## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: VA\_1119 SLATE\_LICK SCS 4-C

Diadromous Tier 12

Brook Trout Tier 5

Resident Tier 4

NID ID VA16510

State ID 1119

River Name Slate Lick Branch

Dam Height (ft) 86

Dam Type Gravity

Latitude 38.6111

Longitude -78.9712

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1b: Creek (3.861 - 38.61 sq mi)

HUC 12 Shoemaker River

HUC 10 Shoemaker River-North Fork Sh

HUC 8 North Fork Shenandoah

HUC 6 Potomac







	Land	cover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	96.73
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	99.62	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	65.44
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	99.19	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.68
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	0.26	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	28.86
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	99.71	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	62.09	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.01
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	97.51	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	61.24	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.99
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.29	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network 29.05		% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	2.27
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	1.34		



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: VA\_1119 SLATE\_LICK SCS 4-C

	Network, Sy	stem	Type and Cond	dition			
Functional Upstream Network	(mi) 14.39		Upstre	eam Size Class Gain (#	<b>‡</b> )	0	
Total Functional Network (mi)	700.71		# Dow	nsteam Natural Barri	ers	1	
Absolute Gain (mi)	14.39		# Dow	nstream Hydropowe	r Dams	5	
# Size Classes in Total Networ	k 4		# Dow	nstream Dams with F	Passage	3	
# Upstream Network Size Clas	sses 2		# of D	ownstream Barriers		10	
NFHAP Cumulative Disturband	ce Index			Low			
Dam is on Conserved Land				Yes			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network				100			
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network				28.6			
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2			2)	0.38			
Density of Crossings in Downs				1.59			
Density of off-channel dams in				0			
Density of off-channel dams in	n Downstream Network '	Wate	rshed (#/m2)	0			
	D	iadro	mous Fish				
Downstream Alewife	tream Alewife None Documented		Downstream Striped Bass None Do		None Doc	umented	
Downstream Blueback	None Documented		Downstream	nstream Atlantic Sturgeon N		None Documented	
Downstream American Shad	None Documented		Downstream	Shortnose Sturgeon	None Doc	umented	
Downstream Hickory Shad	None Documented		Downstream	American Eel	None Doc	umented	
Presence of 1 or More Downs	stream Anadromous Spe	cies	None Docume	2			
# Diadromous Species Downs	tream (incl eel)		0				
Reside	ent Fish			Strea	m Health		
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment		Yes	Chesape	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health GOOD		GOOD	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchr	Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		MD MB	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N,		N/A	
	chment (DeWeber)	No		MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health			
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat		No No	MD MB	SS Fish IBI Stream He	alth	N/A	
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch	ment	No		SS Fish IBI Stream He SS Combined IBI Stre		N/A N/A	
	ment Catchment (DeWeber)	No	MD MB		am Health	•	
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT	ment Catchment (DeWeber) HUC8)	No No	MD MB VA INST	SS Combined IBI Stre	am Health	N/A	
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Native Fish Species Richness (	ment Catchment (DeWeber) HUC8)	No No 28	MD MB VA INST	SS Combined IBI Stre	am Health	N/A Moderate	

