Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

	chesapeake i isii i asse
CFPPP Unique ID:	MD_BU016 VAN BIBBER DA
Diadromous Tier	3
Brook Trout Tier	N/A
Resident Tier	9
NID ID	
State ID	BU016
River Name	Otter Point Creek
Dam Height (ft)	2
Dam Type	
Latitude	39.4686
Longitude	-76.3348
Passage Facilities	Denil
Passage Year	1990
Size Class	2: Small River (38.61 - 200 sq mi
HUC 12	Bush River
HUC 10	Winters Run-Bush River
HUC 8	Gunpowder-Patapsco
HUC 6	Upper Chesapeake
HUC 4	Upper Chesapeake



	Land	lcover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	7.05	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	64.26
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	38.6	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	47.76
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	35.41	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	20.78
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	26.71	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	32.81
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	57.12	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.59
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	66.98	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.39
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	48.53	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	3.26
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	30.33	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.84
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	4.16	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	9.37
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	8	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	6.64
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	9.7		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	7.06		



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD BU016 VAN BIBBER DAM

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_BU016	VAN BIBBER DAI	M					
	Network, Sy	stem	Type and C	Condition			
Functional Upstream Network	unctional Upstream Network (mi) 22.2		Up	Upstream Size Class Gain (#)			
Total Functional Network (mi) 174.87		# [# Downsteam Natural Barriers				
Absolute Gain (mi)	solute Gain (mi) 22.2		# [# Downstream Hydropower Dams			
# Size Classes in Total Network	e Classes in Total Network 3		# [# Downstream Dams with Passage			
# Upstream Network Size Classes 2			# of Downstream Barriers			0	
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbanc	e Index			Not Scored / Unav	ailable at th	nis scale	
Dam is on Conserved Land				Yes			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network				13.56			
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu				15.56			
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m			*	2.33			
Density of Crossings in Downst		-	•	0.77			
Density of off-channel dams in				0			
Density of off-channel dams in	Downstream Network	Wate	ershed (#/m	(2) 0			
	D	Diadro	mous Fish				
Downstream Alewife	Current		Downstre	Downstream Striped Bass None Doo		cumented	
Downstream Blueback	Current		Downstre	Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Doo			
Downstream American Shad	Current		Downstre	am Shortnose Sturgeon	None Doo	umented	
Downstream Hickory Shad	ownstream Hickory Shad Current			Downstream American Eel Current			
Presence of 1 or More Downs	tream Anadromous Spe	cies	Current				
# Diadromous Species Downst	ream (incl eel)		5				
Reside	nt Fish			Strea	am Health		
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No		No	Che	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health VERY_POOR			
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No		No	MD	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health		Poor	
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment No		MD	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health		Fair		
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No		MD	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health Fair				
Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 52		VAI	NSTAR mIBI Stream Hea	N/A			
# Rare Fish (HUC8)		1	PAI	BI Stream Health		N/A	
# Rare Mussel (HUC8) 0		0					
# Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0		0					

