Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_12143 DAMAZO POND

Diadromous Tier 9

Brook Trout Tier N/A

Resident Tier 11

NID ID MD00164

State ID 12143

River Name

Dam Height (ft) 22

Dam Type Earth

Latitude 39.3626

Longitude -77.6402

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

HUC 12 Piney Run-Potomac River
HUC 10 Piney Run-Potomac River

HUC 8 Middle Potomac-Catoctin

HUC 6 Potomac







	Land	cover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.7	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	25.06
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	34.46	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	50.17
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	29.62	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	55.23
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	60.42	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	39.72
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	42.86	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	43.71	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.35
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	13.93	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.46
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	30.17	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.96
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	57.14	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.59
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	38.99	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	3.66
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	3.98		



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_12143 DAMAZO POND

_						
	Network, Sy	stem	Type and Condi	tion		
Functional Upstream Network	ctional Upstream Network (mi) 0.51		Upstrea	am Size Class Gain (‡	‡)	0
Total Functional Network (mi) 2912.92		# Downsteam Natural Barriers		iers	1	
Absolute Gain (mi)	0.51		# Dowr	nstream Hydropowe	r Dams	0
# Size Classes in Total Network	7		# Dowr	nstream Dams with I	Passage	1
# Upstream Network Size Class	etwork Size Classes 1		# of Downstream Barriers			2
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance	e Index			Very High		
Dam is on Conserved Land				Yes		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network				99.14		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buf	fer of Downstream Net	work		19.33		
Density of Crossings in Upstrea	m Network Watershed	(#/m	2)	0.72		
Density of Crossings in Downst			•	1.35		
Density of off-channel dams in	•			0		
Density of off-channel dams in	Downstream Network	Wate	rshed (#/m2)	0		
		iadro	mous Fish			
ownstream Alewife Historical		Downstream Striped Bass None Doo			umented	
Downstream Blueback	Potential Current		Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Do		None Doci	umented
Downstream American Shad	None Documented		Downstream S	hortnose Sturgeon	None Doc	umented
Downstream Hickory Shad	None Documented		Downstream A	merican Eel	Current	
Presence of 1 or More Downst	ream Anadromous Spe	cies	Potential Curre	2		
# Diadromous Species Downst	ream (incl eel)		1			
Resider	nt Fish			Strea	m Health	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No		No	Chesape	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POOR		
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		No	MD MBS	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health Poo		Poor
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Yes		Yes	MD MBS	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health		Fair
	Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Ye		MD MBS	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health		Poor
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT	oatemment (Berreber)				VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health	
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT (Native Fish Species Richness (H		51	VA INSTA	AR mIBI Stream Heal	th	N/A
		51 0		AR mIBI Stream Heal ream Health	th	N/A N/A
Native Fish Species Richness (H					th	•

