

Lipschitz Properties in Variable Exponent Problems via Relative Rearrangement

Jean-Michel RAKOTOSON*

(Dedicated to Professor Roger Temam on the Occasion of his 70th Birthday)

Abstract The author first studies the Lipschitz properties of the monotone and relative rearrangement mappings in variable exponent Lebesgue spaces completing the result given in [9]. This paper is ended by establishing the Lipschitz properties for quasilinear problems with variable exponent when the right-hand side is in some dual spaces of a suitable Sobolev space associated to variable exponent.

Keywords Monotone rearrangement, Relative rearrangement, Variable exponents,
Quasi-linear equations

2000 MR Subject Classification 46E30, 46E35, 35B45, 35B65, 35J20

1 Introduction

The notion of relative rearrangement introduced by J. Mossino and R. Temam turns out to be an important tool for studying problems involving monotone rearrangement. Here, again, we shall use it to show the Lipschitz property of some maps. The first one is based on the following lemma proved in [23, 19].

Lemma 1.1 *Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N , $u \in L^1(\Omega)$, $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then, for a.e. $s \in \Omega_* =]0, |\Omega|$,*

$$(u + v)_*(s) - u_*(s) = \int_0^1 v_{*(u+tv)}(s) dt.$$

Here, $v_{(u+tv)}$ is the relative rearrangement of v with respect to $u + tv$, and u_* (resp. $(u + v)_*$) is the decreasing rearrangement of u (resp. $u + v$).*

From which, we shall derive that

$$\| |u_* - v_*|_* \|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_L \|u - v\|_{p(\cdot)}, \quad \forall u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \quad \forall v \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

See below for the definitions of $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, u_* (resp v_* and p^*). We recall that, such inequality is not true if we replace the increasing rearrangement of p , $p^*(\cdot)$ by its decreasing rearrangement $p_*(\cdot)$. The counterexample is given in [9].

The second application of the relative rearrangement concerns the pointwise estimates in PDE. We recall that the recent development of the study on variable exponent is partly due

Manuscript received March 13, 2010. Published online October 22, 2010.

*UMR 6086 CNRS. Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Poitiers, SP2MI, Boulevard Marie et Pierre Curie, Téléport 2, BP30179, 86962 Futuroscope Chasseneuil Cedex, France.

E-mail: rako@math.univ-poitiers.fr

to the fact that it has a connection with some model in fluids mechanics (see [2]) where the operator given by

$$\widehat{a}(x, \nabla u) = (1 + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{p(x)-2}{2}} \nabla u$$

is considered. Many results (see [4, 5, 7, 9, 11–13, 16]) have been given for the Lebesgue space with variable exponent define by

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \Phi_p(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < +\infty \right\},$$

where $p : \Omega \rightarrow [1, +\infty[$ is a bounded measurable function.

The space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is endowed with the modular norm:

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \Phi_p\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We shall summarize the properties that we shall use in the next section.

We shall consider two types of model of the form

$$-\operatorname{div}(\widehat{a}(x, \nabla u)) = -\operatorname{div}(F). \quad (1.1)$$

The first one shall be considered under the following growth condition on \widehat{a} :

$$[\widehat{a}(x, \xi) - \widehat{a}(x, \xi')] \cdot [\xi - \xi'] \geq \alpha_0(1 + |\xi| + |\xi'|)^{p(x)-2} |\xi - \xi'|^2$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, $\forall \xi, \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^N$ if $p(x) \geq 2$, some $\alpha_0 > 0$. In that case the function

$$u \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \quad F = (f_1, \dots, f_n), \quad f_i \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega), \quad \frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{q(x)} = 1, \quad \text{a.e.}$$

The second model concerns the equation of the form

$$\widehat{a}(x, \nabla u) = \left(a_1\left(x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}\right); \dots; a_N\left(x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}\right) \right).$$

Each a_i has its one growth as for instance, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(a_i(x, t) - a_i(x, \sigma))(t - \sigma) \geq \alpha_0(1 + |t| + |\sigma|)^{p_i(x)-2} |t - \sigma|^2$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for some $\alpha_0 > 0$, $p(x) \geq 2$.

We shall consider the solution $u \in W^{1,p_1(\cdot), \dots, p_N(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$, and F is in an adequate space.

We shall prove some pointwise inequalities related to the difference of two solutions u_1, u_2 of (1.1) say, if $w = |u_1 - u_2|$, then we shall show for instance

$$-\frac{dw_*}{ds}(s) \leq c_N(\alpha_0) s^{\frac{1}{N}-1} ([|\delta F|^2]_{*w}(s))^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for a.e. } s, \delta F = F_1 - F_2,$$

from which we shall derive the Lipschitz property for equation (1.1).

2 Notation and Preliminary Results

For our purpose, we consider (for simplicity) Ω an open bounded set and $p : \Omega \rightarrow [1, +\infty[$ a measurable function. We shall denote by u_* (resp. u^*) the decreasing (resp. increasing) rearrangement of a measurable function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is the generalized inverse of the distribution function given by

$$t \rightarrow |\{u > t\}| = \text{measure} \{u \in \Omega : u(x) > t\} \quad (u^*(s) = -(-u)_*(s), \quad \forall s \in]0, |\Omega|[= \Omega_*).$$

As usual, we set $|E|$ the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set E , and χ_E its characteristic function.

The scalar product of two vectors X, Y in \mathbb{R}^N shall be denoted by (X, Y) or $X \cdot Y$ and the associated norm $|X| = \sqrt{X \cdot X}$.

Setting

$$\Phi_p(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx \leq +\infty,$$

we consider the norm

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \Phi_p\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \{u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable such that } |u|_{p(\cdot)} < +\infty\}.$$

The space $(L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega); |\cdot|_{p(\cdot)})$ is a Banach function space and an equivalent norm for u is the following Amemiya norm:

$$\| |u| \|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \left(1 + \Phi_p\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \right). \quad (2.2)$$

More precisely, one has

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \| |u| \|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 2\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}. \quad (2.3)$$

We set

$$L_+^1(\Omega) = \{v \in L^1(\Omega) : v \geq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad L_+^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L_+^1(\Omega).$$

We recall also that if $v \in L^1(\Omega)$, $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ then $\lim_{\lambda \searrow 0} \frac{(u+\lambda v)_* - u_*}{\lambda}$ exists in a weak sense. This limit is called the relative rearrangement of v with respect to $u : v_{*u}$.

More precisely, we have (see [6, 15, 19, 21, 22])

Theorem 2.1 *Let Ω be a bounded measurable set in \mathbb{R}^N , u, v be two functions in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $\omega : \overline{\Omega}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by*

$$\omega(s) = \int_{\{u > u_*(s)\}} v(x) dx + \int_0^{s - |u > u_*(s)|} (v|_{\{u = u_*(s)\}})_*(\sigma) d\sigma,$$

where $v|_{\{u = u_*(s)\}}$ is the restriction of v to $\{u = u_*(s)\}$. Then one has $\frac{(u+\lambda v)_* - u_*}{\lambda} \rightharpoonup \frac{d\omega}{ds}$ weakly in $L^p(\Omega_*)$ if $v \in L^p(\Omega)$, p is a constant with $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and in $L^\infty(\Omega_*)$ -weak-star if $p = +\infty$.

Moreover, $|\frac{d\omega}{ds}|_{L^p(\Omega_*)} \leq |v|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ and $\int_{\Omega_*} \frac{d\omega}{ds} ds = \int_{\Omega} v(x) dx$.

See [1, 8, 9] for other aspects and properties.

Definition 2.1 Under the same notations as Theorem 2.1 the relative rearrangement of v with respect to u is $\frac{d\omega}{ds}$ and is denoted by v_{*u} . In particular, one has

$$\text{if } v_1 \leq v_2 \text{ then } v_{1*u} \leq v_{2*u}, \quad v_i \in L^1(\Omega).$$

Set $\Omega(u) = \{x \in \Omega : |\{u = u(x)\}| = 0\}$. Then for a.e. $s \in \Omega_*$,

$$[(v_1 v_2 \chi_{\Omega(u)})_{*u}(s)]^2 \leq (v_1^2 \chi_{\Omega(u)})_{*u}(s) (v_2^2 \chi_{\Omega(u)})_{*u}(s), \quad \text{if } v_i \in L^2(\Omega), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

One property that we shall use for the relative rearrangement is

Proposition 2.1 Let $v \geq 0$, u be two functions in $L^1(\Omega)$. Then

$$(v_{*u})_{**} \leq v_{**}.$$

Here

$$v_{**}(s) = \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s v_*(\sigma) d\sigma, \quad s \in \Omega_*.$$

There is a link between the derivative of u_* and relative rearrangement of the gradient of u as it was proved in [17–19, 21, 22]. We will use only the following results.

Theorem 2.2 (PSR Inequality: Poincaré-Sobolev Inequality for the Relative Rearrangement)

(a) Let $u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$, $u \geq 0$. Then $u_* \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}([0, |\Omega|])$,

$$-u'_*(s) \leq \frac{s^{\frac{1}{N}-1}}{N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}} |\nabla u|_{*u}(s) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_*$$

and

$$-u'_{**}(s) \leq \frac{s^{\frac{1}{N}-1}}{N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}} (|\nabla u|_{*u})_{**}(s) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_*,$$

where α_N is the measure of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N .

(b) Let $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$. Then $u_* \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}([0, |\Omega|])$,

$$-u'_*(s) \leq \frac{\min(s, |\Omega| - s)^{\frac{1}{N}-1}}{Q(\Omega)} |\nabla u|_{*u}(s) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_*,$$

provided that Ω is a Lipschitz connected open set of \mathbb{R}^N . Here, $Q(\Omega)$ is a positive constant depending only on Ω .

The following results are proved in [9].

Theorem 2.3 Let $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $p : \Omega \rightarrow [1, +\infty[$ be two measurable functions. Then

$$\frac{1}{2(1 + |\Omega|)} \|u_*\|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 2(1 + |\Omega|) \|u_*\|_{p_*(\cdot)},$$

where u_* (resp. p_*) is the decreasing rearrangement of u (resp. p) and p^* the increasing rearrangement of p .

Theorem 2.4 Let $p : \Omega \rightarrow [1, +\infty[$ be a bounded measurable function. Assume that the increasing rearrangement of p , p^* satisfies: $1 < p^*(0)$ and that in a neighborhood of the origin 0, we have $|p^*(s) - p^*(t)| \leq \frac{A}{|\ln|s-t||}$ for some $A > 0$. Thus, for all $v \geq 0$ and u in $L^1(\Omega)$, if $v \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, then $(v_{*u})_{**} \in L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega_*)$ and $(v_{*u})_* \in L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega_*)$.

Moreover, there exist two constants $c_1 > 0$, $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|(v_{*u})_*\|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq \|(v_{*u})_{**}\|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_1 \|v_*\|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_2 \|v\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Lemma 2.1 Under the same assumptions of Theorem 3.1, one has for all $\lambda > 0$,

$$\int_{\{u_* > \lambda\}} \left(\frac{u_*}{\lambda}\right)^{p^*(s)}(s) ds \leq \int_{\{u > \lambda\}} \left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)}(x) dx \leq \int_{\{u_* > \lambda\}} \left(\frac{u_*}{\lambda}\right)^{p_*(s)}(s) ds.$$

Finally, we have the following theorem (see [19]).

Theorem 2.5 Let $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ with Ω being an open bounded connected Lipschitz set if $\gamma_0 u \neq 0$ (the trace of u on the boundary) and Ω is an arbitrary open set otherwise. We assume that the measure $\{x \in \Omega : \nabla u(x) = 0\} = 0$. Then for any sequence u_n converging to $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ with $\gamma_0 u_n = 0$ if $\gamma_0 u = 0$, and for any $b \in L^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < +\infty$, we have $b_{*u_n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} b_{*u}$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega_*)$.

3 Main Results

3.1 On the Lipschitz property of the mappings $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \rightarrow u_* \in L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega_*)$ and $v \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \rightarrow (v_{*u})_* \in L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega_*)$

Theorem 3.1 Let $p : \Omega \rightarrow [1, +\infty[$ be a measurable bounded function such that the increasing rearrangement p^* of p satisfies $p^*(0) > 1$ and $|p^*(t) - p^*(\sigma)| |\ln|t - \sigma|| \leq A$ near zero for some constant $A > 0$. Then there exists a constant $c_L > 0$ such that

$$\|(u + v)_* - u_*\|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_L \|v\|_{p(\cdot)},$$

$\forall u \in L^1(\Omega)$, $\forall v \in L^{p(\cdot)}_+(\Omega)$, where we denote by $g_{**}(s) = \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s g_*(\sigma) d\sigma$ for $g \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Corollary 3.1 Under the same assumptions as for Theorem 3.1, one has

$$\| |u_* - v_*|_* \|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq \| |u_* - v_*|_{**} \|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_L \|u - v\|_{p(\cdot)},$$

where c_L is the same constant as in Theorem 3.1, whenever u and v are in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 We first assume that $u \in W_0^1(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$ with measure $\{x \in \Omega : \nabla u(x) = 0\} = 0$ and $v \in C_0^1(\overline{\Omega})$, $v \geq 0$.

Thus $u + tv$ satisfies conditions of Theorem 2.5 for all t and thus the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [0, 1] & \rightarrow & L^1(\Omega_*) \\ t & \mapsto & v_{*(u+tv)} \end{array} \text{ is uniformly continuous.}$$

For $\sigma \in \overline{\Omega}_*$, we set $g(\sigma) = \int_0^1 v_{*(u+tv)}(\sigma) dt$. We know from Lemma 1.1 that

$$(u + v)_*(\sigma) - u_*(\sigma) = g(\sigma), \quad \forall \sigma \in \overline{\Omega}_*. \quad (3.1)$$

Therefore, one has

$$\frac{1}{s} \int_0^s [(u+v)_*(\sigma) - u_*(\sigma)]_* d\sigma = g_{**}(s). \quad (3.2)$$

Let us consider $m \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ and $t_i = \frac{i}{m}$, $i = 0, \dots, m$. We set

$$g_m(\sigma) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} v_{*(u+t_i v)}(\sigma). \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.1 *One has a constant $c_L > 0$ such that*

$$\|g_{m**}\|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_L \|v\|_{p(\cdot)}, \quad \forall m.$$

Proof By convexity property of the mapping $h \rightarrow h_{**}(s)$, we have

$$g_{m**}(s) \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (v_{*(u+t_i v)})_{**}(s), \quad (3.4)$$

therefore,

$$\|g_{m**}\|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|(v_{*(u+t_i v)})_{**}\|_{p^*(\cdot)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Using Theorem 2.4 of the preliminary result section

$$\|(v_{*(u+t_i v)})_{**}\|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_L \|v\|_{p(\cdot)}. \quad (3.6)$$

From relations (3.5) and (3.6), we get the result.

Lemma 3.2 *The sequence g_m converges strongly to g in $L^1(\Omega_*)$.*

In particular, for all $s > 0$, we have

$$g_{m**}(s) \rightarrow g_{**}(s) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof Since the mapping $t \in [0, 1] \rightarrow v_{*(u+tv)} \in L^1(\Omega_*)$ is uniformly continuous, letting $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$: if $m \geq \frac{1}{\delta}$, then

$$\int_{\Omega_*} |v_{*(u+tv)} - v_{*(u+t_i v)}|(\sigma) d\sigma \leq \varepsilon \quad (3.7)$$

for $|t - t_i| \leq \frac{1}{m}$.

Therefore

$$\int_{\Omega_*} |g_m(\sigma) - g(\sigma)| d\sigma \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{\Omega_*} |v_{*(u+tv)} - v_{*(u+t_i v)}|(\sigma) d\sigma \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3.8)$$

This shows the first statement, while for the second one, the result follows from the first statement and the fact that, $\forall s > 0$,

$$|g_{m**}(s) - g_{**}(s)| \leq \frac{1}{s} \int_{\Omega_*} |g_m(\sigma) - g(\sigma)| d\sigma. \quad (3.9)$$

End of the proof of Theorem 3.1 One has, from the Fatou's property applied to the Banach function norm on $L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega_*)$, that

$$\|g_{**}\|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \|g_{m**}\|_{p^*(\cdot)}. \quad (3.10)$$

We conclude with relation (2.2) and Lemma 3.1 to derive

$$\|[(u+v)_* - u_*]_{**}\|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_L \|v\|_{p(\cdot)}. \quad (3.11)$$

Let $u \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then there exists a sequence $u_n \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\text{measure}\{x \in \Omega : \nabla u_n(x) = 0\} = 0$ such that

$$u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text{a.e. and strongly in } L^1(\Omega).$$

There exists also a sequence of $v_n \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ strongly. Those convergences imply that

$$(u_n + v_n)_* - u_{n*} \rightarrow (u + v)_* - u_* \quad \text{strongly in } L^1(\Omega_*).$$

Therefore, for all $s > 0$,

$$[(u_n + v_n)_* - u_{n*}]_{**}(s) \rightarrow [(u + v)_* - u_*]_{**}(s). \quad (3.12)$$

Since

$$\|[(u_n + v_n)_* - u_{n*}]_{**}\|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_L \|v_n\|_{p(\cdot)}, \quad (3.13)$$

one derives

$$\|[(u + v)_* - u_*]_{**}\|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_L \|v\|_{p(\cdot)}. \quad (3.14)$$

Proof of Corollary 3.1 We replace v by $v - u$ and notice that for all $s \in \Omega_*$,

$$|u_* - v_*|_*(s) \leq |u_* - v_*|_{**}(s),$$

thus we derive the result if u and v are in $L_+^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

Otherwise, we shall consider $T_k(\sigma) = \min(|\sigma|, k) \text{ sign}(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and u_k (resp. v_k) defined by $u_k = T_k(u) + k \geq 0$ (resp. $v_k = T_k(v) + k$). Therefore

$$\| |T_k(u_*) - T_k(v_*)|_{**} \|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_L \|T_k(u) - T_k(v)\|_{p(\cdot)}, \quad \text{letting } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

we have the result.

Corollary 3.2 *Under the same assumptions as for Theorem 3.1, one has for all v_1, v_2 and u in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$,*

$$\| |v_{1*u} - v_{2*u}|_* \|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq \| |v_{1*u} - v_{2*u}|_{**} \|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_L \|v_1 - v_2\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

*In particular, $v_{1*u} \in L^{p^*(\cdot)}$ if v_1 and u are in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.*

Proof From Corollary 3.1 of Theorem 3.1, we know that for all $\lambda > 0$,

$$\left\| \left| \frac{(u + \lambda v_1)_* - (u + \lambda v_2)_*}{\lambda} \right|_{**} \right\|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_L \|v_1 - v_2\|_{p(\cdot)}. \quad (3.15)$$

Let us set $g_\lambda(s) = \frac{(u + \lambda v_1)_* - (u + \lambda v_2)_*}{\lambda}(s)$. We know that g_λ converges weakly to $v_{1*u} - v_{2*u}$ in $L^1(\Omega_*)$ (see Theorem 2.1). Let us choose $\lambda \doteq \frac{1}{m}$, $m \geq 1$. Then, from the Mazur's lemma, there exist $(\alpha_{jn})_{j \geq n}$, and $\sum_{j=n}^{m_n} \alpha_{jn} = 1$, $\alpha_{jn} \geq 0$, $h_n \doteq \sum_{j=n}^{m_n} \alpha_{jn} g_{\frac{1}{j}}$ converges strongly to $v_{1*u} - v_{2*u}$ in $L^1(\Omega_*)$.

Thus $|h_n|_* \rightarrow |v_{1*u} - v_{2*u}|_*$ strongly in $L^1(\Omega_*)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$|h|_{**}(s) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} |v_{1*u} - v_{2*u}|_{**}(s) \quad \text{for all } s > 0. \quad (3.16)$$

But, we have also

$$|h_n|_{**}(s) \leq \sum_{j=n}^{m_n} \alpha_{jn} |g_{\frac{1}{j}}|_{**}(s), \quad \forall s \in \Omega_*. \quad (3.17)$$

From the relations (3.15) and (3.17), we derive

$$\| |h_n|_{**} \|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq \sum_{j=n}^{m_n} \alpha_{jn} \| |g_{\frac{1}{j}}|_{**} \|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_L \|v_1 - v_2\|_{p(\cdot)}. \quad (3.18)$$

From relation (3.16) and Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\| |v_{1*u} - v_{2*u}|_{**} \|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c_L \|v_1 - v_2\|_{p(\cdot)}. \quad (3.19)$$

We always have

$$\| |v_{1*u} - v_{2*u}|_* \|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq \| |v_{1*u} - v_{2*u}|_{**} \|_{p^*(\cdot)}. \quad (3.20)$$

So, from (3.19) and (3.20), we get the result.

3.2 Pointwise estimates for quasilinear equation with variable exponents

The purpose of this section is not to give existence result but only to prove some qualitative properties of the quasilinear equations,

$$-\operatorname{div}(\widehat{a}(x, \nabla u)) + b(x, \nabla u) = -\operatorname{div}(\vec{F}),$$

when u is a Sobolev spaces with variable exponents. We shall distinguish two types of operators, the first one will contain the Acerbi-Mingione equation.

3.2.1 Acerbi-Mingione type operators

Let $p \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $p : \Omega \rightarrow]1, +\infty[$. We shall consider a mapping $\widehat{a} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, where Ω is an open bounded set of \mathbb{R}^N , satisfying at least the following condition:

(C1) *There exist two constants $\alpha_0 > 0$, $a_0 > 0$ such that for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^N$,*

$$(\widehat{a}(x, \xi) - \widehat{a}(x, \xi'), \xi - \xi') \geq \alpha_0 |\xi - \xi'|^2 (a_0 + |\xi| + |\xi'|)^{p(x)-2}.$$

Since for all $\delta > 0$, we have

$$|\xi - \xi'|^\delta \leq (|\xi| + |\xi'|)^\delta \leq (a_0 + |\xi| + |\xi'|)^\delta,$$

we deduce as in [14] the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 *If \hat{a} satisfies condition (C1), then, for all $\delta \geq 0$,*

$$(\hat{a}(x, \xi) - \hat{a}(x, \xi'), \xi - \xi') \geq \alpha_0 |\xi - \xi'|^{2+\delta} (a_0 + |\xi| + |\xi'|)^{p(x)-2-\delta}.$$

Following the proof of [14], we have the results below.

Proposition 3.2 *Let us consider $\hat{a}(x, \xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p(x)-2}{2}} \xi$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $x \in \Omega$. Then, \hat{a} satisfies condition (C1). Moreover, one can choose $a_0 = 2$ if $\text{essinf } p(x) \geq 2$, otherwise $a_0 = 1$.*

Theorem 3.2 *Let $p \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $p : \Omega \rightarrow [2, +\infty[$, F_1, F_2 be two functions such that $F_i \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)^N$, $\frac{1}{q(\cdot)} + \frac{1}{p(\cdot)} = 1$ a.e. Consider u_1 and u_2 two elements in $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ satisfying, $\forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$,*

$$\int_{\Omega} \hat{a}(x, \nabla u_i) \cdot \nabla \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} F_i \cdot \nabla \varphi dx.$$

Let $w = |u_1 - u_2|$, $\delta F = F_1 - F_2$. Then, there exists a constant $c_N(\alpha_0, a_0, p) > 0$ such that

$$-\frac{dw_*}{ds}(s) \leq c_N(\alpha_0, a_0, p) s^{\frac{1}{N}-1} [|\delta F|^2]_{*w}^{\frac{1}{2}}(s),$$

provided that $|\delta F| \in L^2(\Omega)$. Here $c_N(\alpha_0, a_0, p) = \frac{1}{\alpha_0 N \alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}} \left| \frac{1}{a_0^{p(x)-2}} \right|_{\infty}$.

Proof We set $\delta \hat{a}(x) = \hat{a}(x, \nabla u_1) - \hat{a}(x, \nabla u_2)$ and $u_{12} = u_1 - u_2$. For a fixed $s \in \Omega_*$, we consider the test function, $\varphi_s(x) = (w(x) - w_*(s))_+ \text{sign}(u_{12}(x))$, $x \in \Omega$. Then we have as in [18, 19]

$$[\delta \hat{a} \cdot \nabla u_{12}]_{*w}(s) = [\delta F \cdot \nabla u_{12}]_{*w}(s). \quad (3.21)$$

Let

$$h(x) = a_0 + |\nabla u_1(x)| + |\nabla u_2(x)|. \quad (3.22)$$

Then, from Proposition 3.1, we have almost everywhere in Ω ,

$$(\delta \hat{a} \cdot \nabla u_{12})(x) \geq \alpha_0 |\nabla w(x)|^2 h^{p(x)-2}. \quad (3.23)$$

From relations (3.21) and (3.23), one has, using again the relative rearrangement properties,

$$\alpha_0 [|\nabla w|^2 h^{p(x)-2}]_{*w}(s) \leq [|\delta F| |\nabla w|]_{*w}(s) \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$[|\delta F| |\nabla w|]_{*w}(s) \leq [|\delta F|^2]_{*w}^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) [|\nabla w|^2]_{*w}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.25)$$

Since $h \geq a_0$, we derive from (3.24) and (3.25) that

$$\alpha_0 [|\nabla w|^2 h^{p(x)-2}]_{*w}(s) \leq \left| \frac{1}{a_0^{p(\cdot)-2}} \right|_{\infty} [|\nabla w|^2 h^{p(x)-2}]_{*w}^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) [|\delta F|_{*w}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.26)$$

We deduce

$$[|\nabla w|^2 h^{p(\cdot)-2}]_{*w}(s) \leq c(\alpha_0, a_0, p)^2 (|\delta F|^2)_{*w}(s) \quad \text{with } c(\alpha_0, a_0, p) = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \left| \frac{1}{a_0^{p(\cdot)-2}} \right|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.27)$$

From the PSR (Poincaré-Sobolev inequality for the relative rearrangement) (see Theorem 2.2) one has

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{dw_*}{ds}(s) &\leq \frac{s^{\frac{1}{N}-1}}{N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}} \cdot |\nabla w|_{*w} \leq \frac{s^{\frac{1}{N}-1}}{N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}} \cdot [|\nabla w|^2]_{*w}^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) \\ &\leq \frac{s^{\frac{1}{N}-1}}{N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}} \left| \frac{1}{a_0^{p(\cdot)-2}} \right|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} [h^{p(\cdot)-2} |\nabla w|^2]_{*w}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

By (3.27), we deduce

$$-\frac{dw_*}{ds}(s) \leq \frac{s^{\frac{1}{N}-1}}{N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}} \left| \frac{1}{a_0^{p(\cdot)-2}} \right|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot c(\alpha_0, a_0, p) (|\delta F|^2)_{*w}^{\frac{1}{2}}(s). \quad (3.29)$$

Setting $c_N(\alpha_0, a_0, p) = \frac{c(\alpha_0, a_0, p)}{N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}} \left| \frac{1}{a_0^{p(\cdot)-2}} \right|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we derive from (3.29) the pointwise estimate of Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.3 *Under the same conditions as for Theorem 3.2, if $r : \Omega \rightarrow [2, +\infty[$ is a bounded measurable function, then we have, for all $s \in \Omega_*$,*

$$w_*(s) \leq c_N(\alpha_0, a_0, p) b(s) \|f_*\|_{r^*(\cdot)}$$

with $f(t) = (|\delta F|_{*w}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)$ and $b_1(s) = \|(\chi_{[s, |\Omega|]}(t) \cdot t^{\frac{1}{N}-1})_*\|_{\bar{r}^*(\cdot)}$, $\bar{r}_*(s) = \frac{r^*(s)}{r^*(s)-1}$.

Proof We integrate the relation (3.29) from s to $|\Omega|$,

$$w_*(s) \leq c_N(\alpha_0, a_0, p) \int_0^{|\Omega|} \chi_{[s, |\Omega|]}(t) t^{\frac{1}{N}-1} f(t) dt. \quad (3.30)$$

By the Hardy-Littlewood inequality, we have

$$w_*(s) \leq c_N(\alpha_0, a_0, p) \int_{\Omega_*} (\chi_{[s, |\Omega|]}(t) t^{\frac{1}{N}-1})_* (t) f_*(t) dt.$$

By the Hölder inequality, we deduce

$$w_*(s) \leq c_N(\alpha_0, a_0) b_1(s) \|f_*\|_{r^*(\cdot)}.$$

Remark 3.1 $\forall \sigma \in \Omega_*, \forall s \in \Omega_*, (\chi_{[s, |\Omega|]}(t) t^{\frac{1}{N}-1})_*(\sigma) = (\sigma + s)^{\frac{1}{N}-1} \chi_{[0, |\Omega|-s]}(\sigma)$.

Corollary 3.4 *Under the same assumption as for Theorem 3.2, if $\delta F \in L^{r^*(\cdot)}(\Omega)^N$, $r^*(0) > 2$ bounded, then we have*

$$w_*(s) \leq \tilde{c}_N(\alpha_0, a_0) b_1(s) \|\delta F\|_{r^*(\cdot)},$$

provided that r^* satisfies $|r^*(t) - r^*(\sigma)| |\ln(t - \sigma)| \leq A$ near zero.

Proof One has (see Proposition 2.1)

$$((|\delta F|^2)_{**w})_*(t) \leq (|\delta F|^2)_{**}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \Omega_*.$$

Then

$$\|f_*\|_{r^*(\cdot)} \leq c \|\delta F\|_{**}^2 \|\frac{r^*(\cdot)}{2}\|.$$

By [13], the Hardy inequality is true so that

$$\|\delta F\|_{**}^2 \|\frac{r^*(\cdot)}{2}\| \leq c \|\delta F\|_*^2 \|\frac{r^*(\cdot)}{2}\| \leq c \|\delta F\|_* \|\frac{r^*(\cdot)}{2}\| \leq c \|\delta F\|_{r^*(\cdot)} \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.3}).$$

Similar result as Corollary 3.4 can be found in [3] if r is a constant function.

Corollary 3.5 *Under the same assumptions as for Corollary 3.4 of Theorem 3.2, let ρ be a Banach function norm rearrangement invariant and $\|w\|_{L(\Omega, \rho)} = \rho(|w|_*)$. If $\rho(b) < +\infty$, then*

$$\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L(\Omega, \rho)} = \rho(|u_1 - u_2|_*) \leq \tilde{c}_N(\alpha_0, a_0) \rho(b) \|F_1 - F_2\|_{r^*(\cdot)}.$$

3.2.2 Pointwise inequality for anisotropic variable exponent equations

We want to derive similar results as for Theorem 3.2 but for operator of the type

$$\hat{a}(x, \xi) = (a_1(x, \xi_1), \dots, a_N(x, \xi_N))$$

for $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $x \in \Omega$ and each a_i satisfying condition (C1), say

(C2) *There exist $\alpha_{0i} > 1$, $a_{0i} > 0$ such that*

$$(a_i(x, t) - a_i(x, \sigma))(t - \sigma) \geq \alpha_{0i} (a_{0i} + |t| + |\sigma|)^{p_i(x)-2} |t - \sigma|^2$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, $\forall (t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Here, $p_i : \Omega \rightarrow]1, +\infty[$ is a bounded measurable function.

We start with the cases when $\text{essinf}_{\Omega} p_i(x) \geq 2$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. For this, we shall consider the following Sobolev space, for $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$,

$$\mathbf{V}_{\vec{p}} = W_0^{1, p_1(\cdot), \dots, p_N(\cdot)}(\Omega) : \left\{ v \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(x) \right|^{p_i(x)} dx < +\infty \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N \right\}.$$

If necessary, we can endow this space with Banach function norm

$$\|v\|_{\mathbf{V}_{\vec{p}}} = |v|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{p_i(\cdot)}$$

or the equivalent norm

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{p_i(\cdot)}.$$

We denote by $q_i(x) = \frac{p_i(x)}{p_i(x)-1}$ the conjugate of $p_i(x)$.

Theorem 3.3 *Let F_1 and F_2 be in $\prod_{i=1}^N L^{q_i(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ such that $\delta F = F_1 - F_2$ satisfies $|\delta F| \in L^2(\Omega)$. Let u_1 and u_2 be two elements of $\mathbf{V}_{\vec{p}}$ satisfying*

$$\int_{\Omega} \hat{a}(x, \nabla u_j) \cdot \nabla \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} F_j \cdot \nabla \varphi dx, \quad j = 1, 2$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathbf{V}_{\overline{p}}$. We assume that $p_i(x) \geq 2$ a.e., $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Then there exists a constant $c_N(\widehat{a}) > 0$ depending only on N and α_{0i} , a_{0i} , p_i for almost every $s \in \Omega_*$,

$$-\frac{dw_*}{ds}(s) \leq c_N(\widehat{a})s^{\frac{1}{N}-1}[(|\delta F|^2)_{*w}(s)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{with } w = |u_1 - u_2|.$$

One can choose $c_N(\widehat{a}) = \frac{1}{N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}\alpha_0a_0}$, $\alpha_0 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \alpha_{0i}$, $a_0 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left[\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Omega} a_{0i}^{p_i(x)-2} \right]$.

Proof The idea is similar to Theorem 3.2. We shall introduce

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \widehat{a} &= \widehat{a}(x, \nabla u_1) - \widehat{a}(x, \nabla u_2), \\ \delta F &= F_1 - F_2, \quad w = |u_1 - u_2|, \quad u_{12} = u_1 - u_2. \end{aligned}$$

We choose for s (fixed) $\in \Omega_*$ as a test function

$$\varphi_s(x) = (w(x) - w_*(s))_+ \operatorname{sign}(u_{12}(x)).$$

Then

$$[\delta \widehat{a} \cdot \nabla u_{12}]_{*w}(s) = [\delta F \cdot \nabla u_{12}]_{*w}(s). \quad (3.31)$$

We shall consider $\alpha_0 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \alpha_{0i}$ and $a_0 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left[\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Omega} a_{0i}^{p_i(x)-2} \right]$ and we define the function, $k : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable,

$$k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{0i} (a_{0i} + |\partial_i u_1| + |\partial_i u_2|)^{p_i(x)-2} |\partial_i w|^2}{|\nabla w|^2}(x), & \text{if } \nabla w(x) \neq 0, \\ \alpha_0 a_0, & \text{if } \nabla w(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here $\partial_i u_j = \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}$, $\partial_i w = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i}$.

Then, $k(x) \geq \alpha_0 a_0 > 0$ for a.e. x , and for a.e. $x \in \Omega$,

$$[\widehat{a}(x, \nabla u_1) - \widehat{a}(x, \nabla u_2)] \cdot \nabla u_{12} \geq k(x) |\nabla w(x)|^2. \quad (3.32)$$

From relations (3.31) and (3.32), one has

$$\begin{aligned} [k|\nabla w|^2]_{*w}(s) &\leq [\delta F \cdot \nabla u_{12}]_{*w}(s) \leq [(|\delta F|^2)_{*w}(s)]^{\frac{1}{2}} [(|\nabla w|^2)_{*w}(s)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\alpha_0 a_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}} [(|\delta F|^2)_{*w}(s)]^{\frac{1}{2}} [(k|\nabla w|^2)_{*w}(s)]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Therefore, we have, for a.e. $s \in \Omega_*$,

$$[k|\nabla w|^2]_{*w}(s) \leq \frac{1}{(\alpha_0 a_0)} (|\delta F|^2)_{*w}(s). \quad (3.34)$$

Next, we use the PSR inequality

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{dw_*}{ds}(s) &\leq \frac{s^{\frac{1}{N}-1}}{N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}} \cdot |\nabla w|_{*w}(s) \leq \frac{s^{\frac{1}{N}-1}}{N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}} [(|\nabla w|^2)_{*w}(s)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{s^{\frac{1}{N}-1}}{N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_0 a_0}} [(k|\nabla w|^2)_{*w}(s)]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

Combining relations (3.34) and (3.35), we obtain, for a.e. $s \in \Omega_*$,

$$-\frac{dw_*}{ds}(s) \leq \frac{s^{\frac{1}{N}-1}}{N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}(\alpha_0 a_0)} [(|\delta F|^2)_{*w}(s)]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.36)$$

We then obtain the same corollaries as in Theorem 3.2. In particular, we have

Corollary 3.6 *Assume that $|\delta F| \in L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ for some bounded measurable function with $r^* > 2$ such that r^* satisfies near zero, $|r^*(t) - r^*(\sigma)| |\ln |t - \sigma|| \leq A$ for some constant A . Then, there exists a constant $c_\Omega > 0$ depending only on Ω , \hat{a} , \vec{p} , r , such that for a.e. $s \in \Omega_*$,*

$$w_*(s) \leq c_\Omega \cdot b_1(s) \|F_1 - F_2\|_{r(\cdot)},$$

where b_1 is as in Corollary 3.3 of Theorem 3.2.

3.2.3 Operator Acerbi-Mingione with a perturbation term

We can generalize the above results by adding a nonlinear term. We shall illustrate this through an example.

(B1) *Let $b : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a nonlinear function satisfying the following growth.*

There exist constants $\beta_0 \geq 0$, $b_0 \geq 0$ such that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^N$, for a.e., $x \in \Omega$,

$$|b(x, \xi) - b(x, \xi')| \leq \beta_0(b_0 + |\xi| + |\xi'|)^{p(x)-2} |\xi - \xi'|.$$

Theorem 3.4 *Let b (resp. \hat{a}) be a nonlinear function satisfying (B1) (resp. (C1)). We assume that $b_0 \leq a_0$ and $p(x) \geq 2$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Let F_1, F_2 be two elements of $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)^N$, $\frac{1}{q(x)} + \frac{1}{p(x)} = 1$ a.e. Let u_1, u_2 be two functions in $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ satisfying $\forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, $j = 1, 2$,*

$$\int_{\Omega} \hat{a}(x, \nabla u_j) \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} b(x, \nabla u_j) \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} F_j \cdot \nabla \varphi dx. \quad (3.37)$$

Then, there exist two constants $c_1 > 0$, $c_2 > 0$ depending on the data \hat{a}, b, Ω, N, p such that for a.e. $s \in \Omega_$,*

$$\int_{w > w_*(s)} (a_0 + |\nabla u_1| + |\nabla u_2|)^{p(x)-2} |\nabla w|^2(x) dx \leq c_1 \int_0^s e^{c_2 \int_s^\tau a_{12}(t) dt} (|\delta F|^2)_{*w}(\tau) d\tau,$$

where $w = |u_1 - u_2|$, $\delta F = F_1 - F_2$, provided that $|\delta F| \in L^2(\Omega)$, and

$$a_{12}(t) = c_2 t^{\frac{2}{N}-2} \int_{w > w_*(t)} (a_0 + |\nabla u_1| + |\nabla u_2|)^{p(x)-2} dx$$

belongs to $L^1(0, |\Omega|)$.

One can choose $c_2 = \frac{2}{\alpha_0^2 a_{0m}}$, $c_1 = \frac{2\beta_0^2}{a_{0m}(N(\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}))^2}$, $a_{0m} = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Omega} a_0^{p(x)-2}$.

Proof The idea is similar to the above proofs of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 and uses the properties of monotone rearrangement and relative rearrangement as in [17, 19].

Let us set $\delta \hat{a} = \hat{a}(x, \nabla u_1) - \hat{a}(x, \nabla u_2)$, $u_{12} = u_1 - u_2$, $\delta b = b(x, \nabla u_1) - b(x, \nabla u_2)$. We recall that $w_* \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega_*)$ and for $s \in \Omega_*$, the function $\varphi(x) = (w(x) - w_*(s))_+ \operatorname{sign}(u_{12}(x))$.

Then, one has

$$[\delta \hat{a} \cdot \nabla u_{12}]_{*w}(s) - w'_*(s) \int_{w > w_*(s)} \delta b \operatorname{sign}(u_{12}) dx = [\delta F \cdot \nabla u_{12}]_{*w}(s). \quad (3.38)$$

We set $k_0(x) = (a_0 + |\nabla u_1(x)| + |\nabla u_2(x)|)^{p(x)-2}$. By the growth conditions (C1) and (B1) on \hat{a} and b , we have

$$\alpha_0(k_0|\nabla w|^2)_{*w}(s) \leq |w'_*(s)| \left(\beta_0 \int_{w > w_*(s)} k_0 |\nabla w| dx \right) + [(\delta F)^2]_{*w}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} [|\nabla w|^2]_{*w}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.39)$$

From the PSR inequality (see Theorem 2.2), we have

$$|w'_*(s)| = -w'_*(s) \leq \frac{s^{\frac{1}{N}-1}}{N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}} [|\nabla w|^2]_{*w}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.40)$$

and

$$[|\nabla w|^2]_{*w}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{0m}}} [(k_0|\nabla w|^2)_{*w}(s)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.41)$$

with $a_{0m} = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Omega} a_0^{p(x)-2}$. Therefore, we obtain from (3.39) to (3.41) that

$$\alpha_0[(k_0|\nabla w|^2)_{*w}(s)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{s^{\frac{1}{N}-1}}{N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}}} \frac{\beta_0}{\sqrt{a_{0m}}} \int_{w > w_*(s)} k_0 |\nabla w| dx + \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{0m}}} [(\delta F)^2]_{*w}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.42)$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$\int_{w > w_*(s)} k_0 |\nabla w| dx \leq \left(\int_{w > w_*(s)} k_0 |\nabla w|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{w > w_*(s)} k_0 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.43)$$

Let us set $y(s) = \int_{w > w_*(s)} k_0 |\nabla w|^2 dx$. Then by the definition of relative rearrangement, we have

$$y'(s) = (k_0 |\nabla w|^2)_{*w}(s) \quad \text{for a.e. } s \in \Omega_*.$$

Therefore, relations (3.42) and (3.43) infer

$$y'(s) \leq c_2 s^{\frac{2}{N}-2} y(s) \int_{w > w_*(s)} k_0 dx + c_1 [(\delta F)^2]_{*w}(s) \quad (3.44)$$

with $c_2 = \frac{2}{\alpha_0^2 a_{0m}}$, $c_1 = \frac{2\beta_0^2}{a_{0m}(N\alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}})^2}$.

From the above Gronwall inequality, we deduce

$$y(s) \leq c_1 \int_0^s e^{c_2 \int_\sigma^\tau a_{12}(t) dt} [(\delta F)^2]_{*w}(\tau) d\tau, \quad (3.45)$$

provided that $a_{12}(t) \equiv c_2 t^{\frac{2}{N}-2} \int_{w > w_*(t)} k_0 dx$ is in $L^1(\Omega_*)$.

Remark 3.2 The condition that $a_{12} \in L^1(\Omega_*)$ depends on p may be detail according to each situation.

For example, if $p(x) = p = \text{constant}$ and $c_2 \neq 0$ then if $2 \leq p < \frac{2N}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 3$ or $p < +\infty$ for $N = 2$, we have $\int_{\Omega_*} a_{12}(t)dt < +\infty$.

Corollary 3.7 *Under the same assumptions as for Theorem 3.4, if $c_2 a_{12} \in L^1(\Omega_*)$, then*

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^{p(x)} dx \leq c_1 e^{c_2 \int_{\Omega_*} a_{12}(t)dt} \|F_1 - F_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)^N}^2$$

with c_1 and c_2 given as in the proof of Theorem 3.4.

Proof One has

$$|\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^{p(x)}(x) \leq k_0(x) |\nabla w|^2(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x, \quad (3.46)$$

since

$$y(|\Omega|) = \int_{\Omega} k_0(x) |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^2 dx,$$

and from Theorem 3.4 that

$$\int_{\Omega} k_0(x) |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^2(x) dx = y(|\Omega|) \leq c_1 e^{c_2 \int_{\Omega_*} a_{12}(t)dt} \|F_1 - F_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)^N}^2. \quad (3.47)$$

From relations (3.46) and (3.47) we derive the result.

Corollary 3.8 *Under the same assumption as for Theorem 3.4, we have for all $s > 0$,*

$$w_*(s) \leq \frac{1}{N \alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}} a_{0m}} \left(\frac{N}{N-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (s^{\frac{2}{N}-1} - |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{N}-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} k_0 |\nabla w|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{if } N \geq 3$$

and

$$w_*(s) \leq \frac{1}{N \alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}} a_{0m}} \left[\text{Ln} \left(\frac{|\Omega|}{s} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} k_0 |\nabla w|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{if } N = 2$$

with $k_0(x) = (a_0 + |\nabla u_1(x)| + |\nabla u_2(x)|)^{p(x)-2}$, $a_{0m} = \text{essinf}_{\Omega} a_0^{p(x)-2}$, $w = |u_1 - u_2|$.

Proof From the PSR inequality (Theorem 2.2), we derive as before

$$-w'_*(s) \leq \frac{s^{\frac{1}{N}-1}}{N \alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}} a_{0m}} [k_0 |\nabla w|^2]_{*w}^{\frac{1}{2}}(s). \quad (3.48)$$

Integrating this last relation and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, one has

$$w_*(s) \leq \frac{1}{N \alpha_N^{\frac{1}{N}} a_{0m}} \left(\int_s^{|\Omega|} t^{\frac{2}{N}-2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} k_0 |\nabla w|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.49)$$

From the above, we have the result.

Remark 3.3 From the proof of Corollary 3.7, we have an estimate of

$$y(|\Omega|) = \int_{\Omega} k_0 |\nabla w|^2 dx \leq c_1 e^{c_2 \int_{\Omega_*} a_{12}(t)dt} \|F_1 - F_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)^N}^2.$$

More results and cases shall be given in [20].

References

- [1] Alvino, A., Lions, P. L. and Trombetti, G., On optimization problems with prescribed rearrangements, *Anal. T. M. A.*, **13**, 1989, 185–220.
- [2] Acerbi, E., and Mingione, G., Regularity results for stationary electro-rheological fluids, *Ach. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **164**, 2002, 213–259.
- [3] Betta, M. F. and Mercaldo, A., Continuous dependence on the data for nonlinear elliptic equations via symmetrization, *Rendiconti di Lincei*, to appear.
- [4] Capone, C., Cruz-Urbe, D. and Fiorenza, A., The fractional maximal operator on variable $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ spaces, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, **23**(3), 2007, 743–770.
- [5] Diening, L., Riesz potential and Sobolev embeddings on generalized Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$ and $W^{k,p(\cdot)}$, *Math. Nachr.*, **268**, 2004, 31–43.
- [6] Díaz, J. I., Nagai, T. and Rakotoson, J. M., Symmetrization techniques on unbounded domains: Application to a chemotaxis system on \mathbb{R}^N , *J. Diff. Eqs.*, **145**(1), 1998, 156–183.
- [7] Edmunds, D. E. and Meskhi, A., Potential-type operators in $L^{p(x)}$ spaces, *J. Anal. Its Appl.*, **21**(3), 2002, 1–11.
- [8] Fiorenza, A. and Rakotoson, J. M., New properties of small Lebesgue spaces and their applications, *Math. Ann.*, **326**, 2003, 543–561.
- [9] Fiorenza, A. and Rakotoson, J. M., Relative rearrangement and Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}$ with variable exponent, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **88**, 2007, 506–521.
- [10] Ferone, A. and Volpicelli, R., Some relations between pseudo-rearrangement and relative rearrangement, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **41**, 2000, 855–869.
- [11] Fan, X. and Zhao, D., On spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.*, **263**, 2000, 424–446.
- [12] Kováčik, O. and Rákosník, J., On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$, *Czech. Math. J.*, **41**, 1996, 167–177.
- [13] Kakilashvili, V. and Samko, S., Singular integrals and potential in some banach function spaces with variable exponent, *J. Funct Spaces and Appl.*, **1**, 2003, 45–59.
- [14] Liu, W. B. and Barrett, J. W., Finite element approximation of some degenerate monotone quasilinear elliptic systems, *SIAM, J. Numer. Anal.*, **33**(1), 1996, 88–106.
- [15] Mossino, J. and Temam, R., Directional derivate of the increasing rearrangement mapping and application to a queer differential esuation in plasma physics, *Duke Math. J.*, **48**, 1981, 475–495.
- [16] Nekvinda, A., Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on $L^{p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **7**(2), 2004, 255–265.
- [17] Rakotoson, J. M., General Pointwise Relations for the Relative Rearrangement and Applications, *Appl. Anal.*, **80**, 2001, 201–232.
- [18] Rakotoson, J. M., Relative rearrangement and interpolation inequalities, *RACSAM*, **97**(1), 2003, 133–145.
- [19] Rakotoson, J. M., Réarrangement Relatif: Un Instrument D’estimations Dans Les Problèmes Aux Limites, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [20] Rakotoson, J. M., Pointwise estimates for problems associated to non invariant rearrangement norms, in preparation.
- [21] Rakotoson, J. M. and Temam, R., Une formule intégrale du type Federer et applications, *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci.*, **304**, 1987, 443–446.
- [22] Rakotoson, J. M. and Temam, R., A co-area formula with applications to monotone rearrangement and to regularity, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **109**, 1990, 213–238.
- [23] Rakotoson, J. M. and Seoane, M. L., Numerical approximations of the relative rearrangement. The piecewise linear case: application to some non local problems, *M2An*, **34**(2), 2000, 477–499; Strong convergence of the directional derivative of the decreasing rearrangement mapping and related questions, *Diff. Integr. Equ.*, **17**(11–12), 2004, 1347–1358.
- [24] Rakotoson, J. M. and Simon, B., Relative rearrangement on measure space application to regularity of weighted monotone rearrangement, Part I, *Applied Maths Letters*, **6**(1), 1993, 75–78; Relative rearrangement on measure space application to regularity of weighted monotone rearrangement, Part II, *Applied Maths Letters*, **6**(1), 1993, 79–82; Relative rearrangement on a finite measure space application to regularity of weighted monotone rearrangement, Part I, *R. Real Acad. Ciencias Madrid*, **91**(1), 1997, 17–31; Relative rearrangement on a finite measure space application to weighted spaces and to P.D.E., Part II, *Revista della Real Academia de Ciencias de Madrid*, **91**(1), 1997, 33–45.