Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_838 SNOWDEN DAM

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 6
Bay-wide Resident Tier 3

Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A

NID ID

State ID 838

River Name James River

Dam Height (ft) 0

Dam Type

Latitude 37.5776 Longitude -79.3764

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 3b: Medium Mainstem River (1,

HUC 12 Otter Creek-James River
HUC 10 Reed Creek-James River
HUC 8 Middle James-Buffalo

HUC 6 James

HUC 4 Lower Chesapeake







	Land	cover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.72	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	88.07
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	82.65	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	82.97
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	81.16	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.25
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	12.04	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	9.57
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	89.71	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.01
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	78.45	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	78.02	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.89
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	72.08	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.16
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.09
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	8.81	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.09
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	1.24		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	1.42		

Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_838 SNOWDEN DAM

CITTI Offique ID. VA_638	SINO WOLL DAIV	•				
	Network, Sy	ystem	Type and	Condition		
Functional Upstream Network	nal Upstream Network (mi) 9.7		U	Upstream Size Class Gain (#)		
Total Functional Network (mi) 69.74		#	# Downsteam Natural Barriers			
Absolute Gain (mi)	9.7		#	# Downstream Hydropower		7
# Size Classes in Total Networ	k 3		#	Downstream Dams with	Passage	4
# Upstream Network Size Clas	sses 2		#	of Downstream Barriers		9
NFHAP Cumulative Disturband	ce Index			Low		
Dam is on Conserved Land				No		
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	iffer of Upstream Netwo	ork		80.44		
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	ıffer of Downstream Ne	twork	<	51.45		
Density of Crossings in Upstre	am Network Watershed	d (#/m	12)	0.77		
Density of Crossings in Downs	tream Network Waters	hed (#	#/m2)	1.56		
Density of off-channel dams in	n Upstream Network Wa	atersh	ned (#/m2)	0		
Density of off-channel dams in	n Downstream Network	Wate	ershed (#/n	12) 0		
	[Diadro	omous Fish			
Downstream Alewife	Historical		Downstream Striped Bass None Doo			cumented
Downstream Blueback	Historical		Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Doo			cumented
Downstream American Shad	Historical		Downstre	eam Shortnose Sturgeon	None Doo	cumented
Downstream Hickory Shad	None Documented		Downstre	eam American Eel	None Doo	cumented
Presence of 1 or More Downs	stream Anadromous Spe	ecies	Historical			
# Diadromous Species Downs	tream (incl eel)		0			
Reside	ent Fish			Stre	am Health	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No		No	Che	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health GOOD		
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No		No		, , ,		N/A
		Yes	MD	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health		N/A
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No		No	MD			N/A
		50	VA	VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health		, High
# Rare Fish (HUC8)		0	PA	IBI Stream Health		N/A
# Rare Mussel (HUC8)		4				,
# Rare Crayfish (HUC8)		0				
		-				

