

Subject: Re: T&T prototype call
Date: Friday, February 22, 2019 at 10:03:59 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Phenesia Eusebio
To: scott beall
CC: jim.chandler@inovexcorp.com, dkj@transtechsol.com, stephen.kahmann@inovexcorp.com
Attachments: image001.jpg, image002.png, image003.png, image004.png, image005.png, image006.png, image007.png, image008.jpg

Hey Scott,

As per the 3pm discussion please see below:

| Personnel/Roles | Role Type | Function |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| CMMT Automation Team Member | System Administrator Role/Root - Internal | Full CRU |
| Certifier | Privileged User - Internal | Cannot c certificat certificat explanat has answ |
| Local Assessor | Privileged User - Internal Note: A local assessor is not part of P76, but, is specifically trained to certify certain systems in their organization. I'm not clear that this makes them an "internal" user, but, they are privileged. | Cannot c certificat certificat explanat has answ |
| Program Manager/System Owner | Privileged User - External | Can sta create, qu |
| Mission Lead | Privileged User - External | |
| Technical Lead | Privileged User - External | |
| Lead System Engineer | Privileged User - External | |
| Data Steward | Privileged External but, we need to verify how. | Requires |
| System Users | External - Limited Use | Lookup (|

KnightSupreme does a system pull from the System Registry, the Source System of Record (SSOR) for systems, to get system & version information. This system information also includes the system's POCs what's termed the "four horsemen"
+ 1. The PM, TL, ML, and LSE + a data steward. KnightSupreme captures the POC information as user roles and only the four horsemen + the data owner are allowed to answer initial "Applicable" questions to determine whether or not a system should be certified and to start a certification record for those systems that require certification.
Note: We will need to go online and see whether or not the role of Data Steward still exists and see if we can determine whether or not the limitations on its existence are still the same. If I remember correctly, we removed

the most of the data stewards' privileges, but, they still have one or two. I need to go in and try and very which ones.

Once a certification record is started, there are two types of internal roles that can update the certification records --

(1) a certifier and/or (2) a local assessor. Like the ratings (PG, PG-13, TV-MA, etc), there are many types of data that

a system can be certified to handle, however, local assessors can only certify systems for one type -- i.e. PG (almost

a lessor type, right?). Just for information if it helps in the write-up: this is the part that gets us into trouble and the

biggest place for problems. Although the four horsemen are able to do certain things on the record -- download the

RVTM and upload it again, (depending on which RVTM they are using), and upload artifacts that verify their system

for certification, BUT, they can *not* be able to select the data for which the the system is certified. Other things on the

certification record include the ability to answer the attestation questions. This is supposed to be done by a senior level Alpha + 2, but, I'm not sure that we ever put any logic to this particular check.

If the system has been certified by a local assessor, it will get a local assessor certificate. If it is then reviewed by a P76 certifier, it will also get a P76 "sticker." If it has only been certified by a certifier, it will get a P76 certified "sticker."

Hopefully, this makes sense (it's getting late and I'm tired) Let me know if you have additional questions. Dwayne, let me know if I forgot anything.

~Phenesia

On Fri, Feb 22, 2019 at 1:25 AM scott beall <sabeall@choosefreedomit.com> wrote:

For tomorrow, I'd like to dig into the following question:

- **Develop a Workflow diagram from an internal and an external customer transport through the database. Please show both how the internal and external customer answer the set of questions, and the answers are filed in the master database, and the supporting data clusters.**

So remind me of what we think they're asking for.. especially 'Please show both how the internal and external answer the set of questions and the answers are filed in the master database'

This goes back to my original assumption, that they want us to build a QA system? Thoughts?

Scott A. Beall

Chief Technology Officer



Life. Liberty. Technology.

9881 Broken Land Parkway, Suite 300

Columbia, MD 21046

e: sabeall@choosefreedomit.com

p: 410-290-9035 x1140

m: 443-564-3213

f: 410-290-9036



Freedom Consulting Group, Inc. Company Proprietary Information. This document is intended solely for the individual to whom it was given. Any transmittal beyond that requires express permission from Freedom Consulting Group, Inc.

From: Jim Chandler <jim.chandler@inovexcorp.com>

Date: Thursday, February 21, 2019 at 3:16 PM

To: "dkj@transtechsol.com" <dkj@transtechsol.com>, "pse@transtechsol.com" <pse@transtechsol.com>, "stephen.kahmann@inovexcorp.com" <stephen.kahmann@inovexcorp.com>, Scott Beall <sabeall@choosefreedomit.com>

Subject: T&T prototype call

Hi guys –

I believe we said we'd touch base again on Friday – what is the plan? Stephen, what is your availability tomorrow?

Jim Chandler, Capture

240.535.3530

www.inovexcorp.com



--

~Phenesia Eusebio
Principal Systems Engineer
TransTechSol, LLC
443.621.9582 Direct
pse@transtechsol.com