Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_12092 CLINTON REGIONAL PARK DAM

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 4
Bay-wide Resident Tier 8

Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A

NID ID MD00064

State ID 12092

River Name Butler Branch

Dam Height (ft) 28

Dam Type Earth
Latitude 38.735

Longitude -76.9154

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

HUC 12 Piscataway Creek

HUC 10 Cameron Run-Potomac River

HUC 8 Middle Potomac-Anacostia-Occ

HUC 6 Potomac HUC 4 Potomac







	Land	cover			
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)			
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	11.67	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	64.28		
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	41.68	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	50.22		
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	38.85	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	20.21		
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	13.6	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	16.85		
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	42.05	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.23		
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	49.05	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.2		
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	40	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	3.57		
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	22.04	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	6.37		
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.96	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	10.13		
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	1.78	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	13.38		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	13.49				
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	18.92				



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_12092 CLINTON REGIONAL PARK DAM

	Network, System	n Type and Co	ndition		
Functional Upstream Network (mi)	3.45	Upst	Upstream Size Class Gain (#)		0
Total Functional Network (mi)	598.06	# Downsteam Natural Barriers		iers	0
Absolute Gain (mi)	3.45	# Do	# Downstream Hydropower Dams		0
# Size Classes in Total Network	4	# Do	# Downstream Dams with Passage		0
# Upstream Network Size Classes	1	# of	# of Downstream Barriers		0
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Ind	lex		Not Scored / Unav	ailable at th	is scale
Dam is on Conserved Land			Yes		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of		34.68			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of	of Downstream Networ	k	33.15		
Density of Crossings in Upstream N	n2)	0.16			
Density of Crossings in Downstrean	n Network Watershed (#/m2)	1.72		
Density of off-channel dams in Ups	tream Network Waters	hed (#/m2)	0		
Density of off-channel dams in Dov	vnstream Network Wat	ershed (#/m2)	0		
	Diada	omous Fish			
Downstream Alewife Cur	rent		n Striped Bass	None Doc	umented
Downstream Blueback Cur	rent				umented
	ne Documented		n Shortnose Sturgeon	None Doc	
					umentet
			n American Eei	Current	
Presence of 1 or More Downstrear	n Anadromous Species	Current			
# Diadromous Species Downstrean	n (incl eel)	3			
Resident Fis	sh		Strea	m Health	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No		Chesa	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POOR		
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) N		NAD NA	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health Po		Poor
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchme	nt (DeWeber) No	IVID IV	ibss belittiit ibi streaii	rrcartii	
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchme Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment			BSS Fish IBI Stream He		Poor
	No	MDM		alth	Poor Poor
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment	No hment (DeWeber) No	MD M	BSS Fish IBI Stream He	alth am Health	
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catch Native Fish Species Richness (HUCS	No hment (DeWeber) No	MD M MD M VA IN:	BSS Fish IBI Stream He	alth am Health	Poor
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catch	No hment (DeWeber) No 62	MD M MD M VA IN:	BSS Fish IBI Stream He BSS Combined IBI Stre TAR mIBI Stream Heal	alth am Health	Poor N/A

