

# Homework 19

Austin Frownfelter      Matthew Bialecki

February 26, 2018

## 1 Problem 29

Show that if  $\overline{3SAT} \leq_r 3SAT$  then PH collapses to  $\Sigma_3^P$

Informal proof:

Assume there is a randomized reduction from  $\overline{3SAT}$  to 3SAT. Then there exists a function which maps  $\overline{3SAT}$  to 3SAT with some probability of correctness  $\geq \frac{2}{3}$ .

To make this a certain function (with probability = 1), expand the function to a  $\Sigma_3^P$  function.

This function must take  $\Sigma_3^P$  or harder to decide. Therefore, it is  $\Sigma_3^P$ -complete.

This  $\Sigma_3^P$  function is closed under its complement. Therefore,  $\Sigma_3^P = \Pi_3^P$ . Therefore, PH collapses to  $\Sigma_3^P$ .

---

$L \in \overline{3SAT}$

$L \leq_r L'$

$L' \in 3SAT$

Define a PTM M such that if  $x \in L$  most advice A will cause M(x,A) to accept, and if  $x \notin L$  most advice A will cause M(x,A) to reject.

There exists a TM N:

$x \in L$ :  $\forall a \exists w \forall A N(x, a, w, A)$  accepts

$x \notin L$ :  $\exists a \forall w \exists A N(x, a, w, A)$  rejects

For  $\overline{3SAT}$ , N(x,a,w,A) runs M(x,A), M(x,a), M(x,a $\oplus$ w<sub>1</sub>), ..., M(x,a $\oplus$ w<sub>k</sub>) and accepts if 1 of them accepts and rejects if all reject.

For 3SAT, N(x,a,w,A) runs M(x,A), M(x,a), M(x,a $\oplus$ w<sub>1</sub>), ..., M(x,a $\oplus$ w<sub>k</sub>) and accepts if all reject and rejects if 1 of them accepts.

This shows if there exists a randomized reduction from  $\overline{3SAT}$  to 3SAT, then the resulting language is  $\Sigma_3^P$ -complete. Also, it is closed under its complement (the complement is the negation of the quantifiers.) Thus it is also  $\Pi_3^P$ -complete. Therefore, the Polynomial Hierarchy would collapse down to  $\Sigma_3^P$ .