Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

| | Cilesapear | E LISII Lasse |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| CFPPP Unique ID: | PA_35-010 | EDGERTON |
| Diadromous Tier | 12 | |
| Brook Trout Tier | 13 | |
| Resident Tier | 6 | |
| NID ID | | |
| State ID | 35-010 | |
| River Name | | |
| Dam Height (ft) | 16 | |
| Dam Type | Stone | |
| Latitude | 41.5313 | |
| Longitude | -75.4984 | |
| Passage Facilities | None Document | ed |
| Passage Year | N/A | |
| Size Class | 1a: Headwater (0 |) - 3.861 sq mi) |
| HUC 12 | Rush Brook-Lack | awanna River |
| HUC 10 | Lackawanna Rive | er |
| HUC 8 | Upper Susqueha | nna-Lackawann |

Upper Susquehanna

Susquehanna



| | Land | cover | |
|--|-------|--|------|
| NLCD (2011) | | Chesapeake Conservancy (2016) | |
| % Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area | 0 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 100 |
| % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area | 99.7 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 93.4 |
| % Forested in Upstream Drainage Area | 82.36 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 |
| % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area | 0 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 3.03 |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 100 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 99.66 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.43 |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 99.48 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 81.91 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | 0 |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.04 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.47 |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 | | |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.18 | | |



HUC 6

HUC 4

Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: PA_35-010 EDGERTON

| Functional Upstream Network (mi) 2.13 Upstream Size Class Gain Total Functional Network (mi) 8.17 # Downstream Natural Base Absolute Gain (mi) 2.13 # Downstream Hydropoon # Size Classes in Total Network # Downstream Dams with # Upstream Network Size Classes # Downstream Barries # Of Downstream Striped Bass # Of Downstream Striped Bass # Downstream Size Class Gain # Downstream Size Class Gain # Downstream Size Class Gain # Downstream Size Class Bass # Downstream Size Classes # Downstream | arriers 0 wer Dams 4 th Passage 5 | |
|---|---|--|
| Absolute Gain (mi) # Size Classes in Total Network # Upstream Network Size Classes # of Downstream Barrier NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land **Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of Off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Diadromous Fish | wer Dams 4 th Passage 5 | |
| # Size Classes in Total Network 2 # Downstream Dams with # Upstream Network Size Classes 1 # of Downstream Barrier NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Very Low Dam is on Conserved Land No % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 49.24 % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 3.85 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.7 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 | th Passage 5 | |
| # Upstream Network Size Classes 1 # of Downstream Barrier NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Very Low Dam is on Conserved Land No % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 49.24 % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 3.85 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.7 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Diadromous Fish | | |
| NFHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Dam is on Conserved Land No Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Diadromous Fish | rs 7 | |
| Dam is on Conserved Land No % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 3.85 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Diadromous Fish | | |
| % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 49.24 % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 3.85 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Diadromous Fish | | |
| % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 3.85 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.7 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Diadromous Fish | | |
| Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.7 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Diadromous Fish | | |
| Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.7 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Diadromous Fish | | |
| Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Diadromous Fish | | |
| Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0 Diadromous Fish | | |
| Diadromous Fish | | |
| | | |
| Downstream Newife None Documented Downstream Strings Base | | |
| Downstream Alewire None Documented Downstream Striped Bass | None Document | |
| Downstream Blueback None Documented Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon | None Document | |
| Downstream American Shad None Documented Downstream Shortnose Sturged | n None Document | |
| Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented Downstream American Eel | None Document | |
| Presence of 1 or More Downstream Anadromous Species None Docume | | |
| # Diadromous Species Downstream (incl eel) 0 | | |
| Resident Fish Sti | ream Health | |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment Yes Chesapeake Bay Program | Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health FAIR | |
| Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Yes MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stre | | |
| Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment No MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream | , | |
| Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Combined IBI S | • | |
| Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 37 VA INSTAR mIBI Stream H | • | |
| # Rare Fish (HUC8) O PA IBI Stream Health | Fair | |
| # Rare Mussel (HUC8) | rall | |
| # Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0 | | |

