Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_1031 LAKE SALISBURY DAM

Diadromous Tier 16

Brook Trout Tier N/A

Resident Tier 15

NID ID VA04136

State ID 1031

River Name Falling Creek

Dam Height (ft) 37

Dam Type Earth

Latitude 37.5182

Longitude -77.6435

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

HUC 12 Falling Creek

HUC 10 Falling Creek-James River

HUC 8 Lower James

HUC 6 James

HUC 4 Lower Chesapeake







| | Land | cover | | |
|--|-------|--|-------|--|
| NLCD (2011) | | Chesapeake Conservancy (2016) | | |
| % Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area | 6.56 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 33.39 | |
| % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area | 25.26 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 58.82 | |
| % Forested in Upstream Drainage Area | 18.02 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 39.13 | |
| % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area | 1.32 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 21.2 | |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 31.87 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 | |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 46.99 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.14 | |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 16.43 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network | 3.18 | |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 31.77 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | 6.86 | |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 1.53 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network | 10.81 | |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.85 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | 10.54 | |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network | 6.72 | | | |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network | 9.43 | | | |



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_1031 LAKE SALISBURY DAM

| | Motwork Co | ıctom | Type and Condition | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|
| | • | stem | Type and Condition | | |
| nctional Upstream Network (mi) 2.89 | | Upstream Size Class Gain (#) | | 0 | |
| Total Functional Network (mi) | 36.75 | | # Downsteam Natural | # Downsteam Natural Barriers | |
| Absolute Gain (mi) | 2.89 | # Downstream Hydro | | | 0 |
| # Size Classes in Total Networl | _ | | # Downstream Dams with Passage | | 0 |
| # Upstream Network Size Clas | | | # of Downstream Barr | iers | 2 |
| NFHAP Cumulative Disturband | e Index | | Very High | | |
| Dam is on Conserved Land | | | No | | |
| % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network | | | 0 | | |
| % Conserved Land in 100m Bu | | | 4.35 | | |
| Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m: | | | | | |
| Density of Crossings in Downs | | - | • | | |
| Density of off-channel dams in | | | | | |
| Density of off-channel dams ir | Downstream Network | Wate | rshed (#/m2) 0 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Diadro | mous Fish | | |
| Downstream Alewife | Historical | | Downstream Striped Bass None Doc | | cumented |
| Downstream Blueback | Historical | | Downstream Atlantic Sturgeo | n None Do | cumented |
| Downstream American Shad | None Documented | | Downstream Shortnose Sturg | eon None Do | cumented |
| Downstream Hickory Shad | None Documented | | Downstream American Eel | None Do | cumented |
| Presence of 1 or More Downs | tream Anadromous Spe | cies | Historical | | |
| # Diadromous Species Downs | tream (incl eel) | | 0 | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | |
| Reside | nt Fish | | | Stream Health | |
| | | | | Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POOR | |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchm | nent | No | Chesapeake Bay Progra | m Stream Healt | h POOR |
| | | No No | Chesapeake Bay Progra MD MBSS Benthic IBI St | | N/A |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchm | chment (DeWeber) | | | ream Health | |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchm Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catc | chment (DeWeber) ment | No No | MD MBSS Benthic IBI St | ream Health m Health | N/A |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchm Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catch Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch | chment (DeWeber) ment Catchment (DeWeber) | No No | MD MBSS Benthic IBI St | ream Health m Health Stream Health | N/A N/A |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchm Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catch Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT | chment (DeWeber) ment Catchment (DeWeber) | No No No | MD MBSS Benthic IBI St MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream MD MBSS Combined IBI | ream Health m Health Stream Health | N/A N/A N/A |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchm Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catch Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Native Fish Species Richness (| chment (DeWeber) ment Catchment (DeWeber) | No No No 62 | MD MBSS Benthic IBI St MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream MD MBSS Combined IBI VA INSTAR mIBI Stream | ream Health m Health Stream Health | N/A N/A N/A High |

