## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

	Chesapeake Fish Fass					
CFPPP Unique ID:	CFPPP_342 unknown					
Diadromous Tier	10					
Brook Trout Tier	N/A					
Resident Tier	14					
NID ID						
State ID						
River Name						
Dam Height (ft)	0					
Dam Type						
Latitude	37.5975					
Longitude	-77.8563					
Passage Facilities	None Documented					
Passage Year	N/A					
Size Class	1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)					
HUC 12	Fine Creek-James River					
HUC 10	Tuckahoe Creek-James River					
HUC 8	Middle James-Willis					
HUC 6	James					
HUC 4	Lower Chesapeake					



	Land	cover			
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)			
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0		
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	72.6	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	79.1		
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	71.53	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0		
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	27.4	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	15.73		
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0		
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	79.33	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.1		
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0		
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	65.28	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.6		
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0		
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	16.03	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.78		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0				
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	0.71				



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP\_342 unknown

	Network, S	ystem	Type and Condi	ition		
Functional Upstream Network	(mi) 0.05		Upstrea	Upstream Size Class Gain (#)		
Total Functional Network (mi) 5431.07			# Dowr	nsteam Natural Barri	ers	0
Absolute Gain (mi) 0.05			# Downstream Hydropower Dams			2
# Size Classes in Total Networl	k 6		# Dowr	nstream Dams with F	assage	4
# Upstream Network Size Classes 0		# of Downstream Barriers			4	
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbanc	e Index			High		
Dam is on Conserved Land				No		
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	ffer of Upstream Netw	ork		0		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network			11.23			
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m		12)	0			
Density of Crossings in Downs	tream Network Waters	shed (#	‡/m2)	0.84		
Density of off-channel dams in	ı Upstream Network W	atersh'	ned (#/m2)	0		
Density of off-channel dams in	ı Downstream Network	k Wate	ershed (#/m2)	0		
		Diadro	omous Fish			
Downstream Alewife	Oownstream Alewife Potential Current		Downstream Striped Bass None Do			umented
Downstream Blueback	lueback Potential Current		Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Do			umented
Downstream American Shad	None Documented		Downstream S	hortnose Sturgeon	None Doc	umented
Downstream Hickory Shad	tream Hickory Shad None Documented		Downstream American Eel Current		Current	
Presence of 1 or More Downs	tream Anadromous Sp	ecies	Potential Curre	2		
# Diadromous Species Downs	tream (incl eel)		1			
Reside	nt Fish			Strea	m Health	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment		No	Chesape	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POOR		
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		No	MD MBS	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A		
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Yo		Yes	MD MBS	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health N/A		
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) N		No	MD MBS	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health N/A		
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT	Catchinent (Deweber)			o combined ibi on c	VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health	
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Native Fish Species Richness (		51	VA INSTA		th	Very High
		51 0			th	Very High
Native Fish Species Richness (				AR mIBI Stream Heal	th	, -

