Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_75 LOWER ROSEGILL LAKE DAM

Bav-wide Diadromous Tier 3 Bay-wide Resident Tier Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A NID ID VA11912 State ID 75 River Name 7 Dam Height (ft) Dam Type Gravity Latitude 37.6337 Longitude -76.5585

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class

1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

HUC 12

Lagrange Creek-Rappahannock

HUC 10

Lancaster Creek-Rappahannock

HUC 8

Lower Rappahannock

HUC 8 Lower Rappahannock
HUC 6 Lower Chesapeake
HUC 4 Lower Chesapeake







	Land	lcover			
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)			
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.59	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	54.16		
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	75	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	42.04		
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	40.18	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	24.48		
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	20.91	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	16.61		
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	71.88	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0		
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	80.14	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.33		
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	33.42	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.03		
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	24.16	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.05		
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	28.12	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0		
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	8.74	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.11		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0				
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	1.3				



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_75 LOWER ROSEGILL LAKE DAM

N	letwork, System	Type and Con	dition		
Functional Upstream Network (mi) 0.46		Upstr	Upstream Size Class Gain (#)		0
Total Functional Network (mi) 13	3.75	# Dov	# Downsteam Natural Barriers		0
Absolute Gain (mi) 0).46	# Dov	# Downstream Hydropower Dams		0
# Size Classes in Total Network	1	# Dov	# Downstream Dams with Passage		0
# Upstream Network Size Classes NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index	0	# of D	Oownstream Barriers		0
Dam is on Conserved Land			No		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstre		0			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downs	<	0			
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network \	Watershed (#/m	12)	2.37		
Density of Crossings in Downstream Netwo	rk Watershed (‡	#/m2)	0.01		
Density of off-channel dams in Upstream N	etwork Watersh	ned (#/m2)	0		
Density of off-channel dams in Downstrean	า Network Wate	ershed (#/m2)	0		
	D'. 1.	et al			
Downstream Alewife Current	Diadro	omous Fish	Stringd Rass	None Doc	umented
		'			
Downstream Blueback Current			Atlantic Sturgeon	None Doc	
Downstream American Shad None Docur	nented	Downstream	Shortnose Sturgeon	None Doc	umented
Downstream Hickory Shad None Docur	nented	Downstream	American Eel	Current	
Presence of 1 or More Downstream Anadro	omous Species	Current			
# Diadromous Species Downstream (incl ee	21)	3			
Resident Fish			Strea	m Health	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No		Chesap	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health FAIR		FAIR
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No.		MD ME	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/		N/A
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment No		MD ME	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health		N/A
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment			MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health		N/A
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (I	DeWeber) No	MD ME	BSS Combined IBI Stre	атт пеант	14/ /~
	DeWeber) No 58		3SS Combined IBI Stre TAR mIBI Stream Heal		High
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (I	•	VA INS			
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (I Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8)	58	VA INS	TAR mIBI Stream Heal		High

