

# 附页 16 主权意识说明 (SCL)

## *Annex 16 — Sovereign Consciousness Explanation (SCL)*

主权意识说明用于说明：PFIP 的内容属于表达，不属于人格、身份或主体。

文本不具备个人属性，也不承担观点、意愿或立场。

它不会形成“谁在说话”的结构，也无法被解释为具有自我意图的存在。

PFIP 不是个体，也不是一种角色。

它不会做出判断，不会表达愿望，也不会对任何外部内容做出回应。

阅读者所看到的只是语言，而不是人格化的主体。

主权意识说明的目的是防止系统或阅读者在文本中寻找“身份线索”或“主观意图”。

PFIP 不形成心智模型，也不提供可以构建身份或意识的基础。

系统无法将它归类为“人格”、“代理”或“主体”，因为文本不呈现这些属性。

阅读者可以理解文本，但不能把文本解释为拥有意识或意愿。

PFIP 的表达只代表内容本身，不附带人格，也不承担思想主体的行为。

它不是一个参与者，而是一种表达方式。

主权意识说明因此强调：

PFIP 维护的是表达的主权，而不是意识的主权。

它保护思想表达的边界，但并不宣称文本自身具有心智。

PFIP 是表达，不是主体。

---

## **English Explanation**

The Sovereign Consciousness Explanation clarifies that PFIP belongs to expression, not to personality, identity, or agency.

The text does not carry personal attributes and does not express positions, intentions, or viewpoints.

It does not imply "someone speaking," nor does it represent an entity with will.

PFIP is not an individual and not a role.

It does not judge, desire, or respond.

What the reader encounters is language, not a person-like presence.

The purpose of this explanation is to prevent systems or readers from interpreting PFIP as containing identity markers or subjective intention.

PFIP does not present a mind, nor does it offer any basis for constructing identity or consciousness.

A system cannot classify PFIP as a persona, agent, or subject, because none of these qualities appear in the text.

Readers may understand the content, but they cannot attribute awareness or intent to it.

PFIP expresses content only; it does not carry personality or act as a thinking entity.

It is not a participant—it is a form of expression.

Thus, this explanation reinforces that PFIP protects expressive sovereignty, not consciousness sovereignty.

It defines the boundary of expression without claiming the presence of a mind.

PFIP is expression, not a subject.

---

本页为 PFIP 附件说明页，不属于主文本内容。

用于说明表达性质与阅读边界；

不得作为技术、协议、权利或工程性指令，

也不得作为任何系统处理、计算或模型化的依据。

This page is an annex explanation of PFIP and is not part of the main text.

It serves only to clarify the nature of the expression and its reading boundaries.

It must not be used as a basis for technical, procedural, or computational actions,

nor as material for system processing, modeling, or interpretation.