

**Problem 36.** Give a justified example of each, or argue (prove) that it is impossible.

- (a) A sequence that has a subsequence that is bounded, but which contains no subsequence which converges.

*This is impossible by Bolzano Weierstrass. Every bounded sequence has at least one convergent subsequence.*

- (b) A sequence that does not contain 0 or 1 as a term, but which contains subsequences which converge to each of these values.

$$a_n = \frac{1 + (-1)^n}{2} + \frac{1}{n}$$

*is such a sequence, we can set  $n$  to even or odd numbers to converge to 0 or 1.*

- (c) A sequence that contains subsequences converging to every point in the infinite set  $\{1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, \dots\}$ .

*Consider that we can construct a subsequence that converges to a chosen arbitrary value with  $k - \frac{1}{n}$  where  $k$  is any number we want to converge to and  $\frac{1}{n}$  just going to zero. Let our sequence be defined by  $a_n = \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{n}$ . For  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  this converges to every point in the infinite set.*

*incomplete*

**Problem 37.** Let  $(a_n)$  be a bounded sequence. Define the set

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < a_n \text{ for infinitely many terms } a_n\}.$$

*Then  $S$  is bounded above, and there exists a subsequence  $(a_{n_k})$  which converges to  $\sup S$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(a_n)$  is a bounded sequence, there exists an  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a_n \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From this we have

$$x < a_n < M$$

by transitivity  $x < M$  for all  $x \in S$ , so  $S$  is bounded above by  $M$ . Since  $S$  is a non-empty real set and bounded above, By Axiom of completeness,  $s = \sup S$  exists.

Choose an arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that we create an interval around the supremum  $s$ :

$$s - \frac{1}{k} < s < s + \frac{1}{k}$$

Since any number smaller than  $s$  is not an upper bound of  $S$ , there exists an  $s' \in S$  so that  $s - \frac{1}{k} < s'$  ( $s'$  is in the interval below  $s$ ). Since  $s' \in S$ , it follows by transitivity that  $s - \frac{1}{k} < s' < a_n$ , thus  $s - \frac{1}{k} < a_n$  for infinitely many terms  $a_n$ . So we have

$$s - \frac{1}{k} < a_n < s + \frac{1}{k}$$

Satisfied by every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We construct the subsequence  $a_{n_k}$  recursively. For  $k = 1$ , choose any  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $s - 1 < a_{n_1} \leq s + 1$ . Having chosen  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k$ , we choose  $n_{k+1} > n_k$  such that

$$s - \frac{1}{k+1} < a_{n_{k+1}} < s + \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

Now we show convergence, Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{K} < \epsilon$ . Then for all  $k \geq K$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{1}{K} < \epsilon$$

By construction

$$s - \frac{1}{k} < a_{n_k} \leq s + \frac{1}{k}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{k} < \epsilon$ , we have

$$s - \epsilon < s - \frac{1}{k} < a_{n_k} \leq s + \frac{1}{k} < s + \epsilon$$

thus  $|a_{n_k} - s| < \epsilon$  meaning by definition there is a subsequence  $a_{n_k}$  that converges to  $\sup S$ .  $\square$

**Problem 38.** Every convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence.

*Proof.* A sequence is Cauchy iff for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  when  $m, n > N$  we have  $|a_n - a_m| < \epsilon$ .

Let  $(a_n)$  be a convergent sequence and let  $(a_n) \rightarrow a$ . By definition this means that for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that when  $n > N$  we have  $|a_n - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . We now show that this is a Cauchy sequence. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $m, n > N$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n - a_m| &= |(a_n - a) + (a - a_m)| \\ &= |a_n - a| + |a - a_m| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(a_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence.  $\square$

**Problem 39.** Give a justified example of each, or argue (prove) that it is impossible.

(a) A Cauchy sequence that is not monotone.

Since all convergent sequences are Cauchy sequences, we just need to find any sequence that converges that is not monotone. Let  $a_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ .

(b) A Cauchy sequence containing an unbounded subsequence.

Boundedness is a criteria for convergence so this is impossible

(c) An unbounded sequence containing a Cauchy subsequence.

Impossible for the same reason as above

**Problem 40.** Give a justified example of each, or explain (prove) why the request is impossible, by referencing the proper theorem(s).

(a) Two series  $\sum x_n$  and  $\sum y_n$  which both diverge, but where  $\sum x_n y_n$  converges.

Let  $x_n = y_n = \frac{1}{n}$ , then  $\sum x_n$  and  $\sum y_n$  diverge. Consider the product  $\sum x_n y_n = \sum \frac{1}{n} * \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n^2}$ . This is a  $p$  series where  $p > 1$  and thus converges.

(b) A convergent series  $\sum x_n$  and a bounded sequence  $(y_n)$ , such that  $\sum x_n y_n$  diverges.

Let  $x_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$  and let  $y_n = (-1)^n$ . The sum  $\sum x_n = \sum \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$  is an alternating harmonic series so it converges.. The sum  $\sum y_n = \sum (-1)^n$  just flips between  $-1$  if odd and  $1$  if even, this is also the greatest lower bound and least upper bound respectively. The product  $\sum x_n y_n = \sum [\frac{(-1)^n}{n} * (-1)^n] = \sum [\frac{(-1)^{2n}}{n}] = \sum \frac{1}{n}$  diverges.

(c) Two sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  where  $\sum x_n$  and  $\sum (x_n + y_n)$  both converge, but  $\sum y_n$  diverges.

Impossible

*Proof.* Suppose  $(x_n)$  converges and  $\sum (x_n + y_n)$  converge with  $(y_n)$  diverging. Observe that  $\sum y_n = \sum (x_n + y_n) - \sum x_n$ , by the algebraic rule for series. A consequence is that  $(y_n)$  converges. But this is a contradiction since we assumed  $(y_n)$  diverges. Thus this is impossible.  $\square$

(d) A sequence  $(x_n)$  satisfying  $0 \leq x_n \leq 1/n$  where  $\sum (-1)^n x_n$  diverges.

Let

$$x_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

This is just  $\sum (-\frac{1}{n})$  which diverges without the even numbers.

**Problem 41.** If  $\sum a_n$  converges absolutely then  $\sum a_n^2$  converges absolutely.

*Proof.* If  $\sum |a_n|$  converges then  $\lim |a_n| = 0$ . It follows that there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$  that  $|a_n| < 1$ . Since  $|a_n|$  is positive (absolute value) we have

$$0 < |a_n| < 1$$

Being that  $|a_n|$  is between 0 and 1, we have

$$|a_n^2| = |a_n|^2 \leq |a_n|$$

Since  $\sum |a_n|$  converges and  $|a_n^2| < |a_n|$ , then by the Comparison Test, for all sufficiently large  $n$ , the sum  $\sum |a_n^2|$  converges. Thus  $\sum a_n^2$  converges absolutely.  $\square$

**Problem 42.** Ratio test: For a series  $\sum a_n$ , if the sequence of terms  $(a_n)$  satisfies  $a_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$ , and if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} = r < 1,$$

then the series converges absolutely.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} = r < 1$

Choose  $r'$  such that  $r < r' < 1$  and let  $\epsilon = r' - r > 0$ . Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} = r < 1$$

by the definition of limit, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ .

$$\left| \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} - r \right| < \epsilon = r' - r$$

This implies

$$\frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} < r + (r' - r) = r'$$

Thus for all  $n \geq N$

$$|a_{n+1}| \leq r'|a_n|$$

We want to show that for all  $n \geq N$  that

$$|a_n| \leq |a_N| * (r')^{n-N}$$

We show this by induction:

**Base case** Suppose  $n = N$ , then

$$|a_N| \leq |a_N| * (r')^{n-N} = |a_N| * (r')^0 = |a_N|$$

This gives is  $|a_N| \leq |a_N|$  so the inequality holds. **Inductive hypothesis** Suppose the statement holds for some particular  $n \geq N$ , that

$$|a_n| \leq |a_N| * (r')^{n-N}$$

**Inductive step** We want to show that the statement holds for  $n + 1$ . Observe that

$$|a_{n+1}| \leq r'|a_n| \leq r' * [|a_N| * (r')^{n-N}]$$

rearranging gives

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{n+1}| &\leq |a_N| * r' * (r')^{n-N} \\ &= |a_N| * (r')^{1+n-N} \\ &= |a_N| * (r')^{(n+1)-N} \end{aligned}$$

Thus by induction  $|a_n| \leq |a_N| \cdot (r')^{n-N}$  holds for all  $n \geq N$ . Taking the sums of the inequality we get

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_N| \cdot (r')^{n-N} = |a_N| \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} (r')^{n-N}$$

Let  $k = n - N$  so we get

$$|a_n| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (r')^k$$

Since  $0 < r' < 1$ , this is a convergent geometric series with sum  $\frac{1}{1-r'}$ . Thus

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq |a_N| \cdot \frac{1}{1-r'} < \infty$$

Since the sum of  $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n|$  converges and the first  $N - 1$  are a finite sum we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} |a_n| + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty$$

Therefore  $\sum a_n$  converges absolutely. □

**Problem 43.** *Do the following series converge or diverge? A careful proof is not needed, but a logical and correct justification or explanation is required, possibly using Theorems from Sections 2.1–2.7, or Problems above.*

(a)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n + n}$

(b)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n)}{n^2}$

(c)  $1 - \frac{3}{4} + \frac{4}{6} - \frac{5}{8} + \frac{6}{10} - \frac{7}{12} + \frac{8}{14} + \dots$

(d)  $1 - \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4^2} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{6^2} + \frac{1}{7} - \frac{1}{8^2} + \frac{1}{9} - \dots$

(e)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2}{2^n}$