© The Editorial Office of CAM and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011

Fractional Moments of Automorphic L-Functions on $\mathrm{GL}(m)^*$

Qinghua PI¹

Abstract Let π be an irreducible unitary cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, $m \geq 2$. Assume that π is self-contragredient. The author gets upper and lower bounds of the same order for fractional moments of automorphic L-function $L(s,\pi)$ on the critical line under Generalized Ramanujan Conjecture; the upper bound being conditionally subject to the truth of Generalized Riemann Hypothesis.

Keywords Moments, Automorphic *L*-functions, GRC **2000 MR Subject Classification** 11F70, 11M26

1 Introduction

Understanding moments of families of L-functions on the critical line has long been an important subject in number theory. According to conjectures of Langlands, the general L-functions should be expressed as products of primitive L-functions $L(s,\pi)$ attached to cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. For m=1, these are the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ and Dirichlet L-functions $L(s,\chi)$ with χ primitive. It is conjectured that

$$\int_0^T \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt \sim C_k T(\log T)^{k^2}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $k \geq 0$ and $C_k > 0$ is a constant. For k = 1 and k = 2, (1.1) was proved by Hardy and Littlewood [1] in 1918 and Ingham [2] in 1926, respectively. However, no unconditional asymptotic formula has yet been proved for any other k.

It is of interest therefore to ask for the weaker result

$$T(\log T)^{k^2} \ll \int_0^T \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt \ll T \left(\log T \right)^{k^2}. \tag{1.2}$$

In this direction, Ramacharadra [3–4] and Heath-Brown [5–6] proved that the lower bound in (1.2) holds for all rational $k \geq 0$, and the upper bound holds for $k = \frac{1}{v}$, where v is a positive integer. Moreover, under Riemann Hypothesis (RH in brief), they showed that the lower bound holds for all real $k \geq 0$, and the upper bound holds for $0 \leq k \leq 2$. Recently, Soundararajan [7] showed that, under RH,

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2k} dt \ll T \left(\log T \right)^{k^{2} + \varepsilon}$$

Manuscript received June 6, 2009. Revised March 20, 2011.

 $^{^1{\}rm School}$ of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China. E-mail: qhpi@mail.sdu.edu.cn

^{*}Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10971119).

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any k > 0. For Dirichlet L-functions $L(s, \chi)$, we refer to [8]. For m = 2, the asymptotic formula for the second moment of automorphic L-functions L(s, f) attached to a holomorphic cusp form f for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ was obtained by Good [9]. Recently, using Heath-Brown's method [5], Laurinčikas and Steuding [10] showed that

$$\int_0^T \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathrm{i}t, f\right) \right|^{k^2} \mathrm{d}t \gg T \left(\log T\right)^{k^2} \quad \text{for } k = \frac{1}{v} \text{ with } v \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\int_0^T \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathrm{i}t, f\right) \right|^{k^2} \mathrm{d}t \ll T \left(\log T\right)^{k^2} \quad \text{for } k = \frac{1}{v} \text{ with } 2 \mid v \text{ and } v \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the upper bound was proved under Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH in brief). Lü and Sun [11] further improved the lower bound by extending the range of k to $k = \frac{u}{v} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this paper, we are concerned with the fractional moments of automorphic L-functions $L(s,\pi)$, where $\pi=\otimes\pi_p$ is an irreducible unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, where, throughout the paper, $m\geq 2$. To this end, we recall some background on automorphic L-functions.

Let $s = \sigma + \mathrm{i}t$. For $\sigma > 1$, $L(s, \pi)$ is defined by the products of local factors,

$$L(s,\pi) = \prod_{p < \infty} L_p(s,\pi_p), \quad L_p(s,\pi_p) = \prod_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{\pi}(p,j)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}.$$

The complete L-function attached to π is defined by

$$\Phi(s,\pi) = L_{\infty}(s,\pi_{\infty})L(s,\pi),\tag{1.3}$$

where $L_{\infty}(s, \pi_{\infty}) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + \mu_{\pi}(j))$. Here $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s) = \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)$, and $\alpha_{\pi}(p, j)$ and $\mu_{\pi}(j)$ $(j = 1, \dots, m)$ are complex numbers associated with π_{p} and π_{∞} , respectively. It is well-known that all the non-trivial zeros of $L(s, \pi)$ are in the critical strip $0 < \sigma < 1$, while GRH predicts that they lie on the vertical line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. For $m \geq 2$, $\Phi(s, \pi)$ is entire and satisfies a functional equation

$$\Phi(s,\pi) = \varepsilon(s,\pi)\Phi(1-s,\widetilde{\pi}),\tag{1.4}$$

with $\widetilde{\pi}$ the representation contragredient to π and $\varepsilon(s,\pi) = \varepsilon_{\pi} N_{\pi}^{\frac{1}{2}-s}$, where ε_{π} is the root number and $N_{\pi} > 1$ is the conductor. For any $p \leq \infty$, $\widetilde{\pi}_p$ is equivalent to the complex conjugate $\overline{\pi}_p$, and thus

$$\{\alpha_{\widetilde{\pi}}(j,p)\} = \{\overline{\alpha_{\pi}(j',p)}\}, \quad \{\mu_{\widetilde{\pi}}(j)\} = \{\overline{\mu_{\pi}(j')}\}. \tag{1.5}$$

Denote

$$a_{\pi}(p^{\ell}) = \sum_{1 \le j \le m} \alpha_{\pi}(p, j)^{\ell}.$$
 (1.6)

Then for $\sigma > 1$, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\log L(s,\pi) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)a_{\pi}(n)}{n^s},$$

where $\Lambda(n) = \log p$ is $n = p^{\ell}$ and if 0 otherwise.

In this paper, we assume the Generalized Ramanujan Conjecture (GRC in brief) which states that for any unramified p,

$$|\alpha_{\pi}(p,j)| = 1$$
 and $\text{Re}\,\mu_{\pi}(j) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$ (1.7)

An important consequence of GRC is Selberg's orthogonality conjecture proposed by Selberg [12] in 1989, which states as follows. Let π and π' be automorphic irreducible cuspidal representations of the groups $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $GL_{m'}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, respectively. Then

$$\sum_{p \le x} \frac{a_{\pi}(p)\overline{a}_{\pi'}(p)}{p} = \begin{cases} \log\log x + O(1), & \text{if } \pi \cong \pi', \\ O(1), & \text{if } \pi \not\cong \pi'. \end{cases}$$
 (1.8)

(1.8) was proved by Rudnick and Sarnak [13] under the hypothesis

$$\sum_{p} \frac{|a_{\pi}(p^{\ell})|^2 (\log p)^2}{p^{\ell}} < \infty,$$

which is an easy consequence of GRC. Under GRC, Liu and Ye [14] proved (1.8) in a more precise form. Precisely, they proved the following result.

Proposition 1.1 Let π and π' be automorphic irreducible cuspidal representations of the groups $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $GL_{m'}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, respectively, such that at least one of π and π' is self contragredient: $\pi \cong \widetilde{\pi}$ or $\pi' \cong \widetilde{\pi'}$. Assume GRC for both π and π' . Then

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{a_{\pi}(p)\overline{a}_{\pi'}(p)}{p} = \begin{cases} \log\log x + C_1 + O(\exp\{-c\sqrt{\log x}\}), & \pi' \cong \pi, \\ C_2 + \operatorname{Ei}(\mathrm{i}\tau_0\log x) + O(\exp\{-c\sqrt{\log x}\}), & \pi' \cong \pi \otimes \alpha^{\mathrm{i}\tau_0} \text{ for some } \tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}, \\ C_3 + O(\exp\{-c\sqrt{\log x}\}), & \pi' \ncong \pi \otimes \alpha^{\mathrm{i}\tau} \text{ for any } \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

where Ei is the exponential integral, and C_1 , C_2 , C_3 are positive constants.

For $k \geq 0$ and $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we define $I_k(\sigma, T, \pi) = \int_0^T |L(\sigma + it, \pi)|^{2k} dt$. For brevity, we denote

$$I_k\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right) := I_k\left(\frac{1}{2},T,\pi\right) = \int_0^T \left|L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathrm{i}t,\pi\right)\right|^{2k} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Theorem 1.1 Assume GRC. Let π be an automorphic irreducible cuspidal representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that π is self-contragredient. Let $k \in \mathbb{Q}$, $k \geq 0$. Then as $T \to \infty$, we have $I_k(\frac{1}{2},T) \gg T(\log T)^{k^2}$. Under GRH, the range of k can be extended to $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.2 Assume GRC and GRH. Let π be as in Theorem 1.1. Let $0 \le k \le \frac{2}{m} - \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Then as $T \to \infty$, we have $I_k\left(\frac{1}{2}, T\right) \ll T\left(\log T\right)^{k^2}$.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are proved by Heath-Brown [5]. Since GRC was proved by Deligne [15] for π being representations corresponding to holomorphic cusp forms, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 hold without assuming GRC for m=2. Thus Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 improve Laurinčikas and Steuding's result and Lü and Sun's result. We also note that Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 generalize the recent results of Fomenko [16].

In the sequel, we will use c_1, c_2, \cdots to denote positive constants and the implied constants in " \ll " and " \gg " depending on m, k and π .

2 Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Let $w(t,T) = \int_T^{2T} e^{-(t-\tau)^2} d\tau$. Then w(t,T) has the following properties:

$$\begin{cases} w(t,T) \ll 1 & \text{for all } t, \\ w(t,T) \gg 1 & \text{for } \frac{4T}{3} \le t \le \frac{5T}{3}. \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

Define

$$J(\sigma,T) := J_k(\sigma,T,\pi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |L(\sigma+it,\pi)|^{2k} w(t,T) dt.$$

By (2.1), we have $J(\frac{1}{2},T) \ll I_k(\frac{1}{2},3T)$. Thus Theorem 1.1 follows if under GRC,

$$J\left(\frac{1}{2}, T\right) \gg T \left(\log T\right)^{k^2} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Q}, \ k \ge 0,$$
 (2.2)

and under GRH, it holds for $k \geq 0$.

On the other hand, if we can establish the upper bound

$$J\left(\frac{1}{2}, T\right) \ll T(\log T)^{k^2} \quad \text{for } 0 \le k \le \frac{2}{m} - \varepsilon \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0,$$
 (2.3)

under GRC and GRH, then by (2.1), we also have

$$I_k\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5T}{3}\right) - I_k\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{4T}{3}\right) \ll J\left(\frac{1}{2}, T\right) \ll T(\log T)^{k^2}.$$

Replacing T by $\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^j T$ and summing up over $j=1,2,\cdots$, we obtain Theorem 1.2.

The following three sections will be devoted to the proof of (2.2) and (2.3).

3 The Coefficients of $L(s,\pi)^k$

Let $s = \sigma + \mathrm{i} t$. For $\sigma > 1$, we define a branch of the multi-valued function $L(s,\pi)^k$ by

$$L(s,\pi)^k = \exp\left\{k \log L(s,\pi)\right\} = \exp\left\{k \sum_{p} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \frac{\alpha_\pi(p,j)^\ell}{\ell p^{\ell s}}\right\} = \prod_{p} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_\pi(p,j)}{p^s}\right)^{-k}.$$

For |z| < 1, we have $(1-z)^{-k} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+\ell)}{\Gamma(k)\ell!} z^{\ell}$. For positive integers ℓ , define

$$d_k(p^{\ell}) = \frac{\Gamma(k+\ell)}{\Gamma(k)\ell!} = \frac{k(k+1)\cdots(k+\ell-1)}{\ell!}.$$

Then for $\sigma > 1$, we have

$$L(s,\pi)^k = \prod_{p} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_k(p^{\ell})}{p^{\ell s}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h_k(n)}{n^s},$$

where $h_k(n)$ is the multiplicative function given by

$$h_k(p^{\ell}) = \sum_{\substack{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_m = \ell \\ \ell_i > 0}} d_k(p^{\ell_1}) \alpha_{\pi}(p, 1)^{\ell_1} \cdots d_k(p^{\ell_m}) \alpha_{\pi}(p, m)^{\ell_m} \quad \text{for } \ell \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (3.1)

Lemma 3.1 (see [5]) The multiplicative function $d_k(n)$ satisfies the following properties:

- (1) For $k \ge 0$ and $n \ge 1$, we have $d_k(n) \ge 0$;
- (2) For fixed $k \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $d_k(n) \ll n^{\varepsilon}$;
- (3) If ℓ is an integer, then $d_{k\ell}(n) = \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_\ell = n} d_k(n_1) \cdots d_k(n_\ell)$.

Lemma 3.2 (see [17, 18]) Let $f(n) \ge 0$ be a multiplicative function satisfying

- (i) $\sum_{p \le x} \frac{\log p}{p} f(p) \sim \tau \log x \text{ for some } \tau > 0;$

- (ii) $f(p) \ll 1$; (iii) $\sum_{\substack{p,\ell \geq 2 \\ p^{\ell} \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{f(p^{\ell})}{p^{\ell}} < \infty$; (iv) $\sum_{\substack{p,\ell \geq 2 \\ n^{\ell} \in \mathcal{P}}} f(p^{\ell}) \ll \frac{x}{\log x}$.

Then

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \sim \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_0 \tau}}{\Gamma(\tau)} \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leq x} \Big(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(p^{\ell})}{p^{\ell}} \Big),$$

where γ_0 is Euler's constant.

Lemma 3.3 Assume GRC. Let π be an automorphic irreducible cuspidal representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, such that π is self-contragredient. We have

$$\sum_{n \le x} \left| h_k(n) \right|^2 \sim \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_0 k^2}}{\Gamma(k^2)} \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \le x} \Big(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left| h_k(p^\ell) \right|^2}{p^\ell} \Big),$$

where γ_0 is Euler's constant.

Proof Note that $d_k(p) = k$. By (3.1) and (1.6), we have $h_k(p) = d_k(p)(\alpha_{\pi}(p,1) + \cdots$ $+\alpha_{\pi}(p,m) = ka_{\pi}(p)$. By Proposition 1.1,

$$\sum_{p \le x} \frac{|a_{\pi}(p)|^2}{p} = \log \log x + C_1 + R(x),$$

where $R(x) \ll \exp\{-c\sqrt{\log x}\}$. Integrating by parts, we get

$$\sum_{p \le x} \frac{\log p}{p} |h_k(p)|^2 = k^2 \int_2^x \log u \, d \sum_{p \le u} \frac{|a_\pi(p)|^2}{p}$$

$$= k^2 \int_2^x \log u \, d \log \log u + k^2 \int_2^x \log u \, dR(u)$$

$$= k^2 \log x + O(1)$$

$$\sim k^2 \log x, \quad \text{as } x \to \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Next, by (1.7), we have

$$|h_k(p)|^2 = k^2 |a_{\pi}(p)|^2 \le k^2 m^2 \ll_{k,m} 1.$$
 (3.3)

Moreover, by (1.7), (3.1) and Lemma 3.1(3), we have for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left| h_k(p^{\ell}) \right| \le \sum_{\substack{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_m = \ell \\ \ell_i > 0}} d_k(p^{\ell_1}) \cdots d_k(p^{\ell_m}) = d_{km}(p^{\ell}) \ll p^{\varepsilon \ell}. \tag{3.4}$$

Thus, for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$\sum_{p,\ell>2} \frac{\left|h_k(p^\ell)\right|^2}{p^\ell} \ll \sum_{p,\ell>2} \frac{1}{p^{\ell(1-\varepsilon)}} = \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{1-\varepsilon}(p^{1-\varepsilon}-1)} < \infty. \tag{3.5}$$

Finally,

$$\sum_{\substack{p,\ell \geq 2 \\ p^{\ell} < x}} \left| h_k(p^{\ell}) \right|^2 \ll \sum_{\substack{p,\ell \geq 2 \\ p^{\ell} < x}} p^{2\varepsilon \ell} \ll \sum_{p \leq \sqrt{x}} \sum_{\ell \leq \frac{\log x}{\log p}} p^{2\varepsilon \ell} \ll x^{2\varepsilon} \log x \sum_{p \leq \sqrt{x}} 1 \ll x^{\frac{1}{2} + 3\varepsilon} \ll \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

Combined with this estimate, Lemma 3.3 follows from (3.2), (3.4), (3.5) and Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.4 Assume GRC. For any fixed k > 0, there exists a constant C > 0, such that

$$\left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-k^2} \ll \sum_{n \le N} \frac{|h_k(n)|^2}{n^{2\sigma}} \ll \left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-k^2}, \quad uniformly \ for \ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{C}{\log N} \le \sigma \le 1,$$

$$(\log N)^{k^2} \ll \sum_{n \le N} \frac{|h_k(n)|^2}{n} \ll (\log N)^{k^2}.$$

Proof By Lemma 3.3, we have the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{n \le x} |h_k(n)|^2 \sim \frac{e^{-\gamma_0 k^2}}{\Gamma(k^2)} \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \le x} \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{|h_k(p^{\ell})|^2}{p^{\ell}} \right).$$

By (3.3), (3.4) and Proposition 1.1, we have

$$\prod_{p \le x} \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left| h_k(p^{\ell}) \right|^2}{p^{\ell}} \right) = \exp\left\{ \sum_{p \le x} \log\left(1 + \frac{\left| h_k(p) \right|^2}{p} + O\left(\frac{1}{p^{2(1-\varepsilon)}}\right) \right) \right\}
= \exp\left\{ \sum_{p \le x} \frac{\left| h_k(p) \right|^2}{p} + O(1) \right\}
= \exp\left\{ k^2 \sum_{p \le x} \frac{\left| a_{\pi}(p) \right|^2}{p} + O(1) \right\}
= e^{O(1)} \left(\log x \right)^{k^2}.$$

Therefore, there exist positive constants $c_1 < c_2$, such that

$$c_1 x (\log x)^{k^2 - 1} \le \sum_{n \le x} |h_k(n)|^2 \le c_2 x (\log x)^{k^2 - 1}$$
.

By partial summation, the first assertion of the lemma follows. The second assertion follows from the first one since, for $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{C}{\log N}$ and $1 \le n \le N$, we have $n^{-1} \ll n^{2\sigma} \ll n^{-1}$.

Define
$$S_N(s) = \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{h_k(n)}{n^s}$$
 and $H(\sigma, T) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |S_N(\sigma + it)|^2 w(t, T) dt$.

Lemma 3.5 Assume GRC. Let $N \ll T$ and $\log N \gg \log T$. Then for $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{C}{\log N} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3}{4}$, we have

$$T\left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-k^2} \ll H(\sigma, T) \ll T\left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-k^2},$$

 $T\left(\log T\right)^{k^2} \ll H\left(\frac{1}{2}, T\right) \ll T\left(\log T\right)^{k^2}.$

Proof Note that $w(t,T) \ll e^{-c_3(t^2+T^2)}$ for $t \leq 0$ and $t \geq 3T$. By (3.4), we have $S_N(s) \ll N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ for $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus, by (2.1) and the Montgomery-Vaughan Theorem (see [19]), we find that

$$H(\sigma,T) \ll \int_0^{3T} |S_N(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \ll (T+N) \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{|h_k(n)|^2}{n^{2\sigma}}.$$

On the other hand, by (2.1), we have

$$H(\sigma, T) \gg \int_{\frac{4T}{3}}^{\frac{5T}{3}} |S_N(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \gg T \sum_{n < N} \frac{|h_k(n)|^2}{n^{2\sigma}}.$$

Therefore, Lemma 3.5 follows from Lemma 3.4.

4 Applications of Gabriel's Inequality

We need the following Gabriel's inequality.

Lemma 4.1 (see [20] or [5]) Let G(s) be regular in the strip $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$ and continuous in the closed strip $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha \leq \sigma \leq \beta\}$. Moreover, assume that $G(s) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ uniformly in $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha \leq \sigma \leq \beta\}$. Then, for $\alpha \leq \gamma \leq \beta$ and any $\theta > 0$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(\gamma + it)|^{\theta} dt \le \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(\alpha + it)|^{\theta} dt \right)^{\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\beta - \alpha}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(\beta + it)|^{\theta} dt \right)^{\frac{\gamma - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}}.$$

Lemma 4.2 Let $\frac{1}{2} \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{4}$ and $T \ge 2$. Then for all k > 0,

$$J\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right) \ll J(\sigma,T)T^{km\left(\sigma-\frac{1}{2}\right)} + e^{-c_4T^2}.$$

Proof Applying Lemma 4.1 with $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$, $\alpha = 1 - \sigma$, $\beta = \sigma$, $\frac{1}{2} \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{4}$, $\theta = 2k$, and

$$G(s) = L(s,\pi)e^{\frac{(s-i\tau)^2}{2k}},\tag{4.1}$$

we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi\right) \right|^{2k} e^{-(t-\tau)^2} dt$$

$$\ll \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| L\left(1 - \sigma + it, \pi\right) \right|^{2k} e^{-(t-\tau)^2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| L\left(\sigma + it, \pi\right) \right|^{2k} e^{-(t-\tau)^2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the functional equation (1.3)–(1.5) and the Stirling's formula, we have

$$|L(1 - \sigma + it, \pi)| \ll |L(\sigma + it, \pi)| (1 + |t|)^{m(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})},$$

where the implied constant depends on $\text{Im}\mu_{\pi}(j)$, $j=1,\cdots,m$. It follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |L(1-\sigma+it,\pi)|^{2k} e^{-(t-\tau)^{2}} dt$$

$$\ll \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{\tau}{2}} + \int_{\frac{\tau}{2}}^{\frac{3\tau}{2}} + \int_{\frac{3\tau}{2}}^{\infty} \right\} |L(\sigma+it,\pi)|^{2k} (1+|t|)^{km(2\sigma-1)} e^{-(t-\tau)^{2}} dt$$

$$\ll \tau^{km(2\sigma-1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |L(\sigma+it,\pi)|^{2k} e^{-(t-\tau)^{2}} dt + e^{-c_{5}\tau^{2}},$$

where we have used the bound $L(\sigma+it,\pi) \ll |t|^{\frac{m}{4}}$ for $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi\right) \right|^{2k} e^{-(t-\tau)^2} dt \ll \tau^{km\left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| L\left(\sigma + it, \pi\right) \right|^{2k} e^{-(t-\tau)^2} dt + e^{-c_6 \tau^2}.$$

Now integration respect to τ on [T, 2T] completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.3 Let $\frac{1}{2} \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{4}$ and $T \ge 2$. Then for all k > 0, $J(\sigma, T) \ll J(\frac{1}{2}, T)^{\frac{3}{2} - \sigma} T^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof Applying Lemma 4.1 with $\gamma = \sigma$, $\frac{1}{2} \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{4}$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = \frac{3}{2}$, $\theta = 2k$ and G(s) as in (4.1), we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |L\left(\sigma + \mathrm{i}t, \pi\right)|^{2k} \mathrm{e}^{-(t-\tau)^2} \, \mathrm{d}t \ll \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left|L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mathrm{i}t, \pi\right)\right|^{2k} \mathrm{e}^{-(t-\tau)^2} \, \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{3}{2} - \sigma}.$$

Here we have used the fact that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| L\left(\frac{3}{2} + it, \pi\right) \right|^{2k} e^{-(t-\tau)^2} dt \ll 1$. Now integration respect to τ on [T, 2T] and the Jensen's inequality give the assertion of Lemma 4.3.

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, in what follows, we will take $k=\frac{u}{v}$ with $u,v\in\mathbb{N}$ and (u,v)=1; under GRH, we can take $k=\frac{u}{v}$ with v=1 and $u=k\geq 0$. For the proof of Theorem 1.2, we will take $k=\frac{u}{v}$ with $0\leq u=k\leq \frac{2}{m}-\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon>0$, and v=1.

Define $g(s,\pi) = L(s,\pi)^u - S_N(s)^v$ and $K(\sigma,T) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(\sigma+it,\pi)|^{\frac{2}{v}} w(t,T) dt$.

Lemma 4.4 Assume GRC. Let $\frac{1}{2} \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{4}$, $N \ll T$ and $T \ge 2$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$K\left(\sigma,T\right)\ll K\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)^{\frac{5-4\sigma}{3}}\left(TN^{-\frac{1}{v}\left(\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon\right)}\right)^{\frac{4\sigma-2}{3}}.$$

Proof Applying Lemma 4.1 with $\gamma = \sigma$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{3}{4}$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = \frac{5}{4}$, $\theta = \frac{2}{v}$ and $G(s) = g(s, \pi) e^{\frac{v(s-i\tau)^2}{2}}$, we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(\sigma + it, \pi)|^{\frac{2}{v}} e^{-(t-\tau)^2} dt$$

$$\ll \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| g\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi\right) \right|^{\frac{2}{v}} e^{-(t-\tau)^2} dt \right)^{\frac{5-4\sigma}{3}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| g\left(\frac{5}{4} + it, \pi\right) \right|^{\frac{2}{v}} e^{-(t-\tau)^2} dt \right)^{\frac{4\sigma-2}{3}}.$$

Recall that $S_N(s) \ll N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ for $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus $g(s,\pi) \ll N^{(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon)v} + |t|^{\frac{mu}{4}}$ for $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$. This gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| g\left(\frac{5}{4} + it, \pi\right) \right|^{\frac{2}{v}} e^{-(t-\tau)^2} dt \ll \int_{\frac{\tau}{2}}^{\frac{3\tau}{2}} \left| g\left(\frac{5}{4} + it, \pi\right) \right|^{\frac{2}{v}} e^{-(t-\tau)^2} dt + N^{1+2\varepsilon} e^{-c\tau^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(\sigma + it, \pi)|^{\frac{2}{v}} e^{-(t-\tau)^{2}} dt$$

$$\ll \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| g\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi\right) \right|^{\frac{2}{v}} e^{-(t-\tau)^{2}} dt \right)^{\frac{5-4\sigma}{3}} \left(\int_{\frac{\tau}{2}}^{\frac{3\tau}{2}} \left| g\left(\frac{5}{4} + it, \pi\right) \right|^{\frac{2}{v}} e^{-(t-\tau)^{2}} dt \right)^{\frac{4\sigma-2}{3}} + \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| g\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \pi\right) \right|^{\frac{2}{v}} e^{-(t-\tau)^{2}} dt \right)^{\frac{5-4\sigma}{3}} (N^{1+2\varepsilon} e^{-c\tau^{2}})^{\frac{4\sigma-2}{3}}.$$

Now integration respect to τ over [T, 2T] and the Hölder's inequality give us

$$\begin{split} K\left(\sigma,T\right) &\ll K\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)^{\frac{5-4\sigma}{3}} \bigg(\int_{T}^{2T} \int_{\frac{\tau}{2}}^{\frac{3\tau}{2}} \left|g\left(\frac{5}{4}+\mathrm{i}t,\pi\right)\right|^{\frac{2}{v}} \mathrm{e}^{-(t-\tau)^{2}} \,\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}\tau\bigg)^{\frac{4\sigma-2}{3}} \\ &+ \mathrm{e}^{-c_{8}T^{2}} N^{\frac{4\sigma-2+\varepsilon}{3}} K\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)^{\frac{5-4\sigma}{3}} \\ &\ll K\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)^{\frac{5-4\sigma}{3}} \bigg(\int_{T}^{2T} \left|g\left(\frac{5}{4}+\mathrm{i}t,\pi\right)\right|^{\frac{2}{v}} \,\mathrm{d}t\bigg)^{\frac{4\sigma-2}{3}} + \mathrm{e}^{-c_{9}T^{2}} K\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)^{\frac{5-4\sigma}{3}}. \end{split}$$

It remains to establish the bound

$$\int_{T}^{2T} \left| g\left(\frac{5}{4} + it, \pi\right) \right|^{\frac{2}{v}} dt \ll T N^{-k(\frac{3}{2} - \varepsilon)}. \tag{4.2}$$

The function $g(\frac{5}{4} + it, \pi)$ has a representation as an absolutely convergent Dirichlet series. In view of the identity

$$h_{k\ell}(n) = \sum_{n=n_1\cdots n_\ell} h_k(n_1)\cdots h_k(n_\ell), \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N},$$

we find that

$$g\left(\frac{5}{4} + it, \pi\right) = L\left(\frac{5}{4} + it, \pi\right)^{u} - S_N\left(\frac{5}{4} + it\right)^{v} = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^{\frac{5}{4} + it}},$$

where

$$a(n) = h_u(n) - \sum_{\substack{n=n_1 \cdots n_v \\ n_j \le N, j=1, \cdots, v}} h_k(n_1) \cdots h_k(n_v)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{n=n_1 \cdots n_v \\ \exists n_j > N}} h_k(n_1) \cdots h_k(n_v)$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=n_1 \cdots n_v} |h_k(n_1)| \cdots |h_k(n_v)|$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=n_1 \cdots n_v} \widehat{h}_k(n_1) \cdots \widehat{h}_k(n_v) = \widehat{h}_u(n),$$

where $\hat{h}_u(n)$ is the nth coefficient of the Dirichlet series expansion of the function

$$\widehat{L}(s,\pi)^u = \Big(\prod_{\substack{n \ 1 \le i \le m}} \Big(1 - \frac{|\alpha_\pi(p,j)|}{p^s}\Big)^{-1}\Big)^u, \quad \sigma > \frac{1}{2}.$$

By (1.7), we have $\hat{h}_u(n) = d_u(n)$. Thus $a(n) \ll d_u(n) \ll n^{\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. By Montgomery-Vaughan Theorem (see [19]), we have for $N \ll T$,

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{3T}{2}} \left| g\left(\frac{5}{4} + it, \pi\right) \right|^2 dt \ll T \sum_{n \ge N} \frac{|a(n)|^2}{n^{\frac{5}{2}}} \ll T N^{-\frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon}.$$

By the Jensen's inequality,

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{3T}{2}} \left| g\left(\frac{5}{4} + it, \pi\right) \right|^{\frac{2}{v}} dt \ll T\left(\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{3T} \left| g\left(\frac{5}{4} + it, \pi\right) \right|^{2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{v}} \ll TN^{-\frac{1}{v}(\frac{3}{2} - \varepsilon)}.$$

This proves (4.2) and thus completes the proof of Lemma 4.4.

5 Proof of (2.2) and (2.3)

First, we prove (2.2). By the definition of $g(s, \pi)$,

$$|S_N(s)|^2 = |S_N(s)^v|^{\frac{2}{v}} = |L(s,\pi)^u - g(s,\pi)|^{\frac{2}{v}} \ll |L(s,\pi)|^{2k} + |g(s,\pi)|^{\frac{2}{v}}.$$

Hence

$$H(\sigma, T) \ll J(\sigma, T) + K(\sigma, T).$$
 (5.1)

Similarly,

$$K(\sigma, T) \ll H(\sigma, T) + J(\sigma, T).$$
 (5.2)

If $K(\frac{1}{2},T) \leq T$, then by Lemma 3.5 and (5.1) with $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$T\left(\log T\right)^{k^2} \ll H\left(\frac{1}{2}, T\right) \ll J\left(\frac{1}{2}, T\right) + K\left(\frac{1}{2}, T\right) \ll J\left(\frac{1}{2}, T\right) + T$$

i.e., $J(\frac{1}{2},T) \gg T(\log T)^{k^2}$. If $K(\frac{1}{2},T) > T$, then by Lemma 4.4, we see that

$$K\left(\sigma,T\right)\ll K\!\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)\!N^{-\frac{1}{v}\frac{4\sigma-2}{3}\left(\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon\right)}\ll K\!\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)\!N^{\frac{1}{v}\left(1-\varepsilon\right)\left(1-2\sigma\right)}.\tag{5.3}$$

Now we choose $N = T^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$. By (5.1)–(5.3), we have

$$H(\sigma,T) \ll J(\sigma,T) + K\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)T^{\frac{1}{2v}(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)} \ll J(\sigma,T) + \left[H\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right) + J\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)\right]T^{\frac{1}{2v}(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)}.$$

Hence, either

$$H(\sigma,T) \ll H\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)T^{\frac{1}{2v}(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)} \tag{5.4}$$

or

$$H(\sigma,T) \ll J(\sigma,T) + J\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)T^{\frac{1}{2v}(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)}.$$
(5.5)

Take $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{C}{\log T}$. By Lemma 3.5 and (5.4), we have

$$C^{-k^2}T(\log T)^{k^2} = T\left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-k^2} \ll H(\sigma, T) \ll H\left(\frac{1}{2}, T\right)T^{\frac{1}{2v}(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma)} \ll T(\log T)^{k^2} e^{-\frac{C}{2v}}.$$

Thus, $e^{\frac{C}{2v}} \le c_{10}^{k^2}$ for some $c_{10} > 0$, which is impossible when C is sufficiently large. Therefore, (5.5) is valid. Now (5.5) and Lemma 4.3 imply

$$H(\sigma, T) \ll J\left(\frac{1}{2}, T\right)^{\frac{3}{2} - \sigma} T^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} + J\left(\frac{1}{2}, T\right) T^{\frac{1}{2v}(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma)}.$$
 (5.6)

Taking $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{C}{\log T}$ in (5.6) and applying Lemma 3.5, we get

$$T\left(\log T\right)^{k^2} \ll H\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right) \ll J\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)^{1-\frac{C}{\log T}} + J\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{C}{2v}} \ll J\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right).$$

This completes the proof of (2.2).

Next we prove (2.3). Note that in this case $k = \frac{u}{v}$ with $0 \le u = k \le \frac{2}{m} - \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and v = 1. By the definition of $g(s, \pi)$, we have

$$J(\sigma, T) \ll H(\sigma, T) + K(\sigma, T). \tag{5.7}$$

If $K(\frac{1}{2},T) \leq T$, then by Lemma 3.5 and (5.7) with $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$J\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right) \ll H\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right) + K\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right) \ll T\left(\log T\right)^{k^2}.$$

If $K(\frac{1}{2},T) > T$, then by Lemma 4.4, we see that

$$K\left(\sigma,T\right)\ll K\Big(\frac{1}{2},T\Big)N^{-\frac{4\sigma-2}{3}(\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon)}\ll K\Big(\frac{1}{2},T\Big)N^{2(1-\frac{2}{3}\varepsilon)(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)}.$$

This estimate combined with (5.7) and (5.2) gives

$$J(\sigma,T) \ll H(\sigma,T) + K\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)N^{2(1-\frac{2}{3}\varepsilon)(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)}$$
$$\ll H(\sigma,T) + \left[H\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right) + J\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)\right]N^{2(1-\frac{2}{3}\varepsilon)(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)}.$$

Hence, either

$$J(\sigma, T) \ll J(\frac{1}{2}, T) N^{2(1-\frac{2}{3}\varepsilon)(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)}$$
 (5.8)

or

$$J(\sigma, T) \ll H(\sigma, T) + H\left(\frac{1}{2}, T\right) N^{2\left(1 - \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma\right)}.$$
 (5.9)

Now we take N = T and $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{C}{\log T}$. Recall that $k \leq \frac{2}{m} - \varepsilon$. Then by (5.8) and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$J\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right) \ll J(\sigma,T)T^{mk(\sigma-\frac{1}{2})} \ll J\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right)T^{\varepsilon(m-\frac{4}{3})(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)},$$

i.e., $e^{\varepsilon(m-\frac{4}{3})C} \leq C(m,k)$, which is false for C sufficiently large. Therefore, (5.9) holds. By Lemma 3.5 and (5.9) with $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{C}{\log T}$, we have $J\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right) \ll H\left(\frac{1}{2},T\right) \ll T(\log T)^{k^2}$. This completes the proof of (2.3).

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank Professor Jianya Liu for constant encouragement.

References

- [1] Hardy, G. H. and Littlewood, J. E., Contributions to the theory of the Riemann zeta-function and the theory of the distribution of primes, *Acta Math.*, **41**, 1918, 119–196.
- [2] Ingham, A. E., Mean-value theorems in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), 27, 1926, 273–300.
- [3] Ramacharadra, K., Some remarks on the mean value of the Riemann zeta-function and other Dirichlet series II, Hardy-Ramanujan J., 3, 1980, 1–25.
- [4] Ramacharadra, K., Some remarks on the mean value of the Riemann zeta-function and other Dirichlet series III, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., 5, 1980, 145–180.
- [5] Heath-Brown, D. R., Fractional moments of the Riemann zeta function, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 24, 1981, 65-78.

[6] Heath-Brown, D. R., Fractional moments of the Riemann zeta function (II), Quart. J. Math., 44, 1993, 185–197

- [7] Soundararajan, K., Moments of the Riemann zeta-function, Ann. of Math., 170(2), 2009, 981–993.
- [8] Kačėnas, A., Laurinčikas, A. and Zamarys, S., On fractional moments of Dirichlet L-function, Lith. Math. J., 45, 2005, 173–191.
- [9] Good, A., The mean square of Dirichlet series associated with cusp forms, Mathematika, 29, 1982, 278–295.
- [10] Laurinčikas, A. and Steuding, J., On fractional power moments of zeta-functions associated with certain cusp forms, Acta Appl. Math., 97, 2007, 25–39.
- [11] Sun, H. W. and Lü, G. S., On fractional power moments of L-functions associated with certain cusp forms, Acta Appl. Math., 109, 2010, 653–667.
- [12] Selberg, A., Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series, Collected Papers, Vol. II, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, 47–63.
- [13] Rudnick, Z. and Sarnak, P., Zeros of principal L-functions and random matrix theory, Duke Math. J., 81, 1996, 269–322.
- [14] Liu, J. Y. and Ye, Y. B., Selbergs orthogonality conjecture for automorphic L-functions, Amer. J. Math., 127, 2005, 837–849.
- [15] Deligne, P., La conjecture de Weil I, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 43, 1974, 273-307.
- [16] Fomenko, O. M., Fractional moments of automorphic L-functions, Algebra i Analiz, 22(2), 2010, 204–224; Translated edition, G. V. Kuzmina and O. M. Fomenko (eds.), St. Petersburg Math. J., 22(2), 2011, 321–335.
- [17] Wirsing, E., Das asymptotische Verhalten von Summen über multiplikative Funktionen, Math. Ann., 43, 1961, 75–102.
- [18] Wirsing, E., Das asymptotische Verhalten von Summen über multiplikative Funktionen II, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 18, 1967, 411–467.
- [19] Montgomery, H. L. and Vaughan, R. C., Hilbert inequality, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 8, 1974, 73–82.
- [20] Gabriel, R. M., Some results concerning the integrals of moduli of regular functions along certain curves, J. London Math. Soc., 2, 1927, 112–117.