## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

	Chesapeake Fish Passa
CFPPP Unique ID:	MD_EL002 ELKTON DAM
Diadromous Tier	1
Brook Trout Tier	N/A
Resident Tier	4
NID ID	
State ID	EL002
River Name	Big Elk Creek
Dam Height (ft)	3
Dam Type	
Latitude	39.6124
Longitude	-75.8172
Passage Facilities	Denil
Passage Year	1993
Size Class	2: Small River (38.61 - 200 sq mi
HUC 12	Big Elk Creek
HUC 10	Elk River
HUC 8	Chester-Sassafras
HUC 6	Upper Chesapeake
HUC 4	Upper Chesapeake



	Land	cover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	4.12	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	58.89
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	32.7	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	55.11
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	25.18	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	35.4
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	43.09	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	32.79
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	57.03	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.28
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	61.7	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.19
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	40.67	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.11
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	30.26	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.37
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	28.09	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	2.55
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	20.71	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	3.95
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	1.88		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	3.45		



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: MD\_EL002 ELKTON DAM

Network, S	system	Type and Co	ndition		
Functional Upstream Network (mi) 118.88	Functional Upstream Network (mi) 118.88		tream Size Class Gain (‡	<b>#</b> )	0
Total Functional Network (mi) 408.52		# Downsteam Natural Barriers		iers	0
Absolute Gain (mi) 118.88		# Downstream Hydropower Dams		0	
# Size Classes in Total Network 4		# Do	wnstream Dams with	Passage	0
# Upstream Network Size Classes 3		# of Downstream Barriers			0
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index			Very High		
Dam is on Conserved Land			No		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Netw		21.88			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Ne	etwork		17.12		
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershe	12)	1.12			
Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Waters		•	0.54		
Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network W			0		
Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network	k Wate	ershed (#/m2	) 0.02		
	D'a da	First			
Downstream Alewife Current	Diadro	mous Fish	n Stringd Rass	None Doc	rumentec
			'		
Downstream Blueback Current			n Atlantic Sturgeon	None Doo	
Downstream American Shad Current		Downstream	m Shortnose Sturgeon	None Doo	cumented
Downstream Hickory Shad Current		Downstream	n American Eel	Current	
Presence of 1 or More Downstream Anadromous Sp	ecies	Current			
# Diadromous Species Downstream (incl eel)		5			
Resident Fish			Strea	m Health	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No		Chesa	peake Bay Program Sti	eam Health	POOR
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No		MDN	1BSS Benthic IBI Stream	n Health	Fair
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment No		MDN	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health		Fair
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment	NO		MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health Fair		
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		MDN	1BSS Combined IBI Stre	am Health	Fair
			1BSS Combined IBI Stre STAR mIBI Stream Heal		Fair N/A
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)	) No	VA IN			
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8)	) No 48	VA IN	STAR mIBI Stream Heal		N/A

