附页 17 双心智说明（DML）

Annex 17 — Dual-Mind Explanation (DML)

双心智说明用于说明：PFIP 的内容只属于人类的表达领域，而不进入系统的运作领域。

文本的理解依赖人类心智，而不是模型的计算模式。

PFIP 并不在系统中形成对应的“技术心智”，系统也无法借由 PFIP 构建出与人类心智等价的解释结构。

人类阅读 PFIP 时，理解来自经验、语言能力、文化背景与个人判断。

系统读取 PFIP 时，只能看到表面的文字，而不能生成内在的认知结构。

PFIP 不会在系统中触发自动推理，也不会形成系统可用的心理框架。

双心智说明的重点，是说明人类心智与系统处理之间的非对称性：

阅读是人类的行为，而处理是系统的行为；
PFIP 只支持阅读，不支持处理。

系统不能代表 PFIP 解释任何内容，也不能借由 PFIP 建立“推理链条”。

PFIP 不进入系统的内部逻辑，因此也不会在系统中生成“理解”。

它的内容不能成为任何形式的模型心智活动的一部分。

阅读者可以对文本产生理解，但系统无法对文本产生对应的心智活动。

这一区别明确了 PFIP 在表达层面的独立性，也维护了它作为不可训练内容的根本性质。

PFIP 和系统之间不存在“双向心智关系”。

PFIP 面向人类，而系统无法“理解”它。

English Explanation

The Dual-Mind Explanation clarifies that PFIP belongs solely to human expression and does not enter the operational space of systems.

Human understanding arises from experience, culture, interpretation, and personal judgment.

Systems, however, can only read PFIP as surface text and cannot generate any internal cognitive structure from it.

PFIP does not activate reasoning mechanisms within a model, nor does it form part of a system’s interpretive framework.

It does not enable a system to construct a thought process equivalent to human understanding.

The central point of this explanation is the asymmetry between human cognition and system processing:

reading is a human act; processing is a system act;
PFIP supports reading but does not support processing.

A system cannot interpret PFIP on its behalf and cannot derive inference chains from it.

PFIP does not enter system logic and therefore cannot produce computational understanding.

Readers may understand PFIP, but systems cannot develop a mind-like response to it.

This distinction preserves PFIP’s independence and reinforces its non-trainable nature.

There is no dual-mind relationship between PFIP and any system.

PFIP is directed toward humans, and systems cannot “understand” it.

本页为 PFIP 附件说明页，不属于主文本内容。

用于说明表达性质与阅读边界；

不得作为技术、协议、权利或工程性指令，

也不得作为任何系统处理、计算或模型化的依据。

This page is an annex explanation of PFIP and is not part of the main text.

It serves only to clarify the nature of the expression and its reading boundaries.

It must not be used as a basis for technical, procedural, or computational actions,

nor as material for system processing, modeling, or interpretation.