Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

	Circoup			
CFPPP Unique ID:	CFPPP_804	u	nknown	
Diadromous Tier		12		
Brook Trout Tier	N/A			
Resident Tier		13		
NID ID				
State ID				
River Name				
Dam Height (ft)	0			
Dam Type				
Latitude	37.3017			
Longitude	-77.9858			
Passage Facilities	None Docur	nented		
Passage Year	N/A			
Size Class	1a: Headwa	ter (0 -	3.861 sq m	i)
HUC 12	Beaverpond	Creek-	Deep Creel	<
HUC 10	Deep Creek			
HUC 8	Appomatto	(
HUC 6	James			
HUC 4	Lower Ches	apeake		



Landcover							
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)					
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.27	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	36.58				
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	48.68	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	79.6				
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	41.27	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	30.09				
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	46.3	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	16.28				
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	36.84	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0				
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	82.65	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0				
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	15.79	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0				
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	55.24	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.01				
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	63.16	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.05				
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	17.35	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.08				
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0						
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	0						



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP_804 unknown

	Network, Sy	/stem	Type and Condition		
Functional Upstream Network	(mi) 0.42		Upstream Size Class Gain (#)	0	
Total Functional Network (mi) 9.93			# Downsteam Natural Barriers	0	
Absolute Gain (mi)	0.42		# Downstream Hydropower Dams	3	
# Size Classes in Total Networ	k 2		# Downstream Dams with Passage	3	
# Upstream Network Size Clas	sses 0		# of Downstream Barriers	5	
NFHAP Cumulative Disturband	ce Index		Moderate		
Dam is on Conserved Land			No		
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	uffer of Upstream Netwo	ork	0		
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	uffer of Downstream Net	twork	ork 0		
Density of Crossings in Upstre	am Network Watershed	l (#/m:	2) 0		
Density of Crossings in Downs	stream Network Watersh	ned (#,	/m2) 0.12		
Density of off-channel dams in	n Upstream Network Wa	atersh	ed (#/m2) 0		
Density of off-channel dams in	n Downstream Network	Wate	rshed (#/m2) 0		
	Ε	Diadro	mous Fish		
Downstream Alewife Historical			Downstream Striped Bass None Document		
Downstream Blueback Historical			Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Doc		
Downstream American Shad	None Documented		Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon None D	ocumented	
Downsti Cam American Shau					
Downstream Hickory Shad	None Documented		Downstream American Eel Curren	t	
		ecies	Downstream American Eel Current Historical	t	
Downstream Hickory Shad	stream Anadromous Spe	ecies		t	
Downstream Hickory Shad Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs	stream Anadromous Spe	ecies	Historical		
Downstream Hickory Shad Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs	stream Anadromous Spe stream (incl eel) ent Fish	No	Historical 1	1	
Downstream Hickory Shad Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs Reside	stream Anadromous Spe stream (incl eel) ent Fish ment		Historical 1 Stream Health	1	
Downstream Hickory Shad Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs Reside Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchr	stream Anadromous Spe stream (incl eel) ent Fish ment chment (DeWeber)	No	Historical Stream Health Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Hea	n alth POOR	
Downstream Hickory Shad Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs Reside Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchr Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat	stream Anadromous Spe stream (incl eel) ent Fish ment chment (DeWeber)	No No No	Historical Stream Health Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Hea MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health	n alth POOR N/A N/A	
Downstream Hickory Shad Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs Reside Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchr Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch	ent Fish ment chment (DeWeber) ment Catchment (DeWeber)	No No No	Historical Stream Health Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health	n alth POOR N/A N/A	
Downstream Hickory Shad Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs Reside Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchr Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catch Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT	ent Fish ment chment (DeWeber) ment Catchment (DeWeber)	No No No	Historical Stream Health Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health	n alth POOR N/A N/A	
Downstream Hickory Shad Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs Reside Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchr Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Native Fish Species Richness (ent Fish ment chment (DeWeber) ment Catchment (DeWeber)	No No No No	Stream Health Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health	n alth POOR N/A N/A th N/A Moderate	

