

# Lecture 10

# Outline

- Assignment 3
- Project Proposal
- How to plan a project: agile development
- A peek at Quarter II

# Assignment 3 (Report)

- Puts together "full replication" (contains 1 and 2).
- Use section resources (e.g. work being replicated) as a model for how to write the report.
- How much detail? Someone with background in the area, reading your report, should understand it *without* references to other resources. Experts should be able to assess your code from the content alone (*without* looking at your code).
- Remove code cells using nbconvert:
  - `jupyter nbconvert <nb.ipynb> --no-input --no-prompt`

# Assignment 3 (Code)

- Puts together *the entire* pipeline (data, processing, analysis).
- What should be library code? What should be in notebooks?
  - If a notebook takes a long time to run, *then it shouldn't be in a notebook!* (use library code + script => save data locally to load into notebook).
- Your code should be *clean* and use the directory structure.
  - Notebooks may be cleared & executed! (No unnecessary ones).
- Code should contain your test data (see assignment 3) and will be run on the DSMLP server via the commands:
  - `launch-scipy-ml.sh [-i your_docker_hub/your_docker_image]`
  - `cd project && python run.py test-project`

# Project Proposal

- Project Proposal Contains 3 parts:
  - Broad (what is the problem? why do we care?)
  - Specific (what approach are you trying?)
  - Task-oriented schedule (is the proposal reasonably scoped for the time allotted?).
- The broad portion *guides* you to related tasks if the first specific approach doesn't work out (it likely won't!).
- The specific portion grounds you, getting you started.

# Proposal Comments

- The proposal is living document, it will change!
- Your domain expert may have you revise it (and that's ok!)
- Schedule is a *starting point* to be honest about your plan:
  - Look to the domain schedules this quarter as a guide.
- First addition: think of a *baseline* for your proposed project to measure your results against, and incorporate it into your proposal and schedule.

# Creating a Schedule

- Problem: how to split up work on a project so that everyone can work at the same time, without blocking others' progress?
- Approach 1: work on independent (or parallel) tasks when possible.
- Approach 2: Use 'stubs' to begin on work later stages before earlier ones are finished.
- Requires defining small scope tasks and frequent communication.

# Planning Examples

- Task: Classify conflict on Wikipedia contributions.
- Obs 1: Work on *scaling data ingestion* alongside calculating test-statistics/building models by using small test-data samples for later work.
- Obs 2: Once data ingestion is finished, create data-quality EDA alongside building models.
- Obs 3: Simultaneously prototype different possible statistics/models that capture the notion of 'conflict'.



# Planning Examples

- It's important in each of these to have frequent communication between group members on progress:
  - Did format of data ingestion change?
  - Does the EDA suggest trying a different model?
  - Is the 'stub' you are depending on schedule? (or does that task need more help?)
- We will borrow from Agile Methodology in software development to help each team's project development.

# Next Quarter: Sneak Peek

- Project consists of:
  - written report(s): a paper, a visually effective blog, art-piece, etc
  - code artifact: versioned and deployable project that follows best-practices for reproducibility and extension.
  - Oral presentations: presentations of varying length, appropriate to different audiences (general, specialized; 3-20 minutes)

# Next Quarter: Sneak Peek

- Lecture will consist of the following content:
  - Observations for working in teams (Agile Methodology; proper GitHub conventions).
  - Communicating via writing and visual content (theory and tools).
  - Oral presentations (including practicing in class!)
  - Details on what project code must satisfy.

# Next Quarter: Sneak Peak

- Before each Wednesday domain each team will update each other on their progress for the week (in-person, or on e.g. Slack).
- In each Wednesday domain, one (randomly chosen) person from each team will summarize:
  - The progress the team as a whole has made that week,
  - What problems were encountered in working the last week, and discussion about what's needed to solve those problems if they're impeding progress. We will spend most our time discussing these.
  - What the team will be attempting for the next week.
- These updates are also submitted via Google Forms.