Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_1006 COSBY DAM

12

Brook Trout Tier N/A

Diadromous Tier

State ID

Resident Tier 10

NID ID VA04105

River Name Kingsland Creek

1006

Dam Height (ft) 15

Dam Type Gravity
Latitude 37.4149

Longitude -77.5019

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

HUC 12 Proctors Creek-James River

HUC 10 Falling Creek-James River

HUC 8 Lower James

HUC 6 James

HUC 4 Lower Chesapeake







Landcover								
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)						
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	7.46	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	62.36					
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	63.88	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	63.85					
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	43.04	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	22					
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	3.21	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	23.03					
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	67.43	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0					
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	60.48	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.06					
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	42.96	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	5.07					
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	38.93	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	4.1					
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.98	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	5.84					
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	4.59	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	7.63					
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	7.51							
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	8.24							



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_1006 COSBY DAM

	Network, S	ystem	Type and Conditio	n		
Functional Upstream Network	nctional Upstream Network (mi) 3.31		Upstream Size Class Gain (#)		ŧ)	0
Total Functional Network (mi)	19.36		# Downsteam Natural Barri		ers	0
Absolute Gain (mi)	3.31		# Downstream Hydropower I		r Dams	0
# Size Classes in Total Networ	k 2		# Downstr	ream Dams with F	Passage	0
# Upstream Network Size Clas	sses 1		# of Downstream Barriers			1
NFHAP Cumulative Disturband	ce Index		V	ery High		
Dam is on Conserved Land			N	lo		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network			0			
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	uffer of Downstream Ne	etwork	5	.59		
Density of Crossings in Upstre	am Network Watershee	d (#/m	2) 0	.39		
Density of Crossings in Downs	tream Network Waters	shed (#	t/m2) 1	.27		
Density of off-channel dams in	n Upstream Network W	atersh	ed (#/m2) 0			
Density of off-channel dams in	n Downstream Network	k Wate	rshed (#/m2) 0			
		Diadro	mous Fish			
Downstream Alewife	Historical		Downstream Striped Bass		None Documented	
Downstream Blueback	Historical		Downstream Atla	ownstream Atlantic Sturgeon		umented
Downstream American Shad	None Documented		Downstream Sho	wnstream Shortnose Sturgeon		umented
Downstream Hickory Shad	None Documented		Downstream Ame	erican Eel	Current	
Presence of 1 or More Downs	stream Anadromous Sp	ecies	Historical			
# Diadromous Species Downs	tream (incl eel)		1			
Reside	ent Fish			Strea	m Health	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No		No	Chesapeake	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POOR		POOR
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		No	MD MBSS B	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A		N/A
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment No.		No	MD MBSS F	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health		N/A
	Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No		MD MBSS C	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health		N/A
3arrier Blocks a Modeled BKT	Catchment (DeWeber)			VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health		
	,	62	VA INSTAR	mIBI Stream Heal	th	Very High
Native Fish Species Richness (,	62 2	VA INSTAR		th	Very High
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Native Fish Species Richness (# Rare Fish (HUC8) # Rare Mussel (HUC8)	,				th	, .

