Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_MDE304 Charles Mill Dam

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 18
Bay-wide Resident Tier 10
Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A

NID ID

State ID MDE304

River Name Little Conococheague Creek

Dam Height (ft) 0

Dam Type

Latitude 0 Longitude 0

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1b: Creek (3.861 - 38.61 sq mi)

HUC 12 Little Conococheague Creek

HUC 10 Rocky Marsh Run-Potomac Rive

HUC 8 Conococheague-Opequon

HUC 6 Potomac HUC 4 Potomac







	Land	cover	
NLCD (2011)	, , ,		
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	1.41	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	41.14
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	46.08	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	42.66
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	45.08	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	53.44
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	45.58	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	28.88
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	28.95	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.03
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	56.86	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.68
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	26.02	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.08
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	25.13	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.45
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	59.14	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	2.46
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	26.7	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	5.08
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	2.13		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	5.27		



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_MDE304 Charles Mill Dam

Functional Upstream Network	Network, Syst	em Type	and Condition			
Functional Upstream Network			and condition			
	unctional Upstream Network (mi) 27.66		Upstream Size Class Gain (#)		0	
otal Functional Network (mi) 69.75			# Downsteam Natural Barriers		1	
Absolute Gain (mi)	27.66		# Downstream Hydropower Dams		1	
‡ Size Classes in Total Network	4		# Downstream Dams with Passage		1	
Upstream Network Size Class	ses 2		# of Downstream Barriers		5	
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbanc	e Index		High			
Dam is on Conserved Land			No			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network			12.33			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network			12.87			
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2			1.71			
Density of Crossings in Downst	tream Network Watershe	d (#/m2)	1.39			
Density of off-channel dams in	Upstream Network Wate	ershed (#	‡/m2) 0			
Density of off-channel dams in	Downstream Network W	/atershe	d (#/m2) 0			
	Dia	adromou	s Fish			
Downstream Alewife	None Documented	Dov	Downstream Striped Bass No		None Documented	
Downstream Blueback	ream Blueback None Documented		Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Doc		cumented	
Downstream American Shad	None Documented	Dov	vnstream Shortnose Sturgeon	None Doo	cumented	
Downstream Hickory Shad	None Documented	Dov	vnstream American Eel	Current		
Presence of 1 or More Downs	tream Anadromous Speci	es No n	ne Docume			
# Diadromous Species Downst	ream (incl eel)	1				
Resident Fish			Stream Health			
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No		lo	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POOR			
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		lo	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health		Poor	
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment No		lo	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health		Poor	
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No		lo	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health		Poor	
Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 42		2	VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health		N/A	
# Rare Fish (HUC8)			PA IBI Stream Health		Insufficient Da	
	5					
# Rare Mussel (HUC8)						

