## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: VA\_430 KENT DAM

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 1
Bay-wide Resident Tier 2

Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A

NID ID VA12701

State ID 430

River Name Toe Ink Swamp

Dam Height (ft) 24

Dam Type Rockfill

Latitude 37.4853

Longitude -77.1322

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1b: Creek (3.861 - 38.61 sq mi)

HUC 12 Toe Ink Swamp-Chickahominy Ri

HUC 10 Middle Chickahominy River

HUC 8 Lower James

HUC 6 James

HUC 4 Lower Chesapeake







Landcover			
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	1.32	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	79.74
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	70.45	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	76.14
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	57.12	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	7.27
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	16.74	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	12.48
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	87.16	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	79.16	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.1
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	50.35	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.71
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	23.28	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	2.59
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	2.36	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.15
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	3.41	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	3.98
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0.9		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	4.61		



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: VA 430 KENT DAM Network, System Type and Condition Functional Upstream Network (mi) Upstream Size Class Gain (#) O 24.21 Total Functional Network (mi) 532.86 # Downsteam Natural Barriers 0 Absolute Gain (mi) 24.21  $\cap$ # Downstream Hydropower Dams # Size Classes in Total Network 4 # Downstream Dams with Passage 1 # Upstream Network Size Classes 2 # of Downstream Barriers 1 NEHAP Cumulative Disturbance Index Low Dam is on Conserved Land Nο % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network 0.65 % Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Downstream Network 6.45 Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.65 Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 1.24 Density of off-channel dams in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Density of off-channel dams in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) Λ Diadromous Fish Downstream Alewife **Downstream Striped Bass** None Documented Current Downstream Blueback Current Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Documented Downstream American Shad None Documented None Documented Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon Downstream American Eel Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented Current One or More DS Anadromous Species Current # Diadromous Sp Dnstrm (incl eel) Resident Fish and Rare Species Stream Health Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health FAIR Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Nο MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health N/A Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) No MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health N/A Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 62 VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health Very High 2 # Rare Fish (HUC8) PA IBI Stream Health N/A # Rare Mussel (HUC8) 1 # Rare Crayfish (HUC8) 0 Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp HUC12 Rare fish or mussel sp in HUC12 Nο Nο Globally rare or fed listed fish/mussel sp in Rare fish or mussel in upstream or



No

upstream or downstream functional network

No

downstream functional network