I made the following corrections in the table

**2010plantation-protocols\_2010-2012\_for-boxplots\_05d\_forGLMM\_2019-05-16**, which I compiled for Andrea, showing all individuals, also the ones which died.

There was some trouble because I reintroduced individuals which died in the first year and were not considered in my analyses and were unfortunately also deleted from the table.

I also added 6 columns three each for ‘alive*year*’ and for ‘flowered*year*’.

New, corrected version is named

**-> 2010plantation-protocols\_2010-2012\_for-boxplots\_05d\_forGLMM\_2019-05-16\_JWcorrected**

What I did:

* E3: there were 210 individuals instead of 200, because I reintroduced family E3-17 (all with 0 and NA) which was never planted. I removed these 10 individuals.
* E4: There were more individuals (199) than listed in the table S4, because I added the full set of 10 individuals per family, although some couldn’t be planted. Checking the plantation scheme I removed E4-02-7, 04-7, 08-7, 13-7, 14-7 and 17-7. Now there are 192 and matching the table.
* PEP1: There were 100 individuals instead of 99 for the same reason a for E4, I deleted PEP1-100. Due to some mistakes with automated character replacement, 6 individuals were listed as ‘alive’ although they were already dead in 2012. This happend when filling the columns ‘alive*year*’ and for ‘flowered*year*’. I went back to the original scoring protocol and corrected the entries. This applied to PEP1-28, 30, 32, 38, 71, 87. Actually in 2012 no PEP1 individual was alive anymore. Furthermore, when looking at the original data I realized that there were a few individuals in 2010 which did not produce seeds (and were therefore not marked as ‘flowered’ in that table, but were in fact flowering in 2010 (well, at least they somehow tried – not sucessful in the end, as they did not produce any seeds)).This refers to the individuals PEP1-1, 23, 91, 95. I marked them as ‘flowered’ now. Still, they have no seeds.
* NOTE: In the old Fig.2 (flowering behaviour in near natural sites) the data from the 2011 plantation is shown when almost 100% of the PEP1 plants flowered in the first year. In 2010 it were only 37,5% due to the terrible dry summer.
* NOTE: The numbers in table S4 for the ‘ # total siliques ’ are not 100% identical with the ones in the current table because while transfering the data I got rounding errors. The total nuber of siliques wasn’t counted but calculated with a formular from a subset of representative inflorescences and the results are decimals. Somehow the decimal got lost during transformation. (We may think about adjusting the numbers in the table S4.)
* NOTE: For ‘rosettes / individual’ and ‘plant diameter’ not all individuals which survived were scored. So don’t divide the sum by the number of survivers.
* NOTE: I was not always sure, when individuals died, in which case a “0” or a “NA” was appropriate.

I hope the table is OK now!