## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP\_862 unknown

13 Bay-wide Diadromous Tier Bay-wide Resident Tier 15

Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A

NID ID State ID

River Name

Dam Height (ft)

Dam Type

Latitude 39.0896 Longitude -77.5439

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

Cattail Branch-Goose Creek HUC 12

HUC 10 Lower Goose Creek

HUC 8 Middle Potomac-Catoctin

HUC<sub>6</sub> Potomac HUC 4 Potomac







Landcover			
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	37.27	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	1.79	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	50.17
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	0	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	1.95	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	39.72
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	43.71	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.35
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	30.17	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.96
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	38.99	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	3.66
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	3.98		
	% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area % Forested in Upstream Drainage Area % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	NLCD (2011) % Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area 37.27 % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area 1.79 % Forested in Upstream Drainage Area 0 % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area 1.95 % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network 0 % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network 43.71 % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network 0 % Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network 30.17 % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network 0 % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network 38.99 % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network 0	NLCD (2011)  % Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area 37.27 % Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network  % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area 1.79 % Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network  % Forested in Upstream Drainage Area 0 % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network  % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area 1.95 % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network  % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network 0 % Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network  % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network 43.71 % Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network  % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network 0 % Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network  % Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network 30.17 % Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network  % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network 0 % Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network  % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network 38.99 % Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network  % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network 0



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP\_862 unknown Network, System Type and Condition Functional Upstream Network (mi) Upstream Size Class Gain (#) O 0.08 Total Functional Network (mi) 2912.49 # Downsteam Natural Barriers 1 Absolute Gain (mi) 0.08  $\cap$ # Downstream Hydropower Dams # Size Classes in Total Network 7 # Downstream Dams with Passage 1 # Upstream Network Size Classes n # of Downstream Barriers NEHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Very High Dam is on Conserved Land Nο % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 19.33 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 1.35 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Λ Diadromous Fish Downstream Alewife Historical Downstream Striped Bass None Documented Downstream Blueback **Potential Current** Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented Downstream American Shad None Documented None Documented Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon Downstream American Eel Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented Current One or More DS Anadromous Species Potential Curre # Diadromous Sp Dnstrm (incl eel) Resident Fish and Rare Species Stream Health Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POOR Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Yes MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Yes MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health N/A Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 51 VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health Moderate 0 # Rare Fish (HUC8) PA IBI Stream Health N/A # Rare Mussel (HUC8) 4 # Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0 Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp HUC12 Rare fish or mussel sp in HUC12 Yes No Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp in Rare fish or mussel in upstream or Yes Yes downstream functional network upstream or downstream functional network

