



Ahmad Fuad Jamaludin &lt;afuadj90@gmail.com&gt;

## Re: [pypsa] Capital cost issue

9 messages

Andreas R  th <andreas.rueth97@gmail.com>

Wed, Apr 13, 2022 at 4:32 PM

To: pypsa <pypsa@googlegroups.com>

Dear all,

I know I am a bit late to this thread, but this one seems to fit best with regards to my query.

Capital costs are something that is paid once for constructing an asset / component and will not be paid again. It is a fixed cost position. Now, if I run a simulation for 1 year (e.g. snapshots: 2013-01-01 to 2014-01-01), will the capital costs of the built components somehow be divided by a factor to take into account that I only simulate 1 year instead of the whole period this asset would be active?

Let me give you an example in case I was not clear enough:

The model (endogenously) adds additional capacity of 100 MW of PV power. The capital costs for that are 100 MUSD. Since I only simulate one year and a PV plant has an assumed lifetime of 20 years, would the model add capital costs of 100 MUSD, or 5 MUSD?

I guess it would be 100 MUSD, but I would like to clarify this before going ahead with my project as this would be a crucial thing to consider for me.

Thank you all for your help!

Best regards,  
Andreas

t.b...@tu-berlin.de schrieb am Sonntag, 6. Juni 2021 um 15:22:34 UTC+2:

Hi Alice,

The investment costs are annualised using a formula for the annuity that takes account of the discount rate, see e.g.

<https://nworbmot.org/courses/esm-2020/lectures/esm-lecture-11.pdf>

To this are added fixed O&M costs to get the fixed annual payment that enters PyPSA as the "capital\_cost".

Best,

Tom

On 06/06/2021 14:00, Alice Di Bella wrote:

> Hi everybody,

>

> I'm Alice Di Bella, I am currently writing my thesis for my Master  
> degree in Energy Engineering at Politecnico di Milano using Pypsa-eur. I  
> am currently having troubles understanding how the cost variables  
> (capital\_cost and marginal\_cost) work.

>

> I'll be more precise: I ran a simulaion with 37 nodes in europe,  
> timestep of 24 hours, using config.default.yaml and I don't understand  
> how the capital cost is calculated.  
> For example the capital cost for onwind, taken from  
> network.generators.capital\_cost is 109.3 €/kW but in the data costs.csv  
> the value was 1040 €/kW. I know that I should consider a certain  
> discount rate, but the difference is of an entire order of magnitude, so  
> I think it's a bit weird. Can anybody help me with this please?  
> It would be important to go on with my work :)

>

> Thank you in advance ,  
> Alice Di Bella

>

> --

> You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google  
> Groups "pypsa" group.  
> To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send  
> an email to pypsa+un...@googlegroups.com  
> <mailto:pypsa+un...@googlegroups.com>.  
> To view this discussion on the web, visit  
> <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/pypsa/11e3b925-b53f-40de-89a6-0450f30b359dn%40googlegroups.com>  
> <[https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/pypsa/11e3b925-b53f-40de-89a6-0450f30b359dn%40googlegroups.com?utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_source=footer](https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/pypsa/11e3b925-b53f-40de-89a6-0450f30b359dn%40googlegroups.com?utm_medium=email&utm_source=footer)>.

--

Tom Brown (he/him)  
Professor of Digital Transformation in Energy Systems  
Institute of Energy Technology  
Technische Universit  t Berlin

Group website: <https://www.ensys.tu-berlin.de/>

Personal website: <https://nworbmot.org/>

Visitor Address:

Einsteinufer 25 (TA 8)  
10587 Berlin

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "pypsa" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to [pypsa+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com](mailto:pypsa+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com).

To view this discussion on the web, visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/pypsa/6fcd6871-2a66-4f79-8ee2-22f1034f77d9n%40googlegroups.com>.

---

**lisaze...@gmail.com** <lisazeyen.lz@gmail.com>  
To: pypsa <pypsa@googlegroups.com>

Thu, Apr 14, 2022 at 8:04 AM

Hi Andreas,

If you run the optimisation for one single year it makes sense to annualise the capital costs (this does not happen internally in pypsa). In your example the capital cost should be 5 MUSD. You can find an example on how we normally annualise the capital costs here

[https://github.com/PyPSA/pypsa-eur/blob/3acc4a22360cbf6c3f22c63880f55c465ee8244b/scripts/add\\_electricity.py#L141-L143](https://github.com/PyPSA/pypsa-eur/blob/3acc4a22360cbf6c3f22c63880f55c465ee8244b/scripts/add_electricity.py#L141-L143)

Best wishes,

Lisa

[Quoted text hidden]

To view this discussion on the web, visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/pypsa/3f2e204a-5df4-4caf-9afe-e86c01959f7fn%40googlegroups.com>.

---

**Andreas R  th** <andreas.rueth97@gmail.com>  
To: pypsa <pypsa@googlegroups.com>

Thu, Apr 14, 2022 at 11:34 AM

Hi Lisa,

thanks for the swift answer. After investigating the code, I get the idea. Definitely a very helpful reply!

I don't really get the point about the "Nyears", though. Staying in the example above, could you explain to me what the value for Nyears would be? I am having trouble understanding what exactly is the calculation behind this with respect to snapshot.weightings and so on.

$Nyears = n.snapshot\_weightings.objective.sum() / 8760$

Could you give me an idea of what would be the value for Nyears in the example presented above?

I am running 1 year of simulation with 4h-snapshots, in case that's relevant. Maybe you could reiterate how the Nyear would change with changing the Xh-snapshots and Y years of simulation. That would be incredibly helpful.

Thank you for your help, best regards,  
Andreas

[Quoted text hidden]

To view this discussion on the web, visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/pypsa/fe1b4674-0ad2-4f25-9021-e4bb51ea40b4n%40googlegroups.com>.

---

**lisaze...@gmail.com** <lisazeyen.lz@gmail.com>  
To: pypsa <pypsa@googlegroups.com>

Thu, Apr 14, 2022 at 12:15 PM

Hi Andreas,

the "NYears" in the example code stands for the Number of Years of your optimisation. So in your example it should be equal to 1. If you run a simulation with every 4th snapshot or a resampling to 4h you do have a network with 2190 snapshots and  $n.snapshot\_weightings.objective$  are probably equal to 4, so  $Nyears = n.snapshot\_weightings.objective.sum() / 8760$  should be 1 as well.

Best,  
Lisa

[Quoted text hidden]

To view this discussion on the web, visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/pypsa/4c368a24-898d-4796-9a60-492f9ea4dfean%40googlegroups.com>.

---

**Andreas R  th** <andreas.rueth97@gmail.com>  
To: pypsa <pypsa@googlegroups.com>

Thu, Apr 14, 2022 at 12:27 PM

Hi Lisa,

thanks again. Reading that, I assume changing nyears manually to (1 / the lifetime of a component) would lead to reasonable consideration of the capex if I run the simulation only for 1 year.

Thank you so much.

Br,  
Andreas

[Quoted text hidden]

To view this discussion on the web, visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/pypsa/5ae5c9e3-4f1a-4c13-8f26-c6670c6d8da1n%40googlegroups.com>.

---

**Ahmad Fuad Jamaludin** <afuadj90@gmail.com>  
To: pypsa <pypsa@googlegroups.com>

Mon, May 23, 2022 at 11:38 PM

Dear all,

I am trying to calculate techno-economic parameters for different electricity generation technologies, for year 2030, 2040 and 2050.

Currently I am referring to the formula mentioned by Lisa which is used in the pypsa-eur add\_electricity script. Based on the formula, for costs["FOM"], and also, referring to the pypsa-eur costs.csv file, for example, for onwind technology, with the FOM value of 2.450549%/year, may I know how was the value obtained? Especially to get it in terms of percentage %/year. I have tried to calculate based on below examples/resources but still couldn't get it. Or maybe I am referring to the wrong raw data sources?

1) NPV example - [https://nworbmot.org/courses/esm-2020/lectures/notebooks/NPV\\_examples.html](https://nworbmot.org/courses/esm-2020/lectures/notebooks/NPV_examples.html)

2) Numbers from the raw data sources of DEA <https://ens.dk> website, screenshot of the table as below (highlighted in YELLOW colour), and its original excel file attached in this message (need to go to the "20 Onshore turbines" sheet of the excel file)


Technology	20 Large wind turbines on land										
Year of final investment decision	2015	2020	2030	2040	2050	Uncertainty (2020)		Uncertainty (2050)		Note	Ref
Energy/technical data						Lower	Upper	Lower	Upper		
Generating capacity for one unit (MW)	3.1	4.2	5	5.5	6	2.0	6.0	1.5	8.0	A1	3
Average annual full-load hours	3100	3400	3600	3700	3800	2000	4000	2000	4500	A, L	3
Forced outage (%)	3.0%	2.5%	2.0%	1.8%	1.5%	1.0%	5.0%	1.0%	5.0%	B	4
Planned outage (%)	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.5%	C	4
Technical lifetime (years)	25	27	30	30	30	25	35	25	40	D	14
Construction time (years)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	3	1	3	E	4
Space requirement (1000m2/MW)	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	F	
Regulation ability											
Primary regulation (% per 30 seconds)										G	
Secondary regulation (% per minute)										G	
Financial data (in 2015€)											
Nominal investment (M€/MW)	1.33	1.12	1.04	0.98	0.96	0.77	1.16	0.80	1.19		16, 2, 4
- of which equipment	0.89	0.71	0.64	0.59	0.58	0.57	0.86	0.46	0.69		25
- of which installation/development	0.12	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.11	0.06	0.09		25
- of which is related to grid connection	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.06		25
- of which is related to rent of land	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.10		25
- of which is related to decommissioning of existing turbines	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04		25
- of which is related to other costs (i.e. compensation of neighbours, etc.)	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.17	0.11	0.17	I	25,26
Fixed O&M (€/MW/year)	25,600	14,000	12,600	11,592	11,340	11,200	16,800	9,072	13,608	I	25,26
Variable O&M (€/MWh)	2.80	1.50	1.35	1.24	1.22	1.20	1.80	0.97	1.46		
Technology specific data											
Rotor diameter	106	130	145	155	165	90	130	100	150	K	4, 26
Hub height	85	85	100	105	110	85	120	85	150		4, 26
Specific power (W/m2)	351	316	303	291	281	314	452	191	453		
Average capacity factor	35%	39%	41%	42%	43%	23%	46%	23%	51%		4, 26
Average availability (%)	97%	97%	98%	98%	98%	99%	95%	99%	95%		

Once I fully understand how the numbers were obtained for year 2030 as in the costs.csv file, then I can proceed to calculate for 2040 and 2050. Really appreciate any help and many thanks in advance!

Regards,  
Fuad

[Quoted text hidden]

To view this discussion on the web, visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/pypsa/3b8e4e2a-f5f8-45a9-b42b-7d8ea08ba033n%40googlegroups.com>.

 **technology\_data\_for\_el\_and\_dh.xlsx**  
691K

lisaze...@gmail.com <lisazeyen.lz@gmail.com>  
To: pypsa <pypsa@googlegroups.com>

Tue, May 24, 2022 at 8:43 AM

Hi Fuad,

the Fixed Operational and Maintenance cost (FOM) are given in our input data as a percentage of the investment costs. E.g. for onshore wind turbines investment costs in 2030 are  $1.04 \times 10^6$  EUR/MW and FOM are  $12.6 \times 10^3$  EUR/MW/year so the FOM in our format as a percentage are  $12.6 \times 10^3 / 1.04 \times 10^6 = 0.0122$  per unit / year = 1.22%/year.

We do have all the technology data already for different years calculated you can find it in this repository here  
<https://github.com/PyPSA/technology-data/tree/master/outputs>

Also if you want to check all the conversions we are doing getting from the raw data to our input files check the script in the same repository here  
[https://github.com/PyPSA/technology-data/blob/master/scripts/compile\\_cost\\_assumptions.py](https://github.com/PyPSA/technology-data/blob/master/scripts/compile_cost_assumptions.py)

Best wishes,  
Lisa

[Quoted text hidden]

You received this message because you are subscribed to a topic in the Google Groups "pypsa" group.

To unsubscribe from this topic, visit <https://groups.google.com/d/topic/pypsa/Ybi1M9SXX-c/unsubscribe>.

To unsubscribe from this group and all its topics, send an email to [pypsa+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com](mailto:pypsa+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com).

To view this discussion on the web, visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/pypsa/4b2680d9-2f2e-4407-99cd-26a80d892532n%40googlegroups.com>.

---

**Qui Rin** <quirin.bufler@fau.de>  
To: pypsa <pypsa@googlegroups.com>

Wed, May 25, 2022 at 6:00 PM

Hi Lisa,

I am doing a multi-investment optimization and can't figure out how the capital costs are handled. When I add them like in the `add_electricity` script, should I use `Nyears = sum of all investment-periods durations`, or just the durations themselves or per year (as the `investment_period_weightings` contribute to the objective function..)?

Best Quirin

[Quoted text hidden]

To view this discussion on the web, visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/pypsa/cace0144-2dd6-4e39-b962-f248432f6192n%40googlegroups.com>.

---

**lisaze...@gmail.com** <lisazeyen.lz@gmail.com>  
To: pypsa <pypsa@googlegroups.com>

Mon, May 30, 2022 at 9:44 AM

Hi Quirin,  
the capital costs are added as annualised capital costs for one single year (`Nyears=1`). If you use the multi period optimisation you can add a weighting for the time difference between the investment periods via the `investment_period_weightings` (e.g. investment periods 2025, 2030 with investment period weightings 5). The `n.investment_periods["years"]` waits your dispatch or also you CO2 constraints, the `n.investment_periods["objective"]` the costs (here you can add for example an additional factor for the social discount rate).

Best,  
Lisa

[Quoted text hidden]

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to [pypsa+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com](mailto:pypsa+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com).

To view this discussion on the web, visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/pypsa/1c3af7e5-f6db-42ec-8fb9-627066f11ed2n%40googlegroups.com>.