Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

	Chesapeake Hish Fassa					
CFPPP Unique ID:	VA_430 KENT DAM					
Diadromous Tier	1					
Brook Trout Tier	N/A					
Resident Tier	2					
NID ID	VA12701					
State ID	430					
River Name	Toe Ink Swamp					
Dam Height (ft)	24					
Dam Type	Rockfill					
Latitude	37.4853					
Longitude	-77.1322					
Passage Facilities	None Documented					
Passage Year	N/A					
Size Class	1b: Creek (3.861 - 38.61 sq mi)					
HUC 12	Toe Ink Swamp-Chickahominy Ri					
HUC 10	Middle Chickahominy River					
HUC 8	Lower James					
HUC 6	James					
HUC 4	Lower Chesapeake					



Landcover										
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)								
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	1.32	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	79.74							
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	70.45	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	76.14							
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	57.12	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	7.27							
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	16.74	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	12.48							
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	87.16	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0							
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	79.16	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.1							
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	50.35	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.71							
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	23.28	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	2.59							
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	2.36	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.15							
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	3.41	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	3.98							
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0.9									
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	4.61									

Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_430 KENT DAM

	Network, Syste	т Туре	and Cond	dition			
Functional Upstream Network (r	mi) 24.21		Upstre	eam Size Class Gain (‡	#)	0	
Total Functional Network (mi)	532.86	# Downsteam Natural Barriers			iers	0	
Absolute Gain (mi)	24.21		# Dow	nstream Hydropowe	r Dams	0	
# Size Classes in Total Network	4	# Downstream Dams with Passage # of Downstream Barriers				1	
# Upstream Network Size Classe	2 2					1	
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance	Index			Low			
Dam is on Conserved Land				No			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffe	er of Upstream Network			0.65			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffe	er of Downstream Netwo	rk		6.45			
Density of Crossings in Upstream	n Network Watershed (#/	/m2)		0.65			
Density of Crossings in Downstre	eam Network Watershed	(#/m2)		1.24			
Density of off-channel dams in U	Jpstream Network Water	shed (#,	/m2)	0			
Density of off-channel dams in D	Downstream Network Wa	itershed	(#/m2)	0			
	Diad	Iromous	Fish				
Downstream Alewife Current Downstream Blueback Current Downstream American Shad None Documented Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented		Dow	Downstream Striped Bass None Doc			cumented	
		Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Doc			umented		
		Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon None Documer Downstream American Eel Current				umented	
Presence of 1 or More Downstre	eam Anadromous Species	s Curre	ent				
# Diadromous Species Downstre	3						
Resident			Strea	m Health			
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber))	Chesape	eake Bay Program Str	eam Health	FAIR	
)	MD MB	SS Benthic IBI Stream	Health	N/A	
)	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health		N/A		
)	MD MR	SS Combined IBI Stre	am Health	N/A	
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Ca	atchment (Deweber) No		IVID IVID.				
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Ca Native Fish Species Richness (HU	,			AR mIBI Stream Heal	th	Very High	
	,		VA INST		th	Very High	
Native Fish Species Richness (HU	JC8) 62		VA INST	'AR mIBI Stream Heal	th	, 0	

