Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

PA_PA00906	STEPHEN FOSTI
	8
1	
	4
PA00906	
PA00906	
49	
Earth	
41.7936	
-76.6569	
None Docume	ented
N/A	
1a: Headwate	r (0 - 3.861 sq mi)
	PA00906 49 Earth 41.7936 -76.6569 None Docume N/A

Mill Creek-Sugar Creek

Upper Susquehanna

Upper Susquehanna-Tunkhanno

Sugar Creek

Susquehanna

HUC 12

HUC 10

HUC 8

HUC 4



	Land	cover	
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)	
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.11	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	52.72
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	93.46	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	54.16
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area	85.97	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	10.29
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area	4.22	% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	33.75
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	96.8	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	57.7	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.51
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	44.8	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	44.4	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	2
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	3.2	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	27.91	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	3.88
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0.08		
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	3.93		



Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: PA_PA00906 STEPHEN FOSTER

CIFFF Offique ID. FA_FA003	00 SILPHLIN POSIL						
	Network, Sy	/stem	Туре а	nd Condition			
Functional Upstream Network	k (mi) 0.25			Upstream Size Cla	ss Gain (#	÷)	0
Total Functional Network (mi)	7072.8			# Downsteam Nat	ural Barri	ers	0
Absolute Gain (mi)	0.25			# Downstream Hy	dropowe	r Dams	4
# Size Classes in Total Networ	k 7			# Downstream Da	ms with F	Passage	5
# Upstream Network Size Clas	sses 0			# of Downstream	Barriers		6
NFHAP Cumulative Disturband	ce Index			Low			
Dam is on Conserved Land				Yes			
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	uffer of Upstream Netwo	ork		100			
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	uffer of Downstream Ne	twork	<	6.98			
Density of Crossings in Upstre	am Network Watershed	l (#/m	n2)	0			
Density of Crossings in Downs		-		0.98			
Density of off-channel dams in							
Density of off-channel dams in	n Downstream Network	Wate	ershed (#/m2) 0.01			
		Diadro	omous I	Fish			
Downstream Alewife	Historical		Downstream Striped Bass None Docur		cumented		
Downstream Blueback	Historical		Down	stream Atlantic Stu	rgeon	None Do	cumented
Downstream American Shad	None Documented		Down	stream Shortnose S	turgeon	None Do	cumented
Downstream Hickory Shad	None Documented		Down	stream American E	el	Current	
Presence of 1 or More Downs	stream Anadromous Spe	ecies	Histor	ical			
# Diadromous Species Downs	tream (incl eel)		1				
Reside	ent Fish				Strea	m Health	
Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchment No			Chesapeake Bay Pro			h FAIR	
Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat	chment (DeWeber)	Yes				N/A	
arrier Blocks an EBTJV Catchment Yes MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream Health		alth	N/A				
Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT	Catchment (DeWeber)			MD MBSS Combine			N/A
Native Fish Species Richness (,	34		VA INSTAR mIBI Str			N/A
# Rare Fish (HUC8)	,	1		PA IBI Stream Healt		-	Fair
# Rare Mussel (HUC8)		2		50. 60			
# Rare Crayfish (HUC8)		0					
		•					

