Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: PA_58-125 LAKE TIMBERLINE

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 9
Bay-wide Resident Tier 5

Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A

NID ID PA00977 State ID 58-125

River Name Choconut Creek

Dam Height (ft) 14

Dam Type Earth

Latitude 41.9166

Longitude -75.976

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

HUC 12 Upper Chocohut Creek

HUC 10 Choconut Creek-Susquehanna Ri

HUC 8 Owego-Wappasening
HUC 6 Upper Susquehanna

HUC 4 Susquehanna







Landcover			
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.2	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	39.81
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	67.71	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	54.16
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	61.8	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	21.38
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	28.83	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	33.75
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	73.77	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	57.7	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.51
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	41.31	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	2.82
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	44.4	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	2
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	14.1	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	3.89
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	27.91	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	3.88
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	1.23		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	3.93		



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: PA 58-125 LAKE TIMBERLINE Network, System Type and Condition Functional Upstream Network (mi) 1.26 Upstream Size Class Gain (#) O Total Functional Network (mi) 7073.8 # Downsteam Natural Barriers 0 Absolute Gain (mi) 1.26 Δ # Downstream Hydropower Dams # Size Classes in Total Network 7 # Downstream Dams with Passage 5 # Upstream Network Size Classes # of Downstream Barriers 1 NEHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Moderate Dam is on Conserved Land Nο % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 6.98 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.32 Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.98 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.01 Diadromous Fish Downstream Alewife Historical None Documented Downstream Striped Bass Downstream Blueback Historical Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented Downstream American Shad None Documented None Documented Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented Downstream American Eel Current One or More DS Anadromous Species Historical # Diadromous Sp Dnstrm (incl eel) Resident Fish and Rare Species Stream Health Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health FAIR Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Yes MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Yes MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health N/A Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 33 VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health N/A # Rare Fish (HUC8) 1 PA IBI Stream Health Good # Rare Mussel (HUC8) 3 # Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0 Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp HUC12 Rare fish or mussel sp in HUC12 No No



Yes

Rare fish or mussel in upstream or

downstream functional network

Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp in

upstream or downstream functional network

Yes