Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_359 FENDER DAM

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 2
Bay-wide Resident Tier 3

Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A

NID ID VA02926

State ID 359

River Name Gannaway Creek

Dam Height (ft) 20

Dam Type Earth

Latitude 37.3664

Longitude -78.4718

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

HUC 12 Ducker Creek-Appomattox River

HUC 10 Vaughans Creek-Appomattox Ri

HUC 8 Appomattox

HUC 6 James

HUC 4 Lower Chesapeake







			1
Landcover			
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.23	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	76.32
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	65.52	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	86.58
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	63.12	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	19.03
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	31.41	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	9.87
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	68.47	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	88.39	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.08
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	63.9	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.45
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	61	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.36
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	30.68	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.58
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	9.87	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.38
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0.2		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	0.27		



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA 359 **FFNDFR DAM** Network, System Type and Condition Functional Upstream Network (mi) Upstream Size Class Gain (#) O 1.06 Total Functional Network (mi) 2957.74 # Downsteam Natural Barriers 0 Absolute Gain (mi) 1.06 3 # Downstream Hydropower Dams # Size Classes in Total Network 5 # Downstream Dams with Passage 3 # Upstream Network Size Classes # of Downstream Barriers 3 1 NEHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Very High Dam is on Conserved Land Nο % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 5.91 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.5 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) \cap Diadromous Fish Downstream Alewife **Downstream Striped Bass** None Documented Current Downstream Blueback Historical Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented Downstream American Shad None Documented None Documented Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented Downstream American Eel Current One or More DS Anadromous Species Current # Diadromous Sp Dnstrm (incl eel) Resident Fish and Rare Species Stream Health Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health FAIR Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment No MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health N/A Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 58 VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health High # Rare Fish (HUC8) 1 PA IBI Stream Health N/A # Rare Mussel (HUC8) 3 # Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0 Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp HUC12 Rare fish or mussel sp in HUC12 Yes Nο Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp in Rare fish or mussel in upstream or No Yes



downstream functional network

upstream or downstream functional network