

The detailed optimization of the classic BACF tracker

Fei Zhang

May 26, 2021

The overall objective function of BACF[1] is:

$$E(\mathbf{h}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^T \left\| \mathbf{y}(j) - \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{h}_k^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}_k [\Delta \tau_j] \right\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K \|\mathbf{h}_k\|_2^2 \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times T}$ ($T \gg D$), $\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathbb{R}^T$, $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^T$, $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^D$.

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathbf{h}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^T \left\| \mathbf{y}(j) - \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{X}_k (\mathbf{h}_k^T \mathbf{P})^T \right\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K \|\mathbf{h}_k\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^T \left\| \mathbf{y}(j) - \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{h}_k^T \right\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K \|\mathbf{h}_k\|_2^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{X}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_k [\Delta \tau_T]^T \\ \mathbf{x}_k [\Delta \tau_1]^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_k [\Delta \tau_{T-1}]^T \end{bmatrix}$.

Defining the auxiliary variable $\mathbf{g}_k = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{h}_k \in \mathbb{R}^T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{h}_k\right) &= \mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{x}_k * \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{h}_k\right) \\
&= \mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{k=1}^K \hat{\mathbf{x}}_k * \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{h}_k\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^K \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k1} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k1} \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k2} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k2} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{kT} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{kT} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k1} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k1} \\ \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k2} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{k2} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{kT} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_{kT} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= [\text{diag}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1), \text{diag}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2), \dots, \text{diag}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_K)] \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_1 \\ \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\mathbf{g}}_K \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \hat{\mathbf{X}} \hat{\mathbf{g}}
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{g}_k = [\mathbf{g}_{k1}, \mathbf{g}_{k2}, \dots, \mathbf{g}_{kT}]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^T$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{g}} &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_1 \\ \hat{\mathbf{g}}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\mathbf{g}}_K \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{T} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \sqrt{T} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{h}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{T} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{h}_K \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \sqrt{T} \mathbf{F} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}^\top & & & \\ & \mathbf{P}^\top & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \mathbf{P}^\top \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \mathbf{h}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{h}_K \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \sqrt{T} \mathbf{F} (\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h} \\
&= \sqrt{T} (\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h}
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Eq. 2 can be transformed into Fourier domain after introducing auxiliary variable:

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\mathbf{h}, \hat{\mathbf{g}}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{X}} \hat{\mathbf{g}} \right\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{h}\|_2^2 \\
s.t. \quad \hat{\mathbf{g}} &= \sqrt{T} (\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h}
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The ALM form of Eq. 5 is expressed as follows,

$$E(\mathbf{h}, \hat{\mathbf{g}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}) = \frac{1}{2T} \left\| \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{X}} \hat{\mathbf{g}} \right\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\| \mathbf{h} \right\|_2^2 + \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top (\hat{\mathbf{g}} - \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \left\| \mathbf{g} - \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h} \right\|_2^2 \quad (6)$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} = [\zeta_1^\top, \zeta_2^\top \dots, \zeta_T^\top]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{KT}$ and μ are the Lagrangian vector in the Fourier domain and the penalty factor, respectively.

Then ADMM [2] algorithm is applied to Eq. 6,

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{h}^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{h}} \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\| \mathbf{h} \right\|_2^2 + \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top (\hat{\mathbf{g}} - \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \left\| \mathbf{g} - \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h} \right\|_2^2 \\ \hat{\mathbf{g}}^* = \arg \min_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}} \frac{1}{2T} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{y}} - \hat{\mathbf{X}} \hat{\mathbf{g}} \right\|_2^2 + \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top (\hat{\mathbf{g}} - \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h}) \\ \quad + \frac{\mu}{2} \left\| \mathbf{g} - \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h} \right\|_2^2 \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^{(i+1)} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^{(i)} + \mu(\hat{\mathbf{g}}_t^{(i)} - \hat{\mathbf{h}}_t^{(i)}). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Subproblem \mathbf{h} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H} &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{h} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h} \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu}{2} \left(\hat{\mathbf{g}} - \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h} \right)^\top \left(\hat{\mathbf{g}} - \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h} \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{h} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h} \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu}{2} \left(\hat{\mathbf{g}} - \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h} \right)^\top \left(\hat{\mathbf{g}} - \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h} \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{h} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h} \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu}{2} \left(\hat{\mathbf{g}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}} - \sqrt{T} \hat{\mathbf{g}}^\top (\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P}^\top) \mathbf{h} - \sqrt{T} \mathbf{h}^\top (\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{P} \mathbf{F}^\top) \hat{\mathbf{g}} + T \mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{h} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By differentiating the first subproblem in Eq. 7 with respect to the filter \mathbf{h} and setting the outcome to zero, we can obtain,

$$\lambda \mathbf{h} - \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{P} \mathbf{F}^\top) \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} - \mu \sqrt{T}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{P} \mathbf{F}^\top) \mathbf{h} + \mu T \mathbf{h} = 0 \quad (9)$$

Defining $\mathbf{g} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{P} \mathbf{F}^\top) \hat{\mathbf{g}}$, $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}(\mathbf{I}_K \otimes \mathbf{P} \mathbf{F}^\top) \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$,

$$(\lambda + \mu T) \mathbf{h} = T \boldsymbol{\zeta} + \mu T \mathbf{g} \quad (10)$$

Eq.10 can be simplified as,

$$\mathbf{h}^* = \frac{\boldsymbol{\zeta} + \mu \mathbf{g}}{\lambda/T + \mu} \quad (11)$$

Subproblem $\hat{\mathbf{g}}$: The second subproblem in Eq. 7 can be decomposed into T smaller problems,

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}(t)^* = \frac{1}{2T} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t) \right\|_2^2 + \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top (\hat{\mathbf{g}}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t)) + \frac{\mu}{2} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t) \right\|_2^2 \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{G} &= \frac{1}{2T} (\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t))^\top (\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t)) + \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t) \\
&+ \frac{\mu}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{g}}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t))^\top (\hat{\mathbf{g}}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2T} (\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t)^\top - \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)) (\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t)) + \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t) \\
&+ \frac{\mu}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{g}}(t)^\top - \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t)^\top) (\hat{\mathbf{g}}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2T} (\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{y}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) + \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t)) \\
&+ \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t) + \frac{\mu}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{g}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t) + \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t))
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

By differentiating Eq. 13 with respect to the filter $\hat{\mathbf{g}}$ and setting the outcome to zero, we can obtain,

$$\frac{1}{2T} (-2\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) + 2\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{g}}(t)) + \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}(t) + \frac{\mu}{2} (2\hat{\mathbf{g}}(t) - 2\hat{\mathbf{h}}(t)) = 0 \tag{14}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}(t)^* = (\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top + \mu T \mathbf{I}_K)^{-1} (\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) + \mu T \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t) - T \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}(t)) \tag{15}$$

Then, Sherman-Morrison[3] $(uv^\top + A)^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{A^{-1}uv^\top A^{-1}}{1+v^\top A^{-1}u}$ is used for acceleration, we get that,

$$(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top + \mu T \mathbf{I}_K)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\mu T} \left(\mathbf{I}_K - \frac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top}{\mu T + \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)} \right) \tag{16}$$

Final, Eq. 15 can be converted into,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{g}}(t)^* &= \frac{1}{\mu T} \left(\mathbf{I}_K - \frac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top}{\mu T} + \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) \right) (\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) + \mu T \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t) - T \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}(t)) \\
&= \frac{1}{\mu T} \left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) + \mu T \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t) - T \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}(t) - \frac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) + \mu T + \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t) - T \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}(t)}{\mu T + \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{T} \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) + \mu \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}(t) \right) \\
&- \frac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)}{\mu b} \left(\frac{1}{T} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_x(t)\hat{\mathbf{y}}(t) + \mu \hat{\mathbf{S}}_h(t) - \hat{\mathbf{S}}_\zeta(t) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_x(t) = \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$, $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_h(t) = \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\mathbf{h}}(t)$, $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_\zeta(t) = \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}(t)$ and $b = \hat{\mathbf{S}}_x(t) + \mu T$.

Subproblem $\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$:

$$\mu^{(i+1)} = \min(\mu_{max}, \beta \mu^{(i)}) \tag{18}$$

A Equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}^\top}{d\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{I} \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}}{d\mathbf{X}^\top} = \mathbf{I} \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}}{d\mathbf{X}^\top} = \mathbf{A} \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A}}{d\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{A} \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}}{d\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{A}^\top \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}}{d\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{A}^\top \quad (24)$$

References

- [1] H. Kiani Galoogahi, A. Fagg, and S. Lucey, “Learning background-aware correlation filters for visual tracking,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Comput. Vis.*, 2017, pp. 1135–1143.
- [2] S. Boyd, N. Parikh, and E. Chu, *Distributed optimization and statistical learning via the alternating direction method of multipliers*. Found. Trends Mach. Learn., 2011.
- [3] J. Sherman and W. J. Morrison, “Adjustment of an inverse matrix corresponding to a change in one element of a given matrix,” *Ann. Math. Stat.*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 124–127, 1950.