Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: MD_MDE389 Emergency Mang Institute

Bay-wide Diadromous Tier 18
Bay-wide Resident Tier 6
Bay-wide Brook Trout Tier N/A

NID ID

State ID MDE389
River Name Toms Creek

Dam Height (ft) 0

Dam Type

Latitude 0
Longitude 0

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 2: Small River (38.61 - 200 sq mi

HUC 12 Lower Toms Creek

HUC 10 Toms Creek
HUC 8 Monocacy
HUC 6 Potomac
HUC 4 Potomac







Landcover								
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)						
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	1.93	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	62.88					
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	70.53	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	50.17					
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	69.52	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	32.01					
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	14.99	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	39.72					
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	54.1	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.58					
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	43.71	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.35					
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	50.75	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.51					
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	30.17	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.96					
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	30.42	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	1.68					
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	38.99	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	3.66					
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	2.41							
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	3.98							



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CITTY Offique ID. WID_WIDLS	cos Lineigency Wan	11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
	Network, Sy	/stem [·]	Type and Cond	ition			
functional Upstream Network (mi) 45.35			Upstream Size Class Gain (#)			0	
Total Functional Network (mi) 2957.76			# Downsteam Natural Barriers			1	
Absolute Gain (mi)	45.35		# Downstream Hydropower Dams		r Dams	0	
# Size Classes in Total Networl	k 7		# Downstream Dams with Passage		assage	1	
# Upstream Network Size Clas	ses 3		# of Downstream Barriers			2	
NFHAP Cumulative Disturband	ce Index			High			
Dam is on Conserved Land			No				
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network				9.24			
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	ffer of Downstream Ne	twork		19.33			
Density of Crossings in Upstream Network Watershed (#/m				1.22			
Density of Crossings in Downs			,	1.35			
Density of off-channel dams ir				0			
Density of off-channel dams ir	n Downstream Network	Water	rshed (#/m2)	0			
	[Diadro	mous Fish				
Downstream Alewife	None Documented		Downstream S	nstream Striped Bass		None Documented	
Downstream Blueback	None Documented		Downstream A	vnstream Atlantic Sturgeon		None Documented	
Downstream American Shad	None Documented		Downstream S	Shortnose Sturgeon	None Doc	umented	
Downstream Hickory Shad	None Documented		Downstream A	American Eel	Current		
Presence of 1 or More Downs	tream Anadromous Spe	ecies	None Docume				
# Diadromous Species Downs	tream (incl eel)		1				
Resident Fish				Stream Health			
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment		No	Chesape	Chesapeake Bay Program Stream Health VERY_POOR			
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber)		No	MD MBS	MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream Health		Poor	
Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment		Yes	MD MBS	MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health		Fair	
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Catchment (DeWeber) Ye		Yes	MD MBS	MD MBSS Combined IBI Stream Health		Fair	
Native Fish Species Richness (HUC8) 36		36	VA INST	VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Health		N/A	
# Rare Fish (HUC8)		0	PA IBI St	PA IBI Stream Health		Fair	
# Rare Mussel (HUC8)		3					
# Rare Crayfish (HUC8)		0					

