## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP\_3001 Frank Bentz Pond

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 17
Bay-wide Resident Tier 7

Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A

NID ID

State ID

River Name Big Hunting Creek

Dam Height (ft) 0

Dam Type

Latitude 0

Longitude 0

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1b: Creek (3.861 - 38.61 sq mi)

HUC 12 Hunting Creek

HUC 10 Upper Monocacy River

HUC 8 Monocacy
HUC 6 Potomac
HUC 4 Potomac







Landcover			
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.45	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	91.63
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	88.49	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	50.17
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	86.86	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	4.19
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	5.27	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	39.72
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	67.53	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.25
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	43.71	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.35
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	66.23	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.25
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	30.17	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.96
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.65	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.15
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	38.99	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	3.66
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	1.38		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	3.98		



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP\_3001 **Frank Bentz Pond** Network, System Type and Condition Functional Upstream Network (mi) 5.9 Upstream Size Class Gain (#) O Total Functional Network (mi) 2918.31 # Downsteam Natural Barriers 1 Absolute Gain (mi) 5.9  $\cap$ # Downstream Hydropower Dams # Size Classes in Total Network 7 # Downstream Dams with Passage 1 # Upstream Network Size Classes 2 # of Downstream Barriers NEHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index High Dam is on Conserved Land Nο % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 78.68 % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 19.33 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.75 Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 1.35 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Λ Diadromous Fish Downstream Alewife None Documented None Documented Downstream Striped Bass Downstream Blueback None Documented Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented Downstream American Shad None Documented None Documented Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented Downstream American Eel Current One or More DS Anadromous Species None Docume # Diadromous Sp Dnstrm (incl eel) Resident Fish and Rare Species Stream Health Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POOR Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health Fair Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Yes MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health Fair Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Yes MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health Fair Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 36 VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health N/A 0 # Rare Fish (HUC8) PA IBI Stream Health Poor # Rare Mussel (HUC8) 3 # Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0 Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp HUC12 Rare fish or mussel sp in HUC12 No No Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp in Rare fish or mussel in upstream or Yes Yes downstream functional network upstream or downstream functional network

