Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP_932 unknown

Diadromous Tier 18

Brook Trout Tier N/A

Resident Tier 14

NID ID State ID

River Name

Dam Height (ft) 0

Dam Type

Latitude 38.8941 Longitude -77.815

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

HUC 12 Cromwells Run

HUC 10 Upper Goose Creek

HUC 8 Middle Potomac-Catoctin

HUC 6 Potomac







	Land	cover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage	e Area 0	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	44.9	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	59.75
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	44.9	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	55.1	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	37.32
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Netwo	ork 0	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Ne	twork 46.04	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.02
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Networ	rk 0	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Netv	work 43.5	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.78
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Net	twork 0	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream	Network 47.41	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.01
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Netv	work 0		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream N	letwork 0.49		



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP_932 unknown

	Network, Sy	/stem	Type an	d Cond	dition			
Functional Upstream Network (mi) 0.42			Upstream Size Class Gain (#)			‡)	0	
Total Functional Network (mi) 797.4			# Downsteam Natural Barriers			ers	1	
Absolute Gain (mi) 0.42				# Downstream Hydropower Dams			0	
# Size Classes in Total Network 4				# Downstream Dams with Passage			1	
# Upstream Network Size Classes 0				# of Downstream Barriers			4	
NFHAP Cumulative Disturband	ce Index				High			
Dam is on Conserved Land					Yes			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network					92.28			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network			(38.26			
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m			12)		0			
Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/			‡/m2)		1.27			
Density of off-channel dams in	n Upstream Network Wa	atersh	ned (#/m	2)	0			
Density of off-channel dams in	n Downstream Network	Wate	ershed (#	/m2)	0			
		Diadro	omous Fi					
Downstream Alewife	None Documented		Downstream Striped Bass			None Documented		
Downstream Blueback	None Documented		Downs	tream	Atlantic Sturgeon	None Doc	umented	
Downstream American Shad	None Documented		Downs	tream	Shortnose Sturgeon	None Doc	umented	
Downstream Hickory Shad	ownstream Hickory Shad None Documented		Downs	Downstream American Eel N			None Documented	
Presence of 1 or More Downs	stream Anadromous Spe	cies	None D	ocum	e			
# Diadromous Species Downs	tream (incl eel)		0					
<u>'</u>								
Reside	ent Fish				Strea	m Health		
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment		No	С	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health GOOD			GOOD	
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		No	N	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health			N/A	
	Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment		Ν	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health			N/A	
			_ n	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health N/A			N/A	
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch		No	IV.	10 1110				
	Catchment (DeWeber)	No 51			ΓAR mIBI Stream Heal	th	Moderate	
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT	Catchment (DeWeber)		V	A INST	ΓAR mIBI Stream Heal Stream Health	th	Moderate N/A	
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Native Fish Species Richness (Catchment (DeWeber)	51	V	A INST		th		

