Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_1141 MCCAFFREY DAM

Diadromous Tier 11

Brook Trout Tier N/A

Resident Tier 4

NID ID

State ID 1141

River Name North Fork Shenandoah River

Dam Height (ft) 21

Dam Type Gravity

Latitude 38.9748

Longitude -78.3354

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 3a: Medium Tributary River (200

HUC 12 Tumbling Run-North Fork Shena

HUC 10 Narrow Passage Creek-North Fo

HUC 8 North Fork Shenandoah

HUC 6 Potomac







	Land	cover			
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)			
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	1.26	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	53.47		
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	59.01	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	73.52		
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	58.39	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	34.94		
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	34.13	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	22.72		
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	49.04	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.24		
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	65.63	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.64		
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	40.44	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	2.38		
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	64.17	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.25		
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	39.41	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	2.74		
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	× 27.17	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.96		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	2.58				
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	0.6				



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_1141 MCCAFFREY DAM

CIFFF Offique ID. VA_II4I WICCA					
ı	Network, System	Type and Cond	ition		
Functional Upstream Network (mi) 202	am Network (mi) 202.63		Upstream Size Class Gain (#)		
otal Functional Network (mi) 548.99		# Downsteam Natural Barriers		ers	1
Absolute Gain (mi) 202	2.63	# Dowr	nstream Hydropowe	r Dams	2
# Size Classes in Total Network	4	# Dowr	nstream Dams with F	assage	3
# Upstream Network Size Classes	3	# of Do	wnstream Barriers		5
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index			High		
Dam is on Conserved Land			No		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstr		9.36			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Down	istream Network	(15.59		
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network	Watershed (#/m	12)	1.37		
Density of Crossings in Downstream Netwo	ork Watershed (#	‡/m2)	1.23		
Density of off-channel dams in Upstream N	letwork Watersh	ned (#/m2)	0		
Density of off-channel dams in Downstrear	m Network Wate	ershed (#/m2)	0		
	Diadro	omous Fish			
Downstream Alewife None Documented		Downstream Striped Bass None Do		None Docu	ımentec
Downstream Blueback None Docu	None Documented		Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None D		ımented
Downstream American Shad None Docu	mented	Downstream S	Shortnose Sturgeon	None Docu	ımented
Downstream Hickory Shad None Docu	mented	Downstream A	American Eel	Current	
Presence of 1 or More Downstream Anadr	omous Species	None Docume			
# Diadromous Species Downstream (incl e	el)	1			
Resident Fish			Strea	m Health	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment		Chesape	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health FAIR		
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		MD MBS	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A		N/A
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (Dev			MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health		
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment	Yes	MD MBS	SS Fish IBI Stream He	alth	N/A
			SS Fish IBI Stream He SS Combined IBI Stre		N/A N/A
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment		MD MBS		am Health	
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment ((DeWeber) Yes	MD MBS	SS Combined IBI Stre	am Health	N/A
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8)	(DeWeber) Yes 28	MD MBS	SS Combined IBI Strea	am Health	N/A High

