## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: MD\_12288 MIDLAND-GILMORE RESERVOIR

Diadromous Tier 17

Brook Trout Tier N/A

Resident Tier 7

NID ID MD00267
State ID 12288

River Name Elklick Run

Dam Height (ft) 19

Dam Type Gravity
Latitude 39,5754

Longitude -78.9386

Passage Facilities None Documented

Passage Year N/A

Size Class 1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)

HUC 12 Upper Georges Creek

HUC 10 Georges Creek

HUC 8 North Branch Potomac

HUC 6 Potomac HUC 4 Potomac







Landcover						
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)				
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.04	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	93.51			
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	92.84	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	71.2			
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	92.73	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	5.25			
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	4.54	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	20.09			
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	92.51	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0.18			
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	68.35	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.24			
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	92.23	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.39			
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	64.28	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.47			
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	1.48	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.54			
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	11.77	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	4.93			
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0.11					
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	4.71					



## **Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet**

CFPPP Unique ID: MD\_12288 MIDLAND-GILMORE RESERVOIR

	Network, Syste	em Type	and Condition		
Functional Upstream Network	(mi) 3.04		Upstream Size Class Gain (	#)	0
Total Functional Network (mi)	341.91		# Downsteam Natural Barr	iers	1
Absolute Gain (mi)	3.04		# Downstream Hydropowe	r Dams	2
# Size Classes in Total Networ	k 4		# Downstream Dams with	Passage	1
# Upstream Network Size Clas	sses 1		# of Downstream Barriers		7
NFHAP Cumulative Disturband	ce Index		Moderate		
Dam is on Conserved Land			No		
% Conserved Land in 100m Buffer of Upstream Network			36.61		
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	uffer of Downstream Netwo	ork	12.4		
Density of Crossings in Upstre	am Network Watershed (#	!/m2)	0.52		
Density of Crossings in Downs	tream Network Watershed	d (#/m2)	1.59		
Density of off-channel dams in	n Upstream Network Wate	rshed (#	t/m2) 0		
Density of off-channel dams in	n Downstream Network Wa	atershed	d (#/m2) 0		
	Dia	dromous	s Fish		
Downstream Alewife	None Documented	Dow	Downstream Striped Bass None Do		cumented
Downstream Blueback	None Documented	Dow	vnstream Atlantic Sturgeon	None Do	cumented
Downstream American Shad	None Documented	Dow	vnstream Shortnose Sturgeon	None Do	cumented
De colore III I GI I	None Documented		Downstream American Eel None Do		cumented
Downstream Hickory Shad	None Documented	2011			
Downstream Hickory Shad  Presence of 1 or More Downs			e Docume		
•	stream Anadromous Specie		e Docume		
Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs	stream Anadromous Specie	es <b>Non</b>		ım Health	
Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs	stream Anadromous Specie stream (incl eel) ent Fish	es Non			h FAIR
Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs Reside	stream Anadromous Specie stream (incl eel) ent Fish ment No	o None	Strea	ream Healt	h FAIR Poor
Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs  Reside Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchn	ent Fish ment (DeWeber) No	o o	Strea Chesapeake Bay Program St	ream Healt n Health	Poor
# Diadromous Species Downs  Reside  Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchn  Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catchn	ent Fish ment No	o o o	Strea Chesapeake Bay Program St MD MBSS Benthic IBI Strean	ream Healt n Health ealth	
Presence of 1 or More Downs  # Diadromous Species Downs  Reside  Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchn  Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catch  Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch	ent Fish ment No chment (DeWeber) No catchment (DeWeber) No	o o o o	Strea Chesapeake Bay Program St MD MBSS Benthic IBI Strean MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream He	ream Healt n Health ealth am Health	Poor Very Poor
Presence of 1 or More Downs  # Diadromous Species Downs  Reside  Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchn  Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catch  Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch  Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT	ent Fish ment No chment (DeWeber) No catchment (DeWeber) No	0 0 0 0 0 0	Strea Chesapeake Bay Program St MD MBSS Benthic IBI Strean MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream He MD MBSS Combined IBI Stre	ream Healt n Health ealth am Health	Poor Very Poor Poor
Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs  Reside Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchn Barrier is in Modeled BKT Catch Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Native Fish Species Richness (	ent Fish ment No chment (DeWeber) No catchment (DeWeber) No (HUC8) 36	o o o o o o o	Streat Chesapeake Bay Program St MD MBSS Benthic IBI Strean MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream He MD MBSS Combined IBI Stre VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Hea	ream Healt n Health ealth am Health	Poor Very Poor Poor N/A

