## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: MD\_WIW12 TRINITY LAKE

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 1
Bay-wide Resident Tier 2

Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A

NID ID

State ID WIW12

River Name Trinity Church Run

Dam Height (ft) 0

Dam Type Unspecified Type

Latitude 38.4531 Longitude -76.8464

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1b: Creek (3.861 - 38.61 sq mi)

HUC 12 Trinity Church Run-Wicomico Ri

HUC 10 Wicomico River

HUC 8 Lower Potomac

HUC 6 Potomac HUC 4 Potomac







| Landcover  |       |  |       |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------|--|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| NLCD (2011)                                      |       | Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)                    |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| % Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area   | 0.78  | % Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network          | 48.96 |  |  |  |  |  |
| % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area        | 49.34 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network        | 63.19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| % Forested in Upstream Drainage Area             | 41.2  | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network   | 44.77 |  |  |  |  |  |
| % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area          | 43.4  | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 29.49 |  |  |  |  |  |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network       | 55    | % Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network        | 0.01  |  |  |  |  |  |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network     | 66.8  | % Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network      | 0.58  |  |  |  |  |  |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network        | 39.74 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network     | 0.76  |  |  |  |  |  |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network      | 36.72 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network   | 1.18  |  |  |  |  |  |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network   | 34.63 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network    | 1.87  |  |  |  |  |  |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 19.67 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network  | 3.11  |  |  |  |  |  |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network     | 0.91  |  |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network   | 2.91  |  |       |  |  |  |  |  |



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: MD\_WIW12 TRINITY LAKE

|   | Network, Sy     | /stem T | Гуре and                      | Condi  | ion                      |                 |                 |  |
|---|-----------------|---------|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Functional Upstream Network (mi)  | 18.52           |         | U                             | Jpstream Size Class Gain (#)                                     |                          | 0               |                 |  |
| Total Functional Network (mi)   | 586.64          |         | #                             | # Downsteam Natural Barriers                                     |                          | 0               |                 |  |
| Absolute Gain (mi)  | 18.52           |         | #                             | Down   | stream Hydropower Dams   | s 0             |                 |  |
| # Size Classes in Total Network   | 4               |         | #                             | Down   | stream Dams with Passage | e 0             |                 |  |
| # Upstream Network Size Classes   | 2               |         | # of Downstream Barriers      |  |                          | 0               |                 |  |
| NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Inde   | ex              |         |                               |  | Not Scored / Unavailable | at this scale   |                 |  |
| Dam is on Conserved Land  |                 |         |                               |  | No                       |                 |                 |  |
| % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network                                     |                 |         |                               |  | 0                        |                 |                 |  |
| % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network                                   |                 |         |                               |  | 13.17                    |                 |                 |  |
| Density of Crossings in Upstream Ne   | l (#/m2         | 2)      |                               | 0.48   |                          |                 |                 |  |
| Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.59                        |                 |         |                               |  |                          |                 |                 |  |
| Density of off-channel dams in Upst   | ream Network Wa | atershe | ed (#/m2)                     |  | 0                        |                 |                 |  |
| Density of off-channel dams in Dow  | nstream Network | Water   | shed (#/n                     | n2)  | 0                        |                 |                 |  |
|   | [               | Diadron | mous Fish                     |  |                          |                 |                 |  |
| Downstream Alewife  | Current         |         | Downstream Striped Bass       |  |                          | None Documented |                 |  |
| Downstream Blueback   | Current         |         | Downstre                      | nstream Atlantic Sturgeon  |                          | None Docur      | None Documented |  |
| Downstream American Shad  | None Documente  | d       | Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon |  |                          | None Documented |                 |  |
| Downstream Hickory Shad   | None Documente  | d       | Downstream American Eel       |  |                          | Current         |                 |  |
| One or More DS Anadromous Species Current   |                 |         | # Diadror                     | nous S   | 3                        |                 |                 |  |
| Resident Fish and   | l Rare Species  |         |                               |  | Stream Health            |                 |                 |  |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment   |                 | No      | Che                           | esapea   | ke Bay Program Stream H  | lealth          | GOOD            |  |
| Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)   |                 | No      | MD                            | MBSS   | Benthic IBI Stream Healt | h               | Fair            |  |
| Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment   |                 | No      | MD                            | MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health                                   |                          |                 | Poor            |  |
| Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)  |                 | No      | MD                            | MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Healt                                |                          |                 | Fair            |  |
| Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8)   |                 | 55      | VA                            | INSTA  | R mIBI Stream Health     |                 | N/A             |  |
| # Rare Fish (HUC8)  |                 | 3       | PA                            | PA IBI Stream Health   |                          |                 | N/A             |  |
| # Rare Mussel (HUC8)  |                 | 2       |                               |  |                          |                 |                 |  |
| # Rare Crayfish (HUC8)  |                 | 0       |                               |  |                          |                 |                 |  |
| Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp HUC12  |                 | No      | Rar                           | Rare fish or mussel sp in HUC12                                  |                          |                 | No              |  |
| Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp in upstream or downstream functional network |                 | No      |                               | Rare fish or mussel in upstream or downstream functional network |                          |                 | Yes             |  |

