Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_MDE389 Emergency Mang Institute

Diadromous Tier 18

Brook Trout Tier N/A

Resident Tier 6

NID ID

State ID MDE389

River Name Toms Creek

Dam Height (ft) 0

Dam Type

Latitude 0

Longitude 0

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 2: Small River (38.61 - 200 sq mi

HUC 12 Lower Toms Creek

HUC 10 Toms Creek

HUC 8 Monocacy

HUC 6 Potomac

HUC 4 Potomac







	Land	cover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	1.93	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	62.88
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	70.53	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	50.17
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	69.52	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	32.01
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	14.99	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	39.72
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	54.1	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.58
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	43.71	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.35
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	50.75	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.51
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	30.17	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.96
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	30.42	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.68
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	38.99	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	3.66
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	2.41		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	3.98		



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_MDE389 Emergency Mang Institute

	Network Sv					
	recevore, sy	stem	Type and Condition	n		
Functional Upstream Network (m	i) 45.35		Upstream Size Class Gain (#))	0
Total Functional Network (mi)	2957.76		# Downsteam Natural Barriers		ers	1
Absolute Gain (mi)	45.35		# Downstro	# Downstream Hydropower Dams		0
# Size Classes in Total Network	7		# Downstro	# Downstream Dams with Passage		1
# Upstream Network Size Classes	3		# of Downs	# of Downstream Barriers		2
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance In	ndex		Hi	gh		
Dam is on Conserved Land			No	0		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network			9.	24		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network			19	9.33		
Density of Crossings in Upstream	Network Watershed	(#/m	2) 1	22		
Density of Crossings in Downstrea	am Network Watersh	ed (#	/m2) 1.:	35		
Density of off-channel dams in Up	ostream Network Wa	tersh	ed (#/m2) 0			
Density of off-channel dams in Do	ownstream Network '	Wate	rshed (#/m2) 0			
	D	iadro	mous Fish			
Downstream Alewife No.	one Documented		Downstream Strip	ownstream Striped Bass None Doo		
Downstream Blueback No.	lueback None Documented			Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Doc		
Downstream American Shad No	one Documented		Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon None Do			umented
Downstream Hickory Shad No	one Documented		Downstream Ame	Oownstream American Eel Current		
Presence of 1 or More Downstre	am Anadromous Spe	cies	None Docume			
# Diadromous Species Downstrea	am (incl eel)		1			
Resident Fish			Stream Health			
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No		No	Chesapeake	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health VERY_POOR		
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		No	MD MBSS Be	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health		Poor
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Ye		Yes	MD MBSS Fi	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health		Fair
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Yes		Yes	MD MBSS Co	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health		Fair
Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 36			VA INSTAR n	VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health		N/A
# Rare Fish (HUC8)		0	PA IBI Strear	PA IBI Stream Health		Fair
# Rare Mussel (HUC8)		3				

