## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

1	0500011: 10	V. 4-	_
	CFPPP Unique ID:	VA_47 TAYLORS DAM	
	Diadromous Tier	2	
	Brook Trout Tier	N/A	
	Resident Tier	3	
	NID ID		
	State ID	47	
	River Name		
	Dam Height (ft)	12	
	Dam Type	Gravity	
	Latitude	38.2549	
	Longitude	-77.3014	
	Passage Facilities	None Documented	
	Passage Year	N/A	
	Size Class	1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)	
	HUC 12	Mount Creek-Rappahannock Riv	
	HUC 10	Mill Creek-Rappahannock River	
	HUC 8	Lower Rappahannock	
	HUC 6	Lower Chesapeake	
	HUC 4	Lower Chesapeake	



Landcover										
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)								
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	4.32	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	59.22							
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	66.72	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	62.07							
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	27.09	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	20.07							
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	20.26	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	28.22							
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	76.42	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	14.75							
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	61.15	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.27							
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	23.11	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.56							
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	38.92	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.91							
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	13.84	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	2.13							
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	32.21	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.01							
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	2.57									
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	1.05									



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: VA\_47 TAYLORS DAM

<u>-</u>							
	Network, S	ystem	Type ar	nd Cond	dition		
Functional Upstream Network	(mi) 7.4			Upstre	eam Size Class Gain (‡	<b>#</b> )	0
Total Functional Network (mi) 3336.42			# Downsteam Natural Barriers			iers	0
Absolute Gain (mi)	7.4		# Downstream Hydropower Dams				0
# Size Classes in Total Networ	k 5			# Dow	nstream Dams with	Passage	0
# Upstream Network Size Classes 1			# of Downstream Barriers				0
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index  Dam is on Conserved Land					Very High		
					No		
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	iffer of Upstream Netwo	ork			0		
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	iffer of Downstream Ne	etwork	<		20.81		
Density of Crossings in Upstre	am Network Watershed	d (#/m	n2)		1.23		
Density of Crossings in Downs	tream Network Waters	hed (#	#/m2)		0.91		
Density of off-channel dams in	n Upstream Network W	atersh	ned (#/m	12)	0		
Density of off-channel dams in	n Downstream Network	Wate	ershed (#	ŧ/m2)	0		
		Diadro	omous Fi	sh			
Downstream Alewife Current			Downstream Striped Bass None Doo			umented	
Downstream Blueback Current  Downstream American Shad None Documented  Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented			Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Docu				umented
		Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon None Documented					umented
			Downstream American Eel Current				
Presence of 1 or More Downs	esence of 1 or More Downstream Anadromous Species			t			
# Diadromous Species Downs	tream (incl eel)		3				
Reside	nt Fish				Strea	m Health	
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		No	C	Chesape	eake Bay Program Str	eam Health	FAIR
		No	N	ЛD MB	SS Benthic IBI Stream	n Health	N/A
		Yes	N	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health			N/A
Barrier Blocks all EBIJV Calcil	Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)						N/A
	Catchment (DeWeber)	NO	l l	лр Мв	SS Combined IBI Stre	am Health	IN/A
	,	NO 58			SS Combined IBI Stre  AR mIBI Stream Heal		Very High
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT	,		\	/A INST			
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Native Fish Species Richness (	,	58	\	/A INST	AR mIBI Stream Heal		Very High

