Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 17
Bay-wide Resident Tier 3
Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier 7

NID ID

State ID 17-101

River Name Upper Three Runs

Dam Height (ft) 4

Dam Type Concrete
Latitude 41.2026
Longitude -78.1208

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1b: Creek (3.861 - 38.61 sq mi)

HUC 12 Upper Three Runs

HUC 10 Lower West Branch Susquehann

HUC 8 Upper West Branch Susquehann

HUC 6 West Branch Susquehanna

HUC 4 Susquehanna







	Lanc	lcover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	98.68
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	100	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	87.15
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	93.62	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	1.11
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	0	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	8.23
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	100	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	93	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.23
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	98.7	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.04
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	84.61	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.56
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.01
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	2.11	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.82
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0.01		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	0.66		



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: PA_17-101 QUEHANNA

Network, System Type and Condition Functional Upstream Network (mi) 5.86 Upstream Size Class Total Functional Network (mi) 3039.69 # Downsteam Natu Absolute Gain (mi) 5.86 # Downstream Hyd # Size Classes in Total Network 5 # Downstream Dan # Upstream Network Size Classes 1 # of Downstream B NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Very Low Dam is on Conserved Land Yes % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 99.26 % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 50.93 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.42	ral Barriers 0 ropower Dams 4 ns with Passage 6
Total Functional Network (mi) 3039.69 # Downsteam Natural Absolute Gain (mi) 5.86 # Downstream Hydright # Size Classes in Total Network 5 # Downstream Dam # Upstream Network Size Classes 1 # of Downstream B NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Very Low Dam is on Conserved Land Yes % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 99.26 % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 50.93	ral Barriers 0 ropower Dams 4 ns with Passage 6
Absolute Gain (mi) # Size Classes in Total Network # Upstream Network Size Classes NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land # Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 5	ropower Dams 4 ns with Passage 6
# Size Classes in Total Network 5 # Downstream Dam # Upstream Network Size Classes 1 # of Downstream B NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Very Low Dam is on Conserved Land Yes % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 99.26 % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 50.93	ns with Passage 6
# Upstream Network Size Classes 1 # of Downstream B NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Very Low Dam is on Conserved Land Yes % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 99.26 % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 50.93	
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land Yes Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 50.93	arriers 8
Dam is on Conserved Land Yes Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 50.93	
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 99.26 % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 50.93	
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 50.93	
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.42	
Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.55	
Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0	
Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0	
Diadromous Fish	
Downstream Alewife None Documented Downstream Striped Bass	None Documented
Downstream Blueback None Documented Downstream Atlantic Sturg	geon None Documented
Downstream American Shad None Documented Downstream Shortnose Str	urgeon None Documented
Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented Downstream American Eel	Current
Presence of 1 or More Downstream Anadromous Species None Docume	
# Diadromous Species Downstream (incl eel) 1	
Resident Fish	Stream Health
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment Yes Chesapeake Bay Prog	gram Stream Health VERY_POOF
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Yes MD MBSS Benthic IB	I Stream Health N/A
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment No MD MBSS Fish IBI Str	ream Health N/A
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Combined	IBI Stream Health N/A
Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 29 VA INSTAR mIBI Stream	am Health N/A
# Rare Fish (HUC8) 1 PA IBI Stream Health	Poor
# Rare Mussel (HUC8) 1	
# Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0	

