Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: PA_57-037 ROSCOE BURGESS

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 9
Bay-wide Resident Tier 7

Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier 14

NID ID PA00357 State ID 57-037

River Name Kings Creek

Dam Height (ft) 17

Dam Type Earth

Latitude 41.5689

Longitude -76.6195

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

HUC 12 Elk Creek

HUC 10 Lower Loyalsock Creek

HUC 8 Lower West Branch Susquehann

HUC 6 West Branch Susquehanna

HUC 4 Susquehanna







Landcover			
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.03	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	85.11
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	94.22	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	71.79
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	68.63	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	5.02
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	5.29	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	22.82
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	99.63	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.04
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	73.62	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	59.16	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.03
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	60.63	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.09
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.32
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	18.4	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.34
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0.01		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	0.7		



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet CFPPP Unique ID: PA 57-037 ROSCOF BURGESS Network, System Type and Condition Functional Upstream Network (mi) 2.94 Upstream Size Class Gain (#) O Total Functional Network (mi) 19.69 # Downsteam Natural Barriers 1 Absolute Gain (mi) 2.94 Δ # Downstream Hydropower Dams # Size Classes in Total Network 2 # Downstream Dams with Passage 5 # Upstream Network Size Classes # of Downstream Barriers 7 1 NEHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Low Dam is on Conserved Land Nο % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 57.16 % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.71 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Λ Diadromous Fish Downstream Alewife None Documented None Documented Downstream Striped Bass Downstream Blueback None Documented Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented Downstream American Shad None Documented None Documented Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented Downstream American Eel Current One or More DS Anadromous Species None Docume # Diadromous Sp Dnstrm (incl eel) Resident Fish and Rare Species Stream Health Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment Yes Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health GOOD Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Yes MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Nο MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health N/A Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 31 VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health N/A



