



# $\lambda_{JS}$ à la Carte

Kirill Golubev  
kirill.golubev@utu.fi  
University of Turku  
Turku, Finland

**CCS Concepts:** • Software and its engineering → Software verification.

**Keywords:** JavaScript, formal methods, verification, program equivalence

## 1 Introduction

Half a page for overview and explaining motivation

## 2 à-la-carte-ness

Why Rocq[10], Coq à la Carte[5] as a foundation, why can't use directly, improvements, what I want to do

## 3 Targets for formalization

There exists industrial cases where it is paramount to have reusable proofs. For example: React vs Signals proposal.

There is yet to be a significant test of modularity for mainstream programming languages formalization.

There are several[6][1] developments that attempt to formalize and reason about JavaScript, however none of them is easy to extend with new features. Being one of the most used language, JavaScript provides a fertile ground for evaluating existing approaches for modular reasoning. Lack of sophisticated type system streamlines the encoding and makes it easier to gradually prove language properties, while keeping the formalization open to extension. Extensive specification[3] in natural language is also a very welcome addition.

Moreover, JavaScript has several frameworks[4] and dialects(e.g. TypeScript) that enable different styles of programming. Ability to reuse proofs about core language for dialects would be a nice showcase of modularity. The t39 proposal process[7] is transparent and well documented thus permitting mechanization of ongoing specification of nightly features before they are adopted to core language.

To the best of our knowledge no modular technique from above was ever used for mechanisation of a mainstream language.

We plan to, at first, follow  $\lambda_{JS}$ [6] formalization and then gradually extend it with features described in ECMA, while preserving the following properties.

- preservation of local closeness w.r.t small step semantics

```
forall c e c' e', lc e
  -> step c e c' e'
```

```
-> lc e'.
```

- progress theorem

```
forall c e, lc e
  -> isValue e
  \/ isError e
  \/ (exists c' e', step c e c' e').
```

The aim of the project is not to formalize the whole existing JavaScript semantics, but rather to test how far one can go with mechanisation, while maintaining extendability. With this goal in mind the following features of JavaScript are of the most interest: mutability, exceptions, reactivity and asynchronicity.

## 4 Discussion

There are other solutions to increase modularity of proofs.

Proof modularity papers

1. Family Polymorphism[8]

Rocq plugin for type family polymorphism

2. Program Logics à la Carte[12]

Coinduction with ITrees.

3. Interpreters à la Carte[11]

Containers as functors for fixpoints

Argue about that indirect encoding is too taxing.

It's interesting to look into the possibility of gradually encoding calculus of inductive constructions in a modular fashion.

Proof modularity comes with the cost of departing from the usual way of reasoning about inductive types. Even in case of Coq à la Carte departure is not quite dramatic, but still requires to rethink how one approaches proofs.

The ideal solution would be to have a correspondence between modular and inductive proofs. There is an existing work[9][2] that could enable that proofs transfer between "equivalent" datatypes.

Talk about how they achieve that and is it possible to leverage that for functor representation of chosen datatype.

Is it possible to use containers as a meta language, while preserving ability to do actual reasoning with inductive types in Rocq?

## References

- [1] Martin Bodin, Arthur Charguéraud, Daniele Filaretti, Philippa Gardner, Sergio Maffeis, Daiva Naudziuniene, Alan Schmitt, and Gareth Smith. 2014. A trusted mechanised JavaScript specification. In *Proceedings of the 41st ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*. 87–100.
- [2] Cyril Cohen, Enzo Crance, and Assia Mahboubi. 2024. Trocq: proof transfer for free, with or without univalence. In *European Symposium on Programming*. Springer, 239–268.
- [3] ECMA. 2015. *ECMA language specification*. ECMA International. <https://ecma-international.org/publications-and-standards/standards/ecma-262/>
- [4] Inc Facebook. 2019. *React: A JavaScript library for building user interfaces*. Facebook, Inc. <https://www.reactjs.org/>
- [5] Yannick Forster and Kathrin Stark. 2020. Coq à la carte: a practical approach to modular syntax with binders. In *Proceedings of the 9th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs*. 186–200.
- [6] Arjun Guha, Claudiu Saftoiu, and Shriram Krishnamurthi. 2010. The essence of JavaScript. In *ECOOP 2010—Object-Oriented Programming: 24th European Conference, Maribor, Slovenia, June 21–25, 2010. Proceedings 24*. Springer, 126–150.
- [7] Ecma International. 2025. *Ecma Technical Committee 39*. Ecma International. <https://ecma-international.org/technical-committees/tc39/>
- [8] Ende Jin, Nada Amin, and Yizhou Zhang. 2023. Extensible metatheory mechanization via family polymorphism. *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages* 7, PLDI (2023), 1608–1632.
- [9] Nicolas Tabareau, Éric Tanter, and Matthieu Sozeau. 2021. The marriage of univalence and parametricity. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)* 68, 1 (2021), 1–44.
- [10] The Coq Development Team. 2024. *The Coq Proof Assistant*. doi:10.5281/zenodo.14542673
- [11] Cas van der Rest, Casper Bach Poulsen, Arjen Rouvoet, Eelco Visser, and Peter Mosses. 2022. Intrinsically-typed definitional interpreters à la carte. *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages* 6, OOPSLA2 (2022), 1903–1932.
- [12] Max Vistrup, Michael Sammler, and Ralf Jung. 2025. Program Logics à la Carte. *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages* 9, POPL (2025), 300–331.