Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

Chesapeake Hish Lass							
CFPPP Unique ID:	VA_557	ELLIOTTS DAM					
Diadromous Tier	1						
Brook Trout Tier	N/A						
Resident Tier	1						
NID ID	VA03318						
State ID	557						
River Name	Maracossic Creek						
Dam Height (ft)	20						
Dam Type	Gravity						
Latitude	38.0351						
Longitude	-77.313						
Passage Facilities	None Documente	ed					
Passage Year	N/A						
Size Class	1b: Creek (3.861	- 38.61 sq mi)					
HUC 12	Jacks Creek-Mara	acossic Creek					
HUC 10	Maracossic Creek	<					
HUC 8	Mattaponi						
HUC 6	Lower Chesapeal	ке					
HUC 4	Lower Chesapeal	ce					



Landcover									
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)							
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	2.76	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	84.97						
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	76.02	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	81.81						
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	55.24	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	3.75						
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	8.55	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	10.66						
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	94.01	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.09						
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	86.69	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.32						
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	58.27	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.9						
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	38.6	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.49						
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.16	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.52						
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	9.76	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.52						
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0.99								
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	0.44								



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_557 ELLIOTTS DAM

Functional Upstream Network (mi) 14.95 Total Functional Network (mi) 1703.92 Absolute Gain (mi) 14.95 # Size Classes in Total Network 4 # Upstream Network Size Classes 2 NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Now Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Wate	Network m Network ershed (#/m	# Do # Do # Do # of	eream Size Class Gain (# ownsteam Natural Barri ownstream Hydropowe ownstream Dams with F Downstream Barriers Moderate No 51.46	ers r Dams	0 0 0 0	
Total Functional Network (mi) Absolute Gain (mi) # Size Classes in Total Network # Upstream Network Size Classes NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream N % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Wate	m Network	# Do # Do # Do # of	ownsteam Natural Barri ownstream Hydropowe ownstream Dams with F Downstream Barriers Moderate No 51.46	ers r Dams	0 0 0	
Absolute Gain (mi) # Size Classes in Total Network # Upstream Network Size Classes 2 NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream N % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstread Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Wate	m Network	# Do # Do # of	ownstream Hydropowe ownstream Dams with F Downstream Barriers Moderate No 51.46	r Dams	0	
# Size Classes in Total Network 4 # Upstream Network Size Classes 2 NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream N % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstread Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Wate	m Network	# Do # of	ownstream Dams with F Downstream Barriers Moderate No 51.46		0	
# Upstream Network Size Classes 2 NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream N % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstread Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Wate	m Network	# of	Downstream Barriers Moderate No 51.46	Passage	-	
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream N % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstread Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Wate	m Network	K	Moderate No 51.46		0	
Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream N % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Wate	m Network		No 51.46			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream N % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstread Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Wate	m Network		51.46			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstread Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Wate	m Network					
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Wate	ershed (#/m		6.56			
,		2)		ork 6.56		
Described Constitution of Description and Market and Ma	_	12)	0.84			
Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Wa	•		0.64			
sity of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2)						
Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Net	work Wate	ershed (#/m2)) 0			
	Diadro	omous Fish				
ownstream Alewife Current		Downstream Striped Bass None Doo		umented		
Downstream Blueback Current Downstream American Shad None Documented Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented		Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Doc Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon None Doc Downstream American Eel Current				
				Presence of 1 or More Downstream Anadromou	us Species	Current
# Diadromous Species Downstream (incl eel)		3				
Resident Fish			Strea	m Health		
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) # Rare Fish (HUC8)		Chesa	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health FAIR		FAIR	
		MDN	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N		N/A	
		MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health		N/A		
		MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health		N/A		
		VA IN	STAR mIBI Stream Heal	th	Outstanding	
		PA IBI	Stream Health		N/A	
# Rare Crayfish (HUC8)	0					

