Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

1			-0-
	CFPPP Unique ID:	VA_16 MILLER PLACE D	AM
	Diadromous Tier	1	
	Brook Trout Tier	N/A	
	Resident Tier	6	1
	NID ID	VA04715	1
	State ID	16	IN
	River Name		1
	Dam Height (ft)	16	
	Dam Type	Gravity	
	Latitude	38.4143	
	Longitude	-77.878	
	Passage Facilities	None Documented	
	Passage Year	N/A	1
	Size Class	1a: Headwater (0 - 3.861 sq mi)	1
	HUC 12	Potato Run-Rapidan River	AIN.
	HUC 10	Cedar Run-Rapidan River	1
	HUC 8	Rapidan-Upper Rappahannock	
	HUC 6	Lower Chesapeake	
	HUC 4	Lower Chesapeake	



Landcover						
NLCD (2011)		Chesapeake Conservancy (2016)				
% Impervious Surface in Upstream Drainage Area	0.44	% Tree Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	55.2			
% Natural Cover in Upstream Drainage Area	39.35	% Tree Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	62.07			
% Forested in Upstream Drainage Area		% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	40.64			
% Agriculture in Upstream Drainage Area		% Herbaceaous Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	28.22			
% Natural Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	42.19	% Barren Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	0			
% Natural Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	61.15	% Barren Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	0.27			
% Forest Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	24.67	% Road Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.84			
% Forest Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	38.92	% Road Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	0.91			
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Upstream Network	53.13	% Other Impervious in ARA of Upstream Network	0.45			
% Agricultral Cover in ARA of Downstream Network	32.21	% Other Impervious in ARA of Downstream Network	1.01			
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Upstream Network	0.56					
% Impervious Surf in ARA of Downstream Network	1.05					

Chesapeake Fish Passage Prioritization - Dam Fact Sheet

CFPPP Unique ID: VA_16 MILLER PLACE DAM

	Network, Sys	tem Type	and Condition			
Functional Upstream Network	(mi) 7.4		Upstream Size Class Gain (#	!)	0	
Total Functional Network (mi)	3336.41		# Downsteam Natural Barri	ers	0	
Absolute Gain (mi)	7.4		# Downstream Hydropowe	Dams	0	
# Size Classes in Total Networ	k 5		# Downstream Dams with F	assage	0	
# Upstream Network Size Clas	sses 1		# of Downstream Barriers		0	
NFHAP Cumulative Disturband	ce Index		Very High			
Dam is on Conserved Land			No			
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	uffer of Upstream Networ	k	12.93			
% Conserved Land in 100m Bu	uffer of Downstream Netw	vork	20.81			
Density of Crossings in Upstre	am Network Watershed (#/m2)	0.56			
Density of Crossings in Downs	tream Network Watershe	ed (#/m2)	0.91			
Density of off-channel dams in	n Upstream Network Wate	ershed (#	/m2) 0			
Density of off-channel dams in	n Downstream Network W	Vatershed	d (#/m2) 0			
	Dia	adromous	s Fish			
Downstream Alewife	Current	Dow	nstream Striped Bass	None Doc	umented	
Downstream Blueback	Current	Dow	nstream Atlantic Sturgeon	None Doc	umented	
Downstream American Shad	None Documented	Dow	nstream Shortnose Sturgeon	None Doc	umented	
Downstream Hickory Shad	None Documented	Dow	nstream American Eel	Current		
Presence of 1 or More Downs	stream Anadromous Speci	ies Curr	ent			
•	·	ies Curr	ent			
Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs	·			m Health		
Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs Reside	etream (incl eel)				n GOOD	
Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs Reside Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchn	ent Fish	3	Strea	eam Health	n GOOD N/A	
Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs Reside Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchn Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat	ent Fish ment N chment (DeWeber)	3 No	Strea Chesapeake Bay Program Str	eam Health Health		
Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs Reside Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchn Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch	ent Fish ment chment (DeWeber) Y	3 No No Yes	Strea Chesapeake Bay Program Str MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream	eam Health Health alth	N/A	
Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs Reside Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchn Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT	ent Fish ment chment (DeWeber) ment Catchment (DeWeber) Catchment (DeWeber)	3 No No Yes	Strea Chesapeake Bay Program Str MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream He	eam Health Health alth am Health	N/A N/A	
Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs Reside Barrier is in EBTJV BKT Catchn Barrier is in Modeled BKT Cat Barrier Blocks an EBTJV Catch Barrier Blocks a Modeled BKT Native Fish Species Richness (ent Fish ment chment (DeWeber) ment Catchment (DeWeber) Catchment (DeWeber)	3 No No Yes No	Strea Chesapeake Bay Program Str MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream He MD MBSS Combined IBI Strea	eam Health Health alth am Health	N/A N/A N/A	
Presence of 1 or More Downs # Diadromous Species Downs	ent Fish ment chment (DeWeber) ment Catchment (DeWeber) Market (DeWeber) M	3 No No Yes No 38	Strea Chesapeake Bay Program Str MD MBSS Benthic IBI Stream MD MBSS Fish IBI Stream He MD MBSS Combined IBI Strea VA INSTAR mIBI Stream Heal	eam Health Health alth am Health	N/A N/A N/A Very High	

