Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_SU007 EDEN MILL DAM

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 2
Bay-wide Resident Tier 3

Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A

NID ID

State ID SU007

River Name Deer Creek

Dam Height (ft) 22

Dam Type Unspecified Type

Latitude 39.6748

Longitude -76.4522

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 2: Small River (38.61 - 200 sq mi

HUC 12 Upper Deer Creek

HUC 10 Deer Creek

HUC 8 Lower Susquehanna
HUC 6 Lower Susquehanna

HUC 4 Susquehanna







Landcover			
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	1.85	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	62.73
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	36.63	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	59.88
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	32.63	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	34.27
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	51.16	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	37.24
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	59.68	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.05
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	57.74	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.07
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	52.53	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.75
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	49.55	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.5
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	32.45	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.3
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	35.97	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.21
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0.81		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	0.38		



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD SU007 **EDEN MILL DAM** Network, System Type and Condition Functional Upstream Network (mi) Upstream Size Class Gain (#) O 116.51 Total Functional Network (mi) 282.1 # Downsteam Natural Barriers 0 Absolute Gain (mi) 116.51 \cap # Downstream Hydropower Dams # Size Classes in Total Network 3 # Downstream Dams with Passage 1 # Upstream Network Size Classes # of Downstream Barriers 2 1 NEHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Very High Dam is on Conserved Land Yes % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 16.91 % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 23.83 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 1.08 Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.67 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Λ Diadromous Fish Downstream Alewife Downstream Striped Bass None Documented Current Downstream Blueback Current Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented Downstream American Shad **Potential Current** None Documented Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon Downstream Hickory Shad Potential Current Downstream American Eel Current One or More DS Anadromous Species Current # Diadromous Sp Dnstrm (incl eel) Resident Fish and Rare Species Stream Health Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POOR Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health Good Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Yes MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health Fair Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health Fair Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 53 VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health N/A 2 # Rare Fish (HUC8) PA IBI Stream Health Insufficient Data # Rare Mussel (HUC8) 3 # Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0 Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp HUC12 Rare fish or mussel sp in HUC12 Yes Nο Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp in Rare fish or mussel in upstream or No Yes



downstream functional network

upstream or downstream functional network