Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

| CFPPP Unique ID: | VA_433 OLD FORGE PON |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| Diadromous Tier | 1 |
| Brook Trout Tier | N/A |
| Resident Tier | 1 |
| NID ID | VA12709 |
| State ID | 433 |
| River Name | Jones Run |
| Dam Height (ft) | 12 |
| Dam Type | Earth |
| Latitude | 37.4433 |
| Longitude | -77.046 |
| Passage Facilities | None Documented |
| Passage Year | N/A |
| Size Class | 1b: Creek (3.861 - 38.61 sq mi) |
| HUC 12 | Rumley Marsh-Chickahominy Ri |
| HUC 10 | Middle Chickahominy River |
| HUC 8 | Lower James |
| HUC 6 | James |
| HUC 4 | Lower Chesapeake |



| Landcover | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|--|-------|--|--|--|--|
| NLCD (2011) | | Chesapeake Conservancy (2016) | | | | | |
| % Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area | 1.29 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 94.78 | | | | |
| % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area | 84.23 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 76.14 | | | | |
| % Forested in Upstream Drainage Area | 64.32 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 1.79 | | | | |
| % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area | 6.06 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 12.48 | | | | |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 94.49 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 0.19 | | | | |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 79.16 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.1 | | | | |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 52.06 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network | 0.53 | | | | |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 23.28 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | 2.59 | | | | |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 1.12 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network | 0.61 | | | | |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 3.41 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | 3.98 | | | | |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network | 0.42 | | | | | | |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network | 4.61 | | | | | | |



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_433 OLD FORGE POND DAM

| | N | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|
| | Network, Syste | em Type and | Condition | | |
| Functional Upstream Network (mi) 37.05 | | L | Upstream Size Class Gain (#) | | 0 |
| Total Functional Network (mi) 545.7 | | # | # Downsteam Natural Barriers | | 0 |
| Absolute Gain (mi) 37.05 | | # | # Downstream Hydropower Dams | | 0 |
| # Size Classes in Total Network 4 | | # | # Downstream Dams with Passage | | 1 |
| # Upstream Network Size Classes 2 | | # | # of Downstream Barriers | | |
| NFHAP Cumulative Disturband | ce Index | | Moderate | | |
| Dam is on Conserved Land | | No | | | |
| % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network | | | 0 | | |
| % Conserved Land in 100m Bu | | | 6.45 | | |
| Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m | | | 0.61 | | |
| Density of Crossings in Downs | | | 1.24 | | |
| Density of off-channel dams in | | | | | |
| Density of off-channel dams in | n Downstream Network Wa | atershed (#/ | m2) 0 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | dromous Fish | | | |
| Downstream Alewife | Current | | Downstream Striped Bass None Do | | |
| Downstream Blueback | Current | Downstr | eam Atlantic Sturgeon | None Doo | cumented |
| Downstream American Shad | None Documented | Downstr | eam Shortnose Sturgeon | None Doo | cumented |
| Downstream Hickory Shad | None Documented | Downstr | eam American Eel | Current | |
| Presence of 1 or More Downs | stream Anadromous Specie | es Current | | | |
| # Diadromous Species Downstream (incl eel) | | 3 | | | |
| • | | | | | |
| | | | | 1.1 | |
| Reside | ent Fish | | Strea | am Health | |
| Reside Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchr | |) Ch | Strea esapeake Bay Program St | | n FAIR |
| | nent No | | | ream Health | n FAIR N/A |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchr | ment No chment (DeWeber) No | o MI | esapeake Bay Program St | ream Health n Health | |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchr Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat | nent No chment (DeWeber) No ment No | o MI | esapeake Bay Program St D MBSS Benthic IBI Strean | ream Health n Health ealth | N/A |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchr Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch | chment (DeWeber) No ment No Catchment (DeWeber) No | o MI o MI | esapeake Bay Program St O MBSS Benthic IBI Strean O MBSS Fish IBI Stream He | ream Health n Health ealth eam Health | N/A N/A |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchr Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT | chment (DeWeber) No ment No Catchment (DeWeber) No | o MI o MI o MI | esapeake Bay Program St O MBSS Benthic IBI Strean O MBSS Fish IBI Stream He O MBSS Combined IBI Stre | ream Health n Health ealth eam Health | N/A N/A N/A |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchr Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Native Fish Species Richness (| ment No chment (DeWeber) No ment No Catchment (DeWeber) No (HUC8) 62 | o MI o MI o MI | esapeake Bay Program St O MBSS Benthic IBI Stream O MBSS Fish IBI Stream He O MBSS Combined IBI Stre INSTAR mIBI Stream Hea | ream Health n Health ealth eam Health | N/A N/A N/A Very High |

