## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID:	VA_69 BURCH MILL DA
Diadromous Tier	1
Brook Trout Tier	N/A
Resident Tier	4
NID ID	VA11905
State ID	69
River Name	Lagrange Creek
Dam Height (ft)	15
Dam Type	Gravity
Latitude	37.6849
Longitude	-76.6447
Passage Facilities	None Documented
Passage Year	N/A
Size Class	1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)
HUC 12	Lagrange Creek-Rappahannock
HUC 10	Lancaster Creek-Rappahannock
HUC 8	Lower Rappahannock
HUC 6	Lower Chesapeake
HUC 4	Lower Chesapeake



	Land	cover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.56	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	86.28
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	67.59	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	55.66
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	50.1	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	10.36
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	24.93	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	33.37
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	85.93	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	62.61	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	56.66	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.49
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	32.54	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.4
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	11.73	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.16
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	34.54	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.29
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0.16		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	0.34		



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: VA\_69 BURCH MILL DAM

	Network, Syste	em Type	and Condition		
Functional Upstream Network (m	i) 6.87		Upstream Size Class Gai	n (#)	0
Total Functional Network (mi) 24.96			# Downsteam Natural B	arriers	0
Absolute Gain (mi)	6.87		# Downstream Hydropo	wer Dams	0
# Size Classes in Total Network	2		# Downstream Dams wi	th Passage	0
# Upstream Network Size Classes 1			# of Downstream Barrie	rs	0
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance In	ıdex		Very High		
Dam is on Conserved Land			No		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network			0		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer	of Downstream Netwo	ork	1.27		
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m		/m2)	0.13		
Density of Crossings in Downstrea	ım Network Watershed	d (#/m2)	0.11		
Density of off-channel dams in Up	stream Network Wate	rshed (#	/m2) 0		
Density of off-channel dams in Do	wnstream Network Wa	atershed	(#/m2) 0.03		
		dromous			
Downstream Alewife Cu	Current		'		cumented
Downstream Blueback Cu	ırrent	Dow	nstream Atlantic Sturgeon	None Doo	cumented
Downstream American Shad No	one Documented	Dow	nstream Shortnose Sturged	on None Doo	cumented
Downstream Hickory Shad No	one Documented	Dow	nstream American Eel	Current	
Presence of 1 or More Downstrea	am Anadromous Specie	es Curr	ent		
# Diadromous Species Downstrea	ım (incl eel)	3			
Resident F	ish		St	ream Health	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment		0	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health FAIR		n FAIR
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		D	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A		N/A
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment N		0	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health N/		N/A
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchmer			MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health N/A		
	chment (DeWeber) No	0	MD MBSS Combined IBI S	tream Health	N/A
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchmer Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Cat Native Fish Species Richness (HUC	,		MD MBSS Combined IBI S VA INSTAR mIBI Stream H		N/A High
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Cat	,				•
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Cat Native Fish Species Richness (HUC	C8) 58		VA INSTAR mIBI Stream H		High

