Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID	: MD_12032	ROCKY GORGE DAM			
Diadromous Tier		1			
Brook Trout Tier	N/A				
Resident Tier		3			
NID ID	MD00020				
State ID	PXU08		(IN		

River Name Patuxent River

Dam Height (ft) 134

Dam Type Concrete Buttress

Latitude 39.1169
Longitude -76.875

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 2: Small River (38.61 - 200 sq mi HUC 12 Horsepen Branch-Patuxent River

HUC 10 Upper Patuxent River

HUC 8 Patuxent

HUC 6 Upper Chesapeake
HUC 4 Upper Chesapeake





	Lanc	lcover			
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)			
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	2.08	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	69.99		
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	42.88	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	62.66		
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	35.72	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	20.25		
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	42.32	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	24.77		
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	73.16	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.16		
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	71.7	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.29		
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	55.22	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.36		
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	37.4	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.31		
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	17.66	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.29		
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Networ	k 12.43	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	3.67		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	1.17				
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	4.02				



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_12032 ROCKY GORGE DAM

	Network, Sys	stem [·]	Type and Condi	tion		
Functional Upstream Network	(mi) 127.9		Upstrea	am Size Class Gain (‡	‡)	0
Total Functional Network (mi)	1358.66		# Down	steam Natural Barri	ers	0
Absolute Gain (mi)	127.9		# Down	stream Hydropowe	r Dams	0
# Size Classes in Total Networ	k 4		# Down	stream Dams with F	Passage	0
# Upstream Network Size Clas	sses 3		# of Do	wnstream Barriers		0
NFHAP Cumulative Disturband	ce Index			Very High		
Dam is on Conserved Land				No		
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	affer of Upstream Netwo	rk		35.13		
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	affer of Downstream Net	work		19.68		
Density of Crossings in Upstre	am Network Watershed	(#/m2	2)	0.65		
Density of Crossings in Downs	tream Network Watersh	ed (#,	/m2)	0.64		
Density of off-channel dams in	າ Upstream Network Wa	tersh	ed (#/m2)	0		
Density of off-channel dams in	n Downstream Network \	Water	rshed (#/m2)	0.02		
		•	et.l.			
Downstream Alewife	Current	iadroi	mous Fish Downstream St	tripod Bass	None Doc	umantas
				•		
Downstream Blueback	Current			tlantic Sturgeon	None Doc	umented
Downstream American Shad	Current		Downstream Sl	hortnose Sturgeon	None Doc	umented
Downstream Hickory Shad	Current		Downstream A	merican Eel	Current	
Presence of 1 or More Downs	stream Anadromous Spec	cies	Current			
# Diadromous Species Downs	tream (incl eel)		5			
Posido	unt Eich			Strea	m Health	
Resident Fish Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No		No	Chesanea	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POOR		
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No				MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health Poor		
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment No				MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health Po		
Barrier Blocks an EBTTV Catchment (DeWeber) No						
Native Fish Species Richness (,	51		R mIBI Stream Heal		Poor N/A
# Rare Fish (HUC8)		0		eam Health	ui	
		1	PA IBI SU	eaiii Heailii		N/A
# Rare Mussel (HUC8)		_				
# Rare Crayfish (HUC8)	(0				

