Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID:	VA_940	-	AMELIA DAM
Bay-wide Diadromous Tier		10	
Bay-wide Resident Tier		1	
Bay-wide Brook T	rout Tier	N/A	
NID ID	VA00701		
State ID	940		
River Name			
Dam Height (ft)	38		
Dam Type	Earth		
Latitude	37.4714		
Longitude	-77.9204		
Passage Facilities	None Doc	ument	ed
Passage Year	N/A		
Size Class	1a: Headw	ater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)
HUC 12	Bent Cree	k-Appo	omattox River
HUC 10	Rocky For	d Cree	k-Appomattox R
HUC 8	Appomatt	ох	
HUC 6	James		

Lower Chesapeake



	Land	lcover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.14	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	64.77
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	81.27	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	86.58
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	68.18	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	1.23
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	16.79	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	9.87
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	99.3	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	88.39	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.08
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	61.21	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.29
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	61	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.36
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.23	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.16
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	9.87	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.38
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0.07		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	0.27		



HUC 4

Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA 940 **AMELIA DAM** Network, System Type and Condition Functional Upstream Network (mi) 4.75 Upstream Size Class Gain (#) O Total Functional Network (mi) 2961.43 # Downsteam Natural Barriers 0 Absolute Gain (mi) 4.75 3 # Downstream Hydropower Dams # Size Classes in Total Network 5 # Downstream Dams with Passage 3 # Upstream Network Size Classes # of Downstream Barriers 3 1 NEHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Very High Dam is on Conserved Land Yes % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 59.53 % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 5.91 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.5 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) \cap Diadromous Fish Downstream Alewife None Documented None Documented **Downstream Striped Bass** Downstream Blueback None Documented Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented Downstream American Shad None Documented None Documented Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented Downstream American Eel Current One or More DS Anadromous Species None Docume # Diadromous Sp Dnstrm (incl eel) Resident Fish and Rare Species Stream Health Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health FAIR Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Nο MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health N/A Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 58 VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health Moderate # Rare Fish (HUC8) 1 PA IBI Stream Health N/A # Rare Mussel (HUC8) 3 # Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0 Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp HUC12 Rare fish or mussel sp in HUC12 No Nο Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp in Rare fish or mussel in upstream or No Yes downstream functional network upstream or downstream functional network

