Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_PA022

Diadromous Tier 19

Brook Trout Tier N/A

Resident Tier 20

NID ID

State ID PA022

River Name Jones Falls

Dam Height (ft) 16

Dam Type Unspecified Type

Latitude 39.3202

Longitude -76.6292

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 2: Small River (38.61 - 200 sq mi

HUC 12 Jones Falls

HUC 10 Patapsco River-Chesapeake Bay

HUC 8 Gunpowder-Patapsco
HUC 6 Upper Chesapeake
HUC 4 Upper Chesapeake







	Land	cover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	13.83	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	45.35
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	37.48	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	48.08
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	35.09	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	7.49
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	6.71	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	17.23
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	1.73	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.14
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	26.96	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.2
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	1.73	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	17.12
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	19.99	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	6.74
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	26.56
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	k 0	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	21.27
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	42.41		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	22.25		



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_PA022

Functional Upstream Network (mi) Total Functional Network (mi) Absolute Gain (mi) # Size Classes in Total Network Upstream Network Size Classes NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2)	Upstream Size Class Gain (#) # Downsteam Natural Barriers 0 # Downstream Hydropower Dams 0 # Downstream Dams with Passage 0 # of Downstream Barriers 1 Very High No 9.64 26.51 1.85 2.75
Total Functional Network (mi) 7 Absolute Gain (mi) 0.43 # Size Classes in Total Network 2 # Upstream Network Size Classes 0 NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2)	# Downsteam Natural Barriers 0 # Downstream Hydropower Dams 0 # Downstream Dams with Passage 0 # of Downstream Barriers 1 Very High No 9.64 26.51 1.85 2.75
Absolute Gain (mi) # Size Classes in Total Network # Upstream Network Size Classes O NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2)	# Downstream Hydropower Dams 0 # Downstream Dams with Passage 0 # of Downstream Barriers 1 Very High No 9.64 26.51 1.85 2.75
# Size Classes in Total Network 2 # Upstream Network Size Classes 0 NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2)	# Downstream Dams with Passage 0 # of Downstream Barriers 1 Very High No 9.64 26.51 1.85 2.75
# Upstream Network Size Classes 0 NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2)	# of Downstream Barriers 1 Very High No 9.64 26.51 1.85 2.75
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2)	Very High No 9.64 26.51 1.85 2.75
Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2)	No 9.64 26.51 1.85 2.75
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2)	9.64 26.51 1.85 2.75
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2)	26.51 1.85 2.75
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2)	1.85 n2) 2.75
	n2) 2.75
Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/n	
	(#/m2)
Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed	(#/m2) 0
Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watersl	ned (#/m2) 0.13
Diadrom	ous Fish
Downstream Alewife Historical D	ownstream Striped Bass None Documented
Downstream Blueback Historical D	ownstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented
Downstream American Shad None Documented D	ownstream Shortnose Sturgeon None Documented
Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented D	ownstream American Eel Current
Presence of 1 or More Downstream Anadromous Species H	istorical
# Diadromous Species Downstream (incl eel) 1	
Resident Fish	Stream Health
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health VERY_POO
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health Fair
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment No	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health Poor
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health Poor
Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 52	VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health N/A
# Rare Fish (HUC8)	PA IBI Stream Health N/A
# Rare Mussel (HUC8) 0	
# Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0	

