## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID:	CFPPP_97	unknown
------------------	----------	---------

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 6
Bay-wide Resident Tier 15
Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A
NID ID
State ID

Dam Height (ft) 0

Dam Type

River Name

Latitude 39.0006 Longitude -77.2806

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)
HUC 12 Nichols Run-Potomac River

HUC 10 Difficult Run-Potomac River

HUC 8 Middle Potomac-Catoctin

HUC 6 Potomac HUC 4 Potomac







Landcover				
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)		
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	4.55	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	36.5	
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	19.89	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	72.74	
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	16.47	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	40.08	
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	0	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	11.29	
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	36.79	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	68.27	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.41	
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	11.32	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.35	
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	49.17	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	3.9	
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	5.22	
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.92	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	5.16	
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	3.19			
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	6.38			



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP\_97 unknown

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP_97	unknown			
	Network, Sys	stem T	ype and Condition	
Functional Upstream Network	c (mi) 0.93		Upstream Size Class Gain (#) 0	
Total Functional Network (mi)	168.43		# Downsteam Natural Barriers 0	
Absolute Gain (mi)	0.93		# Downstream Hydropower Dams 0	
# Size Classes in Total Network	k 4		# Downstream Dams with Passage 1	
# Upstream Network Size Clas	sses 1		# of Downstream Barriers 1	
NFHAP Cumulative Disturband	ce Index		Very High	
Dam is on Conserved Land			No	
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network		rk	6.23	
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network		work	29.5	
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2			) 1.72	
Density of Crossings in Downs	tream Network Watersh	ed (#/ı	m2) 1.62	
Density of off-channel dams in	າ Upstream Network Wa	tershe	d (#/m2) 0	
Density of off-channel dams in	n Downstream Network \	Waters	shed (#/m2) 0	
	D	iadrom	nous Fish	
Downstream Alewife	Current Down		Downstream Striped Bass None Documented	
Downstream Blueback	Current	[	Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented	
Downstream American Shad	None Documented	[	Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon None Documented	
Downstream Hickory Shad	None Documented	[	Downstream American Eel Current	
Presence of 1 or More Downs	stream Anadromous Spec	cies (	Current	
# Diadromous Species Downs	tream (incl eel)	3	3	
Reside	ent Fish		Stream Health	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No		No	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health VERY_POOR	
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) N		No	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health Very Poor	
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment No.		No	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health Poor	
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No		No	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health Poor	
Native Fish Species Richness (	HUC8)	51	VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health Moderate	
# Rare Fish (HUC8)		0	PA IBI Stream Health N/A	
# Rare Mussel (HUC8)		4		
# Rare Crayfish (HUC8)		0		
		-		

