime cost depending on the compiler implementation

```
class Window { //... };
class SpecialWindow :
public Window {
public:
  void blink();
};
Window* pW;
//...pW may point to whatever object
// in Window hierarchy

if( SpecialWindow*
pSW=dynamic_cast<SpecialWindow*>pw )
pSW->blink();
```



## const\_cast

- Const\_cast is used to cast away the constness of an object
- It's the only cast that can do it



### const member functions

- Let's review again how to avoid code duplication between const and non-const member functions...
  - the non-const method calls the const method and then cast away its constancy with const\_cast



```
class TextBlock {
public:
 const char& operator[](size_t pos) const {
   //... checks over boundaries, etc.
   //...
   return text[pos];
 char& operator[](size_t pos) {
   return
     const_cast<char&>( // take away constancy
       static_cast<const TextBlock&>(*this)[pos] // add constancy
     );
```

ness



### const member functions - cont.

```
class TextBlock {
public:
 const char& operator[](size_t pos) const {
  //... checks over boundaries, etc.
  //...
  return text[pos];
 }
 char& operator[](size_t pos) {
   return
    const_cast<char&>( // take away constancy
     tstatic_cast<const TextBlock&>(*this)[pos] // add constancy
             Don't panic: first cast to const, to call
              the const method, then remove const-
```



# reinterpret\_cast

- reinterpret\_cast is used for low-level casts, e.g. to perform conversions between unrelated types, like conversion between unrelated pointers and references or conversion between an integer and a pointer.
- It produces a value of a new type that has the same bit pattern as its argument. It is useful to cast pointers of a particular type into a void\* and subsequently back to the original type.
  - may be perilous: we are asking the compiler to trust us...



# Reading material

- L.J. Aguilar, "Fondamenti di programmazione in C++. Algoritmi, strutture dati e oggetti" - pp. 84, pp. 125-128
- D.S. Malik, "Programmazione in C++" pp. 43-45, 47-48
- Thinking in C++, 2nd ed. Volume 1, pp. 165-167, 181-186



### Credits

- These slides are based on the material of:
  - Marshall Cline, C++ FAQ Lite
  - Scott Meyers, "Effective C++", 3rd edition, Addison-Wesley