## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

	Circsapea	KC 1 ISII I USS
CFPPP Unique ID:	VA_827	RT 723 CROSSIN
Diadromous Tier	4	
Brook Trout Tier	N/A	
Resident Tier	1	-
NID ID		
State ID	827	
River Name	Green Creek	
Dam Height (ft)	0	
Dam Type		
Latitude	37.8108	
Longitude	-78.6703	
Passage Facilities	None Documen	ited
Passage Year	N/A	
Size Class	1b: Creek (3.86	1 - 38.61 sq mi)
HUC 12	Dutch Creek-Ro	ockfish River
HUC 10	Lower Rockfish	River
HUC 8	Middle James-E	Buffalo
HUC 6	James	
HUC 4	Lower Chesape	ake



	Land	cover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.25	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	92.69
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	87.11	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	79.1
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	83	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	6.72
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	7.27	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	15.73
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	88.47	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	79.33	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.1
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	84.74	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.29
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	65.28	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.6
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	6.93	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.19
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	16.03	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.78
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0.17		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	0.71		



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: VA\_827 RT 723 CROSSING

Functional Upstream Network (mi) 35.11  Total Functional Network (mi) 5466.13  Absolute Gain (mi) 35.11  # Size Classes in Total Network 6  # Upstream Network Size Classes 2  NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index  Dam is on Conserved Land  % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network  % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network	Type and Condition  Upstream Size Class Gain (#) 0  # Downsteam Natural Barriers 0  # Downstream Hydropower Dams 2  # Downstream Dams with Passage 4  # of Downstream Barriers 4  High  No  8.66
Total Functional Network (mi) 5466.13  Absolute Gain (mi) 35.11  # Size Classes in Total Network 6  # Upstream Network Size Classes 2  NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index  Dam is on Conserved Land  % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network  % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network	# Downsteam Natural Barriers 0 # Downstream Hydropower Dams 2 # Downstream Dams with Passage 4 # of Downstream Barriers 4  High No
Absolute Gain (mi) 35.11 # Size Classes in Total Network 6 # Upstream Network Size Classes 2 NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network	# Downstream Hydropower Dams 2 # Downstream Dams with Passage 4 # of Downstream Barriers 4  High No
# Size Classes in Total Network 6  # Upstream Network Size Classes 2  NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index  Dam is on Conserved Land  % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network  % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network	# Downstream Dams with Passage 4 # of Downstream Barriers 4  High No
# Upstream Network Size Classes 2  NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index  Dam is on Conserved Land  % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network  % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network	# of Downstream Barriers 4  High  No
NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index  Dam is on Conserved Land  % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network  % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network	High No
Dam is on Conserved Land % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network	No
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network	
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network	8.66
Dansity of Crossings in Unstroom Naturals Watershed /#/m	11.23
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m	0.95
Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#	#/m2) 0.84
Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watersh	ned (#/m2) 0
Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Wate	ershed (#/m2) 0
	omous Fish
Downstream Alewife Potential Current	Downstream Striped Bass None Documented
Downstream Blueback Potential Current	Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented
Downstream American Shad None Documented	Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon None Documented
Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented	Downstream American Eel Current
Presence of 1 or More Downstream Anadromous Species	Potential Curre
# Diadromous Species Downstream (incl eel)	1
Resident Fish	Stream Health
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health FAIR
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Yes	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health N/A
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health N/A
Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 50	VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health High
# Rare Fish (HUC8) 0	PA IBI Stream Health N/A
# Rare Mussel (HUC8) 4	

