## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP\_905 unknown

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 17
Bay-wide Resident Tier 16

Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A

NID ID
State ID

River Name

Dam Height (ft) 0

Dam Type

Latitude 39.0188 Longitude -77.3752

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

HUC 12 Sugarland Run

HUC 10 Broad Run-Potomac River

HUC 8 Middle Potomac-Catoctin

HUC 6 Potomac HUC 4 Potomac







	Land	dcover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	50.42	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	3.75	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	50.17
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	3.75	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	0	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	39.72
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	43.71	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.35
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	30.17	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.96
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	38.99	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	3.66
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	3.98		



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP\_905 unknown

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP_905	5 unknown		
	Network, Sy	/stem	Type and Condition
Functional Upstream Network	(mi) 0.05		Upstream Size Class Gain (#) 0
Total Functional Network (mi)	2912.45		# Downsteam Natural Barriers 1
Absolute Gain (mi)	0.05		# Downstream Hydropower Dams 0
# Size Classes in Total Networ	k 7		# Downstream Dams with Passage 1
# Upstream Network Size Clas	sses 0		# of Downstream Barriers 2
NFHAP Cumulative Disturband	ce Index		Very High
Dam is on Conserved Land			No
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	ıffer of Upstream Netwo	ork	0
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network			19.33
Density of Crossings in Upstre	am Network Watershed	l (#/m	0
Density of Crossings in Downs	tream Network Watersh	hed (#	#/m2) 1.35
Density of off-channel dams in	າ Upstream Network Wa	atersh	ned (#/m2) 0
Density of off-channel dams in	n Downstream Network	Wate	ershed (#/m2) 0
		Diadro	omous Fish
Downstream Alewife	Historical		Downstream Striped Bass None Documented
Downstream Blueback	Potential Current		Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented
Downstream American Shad	None Documented		Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon None Documented
Downstream Hickory Shad	None Documented		Downstream American Eel Current
Presence of 1 or More Downs	stream Anadromous Spe	ecies	Potential Curre
# Diadromous Species Downs	tream (incl eel)		1
Reside	ent Fish		Stream Health
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No		No	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health VERY_POOR
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		No	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health Very Poor
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Ye		Yes	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health Poor
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Yes		Yes	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health Poor
Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 51		51	VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health Moderate
# Rare Fish (HUC8)	•	0	PA IBI Stream Health N/A
# Rare Mussel (HUC8)		4	
# Rare Crayfish (HUC8)		0	
, (11000)		•	

