Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP_1197 unknown

Diadromous Tier 20

Brook Trout Tier N/A

Resident Tier 15

NID ID

State ID

River Name

Dam Height (ft) 0

Dam Type

Latitude 38.9953

Longitude -77.2088

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

HUC 12 Nichols Run-Potomac River

HUC 10 Difficult Run-Potomac River

HUC 8 Middle Potomac-Catoctin

HUC 6 Potomac







	Land	lcover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	6.63	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	49.63
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	35.09	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	72.74
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	32.19	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	36.73
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	3.08	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	11.29
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	26.86	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.52
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	68.27	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.41
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	25.18	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.31
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	49.17	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	3.9
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	8.11
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.92	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	5.16
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	7.09		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	6.38		



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: **CFPPP 1197 unknown**

Functional Upstream Network (mi) 0.85 Total Functional Network (mi) 168.34 Absolute Gain (mi) 0.85 # Size Classes in Total Network 4 # Upstream Network Size Classes 1 NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m	2) 0.72 /m2) 1.62 ed (#/m2) 0	0 0 0 1 1	
Total Functional Network (mi) 168.34 Absolute Gain (mi) 0.85 # Size Classes in Total Network 4 # Upstream Network Size Classes 1 NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m	# Downsteam Natural Barriers # Downstream Hydropower Dams # Downstream Dams with Passage # of Downstream Barriers Very High No 0 29.5 2) 0.72 /m2) 1.62 ed (#/m2) 0	0 0 1	
Absolute Gain (mi) # Size Classes in Total Network # Upstream Network Size Classes 1 NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m	# Downstream Hydropower Dams # Downstream Dams with Passage # of Downstream Barriers Very High No 0 29.5 2) 0.72 /m2) 1.62 ed (#/m2) 0	0	
# Size Classes in Total Network 4 # Upstream Network Size Classes 1 NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m	# Downstream Dams with Passage # of Downstream Barriers Very High No 0 29.5 2) 0.72 /m2) 1.62 ed (#/m2) 0	1	
# Upstream Network Size Classes 1 NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m	# of Downstream Barriers Very High No 0 29.5 2) 0.72 /m2) 1.62 ed (#/m2) 0		
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m	Very High No 0 29.5 2) 7/m2) 1.62 ed (#/m2) 0	1	
Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m	No 0 29.5 2) 0.72 /m2) 1.62 ed (#/m2) 0		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m	0 29.5 2) 0.72 /m2) 1.62 ed (#/m2) 0		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m	29.5 2) 0.72 /m2) 1.62 ed (#/m2) 0		
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m	2) 0.72 /m2) 1.62 ed (#/m2) 0		
	/m2) 1.62 ed (#/m2) 0		
Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#	ed (#/m2) 0		
Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watersh	rshed (#/m2) 0		
Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Wate			
Diadro	mous Fish		
Downstream Alewife None Documented	Downstream Striped Bass None Doo	cumented	
Downstream Blueback None Documented	Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Doo	cumented	
Downstream American Shad None Documented	Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon None Doo	cumented	
Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented	Downstream American Eel Current		
Presence of 1 or More Downstream Anadromous Species	None Docume		
# Diadromous Species Downstream (incl eel)	1		
Resident Fish	Stream Health		
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Healtl	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health VERY_POOR	
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health	Very Poor	
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment No	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health	Poor	
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health	Poor	
Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 51	VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health	N/A	
# Rare Fish (HUC8) 0	PA IBI Stream Health	N/A	
# Rare Mussel (HUC8) 4		-	
# Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0			

