pati@iitg.ac.in 1

Tutorial problems: MA101-Calculus

IIT Guwahati, 2020

## Tutorial 1: Realnumbers1,2,3, Sequence1

1. Let S and T be nonempty and bounded above. Define  $S+T=\{s+t\mid s\in S, t\in T\}$ . Then show that  $\sup(S+T)=\sup S+\sup T$ .

Sol. Let  $a=\sup S$  and  $b=\sup T$ . In particular, a is an upper bound of S and b is an upper bound of S. That is,  $\forall s\in S,\, a\geq s$ , and  $\forall\, t\in T,\, b\geq t$ . Thus  $\forall\, s\in S, t\in T$ , we have  $a+b\geq s+t$ . That is, a+b is an upper bound of S+T.

Since  $S+T\neq\emptyset$  and bounded above,  $\sup(S+T)$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $d=\sup(S+T)$ . As a+b is already an upper bound, we will have  $d\leq a+b$ .

Now we show d=a+b. Suppose it is not true. Then d< a+b. Write  $d=a+b-\epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon>0$ . As  $a=\sup S$ ,  $\exists s\in S$  s.t.  $s>a-\epsilon/2$ . As  $b=\sup T$ ,  $\exists t\in T$  s.t.  $t>b-\epsilon/2$ . So, we have  $s+t\in S+T$  and  $s+t>a+b-\epsilon=d$ . Hence d cannot be an upper bound of S+T. Therefore it cannot be the least upper bound of S+T. This contradicts the fact that  $d=\sup(S+T)$ . So d=a+b.

2. Give a finite set, a countable set and an uncountable set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathsf{lub}\, S \in S$ . Give a finite set, a countable set and an uncountable set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathsf{lub}\, S \notin S$ .

Sol. First:  $\{1\}$ ,  $-\mathbb{N}$ , (0,1].

Second: For each nonempty finite set S, lub  $S \in S$ . For  $S = \emptyset$ , lub S does not exist. So  $S = \emptyset$ , the condition lub  $S \in S$  cannot hold.  $-\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , (0,1).

3. Let A and B be nonempty and bounded sets such that  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Order lub's of  $A \cup B$ , A and  $A \cap B$ .

Sol.  $\operatorname{lub} A \cap B \leq \operatorname{lub} A \leq \operatorname{lub} A \cup B$ .

4. Determine the sets  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$  and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right]$ .

Sol. (a) Ans:  $\{0\}$ . Note that  $0 \in$  all these sets. For any x > 0, by Archimedean principle, there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n_0} < x$ . Thus  $x \notin (-\frac{1}{n_0}, \frac{1}{n_0})$ . So x cannot be in the intersection. Similarly any x < 0 cannot be in the intersection.

pati@iitg.ac.in 2

- (b) Ans: ∅. Argue!
- 5. Let  $S \subseteq [1,2]$  be an infinite set. Show that it has a limit point.

Sol. Divide the interval into two halves and select the one which contains an infinite subset of S. Call it  $I_1=[a_1,b_1]$ . Now consider that interval and further divide that and continue. We will have closed intervals  $[a_1,b_1]\supseteq [a_2,b_2]\supseteq\ldots$ 

Using nested interval theorem, let  $a\in \cap [a_n,b_n]$ . We now show that a is a limit point of S. Consider a  $D_\epsilon(a)$ . Select n such that  $\frac{1}{2^n}<\epsilon$ . Since the length of  $I_n=[a_n,b_n]$  is  $\frac{1}{2^n}$ , and  $a\in I_n$ , we see that  $(a-\epsilon,a+\epsilon)$  completely contains  $I_n$ . But, recall that  $I_n$  contains infinitely many points of S. Hence,  $D_\epsilon(a)=(a-\epsilon,a)\cup(a,a+\epsilon)$  contains infinitely many points of S.

6. Let a < b. Supply 3 rationals and 3 irrationals inside (a, b).

Sol. Put  $n=\left[\frac{3}{b-a}\right]+1.$  Then the numbers are

$$\frac{[na]+1+\frac{1}{2}}{n}, \frac{[na]+1+\frac{1}{3}}{n}, \frac{[na]+1+\frac{1}{4}}{n}$$

and

$$\frac{[na] + 1 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}}{n}, \frac{[na] + 1 + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}}{n}, \frac{[na] + 1 + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}}{n}.$$

- 7. Consider the sequence  $(a_n = \frac{1}{n})$ .
  - a) Let  $a \neq 0$ . Then  $a_n \not\to a$  as  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  such that  $B_{\epsilon}(a)$  misses infinitely many terms of  $(a_n)$ . Give a value for  $\epsilon$ .

Sol. |a|/2

- b)  $a_n \to 0$  as each  $B_{\epsilon}(a)$  contains a tail (which may depend on  $\epsilon$ ) of  $(a_n)$ . Which tail?
- Sol.  $a_{[1/\epsilon]+1}, a_{[1/\epsilon]+2}, \ldots$  If someone gives an existential argument using Archimedean property, then it is fine. The intention of the exercise was to familiarize the students with the notations.
- 8. Let s > 0. Is  $\frac{[10^n s]}{10^n} \to s$ ?

Sol. Yes. Recall that for any real number a, we have  $[a] \leq a < [a] + 1$ .

Hence, 
$$[10^n s] \le 10^n s < [10^n s] + 1.$$

So 
$$0 \le 10^n s - [10^n s] \le 1$$
.

pati@iitg.ac.in 3

That is, 
$$0 \le s - \frac{[10^n s]}{10^n} < \frac{1}{10^n}$$
.

Sandwich lemma implies  $s-\frac{[10^ns]}{10^n}\to 0$ . Then by definition,  $\frac{[10^ns]}{10^n}\to s$ .