

# Global Entropy Solutions of the Cauchy Problem for Nonhomogeneous Relativistic Euler System\*\*

Yachun LI\*      Anjiao WANG\*

**Abstract** We analyze the  $2 \times 2$  nonhomogeneous relativistic Euler equations for perfect fluids in special relativity. We impose appropriate conditions on the lower order source terms and establish the existence of global entropy solutions of the Cauchy problem under these conditions.

**Keywords** Relativistic Euler system, Entropy solutions, Riemann solutions, Glimm scheme

**2000 MR Subject Classification** 35B40, 35A05, 76Y05, 35B35, 35L65, 85A05

## 1 Introduction

The relativistic Euler equations for a perfect fluid in two dimensional Minkowski space-time with source terms have the form:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \left( (p + \rho c^2) \frac{v^2}{c^2(c^2 - v^2)} + \rho \right) + \partial_x \left( (p + \rho c^2) \frac{v}{c^2 - v^2} \right) = \Phi(\rho, v), \\ \partial_t \left( (p + \rho c^2) \frac{v}{c^2 - v^2} \right) + \partial_x \left( (p + \rho c^2) \frac{v^2}{c^2 - v^2} + p \right) = \Psi(\rho, v), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

which models the balance laws of momentum and energy for relativistic fluids, where  $\rho > 0$  is the proper energy density,  $p$  is the pressure,  $v$  is the particle speed, and  $c$  is the speed of light. The equation of state is

$$p = p(\rho),$$

where  $p(\rho)$  is a smooth function of  $\rho$  and satisfies

$$p'(\rho) > 0, \quad p''(\rho) > 0.$$

In the corresponding physical region  $\mathcal{V} = \{U : 0 \leq \rho < \rho_{\max}, |v| < c\}$  ( $\rho_{\max} = \sup\{\rho : p'(\rho) \leq c^2\}$ ), the system is strictly hyperbolic, and is genuinely nonlinear with respect to all the characteristics under certain assumptions on the pressure function  $p$  (see Lemma 2.1).

For the corresponding homogeneous systems ( $2 \times 2$  or  $3 \times 3$ ), many mathematical problems have been studied, such as, the construction of the models, the local existence of smooth solutions, the global existence of  $BV$  solutions, the periodic solutions, the spherically symmetric

---

Manuscript received January 29, 2006. Revised March 20, 2006.

\*Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China.

E-mail: ycli@sjtu.edu.cn    anjiaowang@sjtu.edu.cn

\*\*Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.10571120) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (No.04ZR14090).

solutions, the uniqueness and stability of solutions, the limit problems, and the kinetic schemes, etc. (see, e.g., [1, 4–6, 9–13, 15–22, 25–28, 30]; also consult Chen [2]).

The homogeneous system corresponding to (1.1) is

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \left( (p + \rho c^2) \frac{v^2}{c^2(c^2 - v^2)} + \rho \right) + \partial_x \left( (p + \rho c^2) \frac{v}{c^2 - v^2} \right) = 0, \\ \partial_t \left( (p + \rho c^2) \frac{v}{c^2 - v^2} \right) + \partial_x \left( (p + \rho c^2) \frac{v^2}{c^2 - v^2} + p \right) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

For the initial data

$$t = 0 : \quad \rho = \rho_0(x), \quad v = v_0(x), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\rho_0(x)$ ,  $v_0(x) \in \mathcal{V}$  are bounded functions with bounded variation, we study the Cauchy problem of (1.1) with the equation of state

$$p = \kappa^2 \rho,$$

where  $\kappa < c$  is the sound speed. In this case, system (1.1) reduces to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \left( \rho \left( \frac{\kappa^2 + c^2}{c^2} \frac{v^2}{c^2 - v^2} + 1 \right) \right) + \partial_x \left( \rho \left( (\kappa^2 + c^2) \frac{v}{c^2 - v^2} \right) \right) = \Phi(\rho, v), \\ \partial_t \left( \rho \left( (\kappa^2 + c^2) \frac{v}{c^2 - v^2} \right) \right) + \partial_x \left( \rho \left( (\kappa^2 + v^2) \frac{c^2 v^2}{c^2 - v^2} \right) \right) = \Psi(\rho, v). \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

The Cauchy problem of the non-relativistic version (the classical isentropic Euler system, i.e.,  $c = +\infty$ ) of (1.4) has been solved in [31]. They established the global existence of weak solutions. The purpose of this paper is to solve the Cauchy problem (1.3)–(1.4) based on the global existence of  $BV$  solutions for the homogeneous system (1.1) by Smoller-Temple [25] and adopting the methods of Ying-Wang [31] and Chen-Wagner [3]. We will impose appropriate conditions on the lower order source terms and establish the existence of the global entropy solutions under these conditions.

We also assume that the variation of  $\rho_0(x)$  and  $v_0(x)$  vanishes outside a bounded interval, namely,  $\rho_0(x) = \rho_0(+\infty)$ ,  $v_0(x) = v_0(+\infty)$  in a neighborhood of  $x = +\infty$  and  $\rho_0(x) = \rho_0(-\infty)$ ,  $v_0(x) = v_0(-\infty)$  in a neighborhood of  $x = -\infty$ .

We organize this paper as follows. In §2, we discuss the conditions on the source terms and construct the approximate solutions by using the Glimm difference scheme and Picard iteration; In §3, we establish estimations on the approximate solutions, that is, we show that the approximate solutions are bounded functions of bounded variation and continuous in  $t$  in the sense of  $L^1$ ; In §4, we prove that the limit solutions are the entropy solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.3)–(1.4).

## 2 The Primary Properties and the Difference Scheme

Problem (1.3)–(1.4) fits into the following Cauchy problem for a general system of conservation laws:

$$\begin{cases} U_t + F(U)_x = G(U), \\ t = 0 : U = U_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \left( \rho \left( \frac{\kappa^2 + c^2}{c^2} \frac{v^2}{c^2 - v^2} + 1 \right), \rho \left( (\kappa^2 + c^2) \frac{v}{c^2 - v^2} \right) \right)^\top = (U_1, U_2)^\top, \\ F(U) &= \left( \rho \left( (\kappa^2 + c^2) \frac{v}{c^2 - v^2} \right), \rho \left( (\kappa^2 + v^2) \frac{c^2 v^2}{c^2 - v^2} \right) \right)^\top = (F_1(U), F_2(U))^\top, \\ G(U) &= (\Phi(\rho, v), \Psi(\rho, v))^\top. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding homogeneous system is

$$U_t + F(U)_x = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

We recall that the eigenvalues of the system are

$$\lambda = \frac{v - \kappa}{1 - \frac{v\kappa}{c^2}}, \quad \mu = \frac{v + \kappa}{1 + \frac{v\kappa}{c^2}}. \quad (2.3)$$

It is easy to see that

**Lemma 2.1** *System (1.1) is strictly hyperbolic if and only if*

$$\sqrt{p'(\rho)} < c, \quad (2.4)$$

in the case  $p = \kappa^2 \rho$ , namely,  $\kappa < c$ .

Moreover, under (2.4), system (1.1) is genuinely nonlinear if

$$p''(\rho) \geq -2 \frac{(c^2 - p')p'}{p + \rho c^2}.$$

We calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} dU &\triangleq \frac{\partial(U_1, U_2)}{\partial(\rho, v)} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{1\rho} & U_{1v} \\ U_{2\rho} & U_{2v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c^4 + \kappa^2 v^2}{c^2(c^2 - v^2)} & \frac{(\kappa^2 + c^2)2\rho v}{(c^2 - v^2)^2} \\ \frac{(\kappa^2 + c^2)v}{c^2 - v^2} & \frac{(\kappa^2 + c^2)(c^2 + v^2)\rho}{c^2 - v^2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ dU^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c^2(c^2 + v^2)}{c^4 - \kappa^2 v^2} & -\frac{2c^2 v}{c^4 - \kappa^2 v^2} \\ \frac{(c^2 - v^2)c^2 v}{(c^4 - \kappa^2 v^2)\rho} & \frac{(c^4 + \kappa^2 v^2)(c^2 - v^2)}{(\kappa^2 + c^2)(c^4 - \kappa^2 v^2)\rho} \end{pmatrix}, \\ dF &\triangleq \frac{\partial(F_1, F_2)}{\partial(\rho, v)} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{1\rho} & F_{1v} \\ F_{2\rho} & F_{2v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\kappa^2 + c^2)v}{c^2 - v^2} & \frac{(\kappa^2 + c^2)(c^2 + v^2)\rho}{(c^2 - v^2)^2} \\ \frac{(\kappa^2 + v^2)c^2}{c^2 - v^2} & \frac{(\kappa^2 + c^2)2c^2 v \rho}{(c^2 - v^2)^2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then system (1.4) reduces to

$$dU \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ v \end{pmatrix}_t + dF \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ v \end{pmatrix}_x = G(U),$$

namely,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ v \end{pmatrix}_t + dU^{-1} dF \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ v \end{pmatrix}_x = dU^{-1} G(U) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\Phi}(\rho, v) \\ \bar{\Psi}(\rho, v) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$dU^{-1}dF = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(c^2-\kappa^2)c^2v}{c^4-\kappa^2v^2} & \frac{(\kappa^2+c^2)c^2\rho}{c^4-\kappa^2v^2} \\ \frac{(c^2-v^2)^2\kappa^2c^2}{(c^4-\kappa^2v^2)(\kappa^2+c^2)\rho} & \frac{(c^2-\kappa^2)c^2v}{c^4-\kappa^2v^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$dU^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(\rho, v) \\ \Psi(\rho, v) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\Phi}(\rho, v) \\ \bar{\Psi}(\rho, v) \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we set

$$w = \ln \frac{c-v}{c+v}, \quad (2.7)$$

then we have the one-to-one mapping:  $(\rho, v) \rightarrow (\rho, w)$ , and

$$\frac{\partial(\rho, v)}{\partial(\rho, w)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{-2ce^w}{(1+e^w)^2} \end{pmatrix} \triangleq D.$$

Then (2.5), thus (1.4), reduces to

$$D \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ w \end{pmatrix}_t + dU^{-1}dFD \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ w \end{pmatrix}_x = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\Phi}(\rho, v) \\ \bar{\Psi}(\rho, v) \end{pmatrix},$$

namely,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ w \end{pmatrix}_t + D^{-1}dU^{-1}dFD \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ w \end{pmatrix}_x = D^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\Phi}(\rho, v) \\ \bar{\Psi}(\rho, v) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi}(\rho, w) \\ \tilde{\Psi}(\rho, w) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.8)$$

with initial data

$$t = 0: \quad \rho = \rho_0(x), \quad w = w_0(x) = \ln \frac{c-v_0(x)}{c+v_0(x)}, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\Phi}(\rho, v) = \tilde{\Phi}(\rho, w) = \tilde{\Phi}\left(\rho, \ln \frac{c-v}{c+v}\right), \\ \bar{\Psi}(\rho, v) = -\frac{c^2-v^2}{2c}\tilde{\Psi}(\rho, w) = -\frac{c^2-v^2}{2c}\tilde{\Psi}\left(\rho, \ln \frac{c-v}{c+v}\right). \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

The homogeneous form corresponding to (2.8) is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ w \end{pmatrix}_t + D^{-1}dU^{-1}dFD \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ w \end{pmatrix}_x = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

We assume that functions  $\tilde{\Phi}(\rho, w)$  and  $\tilde{\Psi}(\rho, w)$  satisfy the following conditions:  $\forall 0 < \rho < +\infty, -\infty < w < +\infty$ , there exists a constant  $K > 0$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}(\rho, w), \tilde{\Psi}(\rho, w) \in C^1, \\ |\tilde{\Phi}(\rho', w) - \tilde{\Phi}(\rho, w)| \leq K|\rho' - \rho|, \\ |\tilde{\Phi}(\rho, w') - \tilde{\Phi}(\rho, w)| \leq K\rho|w' - w|, \\ \tilde{\Phi}(\rho, 0) = 0, \\ |\tilde{\Psi}(\rho', w') - \tilde{\Psi}(\rho, w)| \leq K\left(|w' - w| + \left|\ln \frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right|\right). \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

**Remark 2.1** In order to illustrate the specific meaning of (2.12), we give two examples satisfying conditions (2.12).

**Example 2.1** For bounded solution  $(\rho, v) : -c < \underline{v} < v < \bar{v} < c$ ,  $0 < \underline{\rho} < \rho < \bar{\rho} < +\infty$ , if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi(\rho, v) \\ \Psi(\rho, v) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha U_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi}(\rho, w) \\ \tilde{\Psi}(\rho, w) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2\alpha c^2 v(\kappa^2 + c^2)\rho}{(c^4 - \kappa^2 v^2)(c^2 - v^2)} \\ -\frac{2\alpha c v(c^4 + \kappa^2 v^2)}{(c^4 - \kappa^2 v^2)(c^2 - v^2)} \end{pmatrix} \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} H(v)\rho \\ K(v) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we can see that  $H(v)$  and  $K(v)$  and their derivatives are bounded. Denoting  $K = \max\{|H(v)|, |H'(v)\frac{c^2 - v^2}{2c}|, |K'(v)\frac{c^2 - v^2}{2c}|\}$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} |\tilde{\Phi}(\rho', w) - \tilde{\Phi}(\rho, w)| = |H(v)||\rho' - \rho| \leq K|\rho' - \rho|, \\ \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial w} \right| = \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial v} \frac{dv}{dw} \right| = \left| H'(v) \frac{c^2 - v^2}{2c} \right| \leq K, \\ \tilde{\Phi}(\rho, 0) = 0, \\ |\tilde{\Psi}(\rho', w) - \tilde{\Psi}(\rho, w)| = 0 < K\rho, \\ \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}}{\partial w} \right| = \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}}{\partial v} \frac{dv}{dw} \right| = \left| K'(v) \frac{c^2 - v^2}{2c} \right| \leq K. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the conditions are satisfied.

**Example 2.2** Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi(\rho, v) \\ \Psi(\rho, v) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha U_1 \\ \beta U_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

we can similarly verify (2.12) for bounded solution  $(\rho, v) : -c < \bar{v} < v < \bar{v} < c$ ,  $0 < \underline{\rho} < \rho < \bar{\rho} < +\infty$ .

If  $\rho(x, t)$  and  $w(x, t)$  are independent of the variable  $x$ , the problem (2.8)–(2.9) reduces to a Cauchy problem for ordinary differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\rho}{dt} = \tilde{\Phi}(\rho, w), \quad \frac{dw}{dt} = \tilde{\Psi}(\rho, w), \\ t = 0 : \quad \rho = \rho_0, \quad w = w_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

where  $\rho_0$  and  $w_0$  are constants.

**Lemma 2.2** Under conditions (2.12),  $\forall T > 0$ , there exists a unique solution  $(\rho, w)$  of problem (2.13) for  $t \in [0, T]$ , and a constant  $M_1 > 0$ , such that,  $|w| + |\ln \rho| \leq M_1$ .

**Proof** Under the one-to-one mapping:  $(\rho, w) \rightarrow (u, w) = (\ln \rho, w)$ , (2.13) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} = \hat{\Phi}(u, w), \quad \frac{dw}{dt} = \hat{\Psi}(u, w), \\ t = 0 : \quad u = u_0, \quad w = w_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

where  $u_0 = \ln \rho_0$ , and

$$\widehat{\Phi}(u, w) = e^{-u} \widetilde{\Phi}(e^u, w), \quad \widehat{\Psi}(u, w) = \widetilde{\Psi}(e^u, w).$$

According to (2.12), we have

$$\begin{cases} \left| \frac{\partial \widehat{\Phi}}{\partial u} \right| = \left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Phi}(\rho, w)}{\partial \rho} - e^{-u} \widetilde{\Phi}(e^u, w) \right| = \left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Phi}}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\widetilde{\Phi}}{\rho} \right| \leq 2K, \\ \left| \frac{\partial \widehat{\Phi}}{\partial w} \right| = \left| e^{-u} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Phi}(e^u, w)}{\partial w} \right| \leq e^{-u} K e^u = K, \\ |\widehat{\Psi}(u', w') - \widehat{\Psi}(u, w)| = |\widetilde{\Psi}(e^{u'}, w') - \widetilde{\Psi}(e^u, w)| \leq K \left( \left| \ln \frac{e^{u'}}{e^u} \right| + |w' - w| \right) \\ \quad = K(|u' - u| + |w' - w|). \end{cases}$$

It then follows the existence and uniqueness, as well as the upper bound estimate.

Now we use a difference scheme based on the Glimm scheme (see [8]) to construct the approximate solutions  $(\rho^l, w^l)$  for problem (2.8)–(2.9) with mesh length  $l$  and  $h$  which are required to satisfy the condition

$$\frac{l}{h} > \sup \frac{v^l + \kappa}{1 + \frac{v^l \kappa}{c^2}}, \quad (2.15)$$

where  $v^l = c \frac{1 - e^{w^l}}{1 + e^{w^l}}$ .

For any given  $T > 0$ , we will state that  $\rho^l \geq \delta_0 > 0$ , where the constant  $\delta_0$  depends only on  $\rho_0(x)$ ,  $w_0(x)$ ,  $T$ , and  $K$ , so that it is possible to construct  $\rho^l$ ,  $w^l$ .

For integers  $n \geq 1$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n &= \{m : m \text{ is integer, and } m + n \text{ is even}\}, \\ A &= \prod_{n \geq 1, m \in Y_n} [(m-1)l, (m+1)l] \times \{nh\}. \end{aligned}$$

We choose a point  $\{a_{m,n}\} \in A$  randomly and define  $a_{m,n} = \{ml, 0\}$ ,  $m$  even. For  $0 < t < h$ ,  $(m-1)l < x < (m+1)l$ ,  $m$  odd, we define

$$\begin{cases} \rho^l(x, t) = \rho_0^l(x, t) + \widetilde{\Phi}(\rho_0^l(x, t), w_0^l(x, t))t, \\ w^l(x, t) = w_0^l(x, t) + \widetilde{\Psi}(\rho_0^l(x, t), w_0^l(x, t))t, \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

where  $\rho_0^l(x, t)$  and  $w_0^l(x, t)$  are the solutions of (2.11) with initial data

$$\rho_0(x) = \begin{cases} \rho_0((m-1)l), & x < ml, \\ \rho_0((m+1)l), & x > ml. \end{cases} \quad w_0(x) = \begin{cases} w_0((m-1)l), & x < ml, \\ w_0((m+1)l), & x > ml. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that  $\rho^l$ ,  $w^l$  have been defined for  $t < nh$ . We define

$$\begin{cases} \rho^l(x, t) = \rho_0^l(x, t) + \widetilde{\Phi}(\rho_0^l(x, t), w_0^l(x, t))(t - nh), \\ w^l(x, t) = w_0^l(x, t) + \widetilde{\Psi}(\rho_0^l(x, t), w_0^l(x, t))(t - nh) \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

for  $nh < t < (n+1)h$ ,  $ml < x < (m+2)l$ , where  $m \in Y_n$ ,  $\rho_0^l(x, t)$  and  $w_0^l(x, t)$  are the solutions of (2.11) with initial data ( $t = nh$ )

$$\rho_0^l(x) = \begin{cases} \rho^l(a_{m,n}, nh - 0), & x < (m+1)l, \\ \rho^l(a_{m+2,n}, nh - 0), & x > (m+1)l. \end{cases} \quad w_0^l(x) = \begin{cases} w^l(a_{m,n}, nh - 0), & x < (m+1)l, \\ w^l(a_{m+2,n}, nh - 0), & x > (m+1)l. \end{cases}$$

### 3 Estimates on the Difference Solutions

We recall that the Riemann invariants of the system are

$$\begin{cases} r = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{c+v}{c-v} + \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \ln \rho = -\frac{1}{2}w + \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \ln \rho, \\ s = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{c+v}{c-v} - \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \ln \rho = -\frac{1}{2}w - \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \ln \rho. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

From [25], we know that there exists a  $C^1$  function  $0 < g < 1$  such that

$$\text{on the 1-shock wave curve, } \frac{ds}{dr} = g, \text{ namely, } \frac{d(s-s_0)}{d(r-r_0)} = g,$$

$$\text{on the 2-shock wave curve, } \frac{dr}{ds} = g, \text{ namely, } \frac{d(r-r_0)}{d(s-s_0)} = g,$$

that is to say, all shock wave curves in the  $r, s$ -plane have the same shape, i.e., the 1-shock wave curve starting from the point  $(r_0, s_0)$  is given by

$$s - s_0 = f(r - r_0), \quad r \leq r_0, \quad (3.2)$$

and the 2-shock wave curve is given by

$$r - r_0 = f(s - s_0), \quad s \leq s_0. \quad (3.3)$$

Besides, the 1-rarefaction wave curve and the 2-rarefaction wave curve are given by

$$s - s_0 = 0, \quad r > r_0, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$r - r_0 = 0, \quad s > s_0, \quad (3.5)$$

respectively. Therefore, the 1-wave curve can be expressed in the form:

$$s - s_0 = f(r - r_0), \quad (3.6)$$

and the 2-wave curve can be expressed in the form:

$$r - r_0 = f(s - s_0), \quad (3.7)$$

where  $f(x)$  is a  $C^2$ -function defined on the interval  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  satisfying

$$f(x) \equiv 0, \quad \forall x > 0, \quad 0 \leq f'(x) < 1, \quad f''(x) < 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f'(x) = 1.$$

In order to estimate the total variation of difference solutions  $(\rho^l, w^l)$  defined by (2.16) and (2.17), we first consider the Riemann problem for system (2.8) with initial data

$$\rho_0(x) = \begin{cases} \rho_l, & x < 0, \\ \rho_r, & x > 0, \end{cases} \quad w_0(x) = \begin{cases} w_l, & x < 0, \\ w_r, & x > 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

the solutions of which are denoted by  $\rho_0(x, t)$  and  $w_0(x, t)$ .

Consider three constant state regions  $(\rho_l, w_l)$ ,  $(\rho_m, w_m)$  and  $(\rho_r, w_r)$ , where  $(\rho_l, w_l)$  and  $(\rho_m, w_m)$  are connected by a 1-wave, and  $(\rho_m, w_m)$  and  $(\rho_r, w_r)$  are connected by a 2-wave. Define  $r_l, s_l, r_m, s_m, r_r, s_r$  by means of (3.1), and set

$$\Delta r = r_m - r_l, \quad \Delta s = s_r - s_m. \quad (3.9)$$

Define

$$P(\rho_l, w_l, \rho_r, w_r) = -\min(0, \Delta r) - \min(0, \Delta s). \quad (3.10)$$

Obviously,  $P \geq 0$ . And we can similarly prove as in [23] that

$$P(\rho_i, w_i, \rho_j, w_j) \leq P(\rho_i, w_i, \rho_k, w_k) + P(\rho_k, w_k, \rho_j, w_j), \quad (3.11)$$

where  $\rho_i, w_i, \rho_k, w_k, \rho_j, w_j$  are arbitrary positive constants.

From (3.1) we know that

$$\begin{cases} r_0(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2}w_0 + \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \ln \rho_0, \\ s_0(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2}w_0 - \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \ln \rho_0, \end{cases}$$

and (3.6) and (3.7) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & TV\{r_0(\cdot, t)\} + TV\{s_0(\cdot, t)\} \\ & \leq 4P(\rho_l, w_l, \rho_r, w_r) + |r_0(+\infty, t) - r_0(-\infty, t)| + |s_0(+\infty, t) - s_0(-\infty, t)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

We can define the approximate solutions of (2.8) with initial data (3.8) by difference scheme (2.16)–(2.17) as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \rho(x, t) = \rho_0(x, t) + \tilde{\Phi}(\rho_0(x, t), w_0(x, t))t, \\ w(x, t) = w_0(x, t) + \tilde{\Psi}(\rho_0(x, t), w_0(x, t))t. \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

Define

$$\delta r = r_r - r_l, \quad \delta s = s_r - s_l, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta r_t = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(w_r + \tilde{\Psi}_r t) + \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \ln(\rho_r + \tilde{\Phi}_r t) \right\} \\ \quad - \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(w_l + \tilde{\Psi}_l t) + \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \ln(\rho_l + \tilde{\Phi}_l t) \right\}, \\ \delta s_t = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(w_r + \tilde{\Psi}_r t) - \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \ln(\rho_r + \tilde{\Phi}_r t) \right\} \\ \quad - \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(w_l + \tilde{\Psi}_l t) - \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \ln(\rho_l + \tilde{\Phi}_l t) \right\}, \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

where  $\tilde{\Phi}_r = \tilde{\Phi}(\rho_r, w_r)$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}_r = \tilde{\Psi}(\rho_r, w_r)$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi}_l = \tilde{\Phi}(\rho_l, w_l)$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}_l = \tilde{\Psi}(\rho_l, w_l)$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *If  $t \leq \frac{1}{2K}$ , then*

$$|\delta r_t - \delta r|, \quad |\delta s_t - \delta s| \leq \frac{5}{2}K \left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right) (|\delta r| + |\delta s|)t.$$



**Proof** It follows from (3.13) and (3.14) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\delta r_t - \delta r| &= \left| -\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\Psi}_r - \tilde{\Psi}_l)t + \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \ln \frac{1 + \left(\frac{\tilde{\Phi}_r}{\rho_r}\right)t}{1 + \left(\frac{\tilde{\Phi}_l}{\rho_l}\right)t} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}|(\tilde{\Psi}_r - \tilde{\Psi}_l)|t + \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \left| \ln \frac{1 + \left(\frac{\tilde{\Phi}_r}{\rho_r}\right)t}{1 + \left(\frac{\tilde{\Phi}_l}{\rho_l}\right)t} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}K \left( |w_r - w_l| + \left| \ln \frac{\rho_r}{\rho_l} \right| \right) t + \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \left| \ln \frac{1 + \left(\frac{\tilde{\Phi}_r}{\rho_r}\right)t}{1 + \left(\frac{\tilde{\Phi}_l}{\rho_l}\right)t} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}K \left( |w_r - w_l| + \left| \ln \frac{\rho_r}{\rho_l} \right| \right) t + \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \frac{1}{1 + \hat{\Phi}(u^*, w^*)t} |\hat{\Phi}(u_r, w_r) - \hat{\Phi}(u_l, w_l)| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}K \left( |w_r - w_l| + \left| \ln \frac{\rho_r}{\rho_l} \right| \right) t + \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} 4K(|u_r - u_l| + |w_r - w_l|)t \\
&\leq \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \right) K \left( |w_r - w_l| + \left| \ln \frac{\rho_r}{\rho_l} \right| \right) t \\
&\leq \frac{5}{2}K \left( 1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa} \right) (|\delta r| + |\delta s|)t,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $|\hat{\Phi}| = \left| \frac{\tilde{\Phi}}{\rho} \right| \leq K$ , and the point  $(u^*, w^*)$  lies on the segment between  $(u_l, w_l)$  and  $(u_r, w_r)$ . The estimate on  $|\delta s_t - \delta s|$  is similar.

From (3.6)–(3.7), (3.9), and (3.14), we know

$$\delta r = \Delta r + f(\Delta s), \quad \delta s = \Delta s + f(\Delta r). \quad (3.16)$$

The eigenvalues of matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & f'(\Delta s) \\ f'(\Delta r) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  are  $1 \pm \sqrt{f'(\Delta s)f'(\Delta r)}$ , and we have

$$\Delta r = p(\delta r, \delta s), \quad \Delta s = q(\delta r, \delta s), \quad (3.17)$$

from the fact that  $\sqrt{f'(\Delta s)f'(\Delta r)} < 1$ , where  $p, q \in C^2$ . It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial p}{\partial \delta r} &= \frac{1}{1 - f'(p)f'(q)}, & \frac{\partial q}{\partial \delta r} &= \frac{-f'(p)}{1 - f'(p)f'(q)}, \\
\frac{\partial p}{\partial \delta s} &= \frac{-f'(q)}{1 - f'(p)f'(q)}, & \frac{\partial q}{\partial \delta s} &= \frac{1}{1 - f'(p)f'(q)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the situation when only one wave is involved in the solutions  $\rho_0(x, t)$ ,  $w_0(x, t)$ ; if we change  $\rho_l$ ,  $w_l$ ,  $\rho_r$  and  $w_r$  to  $\rho_l^*$ ,  $w_l^*$ ,  $\rho_r^*$  and  $w_r^*$ , then  $r$  and  $s$  become  $r^*$  and  $s^*$ . We denote

$$\delta r^* = r_r^* - r_l^*, \quad \delta s^* = s_r^* - s_l^*.$$

Taking the similar procedures as in [31], we can state the following lemma while omitting the tedious proof.

**Lemma 3.2**  $P(\rho_l^*, w_l^*, \rho_r^*, w_r^*) \leq P(\rho_l^*, w_l^*, \rho_r^*, w_r^*) + 3(|\delta r^* - \delta r| + |\delta s^* - \delta s|).$

For integers  $n \geq 1$ , and  $t = nh$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} & F(nh + 0, \rho^l, w^l) \\ = & \sum_{m \in Y_n} P(\rho^l(a_{m,n}, nh+0), w^l(a_{m,n}, nh+0), \rho^l(a_{m+2,n}, nh+0), w^l(a_{m+2,n}, nh+0)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

We denote

$$Z_n = I \cup \{a_{m,n} \mid m \in Y_n\},$$

where  $I$  is the set of all integers, then

$$Z_n = \{z_{i,n} : i \text{ integer}, z_{i,n} \leq z_{i+1,n}\},$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned} & F(nh - 0, \rho^l, w^l) \\ = & \sum_i P(\rho^l(z_{i,n}, nh - 0), w^l(z_{i,n}, nh - 0), \rho^l(z_{i+1,n}, nh - 0), w^l(z_{i+1,n}, nh - 0)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

We consider the initial value problem (2.13) with the initial data  $\rho_0(\pm\infty)$  and  $w_0(\pm\infty)$ , and denote the solutions by  $\rho(\pm\infty, t)$  and  $w(\pm\infty, t)$  respectively. From the definition (3.1) of Riemann invariants and Lemma 2.2, we know that

$$|r(\pm\infty, t)|, |s(\pm\infty, t)| \leq \frac{1}{2}M_1 < M_1, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

**Lemma 3.3** *If  $h \leq \frac{1}{2K}$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & F((n+1)h + 0, \rho^l, w^l) \\ \leq & F(nh + 0, \rho^l, w^l) \left(1 + 60Kh \left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right)\right) + 60KM_1 \left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right)h. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** From the definition (3.18)–(3.19), we have

$$F((n+1)h - 0, \rho_0^l, w_0^l) = F(nh + 0, \rho^l, w^l). \quad (3.20)$$

Let

$$r^l = -\frac{1}{2}w^l + \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \ln \rho^l, \quad s^l = -\frac{1}{2}w^l - \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \ln \rho^l.$$

From Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\rho^l(a_{m,n+1}, (n+1)h - 0), w^l(a_{m,n+1}, (n+1)h - 0), \\ & \quad \rho^l(a_{m+2,n+1}, (n+1)h - 0), w^l(a_{m+2,n+1}, (n+1)h - 0)) \\ \leq & P(\rho_0^l(a_{m,n+1}, (n+1)h - 0), w_0^l(a_{m,n+1}, (n+1)h - 0), \\ & \quad \rho_0^l(a_{m+2,n+1}, (n+1)h - 0), w_0^l(a_{m+2,n+1}, (n+1)h - 0)) \\ & + 15K \left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right) (|\delta r^l| + |\delta s^l|)h. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& F((n+1)h - 0, \rho^l, w^l) \\
& \leq F((n+1)h - 0, \rho_0^l, w_0^l) + 15K \left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right) \{TV\{r^l(\cdot, nh + 0)\} + TV\{s^l(\cdot, nh + 0)\}\}h \\
& = F(nh + 0, \rho^l, w^l) + 15K \left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right) \{TV\{r^l(\cdot, nh + 0)\} + TV\{s^l(\cdot, nh + 0)\}\}h,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use (3.20). From (3.11), it holds that

$$F((n+1)h + 0, \rho^l, w^l) \leq F((n+1)h - 0, \rho^l, w^l).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& F((n+1)h + 0, \rho^l, w^l) \\
& \leq F(nh + 0, \rho^l, w^l) + 15K \left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right) \{TV\{r^l(\cdot, nh + 0)\} + TV\{s^l(\cdot, nh + 0)\}\}h. \quad (3.21)
\end{aligned}$$

Besides, we have, as in (3.12), that

$$TV\{r^l(\cdot, nh + 0)\} + TV\{s^l(\cdot, nh + 0)\} \leq 4F(nh + 0, \rho^l, w^l) + 4M_1. \quad (3.22)$$

Substituting (3.22) into (3.21), we finally arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& F((n+1)h + 0, \rho^l, w^l) \\
& \leq F(nh + 0, \rho^l, w^l) + 60K \left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right) (F(nh + 0, \rho^l, w^l) + M_1)h \\
& = F(nh + 0, \rho^l, w^l) \left(1 + 60Kh \left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right)\right) + 60KM_1 \left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right)h,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

**Lemma 3.4** For any  $T > 0$ , if  $h \leq \frac{1}{2K}$ , then there exist constants  $M > 0$  and  $\delta_0 > 0$  depending only on  $\rho_0(x)$ ,  $w_0(x)$ ,  $K$  and  $T$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& TV\{\rho^l(\cdot, t)\} + TV\{w^l(\cdot, t)\} + TV\{r^l(\cdot, t)\} + TV\{s^l(\cdot, t)\} \leq M, \\
& |\rho^l(x, t)| + |w^l(x, t)| + |r^l(x, t)| + |s^l(x, t)| \leq M, \\
& \rho^l(x, t) \geq \delta_0 > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof** Let

$$F(0) = \sum_{m \in Y_n} P(\rho_0(ml), w_0(ml), \rho_0((m+2)l), w_0((m+2)l)).$$

We assume that  $T = Nh$ , set  $a = 1 + 60Kh \left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right)$ .

If  $h \leq \frac{1}{2K}$ , we have by induction, from Lemma 3.3, that

$$\begin{aligned}
& F(nh + 0, \rho^l, w^l) \\
& \leq F(0)a^n + 60KM_1h \left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right) (1 + a + a^2 + \cdots + a^{n-1}) \\
& \leq F(0)a^n + 60KM_1nh \left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right) a^n
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left(F(0) + 60KM_1nh\left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right)\right) \left(1 + 60KT\frac{1}{N}\left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right)\right)^N \\
&\leq \left(F(0) + 60KM_1nh\left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right)\right) \exp\left\{60KT\left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.10) and (3.16), we have

$$F(0) \leq TV\{r_0\} + TV\{s_0\}.$$

This, together with (3.22), implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&TV\{r^l(\cdot, nh + 0)\} + TV\{s^l(\cdot, nh + 0)\} \\
&\leq 4\left(F(0) + 60KM_1nh\left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right)\right) \exp\left\{60KT\left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right)\right\} \\
&\leq 4\left(TVr_0 + TVs_0 + 60KM_1nh\left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right)\right) \exp\left\{60KT\left(1 + \frac{c^2 + \kappa^2}{2c\kappa}\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It then follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$TV\{r^l(\cdot, t)\} + TV\{s^l(\cdot, t)\} \leq Q,$$

where  $Q > 0$  depends only on  $\rho_0(x)$ ,  $w_0(x)$ ,  $K$  and  $T$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|r^l(x, t)| &\leq |r^l(\pm\infty, t)| + TV\{r^l(\cdot, t)\} \leq M_1 + Q, \\
|s^l(x, t)| &\leq |s^l(\pm\infty, t)| + TV\{s^l(\cdot, t)\} \leq M_1 + Q.
\end{aligned}$$

Returning to  $\rho$  and  $v$  by Definition 3.1 of the Riemann invariants, we complete the proof of the lemma.

**Remark 3.1** Lemma 3.4 tells us that, for any  $T > 0$ , if  $h > 0$  is sufficiently small, then the total variations of the functions  $\rho^l$ ,  $w^l$ ,  $r^l$  and  $s^l$  are bounded uniformly for  $h$  and  $\{a_{m,n}\}$ ; their upper and lower bounds, and the positive lower bound of  $\rho^l$  are also bounded uniformly.

Now we come back to the Cauchy problem of system (2.5) with initial data (1.3), and the Riemann problem with initial data

$$\rho_0(x) = \begin{cases} \rho_l, & x < 0, \\ \rho_r, & x > 0, \end{cases} \quad v_0(x) = \begin{cases} v_l, & x < 0, \\ v_r, & x > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.23)$$

From (2.10), we have

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned}
&\bar{\Phi}(\rho, v), \bar{\Psi}(\rho, v) \in C^1, \\
&|\bar{\Phi}(\rho', v) - \bar{\Phi}(\rho, v)| = |\tilde{\Phi}(\rho', w) - \tilde{\Phi}(\rho, w)| \leq K|\rho' - \rho| \leq K'|\rho' - \rho|, \\
&|\bar{\Phi}(\rho, v') - \bar{\Phi}(\rho, v)| = \left| \tilde{\Phi}\left(\rho, \ln \frac{c - v'}{c + v'}\right) - \tilde{\Phi}\left(\rho, \ln \frac{c - v}{c + v}\right) \right| \\
&\quad \leq K\rho \left| \ln \frac{c - v'}{c + v'} - \ln \frac{c - v}{c + v} \right| \leq KM'\rho|v' - v| \leq K'\rho|v' - v|, \\
&\bar{\Phi}(\rho, 0) = 0, \\
&|\bar{\Psi}(\rho', v) - \bar{\Psi}(\rho, v)| = \frac{c^2 - v^2}{2c} |\tilde{\Phi}(\rho', w) - \tilde{\Phi}(\rho, w)| \leq KM'' \left| \ln \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \right| \leq K' \left| \ln \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \right|, \\
&\left| \frac{\partial \bar{\Psi}}{\partial v} \right| = \left| \frac{v}{c} \tilde{\Psi}\left(\rho, \ln \frac{c - v}{c + v}\right) - \frac{c^2 - v^2}{2c} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Psi}}{\partial w}\left(-\frac{2c}{c^2 - v^2}\right) \right| \leq KM''' \leq K',
\end{aligned} \right. \quad (3.24)$$

where  $K' = \max\{K, KM', KM'', KM'''\} > 0$ . Because

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\rho}{dt} &= \bar{\Phi}(\rho, v) = \tilde{\Phi}(\rho, w), \\ \frac{dv}{dt} &= \bar{\Psi}(\rho, v), \quad w = \ln \frac{c-v}{c+v} = h(v), \quad v = c \frac{1-e^w}{1+e^w} = g(w), \\ \frac{dw}{dt} &= \frac{(1+e^w)^2}{2ce^w} \frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{(1+e^w)^2}{2ce^w} \bar{\Psi}(\rho, v) = \tilde{\Psi}(\rho, w),\end{aligned}$$

we know from the Picard iteration, that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{w^{n+1} - w^n}{\Delta t} &= \tilde{\Psi}(\rho^n, w^n), \\ \frac{v^{n+1} - v^n}{\Delta t} &= \frac{g(w^{n+1}) - g(w^n)}{\Delta t} = g'(w^n) \frac{w^{n+1} - w^n}{\Delta t} + O(1) \frac{(w^{n+1} - w^n)^2}{\Delta t} \\ &= \bar{\Psi}(\rho^n, v^n) + O(1)\Delta t.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$v^{n+1} = v^n + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^n, v^n)\Delta t + O(1)(\Delta t)^2.$$

Therefore, we can construct  $\rho^l, v^l$  as constructing  $\rho^l, w^l$  in §2:

For  $0 < t < h$ ,  $(m-1)l < x < (m+1)l$ ,  $m$  odd, we define

$$\begin{cases} \rho^l(x, t) = \rho_0^l(x, t) + \bar{\Phi}(\rho_0^l(x, t), v_0^l(x, t))t, \\ v^l(x, t) = v_0^l(x, t) + \bar{\Psi}(\rho_0^l(x, t), v_0^l(x, t))t + O(1)t^2, \end{cases} \quad (3.25)$$

where  $\rho_0^l(x, t), v_0^l(x, t)$  are the solutions of (2.5) with initial value

$$\rho_0(x) = \begin{cases} \rho_0((m-1)l), \\ \rho_0((m+1)l), \end{cases} \quad v_0(x) = \begin{cases} v_0((m-1)l), & x < ml, \\ v_0((m+1)l), & x > ml. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that  $\rho^l, v^l$  have been defined for  $t < nh$ . Define

$$\begin{cases} \rho^l(x, t) = \rho_0^l(x, t) + \bar{\Phi}(\rho_0^l(x, t), v_0^l(x, t))(t - nh), \\ v^l(x, t) = v_0^l(x, t) + \bar{\Psi}(\rho_0^l(x, t), v_0^l(x, t))(t - nh) + O(1)(t - nh)^2 \end{cases} \quad (3.26)$$

for  $nh < t < (n+1)h$ ,  $ml < x < (m+2)l$ , where  $m \in Y_n$ , and  $\rho_0^l(x, t), v_0^l(x, t)$  are the solutions of (2.5) with initial value ( $t = nh$ )

$$\rho_0^l(x) = \begin{cases} \rho^l(a_{m,n}, nh - 0), \\ \rho^l(a_{m+2,n}, nh - 0), \end{cases} \quad v_0^l(x) = \begin{cases} v^l(a_{m,n}, nh - 0), & x < (m+1)l, \\ v^l(a_{m+2,n}, nh - 0), & x > (m+1)l. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.5** For any  $T > 0$ , and any  $X > 0$ , there exists a constant  $L > 0$  depending only on  $T, X, K, \rho_0(x)$  and  $v_0(x)$ , such that

$$\int_{-X}^X [|\rho^l(x, t_2) - \rho^l(x, t_1)| + |v^l(x, t_2) - v^l(x, t_1)|] dx \leq L(|t_2 - t_1| + h), \quad \forall t_1, t_2 \in [0, T].$$

**Proof** Suppose that  $nh \leq t_1 < (n+1)h < \cdots < t_2 \leq (n+k+1)h$ . We have

$$\int_{-X}^X [|\rho^l(x, t_2) - \rho^l(x, t_1)| + |v^l(x, t_2) - v^l(x, t_1)|] dx \leq I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \int_{-X}^X [|\rho^l(x, (n+i)h+0) - \rho^l(x, (n+i)h-0)| \\ &\quad + |v^l(x, (n+i)h+0) - v^l(x, (n+i)h-0)|] dx, \\ I_2 &= \sum_{i=0}^k \int_{-X}^X [|\rho^l(x, (n+i+1)h-0) - \rho^l(x, (n+i)h+0)| \\ &\quad + |v^l(x, (n+i+1)h-0) - v^l(x, (n+i)h+0)|] dx \\ &\quad + \int_{-X}^X [|\rho^l(x, t_1) - \rho^l(x, nh+0)| + |v^l(x, t_1) - v^l(x, nh+0)|] dx \\ &\quad + \int_{-X}^X [|\rho^l(x, t_2) - \rho^l(x, (n+k)h+0)| + |v^l(x, t_2) - v^l(x, (n+k)h+0)|] dx. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to know that

$$I_1 \leq 4l \left( \left\lceil \frac{t_2 - t_1}{h} \right\rceil + 3 \right) \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (TV\{\rho^l(\cdot, t)\} + TV\{v^l(\cdot, t)\}),$$

and that there is an even integer  $m$  such that  $x \in [ml, (m+2)l]$  for any fixed  $x$ . Taking (3.24) into consideration, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\rho^l(x, (n+i+1)h-0) - \rho^l(x, (n+i)h+0)| \\ &\leq |\rho_0^l(x, (n+i+1)h-0) - \rho_0^l(x, (n+i)h+0)| + |\overline{\Phi}^l| h \\ &\leq TV_{[(m-1)l, (m+3)l]} \{\rho_0^l(\cdot, (n+i)h+0)\} + |\overline{\Phi}^l| h \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &|v^l(x, (n+i+1)h-0) - v^l(x, (n+i)h+0)| \\ &\leq TV_{[(m-1)l, (m+3)l]} \{v_0^l(\cdot, (n+i)h+0)\} + |\overline{\Psi}^l| h + O(1)h^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Phi}^l &= \overline{\Phi}(\rho_0^l(x, (n+i+1)h-0), v_0^l(x, (n+i+1)h-0)), \\ \overline{\Psi}^l &= \overline{\Psi}(\rho_0^l(x, (n+i+1)h-0), v_0^l(x, (n+i+1)h-0)). \end{aligned}$$

We have similar results for

$$|\rho^l(x, t_1) - \rho^l(x, nh+0)| + |v^l(x, t_1) - v^l(x, nh+0)|$$

and

$$|\rho^l(x, t_2) - \rho^l(x, (n+k)h+0)| + |v^l(x, t_2) - v^l(x, (n+k)h+0)|.$$

From the above estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \leq & \left( 4l \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [TV\{\rho^l(\cdot, t)\} + TV\{v^l(\cdot, t)\}] \right) + 2X(\sup |\bar{\Phi}^l| + \sup |\bar{\Psi}^l|)h + O(1)h^2 \right) \\ & \cdot \left( \left\lceil \frac{t_2 - t_1}{h} \right\rceil + 4 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows immediately from the above estimates and Lemma 3.4.

**Remark 3.2** From (2.6),

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi(\rho, v) \\ \Psi(\rho, v) \end{pmatrix} = dU \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\Phi}(\rho, v) \\ \bar{\Psi}(\rho, v) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $dU$  is defined in §2. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi(\rho, v) \\ \Psi(\rho, v) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{1\rho}\bar{\Phi} + U_{2\rho}\bar{\Psi} \\ U_{1v}\bar{\Phi} + U_{2v}\bar{\Psi} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.4 implies that  $U_{1\rho}$ ,  $U_{2\rho}$ ,  $U_{1v}$ ,  $U_{2v}$ ,  $\bar{\Phi}$ , and  $\bar{\Psi}$  are bounded, and satisfy the Lipschitz conditions. Thus  $\Phi, \Psi$  are bounded and satisfy the following Lipschitz conditions:

$$\begin{cases} |\Phi(\rho', v') - \Phi(\rho, v)| \leq K''(|\rho' - \rho| + |v' - v|), \\ |\Psi(\rho', v') - \Psi(\rho, v)| \leq K''(|\rho' - \rho| + |v' - v|), \end{cases} \quad (3.27)$$

where the constant  $K''$  depends only on  $K$  and the bounds of  $\rho, v$ .

## 4 Existence of Entropy Solutions

We recall that an entropy-entropy flux pair for homogeneous system (2.2) is a pair of  $C^1$  functions  $(\eta(U), q(U))$  satisfying

$$\nabla \eta(U) \nabla F(U) = \nabla q(U).$$

**Definition 4.1** A bounded measurable function  $U \in \mathcal{V} \cap \{\rho > 0\}$  is an entropy solution of (2.1) in  $\Pi_T := [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$  if  $U = U(t, x)$  satisfies the following:

(i)  $U(x, t)$  is a weak solution of (2.1), i.e., (2.1) holds in the weak sense in  $\Pi_T$ : for any  $\phi \in C_0^1(\Pi_T)$ ,

$$\int_{\Pi_T} (U \partial_t \phi + F(U) \partial_x \phi) dx dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U_0(x) \phi(0, x) dx = 0; \quad (4.1)$$

(ii) The Lax entropy inequality

$$\eta(U)_t + q(U)_x \leq \nabla \eta(U) G(U) \quad (4.2)$$

holds in the sense of distributions in  $\Pi_T$ , i.e., for any nonnegative  $\phi \in C_0^1(\Pi_T)$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty (\eta(U) \phi_t + q(U) \phi_x + \nabla \eta(U) G(U) \phi) dx dt + \int_{-\infty}^\infty \eta(U_0(x)) \phi(x, 0) dx \geq 0 \quad (4.3)$$

for any  $C^2$  convex entropy pair  $(\eta(U), q(U))$ .

From (2.4), we see that

$$|\lambda|, |\mu| \leq \frac{|v| + \kappa}{1 - \frac{|v|\kappa}{c^2}} \leq c \left( \frac{c + \kappa}{c - \kappa} \right).$$

We set  $h_i = \frac{T}{2^i}$ ,  $\frac{l_i}{h_i} = c \left( \frac{c + \kappa}{c - \kappa} \right)$ , if  $i$  is sufficiently large, Lemma 3.4 implies that, the difference scheme (3.25)–(3.26) in §2 is realizable.

If  $i$  is sufficiently large, the estimation of Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 holds. we consider the sequence  $\{(\rho^{l_i}, v^{l_i}) : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ; there is a subsequence which converges in  $L^1$ , on the intervals  $[-X, X]$  of any horizontal line, uniformly for  $t \in [0, T]$ , and the limit functions of  $\rho^{l_i}$  and  $v^{l_i}$  are the bounded measurable functions  $\rho(x, t)$ ,  $v(x, t)$ . To prove that  $\rho(x, t)$ ,  $v(x, t)$  are the weak solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.4)–(1.3) for suitably chosen  $\{a_{m,n}\}$ , we need to show that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^T (U_1 \varphi_t + F_1(U) \varphi_x + \Phi(\rho, v) \varphi) dx dt + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U_1(x, 0) \varphi(x, 0) dx = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^T (U_2 \psi_t + F_2(U) \psi_x + \Psi(\rho, v) \psi) dx dt + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U_2(x, 0) \psi(x, 0) dx = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

for any  $\varphi(x, t)$ ,  $\psi(x, t) \in C_0^1(\Pi_T)$ .

We consider the Riemann problem (1.4) and (3.23) again, assuming that there is a shock wave, say, 2-shock wave, for the sake of definiteness. Denote by  $\sigma$  the speed of the shock wave, the Rankine-Hugiont conditions are

$$\sigma[U_{10}] = [F_1(U_0)], \quad (4.6)$$

$$\sigma[U_{20}] = [F_2(U_0)], \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_{10} &= U_1(\rho_0(x, t), v_0(x, t)), & U_{20} &= U_2(\rho_0(x, t), v_0(x, t)), \\ F_1(U_0) &= F_1(U(\rho_0(x, t), v_0(x, t))), & F_2(U_0) &= F_2(U(\rho_0(x, t), v_0(x, t))). \end{aligned}$$

According to the difference scheme (3.25)–(3.26), we define for  $nh < t < (n+1)h$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \rho(x, t) = \rho_0(x, t) + \overline{\Phi}(\rho_0(x, t), v_0(x, t))(t - nh), \\ v(x, t) = v_0(x, t) + \overline{\Psi}(\rho_0(x, t), v_0(x, t))(t - nh) + O(1)(t - nh)^2, \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

which are the approximate solutions of the Riemann problem (1.4)–(3.23).

**Lemma 4.1** *If  $0 < t < h \leq \frac{1}{2K}$ , then*

$$|\sigma[U_1] - [F_1(U)]| \leq Lt(|\rho_r - \rho_m| + |v_r - v_m|), \quad (4.9)$$

$$|\sigma[U_2] - [F_2(U)]| \leq Lt(|\rho_r - \rho_m| + |v_r - v_m|), \quad (4.10)$$

where  $L$  is a constant depending only on  $K$ , the upper and lower bounds of  $\rho(x, t)$  and  $v(x, t)$ , and the positive lower bound of  $\rho(x, t)$ .



**Proof** First we prove (4.9).

$$\begin{aligned}
[U_1] &= \left[ \rho \left( \frac{\kappa^2 + c^2}{c^2} \frac{v^2}{c^2 - v^2} + 1 \right) \right] = \left[ \rho \left( M_0 \frac{v^2}{c^2 - v^2} + 1 \right) \right] \\
&= (\rho_r + \bar{\Phi}_r t) \left( M_0 \frac{(v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2} + 1 \right) - (\rho_m + \bar{\Phi}_m t) \left( M_0 \frac{(v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2} + 1 \right) \\
&= M_0 \left( \rho_r \frac{(v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2} - \rho_m \frac{(v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2} \right) \\
&\quad + M_0 t \left[ \frac{\bar{\Phi}_r (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2} - \frac{\bar{\Phi}_m (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2} \right] + (\rho_r - \rho_m) + (\bar{\Phi}_r - \bar{\Phi}_m) t, \\
[F_1(U)] &= \left[ \rho (\kappa^2 + c^2) \frac{v}{c^2 - v^2} \right] \\
&= c^2 M_0 \left[ (\rho_r + \bar{\Phi}_r t) \frac{(v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2} - (\rho_m + \bar{\Phi}_m t) \frac{(v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Condition (4.6) is

$$\sigma \left( \rho_r \left( M_0 \frac{v_r^2}{c^2 - v_r^2} + 1 \right) - \rho_m \left( M_0 \frac{v_m^2}{c^2 - v_m^2} + 1 \right) \right) = c^2 M_0 \left( \rho_r \frac{v_r}{c^2 - v_r^2} - \rho_m \frac{v_m}{c^2 - v_m^2} \right),$$

and, together with (3.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma[U_1] - [F_1(U)] \\
&= \left[ \sigma M_0 \left( \rho_r \int_0^1 \frac{2c^2 (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2 \bar{\Psi}_r t}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2} d\eta - \rho_m \int_0^1 \frac{2c^2 (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2 \bar{\Psi}_m t}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2} d\eta \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \left[ \sigma M_0 \left( \frac{\bar{\Phi}_r t (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2} - \frac{\bar{\Phi}_m t (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2} \right) \right] + [\sigma(\bar{\Phi}_r - \bar{\Phi}_m) t] \\
&\quad - c^2 M_0 \left[ \rho_r \int_0^1 \frac{(c^2 + (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2) \bar{\Psi}_r t}{(c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2)^2} d\eta - \rho_m \int_0^1 \frac{(c^2 + (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2) \bar{\Psi}_m t}{(c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2)^2} d\eta \right] \\
&\quad - c^2 M_0 \left[ \frac{\bar{\Phi}_r t (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2} - \frac{\bar{\Phi}_m t (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\sigma[U_1] - [F_1(U)]| \\
&\leq \left| 2c^2 \sigma M_0 \left( \rho_r \int_0^1 \frac{(v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2 \bar{\Psi}_r t}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2} d\eta - \rho_m \int_0^1 \frac{(v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2 \bar{\Psi}_m t}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2} d\eta \right) \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \sigma M_0 \left( \frac{\bar{\Phi}_r t (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2} - \frac{\bar{\Phi}_m t (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2} \right) \right| + |\sigma(\bar{\Phi}_r - \bar{\Phi}_m) t| \\
&\quad + \left| c^2 M_0 \left( \rho_r \int_0^1 \frac{(c^2 + (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2) \bar{\Psi}_r t}{(c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2)^2} d\eta - \rho_m \int_0^1 \frac{(c^2 + (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2) \bar{\Psi}_m t}{(c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2)^2} d\eta \right) \right| \\
&\quad + \left| c^2 M_0 \left( \frac{\bar{\Phi}_r t (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2} - \frac{\bar{\Phi}_m t (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2} \right) \right| \\
&= \text{I}_1 + \text{I}_2 + \text{I}_3 + \text{I}_4 + \text{I}_5.
\end{aligned}$$

We first consider  $I_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \left| \left( 2c^2 \sigma M_0 \left( \rho_r \int_0^1 \frac{(v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2 \bar{\Psi}_r t}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2} d\eta - \rho_m \int_0^1 \frac{(v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2 \bar{\Psi}_m t}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2} d\eta \right) \right) \right| \\
&\leq 2c^2 |\sigma| M_0 t \left| \rho_r \int_0^1 \left( \frac{(v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2 \bar{\Psi}_r}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2} - \frac{(v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2 \bar{\Psi}_m}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2} \right) d\eta \right| \\
&\quad + 2c^2 |\sigma| M_0 t \left| (\rho_r - \rho_m) \int_0^1 \frac{(v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2 \bar{\Psi}_m}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2} d\eta \right| \\
&\leq 2c^2 |\sigma| M_0 t \left| \rho_r \int_0^1 \frac{(\bar{\Psi}_r - \bar{\Psi}_m)(v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2} d\eta \right| \\
&\quad + 2c^2 |\sigma| M_0 t \left| \rho_r \int_0^1 \bar{\Psi}_m \left( \frac{(v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t \eta)^2} - \frac{(v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2} \right) d\eta \right| \\
&\quad + 2c^2 |\sigma| M_0 t \left| (\rho_r - \rho_m) \int_0^1 \frac{(v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2 \bar{\Psi}_m}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t \eta)^2} d\eta \right| \\
&\leq L_1 t (|\rho_r - \rho_m| + |v_r - v_m|).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for  $I_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \left| \sigma M_0 \left( \frac{\bar{\Phi}_r t (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2} - \frac{\bar{\Phi}_m t (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2} \right) \right| \\
&\leq |\sigma| M_0 t \left| \frac{(v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2} (\bar{\Phi}_r - \bar{\Phi}_m) \right| \\
&\quad + |\sigma| M_0 t \left| \bar{\Psi}_m \left( \frac{(v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2} - \frac{(v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2}{c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2} \right) \right| \\
&\leq |\sigma| M_0 t \left| \frac{(v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2}{c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2} (\bar{\Phi}_r - \bar{\Phi}_m) \right| \\
&\quad + c^2 |\sigma| M_0 t \left| \bar{\Psi}_m \frac{(v_r + v_m + \bar{\Psi}_r t + \bar{\Psi}_m t)(v_r - v_m + (\bar{\Psi}_r - \bar{\Psi}_m)t)}{(c^2 - (v_r + \bar{\Psi}_r t)^2)(c^2 - (v_m + \bar{\Psi}_m t)^2)} \right| \\
&\leq L_2 t (|\rho_r - \rho_m| + |v_r - v_m|).
\end{aligned}$$

The estimates on  $I_3$ ,  $I_4$  and  $I_5$  are similar to  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , we omit them here. The proof of (4.10) is similar to (4.9). Thus we complete the proof of the lemma.

We have stated the above lemma by assuming that there is a 2-shock wave. Now we assume that there is a rarefaction wave, say, 1-rarefaction wave. The domain of this rarefaction wave is

$$\Omega = \left\{ (x, t) : 0 < t < h, \frac{v_l - \kappa}{1 - \frac{v_l \kappa}{c^2}} < \frac{x}{t} < \frac{v_m - \kappa}{1 - \frac{v_m \kappa}{c^2}} \right\}.$$

Corresponding to Lemma 4.1, we have the following lemma about rarefaction waves:

**Lemma 4.2** *If  $h \leq \frac{1}{2K'}$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\Omega} (U_1 \varphi_t + F_1(U) \varphi_x + \Phi(\rho, v) \varphi) dx dt + \int_{\partial \Omega} U_1 \varphi dx - F_1(U) \varphi dt \right| \\
&\leq C_1 h^3 + C_2 h^2 (|\rho_m - \rho_l| + |v_m - v_l|),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} (U_2 \psi_t + F_2(U) \psi_x + \Psi(\rho, v) \psi) dx dt + \int_{\partial\Omega} U_2 \psi dx - F_2(U) \psi dt \right| \\ & \leq C_1 h^3 + C_2 h^2 (|\rho_m - \rho_l| + |v_m - v_l|) \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

for any  $\varphi(x, t), \psi(x, t) \in C_0^1(\Pi_T)$ , and  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are constants depending only on  $K$ , the upper and lower bounds of  $\rho(x, t), v(x, t), \varphi(x, t), \psi(x, t)$ , and the positive lower bound of  $\rho(x, t)$ .

**Proof** Different from constructing  $\rho^l, v^l$  in §2, we construct the approximate solutions  $\rho^{(q)}, v^{(q)}$  in the following way:

$$s^{(k)} = k \frac{s_m - s_l}{q} + s_l, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, q.$$

We divide the domain  $\Omega$  into  $q + 1$  parts using the line  $x = \sigma_k t, k = 1, \dots, q$ , and  $\rho^{(k)}, v^{(k)}$  as the approximations of the functions  $\rho_0(x, t), v_0(x, t)$  in the sub-domain:

$$\Omega^k = \left\{ (x, t) : t > 0, \sigma_k < \frac{x}{t} < \sigma_{k+1} \right\}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, q,$$

$\sigma_k, \rho^{(k)}$  and  $v^{(k)}$  are defined as follows

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_0 = \frac{v_l - \kappa}{1 - \frac{v_l \kappa}{c^2}}, \quad \sigma_{q+1} = \frac{v_m - \kappa}{1 - \frac{v_m \kappa}{c^2}}; \\ \rho^{(0)} = \rho_l, \quad v^{(0)} = v_l; \\ \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{c + v^{(k)}}{c - v^{(k)}} - \frac{c\kappa}{c^2 + \kappa^2} \ln \rho^{(k)} = s^{(k)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, q; \\ \sigma_{k+1} (U_1^{(k+1)} - U_1^{(k)}) = F_1^{(k+1)}(U) - F_1^{(k)}(U), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, q-1; \\ \sigma_{k+1} (U_2^{(k+1)} - U_2^{(k)}) = F_2^{(k+1)}(U) - F_2^{(k)}(U), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, q-1, \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_1^{(k)} &= \rho^{(k)} \left( \frac{\kappa^2 + c^2}{c^2} \frac{(v^{(k)})^2}{c^2 - (v^{(k)})^2} + 1 \right), \quad F_1^{(k)}(U) = \rho^{(k)} (\kappa^2 + c^2) \frac{v^{(k)}}{c^2 - (v^{(k)})^2}; \\ U_2^{(k)} &= \rho^{(k)} (\kappa^2 + c^2) \frac{v^{(k)}}{c^2 - (v^{(k)})^2}, \quad F_2^{(k)}(U) = \rho^{(k)} \left( (\kappa^2 + c^2) \frac{(v^{(k)})^2}{c^2 - (v^{(k)})^2} + \kappa^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

We denote the approximate functions by  $(\rho_0^{(q)}, v_0^{(q)})(x, t)$ . Define

$$\begin{cases} \rho^{(q)}(x, t) = \rho_0^{(q)}(x, t) + \overline{\Phi}(\rho_0^{(q)}(x, t), v_0^{(q)}(x, t))t, \\ v^{(q)}(x, t) = v_0^{(q)}(x, t) + \overline{\Psi}(\rho_0^{(q)}(x, t), v_0^{(q)}(x, t))t + O(1)t^2. \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

$\rho^{(q)}(x, t)$  and  $v^{(q)}(x, t)$  converge uniformly to  $\rho(x, t)$  and  $v(x, t)$  respectively as  $q \rightarrow +\infty$ , for  $0 < t < h$ .

Using the Green's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (U_1^{(q)} \varphi_t + F_1^{(q)}(U) \varphi_x + \Phi(\rho^{(q)}, v^{(q)}) \varphi) dx dt + \int_{\partial\Omega} U_1^{(q)} \varphi dx - F_1^{(q)}(U) \varphi dt \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\Phi(\rho^{(q)}, v^{(q)}) - \Phi(\rho_0^{(q)}, v_0^{(q)})) \varphi dx dt + \sum_{k=1}^p \int_0^h \{ -[U_1^{(q)}] \sigma_k + [F_1^{(q)}(U)] \}_{x=\sigma_k t} \varphi dt \\ &= J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.27) and (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\Phi(\rho^{(q)}, v^{(q)}) - \Phi(\rho_0^{(q)}, v_0^{(q)})| \\
& \leq K''(|\rho^{(q)} - \rho_0^{(q)}| + |v^{(q)} - v_0^{(q)}|) \\
& = K''(|\bar{\Phi}(\rho_0^{(q)}(x, t), v_0^{(q)}(x, t))|t + |\bar{\Psi}(\rho_0^{(q)}(x, t), v_0^{(q)}(x, t))|t) \\
& \leq K''(\max |\bar{\Phi}(\rho_0^{(q)}(x, t), v_0^{(q)}(x, t))| + \max |\bar{\Psi}(\rho_0^{(q)}(x, t), v_0^{(q)}(x, t))|)t \\
& \leq Ct.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|J_1| \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\varphi| t dx dt \leq C_1 h^3.$$

In view of (4.13)–(4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{-[U_1^{(q)}]\sigma_k + [F_1^{(q)}(U)]\}_{x=\sigma_k t} \\
& = c^2 M_0 \rho^{(k)} \int_0^1 \frac{(c^2 + (v^{(k)} + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k)}, v^{(k)})t\eta)^2) \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k)}, v^{(k)})t}{(c^2 - (v^{(k)} + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k)}, v^{(k)})t\eta)^2)^2} d\eta \\
& \quad - c^2 M_0 \rho^{(k-1)} \int_0^1 \frac{(c^2 + (v^{(k-1)} + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k-1)}, v^{(k-1)})t\eta)^2) \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k-1)}, v^{(k-1)})t}{(c^2 - (v^{(k-1)} + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k-1)}, v^{(k-1)})t\eta)^2)^2} d\eta \\
& \quad + c^2 M_0 t \frac{\bar{\Phi}(\rho^{(k)}, v^{(k)})(v^{(k)} + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k)}, v^{(k)})t)}{c^2 - (v^{(k)} + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k)}, v^{(k)})t)^2} \\
& \quad - c^2 M_0 t \frac{\bar{\Phi}(\rho^{(k-1)}, v^{(k-1)})(v^{(k-1)} + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k-1)}, v^{(k-1)})t)}{c^2 - (v^{(k-1)} + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k-1)}, v^{(k-1)})t)^2} \\
& \quad - \sigma_k M_0 t \rho^{(k)} \int_0^1 \frac{2c^2(v^{(k)} + \bar{\Psi}^{(k)}t\eta)^2 \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k)}, v^{(k)})}{c^2 - (v^{(k)} + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k)}, v^{(k)})t\eta)^2} d\eta \\
& \quad + \sigma_k M_0 t \rho^{(k-1)} \int_0^1 \frac{2c^2(v^{(k-1)} + \bar{\Psi}^{(k-1)}t\eta)^2 \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k-1)}, v^{(k-1)})}{c^2 - (v^{(k-1)} + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k-1)}, v^{(k-1)})t\eta)^2} d\eta \\
& \quad - \sigma_k M_0 t \left( \frac{(v^{(k)} + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k)}, v^{(k)})t)^2 \bar{\Phi}(\rho^{(k)}, v^{(k)})}{c^2 - (v^{(k)} + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k)}, v^{(k)})t)^2} \right) \\
& \quad + \sigma_k M_0 t \left( \frac{(v^{(k-1)} + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k-1)}, v^{(k-1)})t)^2 \bar{\Phi}(\rho^{(k-1)}, v^{(k-1)})}{c^2 - (v^{(k-1)} + \bar{\Psi}(\rho^{(k-1)}, v^{(k-1)})t)^2} \right) \\
& \quad - \sigma_k t (\bar{\Phi}(\rho^{(k)}, v^{(k)}) - \bar{\Phi}(\rho^{(k-1)}, v^{(k-1)})).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (4.9), we obtain

$$| \{-[U_1^{(q)}]\sigma_k + [F_1^{(q)}(U)] \}_{x=\sigma_k t} | \leq Ct(|\rho^{(k)} - \rho^{(k-1)}| + |v^{(k)} - v^{(k-1)}|).$$

Then

$$|J_2| \leq \sum_{k=1}^p \int_0^h ct \{ |\rho^{(k)} - \rho^{(k-1)}| + |v^{(k)} - v^{(k-1)}| \} dt \leq C_2 h^2 (|\rho_m - \rho_l| + |v_m - v_l|).$$

Let  $q \rightarrow +\infty$ , and we obtain (4.11). The proof of (4.12) is similar.

Now we can prove (4.4) and (4.5). In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \int_{0 \leq t \leq T} (U_1^l \varphi_t + F_1^l(U) \varphi_x + \Phi(\rho, v) \varphi) dx dt + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U_1^l(x, 0) \varphi(x, 0) dx \right| \\ & \leq W_1 \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [U_1(\rho^l(x, nh - 0), v^l(x, nh - 0)) - U_1(\rho^l(x, nh + 0), v^l(x, nh + 0))] dx \right| \\ & \quad + \frac{W_2}{h^2} C_1 h^3 + \frac{T}{h} \left( C_2 + \frac{R^2}{2} \right) h^2 \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} TV\{\rho^l(\cdot, t)\} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} TV\{v^l(\cdot, t)\} \right] \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(x, 0) [U_1(x, 0) - U_1^l(x, 0)] dx \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $T = Nh$ ,  $W_1$  is the upper bound of the function  $\varphi(x, t)$ ,  $W_2$  is a constant related to the measure of the support of  $\varphi(x, t)$ . When  $h = \frac{T}{2^i}$ ,  $i \rightarrow +\infty$ , the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero for almost every  $a_{m,n} \in A$  (see [8]). Thus (4.4) is proved. The proof of (4.5) is similar.

Adopting the method in [3], we can show that, the weak solution  $U(x, t)$  also satisfies the entropy inequality (4.3) (see [29] for details).

We conclude that

**Theorem 4.1** *Under conditions (2.12), there exists a global entropy solution  $U(x, t)$  of the Cauchy problem (1.3)–(1.4) on  $t \geq 0$ .*

## References

- [1] Bianchini, S. and Colombo, R. M., On the stability of the standard Riemann semigroup, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **130**, 2002, 1961–1973.
- [2] Chen, G.-Q., Euler equations and related hyperbolic conservation laws, Handbook of Differential Equations, Vol. 2, C. M. Dafermos and E. Feireisl (eds.), Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 2005, 1–104.
- [3] Chen, G.-Q. and Wagner, D. H., Global entropy solutions to exothermically reacting, compressible Euler equations, *J. Differential Equations*, **191**, 2003, 277–322.
- [4] Chen, G.-Q. and Li, Y. C., Stability of Riemann solutions with large oscillation for the relativistic Euler equations, *J. Differential Equations*, **202**, 2004, 332–353.
- [5] Chen, G.-Q. and LeFloch, P., Existence theory for the relativistic Euler equations, 2005, in preparation.
- [6] Chen, J., Conservation laws for the relativistic p-system, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **20**, 1995, 1605–1646.
- [7] Frid, H. and Perepelista, M., Spatially periodic solutions in relativistic isentropic gas dynamics, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **250**, 2004, 335–370.
- [8] Glimm, J., Solutions in the large for nonlinear hyperbolic systems of equations, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.*, **18**, 1965, 697–715.
- [9] Hsu, C.-H., Lin, S.-S. and Makino, T., On the relativistic Euler equation, *Methods Appl. Anal.*, **8**, 2001, 159–207.
- [10] Hsu, C.-H., Lin, S.-S. and Makino, T., On spherically symmetric solutions of the relativistic Euler equation, *J. Differential Equations*, **201**, 2004, 1–24.
- [11] Kunik, M., Qamar, S. and Warnecke, G., Kinetic schemes for the ultra-relativistic Euler equations, *J. Comput. Phys.*, **187**, 2003, 572–596.
- [12] Kunik, M., Qamar, S. and Warnecke, G., Second-order accurate kinetic schemes for the relativistic Euler equations, *J. Comput. Phys.*, **192**, 2003, 695–726.

- [13] Kunik, M., Qamar, S. and Warnecke, G., Kinetic schemes for the relativistic gas dynamics, *Numer. Math.*, **97**, 2004, 159–191.
- [14] Lax, P. D., Hyperbolic systems of conservation law, II, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.*, **10**, 1957, 537–566.
- [15] Li, T.-T. and Qin, T., Physics and Parital Differential Equations (in Chinese), 2nd edition, Higher Eud-cation Press, Beijing, 2005.
- [16] Li, Y. C., Feng, D. M. and Wang, Z. J., Global entropy solutions to the relativistic Euler equations for a calss of large initial data, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **56**, 2005, 239–253.
- [17] Li, Y. C. and Wang, L. B., Global stability of solutions with discontinuous initial data with vacuum states for relativistic Euler equations, *Chin. Ann. Math.*, **26B**(4), 2005, 491–510.
- [18] Liang, E. P. T., Relativistic simple waves: Shock damping and entropy production, *Astrophys. J.*, **211**, 1977, 361–376.
- [19] Min, L. and Ukai, S., Non-relativistic global limits of weak solutions of the relativistic Euler equation, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **38**, 1997, 525–537.
- [20] Makino, T. and Ukai, S., Local smooth solutions of the relativistic Euler equation, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **35**, 1995, 105–114.
- [21] Makino, T. and Ukai, S., Local smooth solutions of the relativistic Euler equation, II, *Kodai Math. J.*, **18**, 1995, 365–375.
- [22] Mizohata, K., Global solutions to the relativistic Euler equation with spherical symmetry, *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.*, **14**, 1997, 125–157.
- [23] Nishida, T., Global solutions for an initial boundary value problem of a quasilinear hyperbolic system, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **44**, 1968, 642–646.
- [24] Smoller, J., Shock Waves and Reaction Diffusion Equations, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1983.
- [25] Smoller, J. and Temple, B., Global solutions of the relativistic Euler equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **156**, 1993, 67–99.
- [26] Taub, A. H., Approximate solutions of the Einstein equations for isentropic motions of plane symmetric distributions of perfect fluids, *Phys. Rev.*, **107**, 1957, 884–900.
- [27] Thompson, K., The special relativistic shock tube, *J. Fluid Mech.*, **171**, 1986, 365–375.
- [28] Thorne, K. S., Relativistic shocks: The Taub adiabatic, *Astrophys. J.*, **179**, 1973, 897–907.
- [29] Wang, A., Global Existence of Entropy Solutions to Cauchy Problem for the Nonhomogeneous Relativistic Euler Equations, Dissertation, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 2005.
- [30] Weinberg, S., Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity, Wiley, New York, 1972.
- [31] Ying, L.-A. and Wang, C.-H., Global solutions of the Cauchy problem for a nonhomogeneous quasilinear hyperbolic system, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.*, **33**, 1980, 579–597.