## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: VA\_1011 SWIFT CREEK RESERVOIR DAM

Diadromous Tier 9

Brook Trout Tier N/A

Resident Tier 3

NID ID VA04112 State ID 1011

River Name Swift Creek

Dam Height (ft) 44

Dam Type Earth

Latitude 37.4168

Longitude -77.6478

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 2: Small River (38.61 - 200 sq mi

HUC 12 Third Branch-Swift Creek

HUC 10 Swift Creek

HUC 8 Appomattox

HUC 6 James

HUC 4 Lower Chesapeake







	Land	cover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	4.42	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	68.98
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	71.99	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	66.22
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	59.65	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	11.08
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	7.5	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	17.17
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	82.63	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.16
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	68.27	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	1.79
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	54.21	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	2.04
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	54.87	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	4.38
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	3.32	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	3.06
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	3.58	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	5.49
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	2.78		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	5.55		



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: VA\_1011 SWIFT CREEK RESERVOIR DAM

	A1 1 2		T 10	Ite to		
	Network, Sys	stem	Type and Cond	dition		
unctional Upstream Network (mi) 186.72			Upstream Size Class Gain (#)		ŧ)	0
otal Functional Network (mi) 253.33			# Downsteam Natural Barriers		ers	0
Absolute Gain (mi)	66.61		# Dow	nstream Hydropowe	r Dams	1
# Size Classes in Total Networ	k 3		# Dow	nstream Dams with I	Passage	0
# Upstream Network Size Clas	sses 3		# of D	# of Downstream Barriers		3
NFHAP Cumulative Disturband	ce Index			High		
Dam is on Conserved Land				No		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network				0.45		
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu				23.61		
Density of Crossings in Upstre				0.99		
Density of Crossings in Downs		-		1.45		
Density of off-channel dams in				0		
Density of off-channel dams in	n Downstream Network \	Wate	rshed (#/m2)	0		
	D	iadro	mous Fish			
Downstream Alewife			Downstream Striped Bass None Doo		umented	
Downstream Blueback	Historical		Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon		None Documented	
Downstream American Shad	None Documented		Downstream	Shortnose Sturgeon	None Doc	umented
Downstream Hickory Shad	None Documented		Downstream	American Eel	None Doc	umented
Presence of 1 or More Downs	stream Anadromous Spec	cies	Historical			
# Diadromous Species Downs	tream (incl eel)		0			
Reside	ent Fish			Strea	m Health	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment		No	Chesap	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POO		POOR
	Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		MD MB	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A		N/A
	chment (DeWeber)	140			MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health	
	,	No	MD MB	SS Fish IBI Stream He	alth	N/A
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat	iment	No		SS Fish IBI Stream He SS Combined IBI Stre		N/A N/A
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch	ment Catchment (DeWeber)	No	MD MB		am Health	-
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT	Catchment (DeWeber)	No No	MD MB	SS Combined IBI Stre	am Health	N/A
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Native Fish Species Richness (	Catchment (DeWeber)	No No 58	MD MB	SS Combined IBI Stre	am Health	N/A Very High

