Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP_946 unknown Bav-wide Diadromous Tier 10 10 Bay-wide Resident Tier Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A NID ID State ID River Name Dam Height (ft) Dam Type Latitude 40.1022 Longitude -78.5659 Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)
HUC 12 Georges Creek-Dunning Creek

HUC 10 Dunning Creek

HUC 8 Raystown

HUC 6 Lower Susquehanna

HUC 4 Susquehanna







| Landcover | | | |
|--|-------|--|-------|
| NLCD (2011) | | Chesapeake Conservancy (2016) | |
| % Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area | 0.43 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 89.99 |
| % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area | 68.72 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 58.94 |
| % Forested in Upstream Drainage Area | 68.72 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 7.9 |
| % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area | 24.79 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 29.57 |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 94.21 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 66.7 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.25 |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 94.21 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network | 0.45 |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 57.52 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | 1.14 |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 2.13 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network | 0.32 |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 23.08 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | 1.41 |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network | 0.12 | | |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network | 1.58 | | |



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP 946 unknown Network, System Type and Condition Functional Upstream Network (mi) Upstream Size Class Gain (#) O 0.62 Total Functional Network (mi) 1692.15 # Downsteam Natural Barriers 0 Absolute Gain (mi) 0.62 Δ # Downstream Hydropower Dams # Size Classes in Total Network 4 # Downstream Dams with Passage 5 # Upstream Network Size Classes # of Downstream Barriers 1 NEHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index High Dam is on Conserved Land Nο % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network \cap % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 9.8 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 1.25 Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 1.41 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Λ Diadromous Fish Downstream Alewife Historical **Downstream Striped Bass** None Documented Downstream Blueback Historical Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented Downstream American Shad None Documented None Documented Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon Downstream American Eel None Documented Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented One or More DS Anadromous Species Historical # Diadromous Sp Dnstrm (incl eel) Resident Fish and Rare Species Stream Health Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No NO_SCORE Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Yes MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Yes MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health N/A Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 29 VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health N/A 0 # Rare Fish (HUC8) PA IBI Stream Health Poor # Rare Mussel (HUC8) 1 # Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0 Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp HUC12 Rare fish or mussel sp in HUC12 Nο Nο Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp in Rare fish or mussel in upstream or No No downstream functional network upstream or downstream functional network

