## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

		oncour	Can		0000	
	CFPPP Unique ID:	CFPPP_645		unknown		
	Bay-wide Diadrom	ous Tier	6			
	Bay-wide Resident	Tier	3			
	Bay-wide Brook Tr	out Tier	N/A			
	NID ID					
	State ID					
	River Name					
	Dam Height (ft)	0				
	Dam Type					
	Latitude	37.6784				
	Longitude	-77.8443				
	Passage Facilities	None Documented				
	Passage Year	N/A				
	Size Class	1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)				
HUC 12		Beaverdam Creek				
	HUC 10	Lickinghole Creek-James River				
	HUC 8	Middle James-Willis				
	HUC 6	James				
	HUC 4	Lower Chesapeake				



Landcover					
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)			
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.08	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	84.93		
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	87.45	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	79.1		
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	83.36	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	6.26		
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	11.45	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	15.73		
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	93.51	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0		
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	79.33	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.1		
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	80.41	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.31		
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	65.28	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.6		
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	6.22	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.78		
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	16.03	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.78		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0.05				
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	0.71				



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP\_645 unknown Network, System Type and Condition Functional Upstream Network (mi) Upstream Size Class Gain (#) O 2.33 Total Functional Network (mi) 5433.35 # Downsteam Natural Barriers 0 Absolute Gain (mi) 2.33 2 # Downstream Hydropower Dams # Size Classes in Total Network 6 # Downstream Dams with Passage # Upstream Network Size Classes # of Downstream Barriers 1 NEHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Moderate Dam is on Conserved Land Nο % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 1.64 % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 11.23 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 1.93 Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.84 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Λ Diadromous Fish Downstream Alewife **Potential Current** Downstream Striped Bass None Documented Downstream Blueback **Potential Current** Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented Downstream American Shad None Documented None Documented Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon Downstream American Eel Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented Current One or More DS Anadromous Species Potential Curre # Diadromous Sp Dnstrm (incl eel) Resident Fish and Rare Species Stream Health Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health FAIR Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Yes MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health N/A Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 51 VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health Very High 0 # Rare Fish (HUC8) PA IBI Stream Health N/A # Rare Mussel (HUC8) 3 # Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0 Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp HUC12 Rare fish or mussel sp in HUC12 Yes No Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp in Rare fish or mussel in upstream or Yes Yes downstream functional network upstream or downstream functional network

