Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_12279 LAUREL LAKES NO 2 (UPPER)

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 18
Bay-wide Resident Tier 19
Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A

 NID ID
 MD00231

 State ID
 12279

River Name Bear Branch

Dam Height (ft) 14

Dam Type Earth
Latitude 39.0901

Longitude -76.866

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

HUC 12 Horsepen Branch-Patuxent River

HUC 10 Upper Patuxent River

HUC 8 Patuxent

HUC 6 Upper Chesapeake
HUC 4 Upper Chesapeake







Landcover							
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)					
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	30.02	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	52.5				
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	21.69	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	26.48				
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	15.38	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	27.92				
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	2.79	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	21.27				
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	42.33	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	2.56				
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	16.87	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0				
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	28.4	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	5.45				
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	4.66				
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.16	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	10.23				
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	22.42				
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	18.4						
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	45.56						



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_12279 LAUREL LAKES NO 2 (UPPER)

Networ	k, System	n Type ar	nd Condition		
Functional Upstream Network (mi) 3.17			Upstream Size Class Gair	(#)	0
Total Functional Network (mi) 3.91			# Downsteam Natural Barriers		0
Absolute Gain (mi) 0.74			# Downstream Hydropov	ver Dams	0
# Size Classes in Total Network 1			# Downstream Dams wit	h Passage	0
# Upstream Network Size Classes 1			# of Downstream Barrier	S	1
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index			Very High		
Dam is on Conserved Land			No		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network			1.9		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream	າ Network	k	31.85		
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Waters	shed (#/m	n2)	2.33		
Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Wat	tershed (#	#/m2)	0		
Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network	k Watersh	hed (#/m	0 0		
Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Netw	vork Wate	ershed (#	‡/m2) 0		
	Diadro	omous F	ish		
Downstream Alewife Historical	al		Downstream Striped Bass None Doo		cumented
Downstream Blueback Historical		Downs	tream Atlantic Sturgeon	None Do	cumented
Downstream American Shad None Documented	d	Downs	tream Shortnose Sturgeo	n None Do	cumented
Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented	d	Downs	tream American Eel	None Do	cumented
Presence of 1 or More Downstream Anadromous	Species	Histori	cal		
# Diadromous Species Downstream (incl eel)		0			
Resident Fish			Str	eam Health	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment		(Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POOR		
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		N	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health Poor		
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment		N	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health Poor		
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		N	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health Poor		
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeb	oci, ivo		VID IVIDOS COITIBILIEU IDI SI		1 001
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeb Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8)	51	\	/A INSTAR mIBI Stream He		N/A
·	•				
Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8)	51		/A INSTAR mIBI Stream He		N/A

