Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

| CFPPP Unique ID: VA_102 | | | CHANDLERS MILL DAM | | |
|---------------------------|------------|-----|--------------------|--|--|
| Bay-wide Diadro | omous Tier | 1 | | | |
| Bay-wide Resident Tier | | 1 | | | |
| Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier | | N/A | | | |
| NID ID | VA19311 | | | | |
| State ID | 102 | | Mo | | |
| River Name | | | | | |

Dam Height (ft) 15

Dam Type Gravity

Latitude 38.0975

Longitude -76.848

Passage Facilities Denil
Passage Year 1995

Size Class 1b: Creek (3.861 - 38.61 sq mi)

HUC 12 The Big Swamp-Cat Point Creek

HUC 10 Cat Point Creek-Rappahannock

HUC 8 Lower Rappahannock

HUC 8 Lower Rappahannock
HUC 6 Lower Chesapeake
HUC 4 Lower Chesapeake







| Landcover | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|--|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| NLCD (2011) | | Chesapeake Conservancy (2016) | | | | | | |
| % Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area 0. | | % Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 92.7 | | | | | |
| % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area | 67.84 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 78.01 | | | | | |
| % Forested in Upstream Drainage Area | 51.18 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 3.45 | | | | | |
| % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area | 26.47 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 9.14 | | | | | |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 95.13 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 | | | | | |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 91.19 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.01 | | | | | |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 58.9 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network | 0.15 | | | | | |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 40.75 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.22 | | | | | |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 3.69 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network | 0.15 | | | | | |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 7.28 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.17 | | | | | |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network | 0.09 | | | | | | | |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.23 | | | | | | | |



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_102 CHANDLERS MILL DAM

| | Network, Sys | tem Typ | e and Cond | dition | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|---|----------|-----------|
| Functional Upstream Network (mi) 29.23 | | | Upstream Size Class Gain (#) | | | 0 |
| Total Functional Network (mi) 167.19 | | | # Downsteam Natural Barriers | | ers | 0 |
| Absolute Gain (mi) 29.23 | | | # Downstream Hydropower Dams | | r Dams | 0 |
| # Size Classes in Total Network 3 | | | # Downstream Dams with Passage | | | 0 |
| # Upstream Network Size Classes 2 | | | # of Downstream Barriers | | | 0 |
| NFHAP Cumulative Disturbanc | e Index | | | Moderate | | |
| Dam is on Conserved Land | | | | No | | |
| % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network | | | | 2.55 | | |
| % Conserved Land in 100m Bu | ffer of Downstream Netv | vork | | 12.05 | | |
| Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m | | | | 0.15 | | |
| Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (# | | | 2) | 0.28 | | |
| Density of off-channel dams in | Upstream Network Wat | ershed (| #/m2) | 0 | | |
| Density of off-channel dams in | Downstream Network V | Vatersh | ed (#/m2) | 0 | | |
| | Di | adromo | us Fish | | | |
| Downstream Alewife | Current | Do | Downstream Striped Bass None | | | umented |
| Downstream Blueback | Current | Do | Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None D | | None Doc | umented |
| Downstream American Shad | None Documented | Do | wnstream | Shortnose Sturgeon | None Doc | umented |
| Downstream Hickory Shad | None Documented | Do | wnstream | American Eel | Current | |
| Presence of 1 or More Downs | tream Anadromous Spec | ies Cu | rrent | | | |
| # Diadromous Species Downst | tream (incl eel) | 3 | | | | |
| Resident Fish | | | Stream Health | | | |
| Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No | | No | Chesape | Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POOR | | |
| Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) N | | No | MD MB | MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health | | N/A |
| Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment No. | | No | MD MB | MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health | | N/A |
| Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No | | No | MD MB | MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health | | N/A |
| Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 58 | | 58 | VA INST | VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health | | Very High |
| # Rare Fish (HUC8) | | | | | | - |
| # Rare Fish (HUC8) | 2 | 2 | PA IBI S | tream Health | | N/A |
| # Rare Fish (HUC8) # Rare Mussel (HUC8) | 2 | | PA IBI S | tream Health | | N/A |

