	Page No.: Date:	Youn
Notes on Data Stouctures. & Algorithms.		
ntroduction	7 0	
as to use it effectively later	organizing (	Yata.80
Rayle Tomis day		
Basic Terminolog  s sata -s set of values. (Eg:-  singular of data -s data item.	- Rollno:s of sta	adent, made
Entity -> data with sinular attributes. Eg:-(All employees of a	single org).	
$\frac{field}{}$ $\Rightarrow$ Single unit of information sex $f$ an entity.	presenting an	attribute
Collec & field -> Record		
Collection of Record -> File.		
<u> </u>		
a) Int, float, bool, cher, etc also store of	tota : they	are who k
Called Primitive data structures.	, , , ,	2000)
19 Jan 19		
Complex data structures.		
n Arrays, Linked list		
> Stacks, Oucus		
- Trees and ample.	A 1.4	

(b) complex data structures.

Types -

Introduction

Toces and graphs also file.

Linear

(b) = Bash Terminolog

		fage fit.	Youv
3)	Batic operations Prince a Dala Structures.		,
.5.	A) A Townson tion of the Manual of a 194	4 4	
	a) - Transvertion - Going through the list,	acoering, ea	ich record
	b) or Searching - finding a particular value	from all	record;
	c) a shreeting a Adding a record (At Geg, en	o or at a po	<b>シ</b>
for	d) + Deleting - s Deleting a school ( " ).	3	
	V		
	essenting - Arranging data is some logi	cal order	
	1) > Merging -> Combining of seconds into e	the sincle	ah a
	(1) completely of accounts	Sirgie	one.
9	Abstract Data Types in the xolow that		
	3 Set of daily values & operations specifi	ed assumpted	le. by ande
	is we know tokat a not does, but no	to have it do	9 9 code
			rea (F.
	* Note - Possa Rtack & Postion on top	Status of	Stack.
	1 32 331	Empte	
	0,50		one elem
	N -1	Stack	Pe full
	N N	0	elfow.
	Orders of stacks ( ) cooms		
	stacks Quene.		
	Push > O(1) Enqueue > O(1)		ethod detection of continues and continues the state they

Enqueue - s O(1)

Dequeux 30(1)

817e - 0 (1) (2) Top op -> O(1) (4) search - o(h)

@ Pop > 0(1)

## Derign And Analysis of Algorithms.

(1) Parts of Algorithms — 1) Their correctness
2) Efficiency ( measured 2) Efficiency ( measured using Asym compl)

3) Modelling (Graphs, decoming the problem, other data structures).

4) Techniques (DEC, Greedy & DP).

2) First we will look at some examples.

1 Air Travel - same as Graph mentioned in Mather - 1 9n Week 10-12

(2) Xerox Shop -s nave to rerox a set of papers in a pre-determind time then how to optimize and finish

Method 1 -> Brute force i.e try every postrible order & choose the best postrible popular sol.

Method 2 -> Decompose Lusing techniques & recursion].

method 3 → choice of new gob, to be optimal [Greedy].

fig:- do the least page once next.

Avariations exist -> of photocopies is fast (08) slow and time for reloading papers.



## Class 3 - Introduction

Design & Analysis of Algorithms.

we have an algo Toying to see how efficient for we have to an algo in using time design an algo & space required.

Will gire diff time of exec.

This is by of hardware, compiler, etc differences. So to measure an algo we don't use a particu system rather a method.

- (3) Input size affects ourning time. f(n) ? Woost case.

  Masdewase has ? I's limits, ? Proposing time in algorithms?

  Way better.
- (4) When we look at func of n, we ignore the constants

5000n2 might look big, but n3 will overtake it in time 5000 is ignored.

and what happens to the limit, as n increases is called asymptotic notations.

Typical -> logn nlogn n n2 n3 2<sup>n</sup> n/ Feasibility
fins

feest to slow

## Class 4 - Input size, worst & any care

Mow we measure input large or not.

To We take a typical parameter (egs for sorting: away rize

Pro input size and for graphs: No: of vertices + No: of edges)

m an example set (52,503,5003,50003), thre is not growing prop. i.e to power of ten i.e we don't take nagnitute, we take no: of digits as input size.

- (3) worst case -> For each n, worst case input forces algo to take the mex amount of time.

  (You can guess worst case by woking at algo).
- Avg Case -> compute time taken over all input. Though this looks more schribble to take then worst case, it is very hard to find any case for every algo.
  - .. we generally use worst care as a upper bound.

## Class 5 - Quantifying efficiency.

1) Now that we have seen basic elements, let's see how up can compase fins with to order of magnitude.

(a) Upper bound, (big'o')

(cg(n) th) ≤ eg(n)

(cg(n) th) ≤ eg(n)

(cg(n) then cg(n) is upper bound

(por +(n).

100n + 5 \ 100n + 5n for n > 1 x

Eg: - Opped bound for 100n + 5.

WKT, 105n < 105n2 [9n this step we Pegnove unsta]

= 1 : for noz1, cz105

[sementer no q c values

can change]

rg 2:-

7 125n2 7 100n2 + 20n + 5

[Here noil, cztzs]

i.e us ignose smaller resms & func.

(3) Suppose you have an algo with had diff phases then,

Phase (A) takes O(ga(n)) 7 men max b/n

Phase (B) takes O(gB(n)) J supper bound of O(ga(n)) &

O(ga(n)).

The whole algo.

(g) Lower bound, 12 (onega)

ten to segen

cg(n) to n>no.

egen ?s lower bound.

this much time.

Eg: - [ sorting has -2 as in (n logn)]

(a) Tight bound,  $\Theta(n2)$ Comes bound.

(a)  $C_{n}(n)$ Comes bound.

(a)  $C_{n}(n)$ Comes bound.

(a)  $C_{n}(n)$ Comes bound.

Class 6 - Examples I terative program Calculating complexity s Recursire program func max-ele(4): mon val . A[0] - o(1) [ ignored] for 121 to n-1: \_\_\_\_\_ nens n-1 times. maxval = A[i] - assigning. return (maxval) . - o(1) [Pynored] C. (n-1) (ignoring consts) -10(n) Aus. @ 2 loops - o(n2) [even if a coop is optimized y matrix mul. function Matoixmultiply (A, B): for i=0 to n-1: ]n2 (0(n3) for kero to not: In C [ P] [ ] = C[ ] [ ] + A [ P] [ E B [ K] [ ] setum (c)

function noO(Bits(n):

Recurrive Algo

wunt = 1

while n>1:

count = count + 1

n = n div 2

return (court)

34 7 2 2 2

m > (1/2) = 1

3 n 2 2x x1

no (log 2h) is comp.

of takes 2n-1 time o 0(2).