

Data Immersion 3.9

Step 1

Query 1

```
WITH top_country AS (  
    SELECT CO.country  
    FROM customer AS C  
    INNER JOIN address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id  
    INNER JOIN city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id  
    INNER JOIN country CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id  
    GROUP BY CO.country  
    ORDER BY COUNT(C.customer_id) DESC  
    LIMIT 10  
)  
top_city AS (  
    SELECT CI.city  
    FROM customer AS C  
    INNER JOIN address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id  
    INNER JOIN city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id  
    INNER JOIN country CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id  
    WHERE CO.country IN (SELECT * FROM top_country)  
    GROUP BY CO.country, CI.city  
    ORDER BY COUNT(C.customer_id) DESC  
    LIMIT 10  
)  
total_amount_paid AS (  
    SELECT C.customer_id, C.first_name, C.last_name, CO.country, CI.city,  
    SUM(P.amount) AS total_amount_payment  
    FROM payment AS P
```

INNER JOIN customer AS C ON C.customer_id = P.customer_id

INNER JOIN address AS A ON C.address_id = A.address_id

INNER JOIN city AS CI ON A.city_id = CI.city_id

INNER JOIN country CO ON CI.country_id = CO.country_id

WHERE CI.city IN (SELECT * FROM top_city)

GROUP BY C.customer_id, CO.country, CI.city

ORDER BY total_amount_payment DESC

LIMIT 5

)

SELECT AVG(total_amount_payment) AS average

FROM total_amount_paid;

The screenshot shows a SQL IDE interface with a query editor and a results pane. The query editor contains a complex SQL query with line numbers 13 to 35. The query calculates the average total amount paid by customers, filtered by city. The results pane shows a single row with the average value.

```
13 FROM customer AS C
14 INNER JOIN address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id
15 INNER JOIN city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id
16 INNER JOIN country CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id
17 WHERE CO.country IN (SELECT * FROM top_country)
18 GROUP BY CO.country, CI.city
19 ORDER BY COUNT(C.customer_id) DESC
20 LIMIT 10
21 ),
22 total_amount_paid AS (
23 SELECT C.customer_id, C.first_name, C.last_name, CO.country, CI.city, SUM(P.amount) AS total_amount_payment
24 FROM payment AS P
25 INNER JOIN customer AS C ON C.customer_id = P.customer_id
26 INNER JOIN address AS A ON C.address_id = A.address_id
27 INNER JOIN city AS CI ON A.city_id = CI.city_id
28 INNER JOIN country CO ON CI.country_id = CO.country_id
29 WHERE CI.city IN (SELECT * FROM top_city)
30 GROUP BY C.customer_id, CO.country, CI.city
31 ORDER BY total_amount_payment DESC
32 LIMIT 5
33 )
34 SELECT AVG(total_amount_payment) AS average
35 FROM total_amount_paid;
```

The results pane shows the following data:

average
105.5540000000000000

Query 2

```
WITH top_country AS (  
    SELECT CO.country  
    FROM customer AS C  
    INNER JOIN address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id  
    INNER JOIN city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id  
    INNER JOIN country AS CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id  
    GROUP BY CO.country  
    ORDER BY COUNT(C.customer_id) DESC  
    LIMIT 10  
,  
top_city AS (  
    SELECT CI.city, CO.country  
    FROM customer AS C  
    INNER JOIN address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id  
    INNER JOIN city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id  
    INNER JOIN country AS CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id  
    WHERE CO.country IN (SELECT country FROM top_country)  
    GROUP BY CO.country, CI.city  
    ORDER BY COUNT(C.customer_id) DESC  
    LIMIT 10  
,  
total_amount_paid AS (  
    SELECT C.customer_id, CO.country, SUM(P.amount) AS  
total_amount_payment
```

```

FROM payment AS P

INNER JOIN customer AS C ON C.customer_id = P.customer_id

INNER JOIN address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id

INNER JOIN city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id

INNER JOIN country AS CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id

WHERE CI.city IN (SELECT city FROM top_city)

GROUP BY C.customer_id, CO.country

ORDER BY SUM(P.amount) DESC

LIMIT 5
)

SELECT CO.country,

        COUNT(DISTINCT C.customer_id) AS all_customer_count,

        COUNT(DISTINCT T.customer_id) AS top_customer_count

FROM customer AS C

INNER JOIN address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id

INNER JOIN city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id

INNER JOIN country AS CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id

LEFT JOIN total_amount_paid AS T ON T.customer_id = C.customer_id AND
T.country = CO.country

GROUP BY CO.country

ORDER BY all_customer_count DESC

LIMIT 10;

```

Query

Query History

```
1  WITH top_country AS (  
2      SELECT CO.country  
3      FROM customer AS C  
4      INNER JOIN address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id  
5      INNER JOIN city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id  
6      INNER JOIN country AS CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id  
7      GROUP BY CO.country  
8      ORDER BY COUNT(C.customer_id) DESC  
9      LIMIT 10  
10 ),  
11 top_city AS (  
12     SELECT CI.city, CO.country  
13     FROM customer AS C  
14     INNER JOIN address AS A ON A.address_id = C.address_id  
15     INNER JOIN city AS CI ON CI.city_id = A.city_id  
16     INNER JOIN country AS CO ON CO.country_id = CI.country_id  
17     WHERE CO.country IN (SELECT country FROM top_country)  
18     GROUP BY CO.country, CI.city  
19     ORDER BY COUNT(C.customer_id) DESC  
20     LIMIT 10  
21 ),  
22 total_amount_paid AS (  
23     SELECT C.customer_id, CO.country, SUM(P.amount) AS total_amount_payment  
24     FROM payment AS P
```

Data Output

Messages

Notifications

<

3. Approach

I initially dissected the queries from our complex example, color-coding the outer sections for clarity before transforming them into CTEs. Each section was tackled by first creating a CTE using the **WITH** clause, then inserting the subquery previously designed. Following this, I incorporated the outer sections from the earlier task, ensuring they correctly referenced the CTE established at the start. For instance, in the second query, this involved adjusting the left join to connect properly with the CTE, streamlining the query structure for better readability and efficiency. This structure made my SQL queries more readable

and allowed easier maintenance and the potential to reuse the CTE within the same query, enhancing overall query organisation.

Step 2

1. CTEs generally offer better readability and maintainability, making complex queries more organised and easier to understand. They can also be referenced multiple times within the same query, which adds a layer of flexibility. While CTEs might not inherently perform faster than subqueries, their clarity and structured approach can lead to more reliable and easier to optimise SQL code.

2.

Comparing costs:

Query 1 task 3.8: "Aggregate (cost=166.06..166.07 rows=1 width=32)" 66msec

Query 2 task 3.8: "Limit (cost=268.77..268.80 rows=10 width=25)" 69msec

Query 1 task 3.9: "Aggregate (cost=166.06..166.07 rows=1 width=32)" 65msec

Query 2 task 3.9: "Limit (cost=268.77..268.80 rows=10 width=25)" 66msec

Step 3

When I set out to replace subqueries with Common Table Expressions (CTEs) in my SQL queries, I encountered a variety of challenges. First and foremost, CTEs require a top-down approach, meaning you need to start from the innermost subquery and work your way outwards, maintaining the original hierarchical relationships of the query logic. This often involves a detailed understanding of each subquery's role within the larger query, which can add complexity and potential for confusion if not carefully managed.

Another challenge arises in the way CTEs are utilised within the main query. Unlike subqueries, which are often contained within parentheses and can be embedded directly where needed, CTEs are defined at the beginning of the query and are then referenced in the FROM or WHERE clauses as if they were actual tables. This shift in syntax and conceptual approach requires careful planning to ensure that all references are correctly aligned with the defined CTEs, enhancing the need for meticulous attention to detail to prevent errors and ensure the integrity of the query's logic.