Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_12092 CLINTON REGIONAL PARK DAM

4

Diadromous Tier

Brook Trout Tier N/A

Resident Tier 8

NID ID MD00064 State ID 12092

River Name Butler Branch

Dam Height (ft) 28

Dam Type Earth

Latitude 38.735

Longitude -76.9154

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

HUC 12 Piscataway Creek

HUC 10 Cameron Run-Potomac River

HUC 8 Middle Potomac-Anacostia-Occ

HUC 6 Potomac







	Land	cover		
NLCD (2011)	Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)			
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	11.67	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	64.28	
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	41.68	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	50.22	
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	38.85	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	20.21	
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	13.6	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	16.85	
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	42.05	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.23	
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	49.05	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.2	
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	40	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	3.57	
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	22.04	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	6.37	
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.96	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	10.13	
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	1.78	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	13.38	
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	13.49			
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	18.92			



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_12092 CLINTON REGIONAL PARK DAM

CHALLE ID. MID_12092 CHILLON	REGIONAL P	ANN DAIVI			
Netw	ork, System	Type and Condit	ion		
Functional Upstream Network (mi) 3.45		Upstrea	Upstream Size Class Gain (#)		
Total Functional Network (mi) 598.06		# Downsteam Natural Barriers		ers	0
Absolute Gain (mi) 3.45		# Downs	stream Hydropowe	r Dams	0
# Size Classes in Total Network 4		# Downs	stream Dams with F	Passage	0
# Upstream Network Size Classes 1		# of Dov	# of Downstream Barriers		0
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index			Not Scored / Unava	ailable at thi	is scale
Dam is on Conserved Land			Yes		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream		34.68			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstrea	am Network		33.15		
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Water	ershed (#/m	2)	0.16		
Density of Crossings in Downstream Network W	-		1.72		
Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Netwo	ork Watersh	red (#/m2)	0		
Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Ne	twork Wate	rshed (#/m2)	0		
	D'ada	et d			
Downstream Alewife Current	Diadro	mous Fish	riped Dass	None Docu	ımantaa
	Current		'		
Downstream Blueback Current	Current		Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Do		umented
Downstream American Shad None Documen	None Documented		Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon None Doo		umented
Downstream Hickory Shad None Document	ted	Downstream Ar	Oownstream American Eel C		
Presence of 1 or More Downstream Anadromo	us Species	Current			
# Diadromous Species Downstream (incl eel)		3			
Resident Fish			Strea	m Health	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment N		Chesapea	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POOR		
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		MD MBSS	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health Po		
barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchinent (Dewebe	-		beneme ibi seream		
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment	No	MD MBSS	Fish IBI Stream He		Poor
·				alth	Poor Poor
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment		MD MBSS	Fish IBI Stream He	alth am Health	
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeW	/eber) No	MD MBSS VA INSTAI	Fish IBI Stream He Combined IBI Stre	alth am Health	Poor
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeW Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8)	/eber) No	MD MBSS VA INSTAI	Fish IBI Stream He Combined IBI Stream R mIBI Stream Heal	alth am Health	Poor N/A

