Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_1250 LOWER OCCOQUAN

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 1
Bay-wide Resident Tier 3

Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A

NID ID VA15305

State ID 1250

River Name Occoquan River

Dam Height (ft) 23

Dam Type Gravity

Latitude 38.6895

Longitude -77.269

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 3a: Medium Tributary River (200

HUC 12 Belmont Bay-Occoquan River

HUC 10 Occoquan River-Potomac River

HUC 8 Middle Potomac-Anacostia-Occ

HUC 6 Potomac

HUC 4 Potomac







Landcover							
NLCD (2011)	Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)						
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	6.94	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	80.02				
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	48.1	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	38.59				
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	38.46	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	8.13				
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	24.33	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	9.79				
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	84.38	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0				
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	76.01	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.43				
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	68.35	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.9				
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	16.8	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	2.69				
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.25	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	3.17				
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	5.31	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	5.6				
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	1.54						
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	7.05						



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_1250 LOWER OCCOQUAN

COVER CONTROL OF COVER	COCCOQUAIN					
Ne	etwork, System	Type and Con	dition			
Functional Upstream Network (mi) 5.	15	Upstream Size Class Gain (#)		!)	0	
Total Functional Network (mi) 137.	95	# Downsteam Natural Barriers		ers	0	
Absolute Gain (mi) 5.	15	# Dow	# Downstream Hydropower Dams		0	
# Size Classes in Total Network	3	# Dow	# Downstream Dams with Passage		0	
# Upstream Network Size Classes	2	# of D	# of Downstream Barriers		0	
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index			Not Scored / Unav	ailable at th	nis scale	
Dam is on Conserved Land			No			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstrea		0				
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downs	tream Network		35.54			
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network W	12)	1.06				
Density of Crossings in Downstream Networ	•		1.5			
Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Ne			0			
Density of off-channel dams in Downstream	Network Wate	ershed (#/m2)	0			
	Diadro	omous Fish				
Downstream Alewife Current	Current		ownstream Striped Bass None D		umented	
Downstream Blueback Current	Current		Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Doo		umented	
Downstream American Shad Current		Downstream	Shortnose Sturgeon	None Doc	umented	
Downstream Hickory Shad Current		Downstream	American Eel	Current		
Presence of 1 or More Downstream Anadro	mous Species	Current				
# Diadromous Species Downstream (incl eel	1)	5				
Resident Fish			Stream Health			
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment N		Chesap	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health FAIR			
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) N		MD ME	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health Fair		Fair	
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment No		MD ME	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health F		Fair	
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No		MD ME	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health Fair		Fair	
Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 62		VA INST	VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health		Very High	
# Rare Fish (HUC8)		PA IBI S	PA IBI Stream Health		N/A	
# Rare Mussel (HUC8)	5					
# Rare Crayfish (HUC8)	0					

