## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

|                           | Cilcoa               | year   | CIIIII     | u330 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--------|------------|------|
| CFPPP Unique ID:          | CFPPP_46             |        | Unknown    |      |
| Bay-wide Diadrom          | nous Tier            | 6      |            |      |
| Bay-wide Resident         | t Tier               | 8      |            |      |
| Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier |                      | N/A    |            |      |
| NID ID                    |                      |        |            |      |
| State ID                  |                      |        |            |      |
| River Name                |                      |        |            |      |
| Dam Height (ft)           | 0                    |        |            |      |
| Dam Type                  |                      |        |            |      |
| Latitude                  | 37.8651              |        |            |      |
| Longitude                 | -78.4344             |        |            |      |
| Passage Facilities        | None Docu            | ımente | ed         |      |
| Passage Year              | N/A                  |        |            |      |
| Size Class                | Size Class 1a: Headw |        |            | ni)  |
| HUC 12                    | Turkey Rur           | n-Hard | ware River |      |
| HUC 10                    | Hardware River       |        |            |      |
| HUC 8                     | Middle James-Buffalo |        |            |      |
| HUC 6                     | James                |        |            |      |
| HUC 4                     | Lower Chesapeake     |        |            |      |







| Landcover  |       |  |       |  |
|--|-------|--|-------|--|
| NLCD (2011)                                      |       | Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)                    |       |  |
| % Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area   | 1.05  | % Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network          | 43.28 |  |
| % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area        | 34.29 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network        | 79.1  |  |
| % Forested in Upstream Drainage Area             | 24.86 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network   | 30.89 |  |
| % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area          | 57.19 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 15.73 |  |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network       | 40    | % Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network        | 0     |  |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network     | 79.33 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network      | 0.1   |  |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network        | 20    | % Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network     | 0     |  |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network      | 65.28 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network   | 0.6   |  |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network   | 60    | % Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network    | 0     |  |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 16.03 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network  | 0.78  |  |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network     | 0     |  |       |  |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network   | 0.71  |  |       |  |



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP 46 Unknown Network, System Type and Condition Functional Upstream Network (mi) Upstream Size Class Gain (#) O 0.17 Total Functional Network (mi) 5431.19 # Downsteam Natural Barriers 0 Absolute Gain (mi) 0.17 2 # Downstream Hydropower Dams # Size Classes in Total Network 6 # Downstream Dams with Passage # Upstream Network Size Classes n # of Downstream Barriers NEHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index High Dam is on Conserved Land Nο % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 11.23 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.84 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2)  $\cap$ Diadromous Fish Downstream Alewife **Potential Current Downstream Striped Bass** None Documented Downstream Blueback **Potential Current** Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented Downstream American Shad None Documented None Documented Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon Downstream American Eel Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented Current One or More DS Anadromous Species Potential Curre # Diadromous Sp Dnstrm (incl eel) Resident Fish and Rare Species Stream Health Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health FAIR Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Yes MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health N/A Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 50 VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health Very High 0 # Rare Fish (HUC8) PA IBI Stream Health N/A # Rare Mussel (HUC8) 4 # Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0 Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp HUC12 Rare fish or mussel sp in HUC12 Yes Yes Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp in Rare fish or mussel in upstream or Yes Yes downstream functional network upstream or downstream functional network

