Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

| | Cilesapeake Fish Fassa | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| CFPPP Unique ID: | CFPPP_250 | unknown | | | |
| Diadromous Tier | | 10 | | | |
| Brook Trout Tier | N/A | | | | |
| Resident Tier | | 16 | | | |
| NID ID | | | | | |
| State ID | | | | | |
| River Name | | | | | |
| Dam Height (ft) | 0 | | | | |
| Dam Type | | | | | |
| Latitude | 37.9078 | | | | |
| Longitude | -78.8687 | | | | |
| Passage Facilities | None Docun | nented | | | |
| Passage Year | N/A | | | | |
| Size Class | 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi) | | | | |
| HUC 12 | South Fork Rockfish River | | | | |
| HUC 10 | Upper Rockfish River | | | | |
| HUC 8 | Middle Jame | es-Buffalo | | | |
| HUC 6 | James | | | | |
| HUC 4 | Lower Chesa | apeake | | | |



| Landcover | | | | | | |
|--|-------|--|-------|--|--|--|
| NLCD (2011) | | Chesapeake Conservancy (2016) | | | | |
| % Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area | 0.48 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 92.18 | | | |
| % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area | 91.42 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 63.17 | | | |
| % Forested in Upstream Drainage Area 89.44 | | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | | | | |
| % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area | 2.32 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 11.5 | | | |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 88.84 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 | | | |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 60.29 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 0 | | | |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 84.6 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network | 0.52 | | | |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 33.82 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | 1.46 | | | |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 2.46 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network | 0.96 | | | |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 0 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | 2.94 | | | |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network | 0.3 | | | | | |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network | 3.07 | | | | | |



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP_250 unknown

| | Network, Syst | em Type | and Cond | ition | | | |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--|
| Functional Upstream Network (m | ni) 1.42 | | Upstre | am Size Class Gain (‡ | ŧ) | 1 | |
| Total Functional Network (mi) 1.64 | | | # Downsteam Natural Barriers | | ers | 0 | |
| Absolute Gain (mi) 0.23 | | | # Downstream Hydropower Dams | | | 4 | |
| # Size Classes in Total Network | 1 | | # Dowr | nstream Dams with F | Passage | 4 | |
| # Upstream Network Size Classes | 1 | | # of Do | wnstream Barriers | | 8 | |
| NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index | | | | Very High | | | |
| Dam is on Conserved Land | | | | No | | | |
| % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer | r of Upstream Network | < | | 0 | | | |
| % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/n Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/n) | | | | 0 | | | |
| | | | | 6.12 | | | |
| | | | | 12.97 | | | |
| Density of off-channel dams in Up | ostream Network Wate | ershed (# | /m2) | 0 | | | |
| Density of off-channel dams in Do | ownstream Network W | /atershed | d (#/m2) | 0 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Daywashnaana Alawifa | | ndromous | | Stational Dans | Nana Daa | | |
| | istorical | | Downstream Striped Bass None Docu | | | | |
| Downstream Blueback Historical Downstream American Shad None Documented | | Dow | Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Doo | | umented | | |
| | | Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon None Doo | | umented | | | |
| Downstream Hickory Shad N | one Documented | Dow | Downstream American Eel None Do | | None Doc | cumented | |
| Presence of 1 or More Downstream Anadromous Spec # Diadromous Species Downstream (incl eel) | | es Hist | orical | | | | |
| | | 0 | | | | | |
| | Resident Fish | | | | | | |
| Resident I | Fish | | | Strea | m Health | | |
| Resident I Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchmen | | lo | Chesape | Strea ake Bay Program Str | | FAIR | |
| | t N | | | | eam Health | FAIR N/A | |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchmen Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchm | t N nent (DeWeber) N | lo | MD MBS | ake Bay Program Str | eam Health Health | | |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchmen Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchm Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchme | t N nent (DeWeber) N nt N | lo lo | MD MBS | ake Bay Program Str SS Benthic IBI Stream | eam Health Health alth | N/A | |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchmen | nent (DeWeber) N nt N tchment (DeWeber) N | lo lo | MD MBS | ake Bay Program Str SS Benthic IBI Stream SS Fish IBI Stream He | eam Health Health alth am Health | N/A N/A N/A | |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchmen Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchme Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchme Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Cat Native Fish Species Richness (HU | nent (DeWeber) N nt N tchment (DeWeber) N | lo lo lo | MD MBS MD MBS VA INSTA | cake Bay Program Str SS Benthic IBI Stream SS Fish IBI Stream He SS Combined IBI Strea | eam Health Health alth am Health | N/A N/A N/A High | |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchmen Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchm Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchme Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Cat | nent (DeWeber) N nt N tchment (DeWeber) N C8) 56 | lo lo lo | MD MBS MD MBS VA INSTA | cake Bay Program Str SS Benthic IBI Stream SS Fish IBI Stream He SS Combined IBI Strea AR mIBI Stream Heal | eam Health Health alth am Health | N/A N/A N/A | |

