Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

| CFPPP Unique ID: | CFPPP_42 | | Unknown |
|--------------------|-------------|---------|------------------|
| Bay-wide Diadrom | nous Tier | 11 | |
| Bay-wide Resident | t Tier | 10 | |
| Bay-wide Brook Tr | out Tier | N/A | |
| NID ID | | | |
| State ID | | | |
| River Name | | | |
| Dam Height (ft) | 0 | | |
| Dam Type | | | |
| Latitude | 37.489 | | |
| Longitude | -79.2393 | | |
| Passage Facilities | None Docu | ed | |
| Passage Year | N/A | | |
| Size Class | 1a: Headw | ater (0 |) - 3.861 sq mi) |
| HUC 12 | Judith Cree | ek-Jam | es River |
| HUC 10 | Harris Cree | ek-Jam | es River |
| HUC 8 | Middle Jan | nes-Bu | iffalo |

James

Lower Chesapeake





| Landcover | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| NLCD (2011) | | Chesapeake Conservancy (2016) | | | | |
| % Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area | 0.75 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 90.15 | | | |
| % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area | 93.76 | % Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 97.15 | | | |
| % Forested in Upstream Drainage Area | 91.92 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 | | | |
| % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area | 0.35 | % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.82 | | | |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 100 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 | | | |
| % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 98.55 | % Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 0 | | | |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 100 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 | | | |
| % Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 94.29 | % Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.07 | | | |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 | | | |
| % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network | 1.35 | % Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.1 | | | |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network | 0 | | | | | |
| % Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network | 0.02 | | | | | |
| | % Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area % Forested in Upstream Drainage Area % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network | NLCD (2011) % Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area 0.75 % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area 93.76 % Forested in Upstream Drainage Area 91.92 % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area 0.35 % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network 100 % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network 98.55 % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network 100 % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network 94.29 % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network 0 % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network 1.35 % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network 0 | NLCD (2011) % Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area 0.75 % Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area 93.76 % Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network % Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area 91.92 % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network % Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network 0 Chesapeake Conservancy (2016) % Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network % Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network % Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network % Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network % Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network % Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network % Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network | | | |



HUC 6

HUC 4

Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: CFPPP_42 Unknown

| | Network, Sys | stem | Туре | and Condition | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------|---|---|----------|----------|--|
| Functional Upstream Network | (mi) 0.16 | | Upstream Size Class Gain (#) | | ŧ) | 0 | |
| Total Functional Network (mi) 4.18 Absolute Gain (mi) 0.16 | | | # Downsteam Natural Barriers # Downstream Hydropower Dams | | | 0 4 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| # Size Classes in Total Network | 1 | | # Downstream Dams with Passage | | | 4 | |
| # Upstream Network Size Clas | ses 0 | | | # of Downstream Barriers | | 7 | |
| NFHAP Cumulative Disturbanc | e Index | | | Low | | | |
| Dam is on Conserved Land | | No ork 0 | | | | | |
| % Conserved Land in 100m Bu | ffer of Upstream Netwo | | | | | | |
| % Conserved Land in 100m Bu | ffer of Downstream Net | work | | 0 | | | |
| Density of Crossings in Upstrea | am Network Watershed | (#/m | 2) | 0 | | | |
| Density of Crossings in Downstream Network Watershed (#/m2) 0.24 | | | | | | | |
| Density of off-channel dams in | Upstream Network Wa | tersh | ed (#/ | /m2) 0 | | | |
| Density of off-channel dams in | Downstream Network | Wate | rshed | (#/m2) 0 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Downstrage Alexaite | | iadro | mous | | Nana Daa | | |
| | Downstream Alewife Historical | | Downstream Striped Bass None Doo | | | | |
| Downstream Blueback Historical | | | Downstream Atlantic Sturgeon None Doo | | | cumented | |
| Downstream American Shad None Documented | | | Downstream Shortnose Sturgeon None Docum | | | | |
| Downstream Hickory Shad None Documented | | | Downstream American Eel None Do | | | umented | |
| Presence of 1 or More Downstream Anadromous Spec | | | Histo | rical | | | |
| # Diadromous Species Downst | tream (incl eel) | | 0 | | | | |
| Resident Fish | | | | Stream Health | | | |
| Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) # Rare Fish (HUC8) | | No | | Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health POOR | | | |
| | | No | | MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health N/A | | | |
| | | No | | MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health | | N/A | |
| | | No | | | | N/A | |
| | | 50 | | | | High | |
| | | 0 | | PA IBI Stream Health | | | |
| | | 4 | | | | N/A | |
| # Rare Crayfish (HUC8) | | 0 | | | | | |
| / - (| | | | | | | |

