The SIGMORPHON 2019 Shared Task: Morphological Analysis in Context and Cross-Lingual Transfer for Inflection

Arya D. McCarthy*, Ekaterina Vylomova*, Shijie Wu*, Chaitanya Malaviya*, Lawrence Wolf-Sonkin*, Garrett Nicolai*, Christo Kirov*, Miikka Silfverberg*, Sabrina J. Mielke*, Jeffrey Heinz, Ryan Cotterell*, and Mans Hulden

Abstract

The SIGMORPHON 2019 shared task on cross-lingual transfer and contextual analysis in morphology examined transfer learning of inflection between 100 language pairs, as well as contextual lemmatization and morphosyntactic description in 66 languages. The first task evolves past years' inflection tasks by examining transfer of morphological inflection knowledge from a high-resource language to a low-resource language. This year also presents a new second challenge on lemmatization and morphological feature analysis in context. All submissions featured a neural component and built on either this year's strong baselines or highly ranked systems from previous years' shared tasks. Every participating team improved in accuracy over the baselines for the inflection task (though not Levenshtein distance), and every team in the contextual analysis task improved on both state-of-the-art neural and non-neural baselines.

1 Introduction

While producing a sentence, humans combine various types of knowledge to produce fluent output—various shades of meaning are expressed through word selection and tone, while the language is made to conform to underlying structural rules via syntax and morphology. Native speakers are often quick to identify disfluency, even if the meaning of a sentence is mostly clear.

Automatic systems must also consider these constraints when constructing or processing language. Strong enough language models can often reconstruct common syntactic structures, but are insufficient to properly model morphology. Many languages implement large inflectional paradigms that mark both function and content words with a varying levels of morphosyntactic information.

For instance, Romanian verb forms inflect for person, number, tense, mood, and voice; meanwhile, Archi verbs can take on thousands of forms (Kibrik, 1998). Such complex paradigms produce large inventories of words, all of which must be producible by a realistic system, even though a large percentage of them will never be observed over billions of lines of linguistic input. Compounding the issue, good inflectional systems often require large amounts of supervised training data, which is infeasible in many of the world's languages.

This year's shared task is concentrated on encouraging the construction of strong morphological systems that perform two related but different inflectional tasks. The first task asks participants to create morphological inflectors for a large number of under-resourced languages, encouraging systems that use highly-resourced, related languages as a cross-lingual training signal. The second task welcomes submissions that invert this operation in light of contextual information: Given an unannotated sentence, lemmatize each word, and tag them with a morphosyntactic description. Both of these tasks extend upon previous morphological competitions, and the best submitted systems now represent the state of the art in their respective tasks.

2 Tasks and Evaluation

2.1 Task 1: Cross-lingual transfer for morphological inflection

Annotated resources for the world's languages are not distributed equally—some languages simply have more as they have more native speakers willing and able to annotate more data. We explore how to transfer knowledge from high-resource languages that are genetically related to low-resource languages.

The first task iterates on last year's main task: morphological inflection (Cotterell et al., 2018).

^{*}Now at Google

Instead of giving some number of training examples in the language of interest, we provided only a limited number in that language. To accompany it, we provided a larger number of examples in either a related or unrelated language. Each test example asked participants to produce some other inflected form when given a lemma and a bundle of morphosyntactic features as input. The goal, thus, is to perform morphological inflection in the low-resource language, having hopefully exploited some similarity to the high-resource language. Models which perform well here can aid downstream tasks like machine translation in lowresource settings. All datasets were resampled from UniMorph, which makes them distinct from past years.

The mode of the task is inspired by Zoph et al. (2016), who fine-tune a model pre-trained on a high-resource language to perform well on a low-resource language. We do not, though, require that models be trained by fine-tuning. Joint modeling or any number of methods may be explored instead.

Example The model will have access to typelevel data in a low-resource target language, plus a high-resource source language. We give an example here of Asturian as the target language with Spanish as the source language.

Low-resource target training data (Asturian)

facer	"fechu"	V;V.PTCP;PST
aguar	"aguà"	V;PRS;2;PL;IND
:	:	:

High-resource source language training data (Spanish)

tocar	"tocando"	V;V.PTCP;PRS
bailar	"bailaba"	V;PST;IPFV;3;SG;IND
mentir	"mintió"	V;PST;PFV;3;SG;IND

Test input (Asturian)

baxar V;V.PTCP;PRS

Test output (Asturian)

"baxando"

Table 1: Sample language pair and data format for Task 1

Evaluation We score the output of each system in terms of its predictions' exact-match accuracy and the average Levenshtein distance between the predictions and their corresponding true forms.

2.2 Task 2: Morphological analysis in context

Although inflection of words in a context-agnostic manner is a useful evaluation of the morphological quality of a system, people do not learn morphology in isolation.

In 2018, the second task of the CoNLL—SIGMORPHON Shared Task (Cotterell et al., 2018) required submitting systems to complete an inflectional cloze task (Taylor, 1953) given only the sentential context and the desired lemma – an example of the problem is given in the following lines: A successful system would predict the plural form "dogs". Likewise, a Spanish word form "ayuda" may be a feminine noun or a third-person verb form, which must be disambiguated by context.

The
$$\frac{}{(dog)}$$
 are barking.

This year's task extends the second task from last year. Rather than inflect a single word in context, the task is to provide a complete morphological tagging of a sentence: for each word, a successful system will need to lemmatize and tag it with a morphsyntactic description (MSD).

The	dogs	are	barking	•
the	dog	be	bark	
DET	N;PL	V;PRS;3;PL	V;V.PTCP;PRS	PUNCT

Context is critical—depending on the sentence, identical word forms realize a large number of potential inflectional categories, which will in turn influence lemmatization decisions. If the sentence were instead "The barking dogs kept us up all night", "barking" is now an adjective, and its lemma is also "barking".

3 Data

3.1 Data for Task 1

Language pairs We presented data in 100 language pairs spanning 79 unique languages. Data for all but four languages (Basque, Kurmanji, Murrinhpatha, and Sorani) are extracted from English Wiktionary, a large multi-lingual crowd-sourced dictionary with morphological paradigms for many lemmata.¹ 20 of the 100 language pairs are either

¹The Basque language data was extracted from a manually designed finite-state morphological analyzer (Alegria et al., 2009). Murrinhpatha data was donated by John Mansfield; it

distantly related or unrelated; this allows speculation into the relative importance of data quantity and linguistic relatedness.

Data format For each language, the basic data consists of triples of the form (lemma, feature bundle, inflected form), as in Table 1. The first feature in the bundle always specifies the core part of speech (e.g., verb). For each language pair, separate files contain the high- and low-resource training examples.

All features in the bundle are coded according to the UniMorph Schema, a cross-linguistically consistent universal morphological feature set (Sylak-Glassman et al., 2015a,b).

Extraction from Wiktionary For each of the Wiktionary languages, Wiktionary provides a number of tables, each of which specifies the full inflectional paradigm for a particular lemma. As in the previous iteration, tables were extracted using a template annotation procedure described in (Kirov et al., 2018).

Sampling data splits From each language's collection of paradigms, we sampled the training, development, and test sets as in 2018.² Crucially, while the data were sampled in the same fashion, the datasets are distinct from those used for the 2018 shared task.

Our first step was to construct probability distributions over the (lemma, feature bundle, inflected form) triples in our full dataset. For each triple, we counted how many tokens the inflected form has in the February 2017 dump of Wikipedia for that language. To distribute the counts of an observed form over all the triples that have this token as its form, we follow the method used in the previous shared task (Cotterell et al., 2018), training a neural network on unambiguous forms to estimate the distribution over all, even ambiguous, forms. We then sampled 12,000 triples without replacement from this distribution. The first 100 were taken as training data for low-resource settings. The first 10,000 were used as high-resource training sets. As these sets are nested, the highest-count triples tend to appear in the smaller training sets.³

The final 2000 triples were randomly shuffled and then split in half to obtain development and test sets of 1000 forms each.⁴ The final shuffling was performed to ensure that the development set is similar to the test set. By contrast, the development and test sets tend to contain lower-count triples than the training set.⁵

Other modifications We further adopted some changes to increase compatibility. Namely, we corrected some annotation errors created while scraping Wiktionary for the 2018 task, and we standardized Romanian t-cedilla and t-comma to t-comma. (The same was done with s-cedilla and s-comma.)

3.2 Data for Task 2

Our data for task 2 come from the Universal Dependencies treebanks (UD; Nivre et al., 2018, v2.3), which provides pre-defined training, development, and test splits and annotations in a unified annotation schema for morphosyntax and dependency relationships. Unlike the 2018 cloze task which used UD data, we require no manual data preparation and are able to leverage all 107 monolingual treebanks. As is typical, data are presented in CoNLL-U format,⁶ although we modify the morphological feature and lemma fields.

Data conversion The morphological annotations for the 2019 shared task were converted to the Uni-Morph schema (Kirov et al., 2018) according to McCarthy et al. (2018), who provide a deterministic mapping that increases agreement across languages. This also moves the part of speech into the bundle of morphological features. We do not attempt to individually correct any errors in the UD source material. Further, some languages received additional pre-processing. In the Finnish data, we removed morpheme boundaries that were present in the lemmata (e.g., puhe#kieli → puhekieli 'spoken+language'). Russian lemmata in the GSD treebank were presented in all uppercase; to match

is discussed in Mansfield (2019). Data for Kurmanji Kurdish and Sorani Kurdish were created as part of the Alexina project (Walther et al., 2010; Walther and Sagot, 2010).

²These datasets can be obtained from https://sigmorphon.github.io/sharedtasks/2019/

³Several high-resource languages had necessarily fewer, but on a similar order of magnitude. Bengali, Uzbek, Kannada,

Swahili. Likewise, the low-resource language Telugu had fewer than 100 forms.

⁴When sufficient data are unavailable, we instead use 50 or 100 examples.

⁵This mimics a realistic setting, as supervised training is usually employed to generalize from frequent words that appear in annotated resources to less frequent words that do not. Unsupervised learning methods also tend to generalize from more frequent words (which can be analyzed more easily by combining information from many contexts) to less frequent ones.

⁶https://universaldependencies.org/format. html

the 2018 shared task, we lowercased these. In development and test data, all fields except for form and index within the sentence were struck.

4 Baselines

4.1 Task 1 Baseline

We include four neural sequence-to-sequence models mapping lemma into inflected word forms: soft attention (Luong et al., 2015), non-monotonic hard attention (Wu et al., 2018), monotonic hard attention and a variant with offset-based transition distribution (Wu and Cotterell, 2019). Neural sequenceto-sequence models with soft attention (Luong et al., 2015) have dominated previous SIGMOR-PHON shared tasks (Cotterell et al., 2017). Wu et al. (2018) instead models the alignment between characters in the lemma and the inflected word form explicitly with hard attention and learns this alignment and transduction jointly. Wu and Cotterell (2019) shows that enforcing strict monotonicity with hard attention is beneficial in tasks such as morphological inflection where the transduction is mostly monotonic. The encoder is a biLSTM while the decoder is a left-to-right LSTM. All models use multiplicative attention and have roughly the same number of parameters. In the model, a morphological tag is fed to the decoder along with target character embeddings to guide the decoding. During the training of the hard attention model, dynamic programming is applied to marginalize all latent alignments exactly.

4.2 Task 2 Baselines

Non-neural (Müller et al., 2015): The Lemming model is a log-linear model that performs joint morphological tagging and lemmatization. The model is globally normalized with the use of a second order linear-chain CRF. To efficiently calculate the partition function, the choice of lemmata are pruned with the use of pre-extracted edit trees.

Neural (Malaviya et al., 2019): This is a state-of-the-art neural model that also performs joint morphological tagging and lemmatization, but also accounts for the exposure bias with the application of maximum likelihood (MLE). The model stitches the tagger and lemmatizer together with the use of jackknifing (Agić and Schluter, 2017) to expose the lemmatizer to the errors made by the tagger model during training. The morphological tagger is based on a character-level biLSTM embedder that produces the embedding for a word,

Team	Avg. Accuracy	Avg. Levenshtein
AX-01	18.54	3.62
AX-02	24.99	2.72
CMU-03	58.79	1.52
IT-IST-01	49.00	1.29
IT-IST-02	50.18	1.32
Tuebingen-01†	34.49	1.88
Tuebingen-02†	20.86	2.36
UAlberta-01*	48.33	1.23
UAlberta-02*†	54.75	1.03
UAlberta-03*†	8.45	4.06
UAlberta-04*†	11.00	3.86
UAlberta-05*	4.10	3.08
UAlberta-06*†	26.85	2.65
Baseline	48.55	1.33

Table 2: Task 1 Team Scores, averaged across all Languages; * indicates submissions were only applied to a subset of languages, making scores incomparable. † indicates that additional resources were used for training.

and a word-level biLSTM tagger that predicts a morphological tag sequence for each word in the sentence. The lemmatizer is a neural sequence-to-sequence model (Wu and Cotterell, 2019) that uses the decoded morphological tag sequence from the tagger as an additional attribute. The model uses hard monotonic attention instead of standard soft attention, along with a dynamic programming based training scheme.

5 Results

The SIGMORPHON 2019 shared task received 30 submissions—14 for task 1 and 16 for task 2—from 23 teams. In addition, the organizers' baseline systems were evaluated.

5.1 Task 1 Results

Five teams participated in the first Task, with a variety of methods aimed at leveraging the crosslingual data to improve system performance.

The University of Alberta (UAlberta) performed a focused investigation on four language pairs, training cognate-projection systems from external cognate lists. Two methods were considered: one which trained a high-resource neural encoderdecoder, and projected the test data into the HRL, and one that projected the HRL data into the LRL, and trained a combined system. Results demonstrated that certain language pairs may be amenable to such methods.

HRL-LRL	Baseline	Best	Team	HRL-LRL	Baseline	Best	Team
adyghe-kabardian	96.0	97.0	Tuebingen-02	hungarian-livonian	29.0	44.0	it-ist-01
albanian-breton	40.0	81.0	CMU-03	hungarian-votic	19.0	34.0	it-ist-01
arabic-classical-syriac	66.0	92.0	CMU-03	irish-breton	39.0	79.0	CMU-03
arabic-maltese	31.0	41.0	CMU-03	irish-cornish	24.0	34.0	it-ist-01
arabic-turkmen	74.0	84.0	CMU-03	irish-old-irish	2.0	6.0	it-ist-02
armenian-kabardian	83.0	87.0	it-ist-01	irish-scottish-gaelic	64.0	66.0	CMU-03
asturian-occitan	48.0	77.0	CMU-03	italian–friulian	56.0	78.0	CMU-03
bashkir-azeri	39.0	69.0	it-ist-02	italian–ladin	55.0	74.0	CMU-03
bashkir-crimean-tatar	70.0	70.0	CMU-03	italian-maltese	26.0	45.0	CMU-03
bashkir-kazakh	80.0	90.0	it-ist-01	italian-neapolitan	80.0	83.0	CMU-03
bashkir–khakas	86.0	96.0	it-ist-02	kannada-telugu	82.0	94.0	CMU-03
bashkir-tatar	68.0	74.0	it-ist-02	kurmanji-sorani	15.0	69.0	CMU-03
bashkir-turkmen	94.0	88.0	it-ist-01	latin-czech	20.1	71.4	CMU-03
basque-kashubian	40.0	76.0	CMU-03	latvian-lithuanian	17.1	48.4	CMU-03
belarusian-old-irish	2.0	10.0	CMU-03	latvian-scottish-gaelic	48.0	68.0	CMU-03
bengali-greek	17.7	74.6	CMU-03	persian-azeri	46.0	69.0	CMU-03
bulgarian-old-church-slavonic	44.0	56.0	CMU-03	persian-pashto	27.0	48.0	CMU-03
czech–kashubian	52.0	78.0	CMU-03	polish–kashubian	74.0	78.0	CMU-03
czech-latin	8.4	42.0	CMU-03	polish-old-church-slavonic	40.0	58.0	CMU-03
danish-middle-high-german	72.0	82.0	it-ist-02	portuguese–russian	27.5	76.3	CMU-03
danish-middle-low-german	36.0	44.0	it-ist-01	romanian–latin	6.7	41.3	CMU-03
danish–north-frisian	28.0	46.0	CMU-03	russian-old-church-slavonic	34.0	64.0	CMU-03
danish-west-frisian	42.0	43.0	CMU-03	russian-portuguese	50.5	88.4	CMU-03
danish–yiddish	76.0	67.0	it-ist-01	sanskrit–bengali	33.0	65.0	CMU-03
dutch-middle-high-german	76.0	78.0	it-ist-01 / it-ist-02	sanskrit–pashto	34.0	43.0	CMU-03
dutch-middle-low-german	42.0	52.0	it-ist-02	slovak–kashubian	54.0	76.0	CMU-03
dutch-north-frisian	32.0	46.0	CMU-03	slovene-old-saxon	10.6	53.2	CMU-03
dutch-west-frisian	38.0	51.0	it-ist-02	sorani–irish	27.6	66.3	CMU-03
dutch-yiddish	78.0	64.0	it-ist-01	spanish-friulian	53.0	81.0	CMU-03
english–murrinhpatha	22.0	42.0	it-ist-02	spanish-occitan	57.0	78.0	CMU-03
english-north-frisian	31.0	42.0	CMU-03	swahili-quechua	13.9	92.1	CMU-03
english-west-frisian	35.0	43.0	CMU-03	turkish–azeri	80.0	87.0	it-ist-02
estonian-ingrian	30.0	44.0	it-ist-02	turkish-crimean-tatar	83.0	89.0	CMU-03 / it-ist-02
estonian–karelian	74.0	68.0	it-ist-01	turkish-kazakh	76.0	86.0	it-ist-02
estonian-livonian	36.0	40.0	it-ist-02	turkish-khakas	76.0	94.0	it-ist-01
estonian-votic	25.0	35.0	it-ist-01	turkish-tatar	73.0	83.0	it-ist-02
finnish-ingrian	54.0	48.0	it-ist-02	turkish-turkmen	86.0	98.0	it-ist-01
finnish–karelian	70.0	78.0	it-ist-02	urdu-bengali	49.0	67.0	CMU-03
finnish-livonian	22.0	34.0	CMU-03 / it-ist-01	urdu-old-english	20.8	40.3	CMU-03
finnish–votic	42.0	40.0	it-ist-02	uzbek-azeri	57.0	70.0	CMU-03
french-occitan	50.0	80.0	CMU-03	uzbek-crimean-tatar	67.0	67.0	CMU-03
german-middle-high-german	72.0	82.0	CMU-03	uzbek-kazakh	84.0	72.0	CMU-03
0 0	42.0	52.0	it-ist-02	uzbek-khakas	86.0	92.0	it-ist-01
german-middle-low-german german-yiddish	77.0	68.0	it-ist-02	uzbek-knakas uzbek-tatar	69.0	72.0	CMU-03
	51.0	67.0	CMU-03	uzbek-turkmen	80.0	78.0	CMU-03
greek-bengali		95.0		welsh-breton	45.0	78.0 86.0	
hebrew-classical-syriac	89.0		CMU-03				CMU-03
hebrew-maltese	37.0	47.0	CMU-03	welsh-cornish	22.0	42.0	it-ist-01
hindi-bengali	54.0	68.0	CMU-03	welsh-old-irish	6.0	6.0	CMU-03
hungarian-ingrian	12.0	40.0	it-ist-01	welsh-scottish-gaelic	40.0	64.0	CMU-03
hungarian-karelian	62.0	70.0	it-ist-02	zulu–swahili	44.0	81.0	CMU-03

Table 3: Task 1 Accuracy scores

HRL-LRL	Baseline	Best	Team	HRL-LRL	Baseline	Best	Team
adyghe–kabardian	0.04	0.03	Tuebingen-02	hungarian-livonian	2.56	1.81	it-ist-02
albanian-breton	1.30	0.44	it-ist-02	hungarian-votic	2.47	1.11	it-ist-01
arabic-classical-syriac	0.46	0.10	CMU-03	irish-breton	1.57	0.38	CMU-03
arabic-maltese	1.42	1.37	CMU-03	irish-cornish	2.00	1.56	it-ist-01
arabic-turkmen	0.46	0.32	CMU-03	irish–old-irish	3.30	3.12	it-ist-02
armenian-kabardian	0.21	0.14	CMU-03 / it-ist-01	irish-scottish-gaelic	0.96	1.06	CMU-03
asturian-occitan	1.74	0.80	it-ist-01	italian–friulian	1.03	0.72	it-ist-02
bashkir-azeri	1.64	0.69	it-ist-02	italian–ladin	0.79	0.60	CMU-03
bashkir-crimean-tatar	0.39	0.42	CMU-03	italian-maltese	1.39	1.23	CMU-03
bashkir–kazakh	0.32	0.10	it-ist-01	italian-neapolitan	0.40	0.36	it-ist-02
bashkir-khakas	0.18	0.04	it-ist-02	kannada-telugu	0.60	0.14	CMU-03
bashkir-tatar	0.46	0.33	CMU-03	kurmanji–sorani	2.56	0.65	CMU-03
bashkir-turkmen	0.10	0.12	it-ist-01	latin-czech	2.77	1.14	CMU-03
basque-kashubian	1.16	0.42	CMU-03	latvian–lithuanian	2.21	1.69	CMU-03
belarusian-old-irish	3.90	3.14	CMU-03	latvian-scottish-gaelic	1.16	1.00	CMU-03
bengali-greek	2.86	0.59	CMU-03	persian-azeri	1.35	0.74	CMU-03
bulgarian-old-church-slavonic	1.14	1.06	CMU-03	persian-pashto	1.70	1.54	CMU-03
czech–kashubian	0.84	0.36	CMU-03	polish–kashubian	0.34	0.34	CMU-03
czech-latin	2.95	1.36	CMU-03	polish-old-church-slavonic	1.22	0.96	CMU-03
danish-middle-high-german	0.50	0.38	it-ist-02	portuguese–russian	1.70	1.16	CMU-03
danish-middle-low-german	1.44	1.26	it-ist-01	romanian–latin	3.05	1.35	CMU-03
danish–north-frisian	2.78	2.11	CMU-03	russian-old-church-slavonic	1.33	0.86	CMU-03
danish-west-frisian	1.57	1.27	it-ist-02	russian-portuguese	1.04	0.66	CMU-03
danish-yiddish	0.91	0.72	Tuebingen-01	sanskrit-bengali	1.79	1.13	CMU-03
dutch-middle-high-german	0.44	0.36	it-ist-02	sanskrit-pashto	1.54	1.27	it-ist-02
dutch-middle-low-german	1.34	1.16	it-ist-02	slovak–kashubian	0.60	0.34	CMU-03
dutch–north-frisian	2.67	1.99	CMU-03	slovene-old-saxon	2.23	1.14	CMU-03
dutch-west-frisian	2.18	1.18	it-ist-02	sorani–irish	2.40	0.99	CMU-03
dutch-yiddish	0.53	0.72	Tuebingen-01	spanish-friulian	1.01	0.61	CMU-03
english-murrinhpatha	1.68	1.10	it-ist-02	spanish-occitan	1.14	0.57	it-ist-01
english-north-frisian	2.73	2.22	it-ist-02	swahili-quechua	3.90	0.56	CMU-03
english-west-frisian	1.48	1.26	it-ist-02	turkish–azeri	0.35	0.22	it-ist-01
estonian-ingrian	1.56	1.24	it-ist-02	turkish-crimean-tatar	0.33	0.22	CMU-03
estonian–ligitan	0.52	0.62	it-ist-02	turkish-kazakh	0.24	0.14	it-ist-02
estonian-livonian	1.87	1.47	it-ist-02 it-ist-02	turkish-khakas	0.34	0.16	it-ist-02
	1.55	1.47	it-ist-02	turkish-tatar	0.37	0.00	
estonian–votic							it-ist-02
finnish-ingrian	1.08	1.20 0.42	it-ist-02	turkish-turkmen	0.24	0.02	it-ist-01
finnish–karelian	0.64		it-ist-01	urdu-bengali	1.12	0.98	CMU-03
finnish–livonian	2.48	1.71	it-ist-01	urdu-old-english	1.72	1.20	CMU-03
finnish-votic	1.25	1.02	it-ist-02	uzbek-azeri	1.23	0.70	CMU-03
french-occitan	1.22	0.69	it-ist-01	uzbek-crimean-tatar	0.49	0.45	CMU-03
german-middle-high-german	0.44	0.32	it-ist-02	uzbek-kazakh	0.20	0.32	CMU-03
german-middle-low-german	1.24	1.16	it-ist-02	uzbek-khakas	0.24	0.18	it-ist-01
german–yiddish	0.46	0.72	Tuebingen-01	uzbek-tatar	0.48	0.35	CMU-03
greek-bengali	1.21	1.02	CMU-03	uzbek-turkmen	0.32	0.42	CMU-03
hebrew-classical-syriac	0.14	0.06	CMU-03	welsh-breton	0.90	0.31	CMU-03
hebrew-maltese	1.24	1.10	CMU-03	welsh-cornish	2.44	1.50	it-ist-01
hindi-bengali	1.18	0.72	UAlberta-02	welsh-old-irish	3.36	3.08	CMU-03
hungarian-ingrian	2.60	1.46	it-ist-01	welsh-scottish-gaelic	1.22	1.08	CMU-03
hungarian-karelian	0.90	0.50	it-ist-01	zulu–swahili	1.24	0.33	CMU-03

Table 4: Task 1 Levenshtein scores

The Tuebingen University submission (Tuebingen) aligned source and target to learn a set of editactions with both linear and neural classifiers that independently learned to predict action sequences for each morphological category. Adding in the cross-lingual data only led to modest gains.

AX-Semantics combined the low- and highresource data to train an encoder-decoder seq2seq model; optionally also implementing domain adaptation methods to focus later epochs on the target language.

The CMU submission first attends over a decoupled representation of the desired morphological sequence before using the updated decoder state to attend over the character sequence of the lemma. Secondly, in order to reduce the bias of the decoder's language model, they hallucinate two types of data that encourage common affixes and character copying. Simply allowing the model to learn to copy characters for several epochs significantly outperforms the task baseline, while further improvements are obtained through fine-tuning. Making use of an adversarial language discriminator, cross lingual gains are highly-correlated to linguistic similarity, while augmenting the data with hallucinated forms and multiple related target language further improves the model.

The system from IT-IST also attends separately to tags and lemmas, using a gating mechanism to interpolate the importance of the individual attentions. By combining the gated dual-head attention with a SparseMax activation function, they are able to jointly learn stem and affix modifications, improving significantly over the baseline system.

The relative system performance is described in Table 5, which shows the average per-language accuracy of each system. The table reflects the fact that some teams submitted more than one system (e.g. Tuebingen-1 & Tuebingen-2 in the table).

5.2 Task 2 Results

Nine teams submitted system papers for Task 2, with several interesting modifications to either the baseline or other prior work that led to modest improvements.

Charles-Saarland achieved the highest overall tagging accuracy by leveraging multi-lingual BERT embeddings fine-tuned on a concatenation of all available languages, effectively transporting the cross-lingual objective of Task 1 into Task 2. Lemmas and tags are decoded separately (with a joint

encoder and separate attention); Lemmas are a sequence of edit-actions, while tags are calculated jointly. (There is no splitting of tags into features; tags are atomic.)

CBNU instead lemmatize using a transformer network, while performing tagging with a multilayer perceptron with biaffine attention. Input words are first lemmatized, and then pipelined to the tagger, which produces atomic tag sequences (i.e., no splitting of features).

The team from Istanbul Technical University (ITU) jointly produces lemmatic edit-actions and morphological tags via a two level encoder (first word embeddings, and then context embeddings) and separate decoders. Their system slightly improves over the baseline lemmatization, but significantly improves tagging accuracy.

The team from the University of Groningen (RUG) also uses separate decoders for lemmatization and tagging, but uses ELMo to initialize the contextual embeddings, leading to large gains in performance. Furthermore, joint training on related languages further improves results.

CMU approaches tagging differently than the multi-task decoding we've seen so far (baseline is used for lemmatization). Making use of a hierarchical CRF that first predicts POS (that is subsequently looped back into the encoder), they then seek to predict each feature separately. In particular, predicting POS separately greatly improves results. An attempt to leverage gold typological information led to little gain in the results; experiments suggest that the system is already learning the pertinent information.

The team from Ohio State University (OHIOSTATE) concentrates on predicting tags; the baseline lemmatizer is used for lemmatization. To that end, they make use of a dual decoder that first predicts features given only the word embedding as input; the predictions are fed to a GRU seq2seq, which then predicts the sequence of tags.

The UNT HiLT+Ling team investigates a low-resource setting of the tagging, by using parallel Bible data to learn a translation matrix between English and the target language, learning morphological tags through analogy with English.

The UFAL-Prague team extends their submission from the UD shared task (multi-layer LSTM), replacing the pretrained embeddings with BERT, to great success (first in lemmatization, 2nd in tag-

Team	Lemma Accuracy	Lemma Levenshtein	Morph Accuracy	Morph F1
CBNU-01†	94.07	0.13	88.09	91.84
CHARLES-MALTA-01	74.95	0.62	50.37	58.81
CHARLES-SAARLAND-02†	95.00	0.11	93.23	96.02
CMU-02	92.20	0.17	85.06	88.97
CMU-DataAug-01‡	92.51	0.17	86.53	91.18
Edinburgh-01	94.20	0.13	88.93	92.89
ITU-01	94.46	0.11	86.67	90.54
NLPCUBE-01	91.43	2.43	84.92	88.67
OHIOSTATE-01	93.43	0.17	87.42	92.51
RUG-01†	93.91	0.14	90.53	94.54
RUG-02	93.06	0.15	88.80	93.22
UFALPRAGUE-01†	95.78	0.10	93.19	95.92
UNTHILTLING-02†	83.14	0.55	15.69	51.87
EDINBURGH-02*	97.35	0.06	93.02	95.94
CMU-Monolingual*	88.31	0.27	84.60	91.18
CMU-PolyGlot-01*†	76.81	0.54	60.98	75.42
Baseline	94.17	0.13	73.16	87.92

Table 5: Task 2 Team Scores, averaged across all treebanks; * indicates submissions were only applied to a subset of languages, making scores incomparable. † indicates that additional external resources were used for training, and ‡ indicates that training data were shared across languages or treebanks.

ging). Although they predict complete tags, they use the individual features to regularize the decoder. Small gains are also obtained from joining multilingual corpora and ensembling.

CUNI–Malta performs lemmatization as operations over edit actions with LSTM and ReLU. Tagging is a bidirectional LSTM augmented by the edit actions (i.e., two-stage decoding), predicting features separately.

The Edinburgh system is a character-based LSTM encoder-decoder with attention, implemented in OpenNMT. It can be seen as an extension of the contextual lemmatization system Lematus (Bergmanis and Goldwater, 2018) to include morphological tagging, or alternatively as an adaptation of the morphological re-inflection system MED (Kann and Schütze, 2016) to incorporate context and perform analysis rather than re-inflection. Like these systems it uses a completely generic encoderdecoder architecture with no specific adaptation to the morphological processing task other than the form of the input. In the submitted version of the system, the input is split into short chunks corresponding to the target word plus one word of context on either side, and the system is trained to output the corresponding lemmas and tags for each three-word chunk.

Several teams relied on external resources to

improve their lemmatization and feature analysis. Several teams made use of pre-trained embeddings. CHARLES-SAARLAND-2 and UFALPRAGUE-1 used pretrained contextual embeddings (BERT) provided by Google (Devlin et al., 2019). CBNU-1 used a mix of pre-trained embeddings from the CoNLL 2017 shared task and fastText. Further, some teams trained their own embeddings to aid performance.

6 Future Directions

In general, the application of typology to natural language processing (e.g., Gerz et al., 2018; Ponti et al., 2018) provides an interesting avenue for multilinguality. Further, our shared task was designed to only leverage a single helper language, though many may exist with lexical or morphological overlap with the target language. Techniques like those of Neubig and Hu (2018) may aid in designing universal inflection architectures. Neither task this year included unannotated monolingual corpora. Using such data is well-motivated from an L1-learning point of view, and may affect the performance of low-resource data settings.

In the case of inflection an interesting future topic could involve departing from orthographic representation and using more IPA-like representations, i.e. transductions over pronunciations. Differ-

Language (Treebank)	Baseline	Best	Team	Language (Treebank)	Baseline	Best	Team
UD_Afrikaans-AfriBooms	98.41	99.15	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Italian-PoSTWITA	95.60	97.95	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Akkadian-PISANDUB	66.83	67.82	CBNU-01/EDINBURGH-01	UD_Italian-PUD	95.59	98.06	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Amharic-ATT	89.86	100.00	Multiple	UD_Japanese-GSD	97.71	99.62	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Ancient_Greek-Perseus	94.44	95.24	EDINBURGH-01	UD_Japanese-Modern	94.20	28.67	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Ancient_Greek-PROIEL	89.96	97.49	EDINBURGH-01	UD_Japanese-PUD	95.75	96.36	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Arabic-PADT	94.49	80.96	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Komi_Zyrian-IKDP	78.91	89.84	RUG-02
UD_Arabic-PUD	85.24	87.13	EDINBURGH-01	UD_Komi_Zyrian-Lattice	82.97	87.91	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Armenian-ArmTDP	95.39	95.96	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Korean-GSD	92.25	94.21	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Bambara-CRB	87.02	92.71	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Korean-Kaist	94.61	95.78	EDINBURGH-01
UD_Basque-BDT	20.96	97.19	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Korean-PUD	96.41	99.57	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Belarusian-HSE	89.70	92.51	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Kurmanji-MG	92.29	94.80	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Breton-KEB	93.53	93.83	OHIOSTATE-01	UD_Latin-ITTB	98.17	99.20	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Bulgarian-BTB	97.37	98.36	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Latin-Perseus	89.54	93.49	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Buryat-BDT	88.56	90.19	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Latin-PROIEL	96.41	97.37	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Cantonese-HK	91.61	100.00	Multiple	UD_Latvian-LVTB	95.59	97.23	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Catalan-AnCora	28.07	99.38	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Lithuanian-HSE	86.42	87.44	OHIOSTATE-01
UD_Chinese-CFL	93.26	96.76	-	UD_Marathi-UFAL	75.61	76.69	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Chinese-GSD	98.44	86.66	CBNU-01 / CMU-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Naija-NSC	99.33	100.00	Multiple
UD_Coptic-Scriptorium	95.80	97.31	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_North_Sami-Giella	93.04	93.47	OHIOSTATE-01
UD_Croatian-SET	95.32	97.52		UD_Norwegian-Bokmaal	00.86	99.19	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Czech-CAC	97.82	99.45	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Norwegian-Nynorsk	97.85	99.00	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Czech-CLTT	98.21	99.47		UD_Norwegian-NynorskLIA	99.96	98.22	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Czech-FicTree	99.76	99.01	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Old_Church_Slavonic-PROIEL	96.38	97.23	EDINBURGH-01
UD_Czech-PDT	90.96	99.42	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Persian-Seraji	80.96	68.96	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Czech-PUD	93.58	98.13	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Polish-LFG	95.82	97.94	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Danish-DDT	96.16	98.33	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Polish-SZ	95.18	97.43	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Dutch-Alpino	97.35	98.62	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Portuguese-Bosque	92.08	69.86	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Dutch-LassySmall	69.96	98.21	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Portuguese-GSD	93.70	99.11	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_English-EWT	89.76	99.19	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Romanian-Nonstandard	92.86	96.74	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_English-GUM	97.41	98.63	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Romanian-RRT	96.94	98.60	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_English-LinES	08.00	98.62	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Russian-GSD	95.67	71.77	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_English-ParTUT	99.76	98.52	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Russian-PUD	91.85	95.76	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_English-PUD	95.29	97.89	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Russian-SynTagRus	95.92	99.01	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Estonian-EDT	94.84	97.09	EDINBURGH-01	UD_Russian-Taiga	89.86	100.00	UNTHILTLING-02
UD_Faroese-OFT	98.88	89.53	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Sanskrit-UFAL	64.32	67.34	CMU-Monolingual-01
UD_Finnish-FTB	94.88	96.64	EDINBURGH-02	UD_Serbian-SET	96.72	98.19	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Finnish-PUD	88.27	86.68	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Slovak-SNK	96.14	97.57	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Finnish-TDT	95.53	96.60	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Slovenian-SSJ	96.43	98.87	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_French-GSD	16.19	99.01	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Slovenian-SST	94.06	97.20	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_French-Par1U1	95.69	96.66	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Spanish-AnCora	98.54	9.6	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_rrench-sequola	0.70	10.66	UFALFRAGUE-01	UD-Spanish-GSD	70.42	06.90	UFALFRAGUE-01
UD_rrench-spoken	86.76 CC 80	26.66	post_deadine_ROG-01	UD_Swedish-Lines	93.83	96.30	UFALFRAGUE-01
UD_Galician_TreeGal	77.96 77.90 18.00	08.65	TIEAT DRACTIE-01	UD Swedish-Talbankan	93.12	08.63	CHAPI ES SAABI AND 02
ID German-GSD	96.26	97.65	TTT-01	IID Tagalog-TRG	78.38	91.89	Multiple
IID Gothic-PROIEI.	96.53	97.03	EDINBIIRGH-01	IID Tamil-TTB	93.86	96 43	TEAL PRAGIE-01
UD Greek-GDT	96.76	97.24	EDINBURGH-01	UD Turkish-IMST	96.41	96.84	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD Hebrew-HTB	96.72	98.17	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Turkish-PUD	86.02	89.03	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Hindi-HDTB	09.86	98.87	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Ukrainian-IU	95.53	97.85	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Hungarian-Szeged	95.17	97.47	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Upper_Sorbian-UFAL	69.16	93.74	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Indonesian-GSD	99.37	99.61	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Urdu-UDTB	96.19	86.98	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Irish-IDT	91.69	92.02	OHIOSTATE-01	UD_Vietnamese-VTB	62.66	100.00	CMU-02 / UNTHILTLING-02
UD_Italian-ISDT	97.38	98.88	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Yoruba-YTB	98.84	98.84	Multiple
UD_Italian-ParTUT	96.84	98.87	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02				

Table 6: Task 2 Lemma Accuracy scores

Language (Treebank)	Baseline	Best	Team	Language (Treebank)	Baseline	Best	Team
UD_Afrikaans-AfriBooms	0.03	0.02	Multiple	UD_Italian-PoSTWITA	0.11	0.05	UFAL PRAGUE-01
UD_Akkadian-PISANDUB	0.87	0.85	OHIOSTATE-01	UD_Italian-PUD	0.08	0.04	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Amharic-ATT	0.02	0.00	Multiple	UD_Japanese-GSD	0.04	0.01	Multiple
UD_Ancient_Greek-Perseus	0.14	0.12	EDINBURGH-01	UD_Japanese-Modern	0.07	0.01	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Ancient_Greek-PROIEL	0.08	90.0	EDINBURGH-01 / EDINBURGH-02	UD_Japanese-PUD	0.07	0.01	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Arabic-PADT	0.16	0.11	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Komi_Zyrian-IKDP	0.38	0.23	RUG-01 / RUG-02
UD_Arabic-PUD	0.41	0.37	EDINBURGH-01	UD_Komi_Zyrian-Lattice	0.34	0.25	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Armenian-ArmTDP	0.08	0.07	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Korean-GSD	0.18	0.11	Multiple
UD_Bambara-CRB	0.27	0.10	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Korean-Kaist	0.09	0.06	EDINBURGH-01
UD_Basque-BDI	0.09	0.06	UFALFRAGUE-01	UD_Korean-PUD	0.00	0.01	Multiple
UD_Belarusian-HSE	0.17	0.12	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Kurmanji-MG	0.39	0.10	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Breton-KEB	0.16	0.13	ITU-01	UD_Latin-ITTB	0.04	0.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Bulgarian-BTB	0.02	0.05	ITU-01 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Latin-Perseus	0.21	0.13	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Buryat-BDT	0.27	0.22	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Latin-PROIEL	0.08	0.05	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Cantonese-HK	0.28	0.00	Multiple	UD_Latvian-LVTB	0.07	0.05	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Catalan-AnCora	0.04	0.01	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Lithuanian-HSE	0.25	0.24	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Chinese-CFL	0.10	0.01	NLPCUBE-01	UD_Marathi-UFAL	98.0	0.57	CMU-Monolingual-01
UD_Chinese-GSD	0.02	0.01	Multiple	UD_Naija-NSC	0.01	0.00	Multiple
UD_Coptic-Scriptorium	0.09	90.0	UFAL PRAGUE-01	UD_North_Sami-Giella	0.14	0.13	EDINBURGH-01 / OHIOSTATE-01
UD_Croatian-SET	0.00	0.05	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Norwegian-Bokmaal	0.03	0.01	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Czech-CAC	0.05	0.01	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Norwegian-Nynorsk	0.04	0.01	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Czech-CLTT	0.04	0.01	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Norwegian-NynorskLIA	0.08	0.03	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Czech-FicTree	0.04	0.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Old_Church_Slavonic-PROIEL	0.08	90.0	EDINBURGH-01
UD_Czech-PDT	0.00	0.01	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD Persian-Seraii	0.19	0.15	UFALPRAGUE-01
IID Czech-PIID	010	0.03	THAL PRAGIE-01	IID Polish-I EG	0.08	2	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / LIFAL PRAGITE-01
IID Danish-DDT	900	0.03	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / LIFAL DRAGITE-01	IID Polish-SZ	000	2	IIFAL PRAGIE-01
ID Dutch-Alpino	0.05	0.03	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / LIFAL PRAGILE-01	IID Portuguese-Bosque	0.05	0.0	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / LIFAL PRAGITE-01
IID Dutch-LassySmall	0.00	0.03	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / LIFAL PRAGILE-01	IID Portuguese-GSD	0.00	0.05	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / LIFAL PRAGITE-01
ID English-EWT	0.12	0.0	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD Romanian-Nonstandard	0.08	0.06	Multiple
UD_English-GUM	0.05	0.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Romanian-RRT	0.05	0.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_English-LinES	0.04	0.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Russian-GSD	0.07	9.0	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_English-ParTUT	0.04	0.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Russian-PUD	0.18	0.08	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_English-PUD	0.07	0.03	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Russian-SvnTagRus	0.08	0.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Estonian-EDT	0.11	0.05	EDINBURGH-01	UD_Russian-Taiga	0.21	0.00	UWTHILTLING
UD_Faroese-OFT	0.20	0.18	ITU-01	UD_Sanskrit-UFAL	0.85	0.82	CMU-Monolingual-01
UD_Finnish-FTB	0.11	0.08	Multiple	UD_Serbian-SET	90.0	0.03	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Finnish-PUD	0.24	0.18	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Slovak-SNK	90.0	0.0	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Finnish-TDT	0.10	0.07	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Slovenian-SSJ	90.0	0.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_French-GSD	0.04	0.02	Multiple	UD_Slovenian-SST	0.12	0.05	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_French-ParTUT	0.07	0.05	RUG-02 / post_deadline_RUG-01	UD_Spanish-AnCora	0.03	0.01	Multiple
UD_French-Sequoia	0.05	0.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Spanish-GSD	0.03	0.01	Multiple
UD_French-Spoken	0.04	0.01	post_deadline_RUG-01	UD_Swedish-LinES	0.08	0.03	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Galician-CTG	0.04	0.02	Multiple	UD_Swedish-PUD	0.10	0.05	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Galician-TreeGal	90.0	0.03	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Swedish-Talbanken	0.05	0.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_German-GSD	0.08	0.04	ITU-01	UD_Tagalog-TRG	0.49	0.19	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / ITU-01
UD_Gothic-PROIEL	0.07	90.0	OHIOSTATE-01	UD_Tamil-TTB	0.14	0.07	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Greek-GDT	0.07	90.0	EDINBURGH-01	UD_Turkish-IMST	0.08	90.0	EDINBURGH-01 / ITU-01 / UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Hebrew-HTB	90.0	0.03	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Turkish-PUD	0.34	0.28	ITU-01
UD_Hindi-HDTB	0.02	0.01	Multiple	UD_Ukrainian-IU	0.10	0.03	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Hungarian-Szeged	0.10	0.05	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Upper_Sorbian-UFAL	0.12	0.10	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Indonesian-GSD	0.01	0.01	Multiple	UD_Urdu-UDIB	0.07	0.06	Multiple
UD_Irish-IDI	0.18	0.16	OHIOSTATE-01	UD_Vietnamese-VTB	0.02	0.00	CMU-02 / UNIHILILING
UD_Italian-ISDI	0.05	0.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Yoruba-YIB	0.01	0.01	Multiple
UD_Italian-ParTUT	0.08	0.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02				

Table 7: Task 2 Lemma Levenshtein scores

Booms Reg 99.23 GHARLES-SAARIAND-02 / UPALPRAGUE-01 UD Indian-Port DI Persons 89.89 99.23 GHARLES-SAARIAND-02 / UPALPRAGUE-01 UD Indian-Port DI Persons 68.89 99.19 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Indian-Port DI Persons 68.89 99.19 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Indian-Port DI Persons 68.89 99.19 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Indian-Port DI Persons 69.89 99.19 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Korens-Cistal DI Korens-Cistal DI Persons 69.99 GHALPRAGUE-01 UD Korens-Cistal DI Korens-Cistal DI Persons 69.99 GHALPRAGUE-01 UD Korens-Cistal DI Persons 69.99 GHALPRAGUE-01 UD Korens-Cistal DI Persons 69.90 GHALPRAGUE-01 UD Korens-Cistal DI DI Persons 69.90 GHALPRAGUE-01 UD Korens-Cistal DI DI Persons 69.90 GHALPRAGUE-01 UD Korens-Cistal DI DI Sensiti-UFAL DI DI Persons 69.90 GHALPRAGUE-01 UD Korens-Cistal DI DI Sensiti-UFAL DI DI Persons 69.90 GHALPRAGUE-01 UD Korens-Cistal DI DI Sensiti-UFAL DI DI Persons 69.90 GHALPRAGUE-01 UD Korens-Cistal DI DI Sensiti-UFAL DI DI Sensiti-UFAL DI DI Sensiti-UFAL DI DI Sensiti-UFAL DI DI Sensiti-UFA	Language (Treebank)	Baseline	Best	Team	Language (Treebank)	Baseline	Best	Team
98 89 19 H CHARLESSAARIANDO UD Japanese-GGD 98 89 19 GHARLESSAARIANDO UD Japanese-GGD 10 B. 85 29 29 UFALPRAGUEOI UD Japanese-GGD 10 B. 85 28 29 UFALPRAGUEOI UD Japanese-Andem 10 B. 85 29 29 UFALPRAGUEOI UD Japanese-Andem 6 G. 70 8 50 UFALPRAGUEOI UD Japanese-Andem 6 G. 70 8 50 UFALPRAGUEOI UD KounZyana-LRDD 6 G. 8 9 39 UFALPRAGUEOI UD KounZyana-LRDD 7 6 9 25 UFALPRAGUEOI UD KounZyana-LRDD 8 6 2 14 UFALPRAGUEOI UD KounZyana-LRDD 8 6 2 2 14 UFALPRAGUEOI UD KounZyana-LRDD 8 6 2 2 14 UFALPRAGUEOI UD Lami-Presens 8 6 3 8 26 UFALPRAGUEOI UD LAMI-PRAGUEOI UD LAMI-Presens 8 6 5 7 9 8 2 UFALPRAGUEOI UD LAMI-Presens UD LAMI-Presens 8 6 7 9 8 2 UFALPRAGUEOI UD Norvegian-Proneste UD LAMI-Presens 8 6 7 0 9 2 UFALPRAGUEOI UD LAMI-PRAGUEOI UD LAMI-Presens	UD_Afrikaans-AfriBooms	84.90	99.23	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Italian-PoSTWITA	70.09	88.96	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
55.48 97.9 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD Japanese-Modern 06.88 91.94 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD Japanese-Modern 06.78 95.66 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD Japanese-PuD 6.07 85.94 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD Korani Zytain-IMCP 6.07 85.94 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD Korani Zytain-IMCP 6.07 85.94 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD Korani-Aytain-Jantee 76.9 93.93 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD Korani-Aytain-Jantee 8.7 93.0 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD Korani-Aytain-Jantee 8.6.7 93.0 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD Korani-Aytain-Jantee 8.6.2 91.14 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD Korani-Aytain-Jantee 8.6.2 91.20 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD Lantin-Pressus 8.6.2 91.20 CHARLES-SAARLANDO2 UD Lantin-Pressus 8.6.2 95.0 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD Lantin-HROBIE 8.6.7 95.0 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD Lantin-HROBIE 8.6.7 95.0 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD Lantin-Pressus 8.6.7 95.2 UFALRES-SAARLANDO2 UD Lantin-HROBIE-NA 8.6.7 95.2 UFARLES-SAARLANDO2 UD LAntin-LAT 8.6.7 95.2 UFARLES-SAARLANDO2 <td>UD_Akkadian-PISANDUB</td> <td>78.22</td> <td>89.11</td> <td>CHARLES-SAARLAND-02</td> <td>UD_Italian-PUD</td> <td>80.78</td> <td>96.37</td> <td>CHARLES-SAARLAND-02</td>	UD_Akkadian-PISANDUB	78.22	89.11	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Italian-PUD	80.78	96.37	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
888 8 9 9 9 194 UFALRAGUEOI UD Japanese-Pudern 9 66 78 9 596 CHARLESSARLANDO2 UD Komia Zyrian-LARDE 66 78 9 596 CHARLESSARLANDO2 UD Komia Zyrian-LARDE 66 78 9 593 UFALRAGUEOI UD Koran Zyrian-LARDE 66 70 9 593 UFALRAGUEOI UD Koran-KDP 67 76 9 252 UFALRAGUEOI UD Koran-Kaist 76 9 29 1 UFALRAGUEOI UD Koran-LASIS 76 9 29 2 UFALRAGUEOI UD Koran-LASIS 76 2 9 14 UFALRAGUEOI UD Koran-LASIS 76 2 9 14 UFALRAGUEOI UD Koran-LASIS 76 2 9 14 UFALRAGUEOI UD Koran-LATB 76 2 9 14 UFALRAGUEOI UD LAIN-Peresus 76 5 9 15 0 UFALRAGUEOI UD LAIN-Peresus 76 7 9 12 UFALRAGUEOI UD LAIN-Peresus 77 9 9 2 UFALRESSARLANDO2 UD LAIN-Peresus 78 9 7 9 12 CHARLESSARLANDO2 UD LAIN-Peresus 78 9 7 0 12 CHARLESSARLANDO2 UD Portsquee-Segue 78 9 CHARLESSARLANDO2 UD Portsque	UD_Amharic-ATT	75.43	89.79	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Japanese-GSD	85.47	98.41	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
P. 6.38 9.56 UPALREASAMELANDO UD Jointones-PUD 6.07 8.54 UFALREASAMELANDO UD Komiz/Yana-HXDP 6.07 8.54 UFALREAGUEGI UD Komiz/Yana-HXDP 6.08 8.34 UFALREAGUEGI UD Komiz/Yana-HXDP 6.76 9.33 UFALREAGUEGI UD Koman-Kais 6.76 9.93 UFALREAGUEGI UD Koman-HDD 6.76 9.90 UFALRES-SARARANDO UD Korana-HDD 7.64 9.80 UFALRES-SAARANDO UD Ladin-PROIEI 8.87 9.82 UFALRES-SAARANDO UD LAGIN-PROIEI 8.87 9.82 UFALRES-SAARARANDO UD COLICA-SAMANDO 8.87 9.83 UFALRES-SAARARANDO UD Portugues-SCSD 8.93 UFALRES-SAARARANDO UD Portugues-GSD	UD Ancient Greek-Perseus	88.69	91.94	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Japanese-Modern	94.94	97.47	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
P 6.07 8.50 or CHARLES-AARLANDO UD Komit-Zynan-HADP 6.08 9.34 de HARLES-AARLANDO UD Komit-Zynan-HADP 6.08 9.34 de HARLES-AARLANDO UD Korean-GSD 6.78 9.35 de HARLES-AARLANDO UD Korean-GDD 6.78 9.35 de HARLES-AARLANDO UD Lain-Person 6.42 9.93 de HARLES-AARLANDO UD Lain-Person 6.42 9.94 de HARLES-AARLANDO UD Lain-Person 6.43 9.35 de HARLES-SAARLANDO UD Lain-Person 6.43 9.42 de HARLES-SAARLANDO UD Lain-Person 6.52 9.14 de HARLES-SAARLANDO UD Lain-Person 6.53 9.42 de HARLES-SAARLANDO UD Lain-Person 6.54 9.85 de HARLES-SAARLANDO UD Nainis-NSC 7.50 9.58 de HARLES-SAARLANDO UD Nowegian-Nymosk 7.60 9.58 de HARLES-SAARLANDO UD Nowegian-Nymosk 7.60 9.58 de HARLES-SAARLANDO UD Portuguese-GSD 7.60 9.58 de HARLES-SAARLANDO UD Portuguese-GSD 8.07 9.50 de HARLES-SAARLANDO UD Portuguese-GSD 8.07	UD_Ancient_Greek-PROIEL	84.55	92.94	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Japanese-PUD	84.33	98.63	UFALPRAGUE-01
P. 64.38 9.34 UPALPRACUE-01 UD Konean-KSB 7.59 9.34 UPALPRACUE-01 UD Konean-KSB 7.69 9.35 UFALPRACUE-01 UD Konean-KSB 7.62 9.93 CHARLES-SAARIAND-02 UD Longin-PRODE 7.64 9.80 CHARLES-SAARIAND-02 UD Latin-PRODE 7.64 9.81 CHARLES-SAARIAND-02 UD Latin-PRODE 7.67 9.49 CHARLES-SAARIAND-02 UD Latin-PRODE 7.67 9.40 UFALRES-SAARIAND-02 UD Latin-PRODE 7.67 9.40 UFALRES-SAARIAND-02 UD Latin-PRODE 7.67 9.42 UFALRES-SAARIAND-02 UD Latin-PRODE 7.67 9.42 UFALRES-SAARIAND-02 UD North Sami-Giella 7.73 9.84 CHARLES-SAARIAND-02 UD North Sami-Giella 7.74 9.84 CHARLES-SAARIAND-02 UD North Sami-Giella 7.75 9.84 UFALRES-SAARIAND-02 UD Devingue-se-GSD 7.75 9.84 UFALRES-SAARIAND-02 UD Portugue-se-GSD 7.75 9.84	UD_Arabic-PADI	70.78	95.66	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Komi_Zynan-IKDP	35.94	8/.0/	UFALPRAGUE-01
76.29 3.53 CHALLRACUE-01 UD Kontani-State 76.59 9.324 UFALRRACUE-01 UD Kontani-Wind 76.52 9.114 UFALRRACAGE-01 UD Latin-PROBE 76.52 9.114 UFALRES-SAARIAND-02 UD Latin-PROBE 76.52 9.114 UFALRES-SAARIAND-02 UD Latin-PROBE 76.52 9.114 UFALRES-SAARIAND-02 UD Latin-PROBE 76.71 9.429 CHARLES-SAARIAND-02 UD Latin-PROBE 76.71 9.409 UFALPRACUE-01 UD Latin-PROBE 76.71 9.409 UFALPRACUE-01 UD Latin-PROBE 76.71 9.409 UFALPRACUE-01 UD Janim-BALE 76.71 9.400 UFALPRACUE-01 UD Janim-BALE 76.72 9.420 UFALPRACUE-01 UD Janim-BALE 76.73 9.52 UFALPRACUE-01 UD Janim-BALE 76.74 9.440 UFALPRACUE-01 UD Janim-BALE 76.75 9.58 CHARLES-SAARIAND-02 UD Delish-LEG 76.70 9.84 CHARLES-SAARIAND-02	UD_Ariable-FUD	05.07	90.00	UFALFRAGUE-01	UD Verson Cen	20.07	07.60	OFALFIXACOE-01
67.76 25.22 URABBERGORD UD Account-NUD 67.77 25.22 URABLES-SARLANDO2 UD Latin-Persons 7.6.2 9.8.0 CHARLES-SARLANDO2 UD Latin-Persons 6.4.2 8.8.5 19.4.0 UPLARAGUEOI UD Latin-Persons 6.4.2 8.8.5 19.4.0 UFLERACUEOI UD Latin-TPB 6.8.7 9.4.2 CHARLES-SARLANDO2 UD Latin-TPB 7.7.1 9.4.9 UFALRACUEOI UD Latin-TPB 7.7.1 9.4.9 UFALRACUEOI UD Latin-TPB 7.7.1 9.4.2 UFALRACUEOI UD Latin-TPB 7.7.2 9.4.2 UFALRACUEOI UD Latin-TPB 7.7.2 9.4.2 UFALRACUEOI UD DAVOVACQUEOI 7.7.2 9.4.3 UFALRACUEOI UD DAVOVACQUEOI 7.7.3 9.6.4 CHARLES-SARLANDO2 UD DAVOVACQUEOI 7.7.2 9.8.3 UFALRES-SARLANDO2 UD DAVOVACQUEOI 7.7.3 9.6.5 CHARLES-SARLANDO2 UD DAVOVACQUEOI 7.7.5 9.8.3 UFAL	UD_AIIIIeiliali-Aiiii Dr	04.30 76.90	93.34	UFALFRAGUE-01	UD_Notean-USD	84.30	07.85	CHARLES-SAARLAIND-02 CHART FS.SAARTAND-02
5.4.2. 89.93 CHARLES-SAARLANDO UD_Kurmanj-MG 7.6.2. 9.14 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD_Latin-PROIE 7.6. 9.10 CHARLES-SAARLANDO UD_Latin-PROIE 7.6. 9.14 UFALRES-SAARLANDO UD_Latin-PROIE 8.5.7 9.82 CHARLES-SAARLANDO UD_Latin-PROIE 7.5.9 9.82 CHARLES-SAARLANDO UD_Latin-PROIE 7.5.9 9.82 CHARLES-SAARLANDO UD_Amarhi-UFAL 7.5.9 9.84 CHARLES-SAARLANDO UD_Norwegian-Norwegi	IID Basque-BDT	67.76	92.52	THAT PRACTIF-01	UD Koman-PUID	76.78	64 67	CHARI FS-SAARI AND-02
6.25 91.14 UFALIPRAGUEOI UD Latin-PITB 76.56 98.20 CHARLES-SARLANDO2 UD Latin-PROJED 64.20 88.56 UFALPRAGUEOI UD Latin-PROJED 65.70 94.20 CHARLES-SAARLANDO2 UD Latvian-LVTB 86.57 94.20 UFARRAGUEOI UD Latvian-LVTB 76.71 94.00 UFARRAGUEOI UD Latvian-LVTB 76.72 94.22 UFALES-SARLANDO2 UD Latvian-LYTB 77.30 95.21 UFALES-SARLANDO2 UD Novegian-Novegia	IID Belanisian-HSE	54.75	89.93	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	IID Kurmanii-MG	68 10	85.57	TEAL PRACTIE-01
9564 98.01 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Latin-Perseus 68.75 98.26 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Latin-PROBLE 68.77 98.27 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Latin-PROBLE 76.77 98.27 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Latin-BROBLE 76.77 98.27 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Latin-Broble 76.77 98.27 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_North-Sami-Giella 77.26 98.48 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_North-Sami-Giella 77.26 98.48 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_North-Sami-Giella 77.26 98.49 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD_North-Sami-Giella 77.27 98.47 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Portugues-Gard 76.70 98.51 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Portugues-GSD 76.77 98.54 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Portugues-GSD 80.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Sourian-SET 80.77 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Sourian-SET 80.79 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Sourian-SET 80.80<	UD_Breton-KEB	76.52	91.14	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Latin-ITTB	77.68	97.64	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
64.23 88.56 UFALPRAGUEOI UD Latin-PROIEL 85.7 94.22 CHARLES-SAARLANDO2 UD Lithuanian-HSE 76.71 94.09 UFALPRAGUEOI UD Lithuanian-HSE 76.71 94.09 UFALPRAGUEOI UD Marathi-UFAL 77.14 94.20 UFALPRAGUEOI UD Norwegian-Norwegian-Bokmaal 77.20 98.41 UFALPRAGUEOI UD Norwegian-Norwegian-Promosk 77.20 98.42 UFALPRAGUEOI UD Norwegian-Norwegian-Promosk 72.60 98.43 UFALPRAGUEOI UD Norwegian-Norwegian-Promosk 72.60 98.44 UFALRES-SAARLANDO2 UD Polityle-EG 70.70 98.45 CHARLES-SAARLANDO2 UD Polityle-SC 70.71 97.85 CHARLES-SAARLANDO2 UD Polityle-SC 70.72 97.95 CHARLES-SAARLANDO2 UD Russian-PUD 70.73 98.50 CHARLES-SAARLANDO2 UD Russian-PUD 70.74 96.57 CHARLES-SAARLANDO2 UD Russian-PUD 70.75 96.57 CHARLES-SAARLANDO2 UD Suoveisian-SM <	UD_Bulgarian-BTB	79.64	98.01	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Latin-Perseus	55.06	87.76	UFALPRAGUE-01
68.57 94.29 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Lavian-LYTB 76.71 94.09 UFARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Matath-UFAL 76.71 94.00 UFARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Noiry-SAMICAIE-01 77.2 97.31 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Noiry-Sami-Giella 71.4 94.2 UFARRAGUE-01 UD_Noiry-Sami-Giella 77.2 98.4 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Noiry-Sami-Giella 77.6 98.4 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Noiry-Sami-Nynork 76.7 98.4 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Polish-LFG 76.7 98.5 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Polish-LFG 76.7 98.5 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Polish-SA 80.7 98.5 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Portugue-se-Sail 70.7 98.5 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Romanian-Norstand-PUD 70.7 98.6 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Russian-GRT 80.3 96.7 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Russian-Sail 80.3 96.5 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Russian-Sail	UD_Buryat-BDT	64.23	88.56	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Latin-PROIEL	82.16	93.68	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
85.57 98.82 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lithuanian-HSE 76.71 94.00 UFAIPRAGUEOI UD North, Sami-Giella 77.25 96.22 UFAILPRAGUEOI UD North, Sami-Giella 77.26 98.48 UFAILPRAGUEOI UD North, Sami-Giella 77.26 98.31 UFAILPRAGUEOI UD Norwegian-Bymork LIA 76.60 95.81 UFAILPRAGUEOI UD Norwegian-Bymork LIA 76.70 98.42 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Persian-Seraji 76.70 98.22 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Polish-SZ 76.72 98.23 UFARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Polish-SZ 80.71 98.23 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-Bosque 76.78 98.20 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-Bosque 76.78 98.20 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Romanian-RET 70.79 96.51 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Resisian-PUD 70.79 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Resisian-PUD 70.80 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Resisian-PuD	UD_Cantonese-HK	68.57	94.29	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Latvian-LVTB	70.33	95.78	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
75.71 94.09 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Marathi-UFAL 75.73 96.22 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.North.Sami-Giella 71.24 94.2 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.North.Sami-Giella 71.25 94.2 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.North.Sami-Giella 71.26 95.81 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.North.Sami-Giella 71.26 95.83 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.North.Sami-Giella 70.70 98.54 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Polish-FG 70.70 98.54 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Polish-FG 70.71 97.80 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Polish-FG 70.72 98.20 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Polish-FG 70.73 98.27 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Romanian-Nonstand-	UD_Catalan-AnCora	85.57	98.82	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Lithuanian-HSE	41.43	80.14	UFALPRAGUE-01
1.42 97.13 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Naija-NSC 1.42 94.2 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Norwegian-Nynorsk 1.42 94.2 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Norwegian-Nynorsk 1.43 94.3 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Norwegian-Nynorsk 1.44 94.2 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Norwegian-Nynorsk 1.45 98.4 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Norwegian-Nynorsk 1.46 97.13 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Persian-Seraji 1.47 97.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Persian-Seraji 1.48 97.15 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Polish-LFG 1.49 97.25 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Polish-LFG 1.40 97.27 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Romanian-Norsandard 1.40 97.27 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Romanian-Norsandard 1.40 97.27 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-GSD 1.40 97.27 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-GSD 1.40 97.27 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-GSD 1.40 97.27 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-Sylfigus 1.40 97.27 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-Sylfigus 1.40 97.27 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Serajian-SET 1.40 97.28 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Serajian-SET 1.40 97.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Serajian-SET 1.40 97.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Serajian-SET 1.40 97.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Serajian-SET 1.40 98.06 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Serajian-SET 1.40 98.01 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Serajian-UD 1.40 98.01 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Serajian-UD 1.41 98.01 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lupia-Libane 1.42 98.01 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lupia-Libane 1.44 98.01 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lupia-Libane 1.45 98.01 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lupia-Libane 1.46 98.01 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lupia-Libane 1.47 98.01 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lupia-Liban 1.48 98.01 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lupia-Libane 1.49 98.01 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lupia-Libane 1.40 98.01 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lupia-Libane 1.41 98.01 CHARLES	UD_Chinese-CFL	76.71	94.09	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Marathi-UFAL	40.11	67.75	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
17.42 94.22 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.North-Sami-Giella 77.42 94.24 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Norwegian-Nynorsk LA 77.50 98.48 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Norwegian-Nynorsk LA 72.60 95.81 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Norwegian-Nynorsk LA 76.70 98.42 UFALRES-SARLAND-02 UD.Norwegian-Nynorsk LA 76.70 98.43 UFALRES-SARLAND-02 UD.Polish-LFG 76.70 98.24 UFALRES-SARRIAND-02 UD.Polish-LFG 77.22 97.98 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Polish-LFG 82.07 98.20 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Polish-LFG 76.72 97.52 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Potruguese-Bosque 76.73 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Russian-Nortandard 76.74 97.52 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Russian-SynTagus 80.17 97.53 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Russian-SynTagus 80.18 96.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Suorain-SynTagus 80.19 97.53 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD.Suorain-SynTagus <	UD_Chinese-GSD	75.97	97.13	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Naija-NSC	66.42	75.96	UFALPRAGUE-01
71.4.2 94.4.2 UFALPRAGUE-01 UDNorwegian-Bokmaal 77.26 95.81 UFALES-SAARLAND-02 UDNorwegian-NymoskLlA 76.00 95.81 UFALES-SAARLAND-02 UDLOId-Church, Slavonic-PROIEL 76.70 95.34 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UDD-Oilsh-LFG 76.70 95.34 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Polish-LFG 77.22 97.98 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Polish-LFG 82.07 98.12 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Polish-LFG 76.78 98.20 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Polish-LFG 76.78 98.20 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Romanian-Noistandard 76.78 98.21 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Romanian-Noistandard 80.39 97.52 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Romanian-Noistandard 80.39 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Russian-SET 80.30 96.57 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Russian-SET 80.50 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Stowain-SET 81.60 96.53 UFALRAGUE-01 UD-Stowain-SET <td>UD_Coptic-Scriptorium</td> <td>87.73</td> <td>96.22</td> <td>UFALPRAGUE-01</td> <td>UD_North_Sami-Giella</td> <td>28.99</td> <td>92.46</td> <td>CHARLES-SAARLAND-02</td>	UD_Coptic-Scriptorium	87.73	96.22	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_North_Sami-Giella	28.99	92.46	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
7.26 98.48 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Notwegian-Nynorsk 7.26 95.81 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Notwegian-Nynorsk LIA 68.34 97.13 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Polish-LFG 76.70 98.54 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Polish-LFG 76.72 97.98 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-Bosque 76.78 98.50 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-Bosque 76.78 98.50 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-GSD 80.17 97.82 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-GSD 80.30 97.77 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-BRT 80.31 96.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-BRT 80.32 97.77 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-BrT 80.33 96.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-BrT 80.34 OFARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Sowais-BTT 80.55 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Sowais-SIA 80.69 98.11 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Sowais-SIA 81.69 98.60	UD_Croatian-SET	71.42	94.42	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Norwegian-Bokmaal	81.27	98.25	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
72.60 95.81 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Notwegian-NynorkLIA 76.70 98.43 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Polish-LFG 76.70 98.54 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Polish-LFG 76.71 97.98 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Polish-EZ 76.72 97.98 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Polish-EZ 76.73 98.50 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-Bosque 76.74 98.50 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-Bosque 76.75 97.52 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Roussian-PUD 80.17 97.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-PUD 77.59 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-PUD 76.93 97.70 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Showins-SM 76.94 96.55 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Showins-SM 76.95 OS CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Showins-SM 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Showins-SM 81.50 98.13 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Spanish-LFA 86.63 98.64	UD_Czech-CAC	77.26	98.48	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Norwegian-Nynorsk	81.75	98.11	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
68.34 97.13 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Old/Church,Slavonic-PROIEL 76.70 98.54 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Portish-LFG 77.22 97.98 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Portispuese-Bosque 77.22 97.98 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Portiguese-Bosque 76.78 98.12 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Portiguese-Bosque 76.78 98.20 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Romanian-Nonstandard 79.57 97.52 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Romanian-RRT 80.31 96.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Russian-SynTagkus 77.59 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Russian-Tajas 66.32 87.70 UFALRAGUE-01 UD_Stownsian-SST 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Stownsian-SST 81.67 95.73 UFALRAGUE-01 UD_Stownsian-SST 81.67 95.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Stownsian-SST 81.67 95.73 UFALRAGUE-01 UD_Stownsian-SST 81.67 95.44 98.60 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Czech-CLTT	72.60	95.81	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Norwegian-NynorskLIA	74.20	96.80	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
76.70 98.54 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Persian-Seraji 60.67 95.33 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD_Polish-LFG 77.22 97.98 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Portuguese-Bosque 76.78 98.50 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Portuguese-GSD 80.70 98.12 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Portuguese-GSD 80.31 97.52 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Rousian-Nonstandard 70.57 97.52 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Russian-GSD 80.31 96.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Russian-PUD 77.59 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Russian-PUD 77.59 96.68 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Russian-PUD 74.03 96.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Serbian-SET 70.07 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Serbian-SET 70.07 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Serbian-SET 70.07 95.78 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD_Serbian-SET 81.67 95.78 UFALRAGUE-01 UD_Swedish-LineS 86.65 <td>UD_Czech-FicTree</td> <td>68.34</td> <td>97.13</td> <td>CHARLES-SAARLAND-02</td> <td>UD_Old_Church_Slavonic-PROIEL</td> <td>84.13</td> <td>93.01</td> <td>UFALPRAGUE-01</td>	UD_Czech-FicTree	68.34	97.13	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Old_Church_Slavonic-PROIEL	84.13	93.01	UFALPRAGUE-01
60.67 95.03 UFALPRACUE-01 UD Polish-LFG 77.22 97.98 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-Bosque 76.78 98.12 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-GSD 80.17 97.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-GSD 80.17 97.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Romanian-NRT 80.17 97.52 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-GDD 80.31 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-PUD 77.59 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-Taiga 65.32 87.70 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Sanskiri-UFAL 70.89 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Sanskiri-UFAL 70.80 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Sanskiri-UFAL 70.79 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Suovenian-SST 81.67 97.51 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Suovenian-SST 81.67 98.31 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Suovenian-SST 81.69 98.47 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Swedish-Line 81.6	UD_Czech-PDT	76.70	98.54	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Persian-Seraji	86.84	98.31	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01
7.72 97.98 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Polish-SZ 8.207 98.12 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-Bosque 76.78 98.12 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-GSD 80.17 97.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Romanian-Nonstandard 90.77 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-GSD 80.30 97.77 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-GSD 77.89 96.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-Pag 77.89 96.87 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-Pag 70.80 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Sanskrit- UFAL 70.80 96.87 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Slovak-SNK 70.80 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Slovak-SNK 70.80 96.81 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Slovak-SNK 70.80 96.82 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Spanish-GSD 81.60 98.31 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Swedish-LinES 81.60 98.42 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Swedish-LinES 86.65	UD_Czech-PUD	29.09	95.03	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Polish-LFG	65.72	97.13	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
82.07 98.12 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-Bosque 76.78 98.50 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-GSD 80.17 97.82 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Romanian-Nonstandard 79.57 97.52 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-GSD 80.30 97.77 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-BUD 77.59 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-PuD 77.59 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-Jaiga 70.67 97.23 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Sanskrit-UFAL 70.79 96.56 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Sensian-SET 70.70 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Sovenian-SST 70.70 96.51 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Slovenian-SST 81.60 98.31 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Spanish-Ancora 81.60 98.42 UP Spanish-And UD Swedish-LinES 86.50 98.41 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Swedish-LinES 86.50 98.42 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Swedish-LinES	UD_Danish-DDT	77.22	94.78	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Polish-SZ	63.15	95.11	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
76.78 98.50 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Portuguese-GSD 80.17 97.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Romanian-Nonstandard 79.57 97.57 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-GSD 80.30 97.77 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-PUD 77.59 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-PUD 74.03 97.23 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-PUD 74.03 97.24 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-PUD 74.03 97.25 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Sanskrit-UFAL 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Salovak-SNK 74.89 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Slovaina-SST 81.60 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Spanish-GDD 81.60 98.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Swedish-LinES 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Swedish-LinES 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Tagalog-TRG 86.50 96.43 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Turkish-PUD 86.50	UD_Dutch-Alpino	82.07	98.12	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Portuguese-Bosque	78.05	96.22	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
80.17 97.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Romanian-Nonstandard 79.57 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-RRT 80.30 97.77 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-RD 80.31 96.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-PUD 77.59 96.77 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-PyTagRus 74.03 97.23 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-Taiga 65.22 S7.70 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Sankrit-UFAL 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Salovak-SNK 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Slovenian-SST 84.20 98.31 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Slovenian-SST 84.20 98.31 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Spanish-AnCora 81.67 98.31 UFARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Swedish-Talbanken 81.69 98.40 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Swedish-Talbanken 86.65 98.41 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lingalog-TRG 81.16 97.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Linkrish-PUD 80.60	UD_Dutch-LassySmall	76.78	98.50	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Portuguese-GSD	83.87	99.03	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
99.57 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Romannan-RRI 80.30 97.77 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-GSD 80.31 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-PUD 77.59 96.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-Taiga 74.03 97.23 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-Taiga 65.32 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Samin-Taiga 70.07 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Saverian-Taiga 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Saverian-SET 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Slovenian-SST 81.67 98.31 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Slovenian-SST 81.67 98.43 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Swedish-LinES 94.48 98.60 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Swedish-PUD 76.40 96.21 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Tagalog-TRG 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Turkish-PUD 87.60 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Turkish-PUD 80.43 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lyde	UD_English-EWT	80.17	97.85	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Romanian-Nonstandard	74.71	95.01	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
80.30 97.77 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Russian-GSD 80.31 96.55 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Russian-GSD 77.59 96.57 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Russian-BynTagRa 74.03 97.23 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Russian-Taiga 72.89 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Senskiri-UFAL 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Showian-SST 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Showian-SST 84.20 98.31 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Spousian-SST 81.67 98.57 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Spousian-SST 81.60 98.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Spousian-LinES 94.48 98.60 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-LinES 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-PUD 86.55 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tamil-TB 86.65 98.47 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tamil-TB 87.60 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Tamil-TB 87.67 CHARLES-	UD_English-GUM	79.57	97.52	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Romanian-RRT	81.62	98.19	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
77.59 96.07 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-SynTagkus 77.59 96.07 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-SynTagkus 74.08 97.23 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Sanskri-UFAL 72.89 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Sanskri-UFAL 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Sensian-SET 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Showian-SNK 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Showian-SNK 84.20 98.31 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Spanish-AGOTA 81.67 98.57 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD Spanish-AGOTA 94.48 98.60 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Swedish-LinES 86.65 98.41 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Swedish-LinES 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lamil-TB 76.40 96.21 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lamil-TB 77.44 95.95 UFALDRAGUE-01 UD Lamil-TB 77.44 95.95 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Lymir-LD 80.60	UD_English-LineS	80.30	11.16	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Kussian-GSD	03.37	24.92	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
77.29 96.00 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD Russian-Taiga 72.89 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Sanskrit-UFAL 72.89 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Sanskrit-UFAL 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Stowian-SET 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Stowian-SET 70.07 95.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Stowian-SST 84.20 98.31 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Stowian-SST 81.67 95.78 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Stowian-SST 94.48 96.00 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-LinES 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-PUD 76.40 96.21 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-PUD 81.00 91.02 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tamil-TIPB 77.44 95.95 UFALRES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Urdu-UDTB 80.69 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Urdu-UDTB 65.90 95.03 UFALRARGUE-01 UD.Urdu-UDTB 71.73 92.48 </td <td>UD_English-Par1U1</td> <td>30.31</td> <td>50.09</td> <td>CHARLES-SAARLAND-02</td> <td>UD_Kussian-PUD</td> <td>00.08</td> <td>00 30</td> <td>CHARLES-SAARLAND-02</td>	UD_English-Par1U1	30.31	50.09	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Kussian-PUD	00.08	00 30	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
65.22 87.70 UFALPRAGUE-01 72.89 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Sarbian-Tingar 72.89 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Sarbian-SET 72.89 96.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Slovak-SNK 74.84 97.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 81.50 98.15 UFALRAGUE-01 94.48 98.60 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Spanish-AnCorra 81.50 98.15 UFALRAGUE-01 94.48 98.60 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Swedish-LinES 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Swedish-PUD 76.40 96.21 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Swedish-PUD 76.40 96.21 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Tagalog-TRG 81.00 91.02 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Turkish-PUD 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Turkish-PUD 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Urrkish-PUD 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Urrkish-DUB 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Urrkish-UD-UR-UD-UR-UD-UR-UD-UR-UD-UR-UD-UR-UD-UR-UD-UR-UD-UR-UD-UR-UD-UR-UD-UR-UD-UR-UD-UR-UR-UD-UR-UR-UR-UR-UR-UR-UR-UR-UR-UR-UR-UR-UR-	UD_Edgilsii-FUD	74.03	90.07	CHARLES-SAARLAIND-02 CHARTES-SAARI AND-02	UD_Russian-Syll taginus	52.04	90.30	CHARLES-SAARLAIND-02 ITEAT DPAGITE-01
72.89 68.85 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD. Section-SET 70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01 UD. Slovak-SNK 74.84 97.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD. Slovak-SNK 84.20 98.31 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD. Slovarian-SST 81.67 95.78 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD. Spanish-AnCora 81.60 98.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD. Spanish-GSD 94.48 98.60 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD. Swedish-LinES 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD. Swedish-PUD 76.40 96.21 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD. Tagalog-TRG 81.05 96.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD. Tagalog-TRG 81.16 97.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD. Tagalog-TRG 81.15 97.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD. Uprain-Talbanken 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD. Uprain-Talbanken 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD. Uprain-Talbanken 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD. Uprain-Und	UD Earnese-OFT	65.32	27.78	LIFAT PRACTIF-01	UD Sanskrit-HFAI	29.65	50.75	UFALTINGUE-01
70.07 95.62 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Slovak-SNK 74.84 97.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Slovenian-SST 84.20 98.31 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Spanish-AnCora 81.67 95.78 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Spanish-AnCora 81.60 98.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Spanish-AnCora 81.60 98.16 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Spanish-AnCora 81.60 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-LinES 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-Talbanken 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tagail-TIB 86.50 96.31 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tagail-TIB 81.10 91.02 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Turkish-PUD 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Ukrainian-UFAL 81.15 97.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Uptar-Corian-UFAL 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Uptar-Corian-UFAL 81.15 97.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Uptar-Corian-UFAL	UD_Finnish-FTB	72.89	96.85	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Serbian-SET	77.05	97.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
74.84 97.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Slovenian-SSI 84.20 98.31 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Slovenian-SST 81.67 95.78 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Spanish-AnCora 81.60 98.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Spanish-GSD 94.48 98.60 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-LinES 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-PUD 76.40 96.21 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-PUD 86.35 96.30 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tamil-TIB 77.44 95.95 UFALREAGUE-01 UD.Turkish-MST 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Turkish-PUD 80.69 95.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Ukrainian-UFAL 80.69 95.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Utwish-PUD 80.69 95.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Utwish-UDTB 80.69 95.60 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Utwish-UDTB 80.50 95.01 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Yoruba-VTB 87.66 68.37	UD_Finnish-PUD	70.07	95.62	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Slovak-SNK	64.04	95.41	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
84.20 98.31 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Slovenian-SST 81.67 98.31 CHARLRAGUE-01 UD.Spanish-AnCora 81.69 98.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Spanish-AnCora 94.48 98.60 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-LinES 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-PUD 76.49 96.21 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-Talbanken 88.35 90.43 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tamil-TBB 77.44 95.95 UFALRARGUE-01 UD.Turkish-MST 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Turkish-PUD 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Ukrainian-UFAL 65.90 95.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Ukrainian-UFAL 71.73 92.48 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Urdur-UDTB 67.66 86.37 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Yoruba-VTB 67.66 86.37 UFALRAGUE-01 UD.Yoruba-YTB	UD_Finnish-TDT	74.84	97.15	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Slovenian-SSJ	73.82	97.04	UFALPRAGUE-01
81.67 95.78 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Spanish-AnCora 81.60 98.15 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Spanish-AnCora 94.48 98.60 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-LinES 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-PUD 76.40 96.21 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-Talbanken 68.35 90.43 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tamil-TB 77.44 95.95 UFALLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Turkish-IMST 81.15 97.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Ukrainian-IU 80.69 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Ukrainian-IU 65.90 95.51 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Ukrainian-IVAL 71.73 92.48 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Upper_Sorbian-UFAL 67.66 86.37 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Yoruba-VTB 87.72 98.49 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Yoruba-YTB	UD_French-GSD	84.20	98.31	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Slovenian-SST	69.57	92.76	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
81.50 98.15 UFALPRACIDE-01 UD Spanish-GSD 94.48 98.60 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-LinES 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-PUD 76.40 96.21 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-Talbanken 68.35 90.43 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tamil-TIB 77.44 95.95 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Turkish-PUD 81.15 97.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Uramish-PUD 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Uramian-U 65.90 95.03 UFALRES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Upcamian-UFAL 71.73 92.48 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Upcamian-UFAL 67.66 86.37 UFALRARGUE-01 UD.Victumanese-VTB 83.72 98.49 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Yoruba-YTB	UD_French-ParTUT	81.67	95.78	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Spanish-AnCora	84.35	98.79	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
94.48 98.60 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-LinES 86.65 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-LinES 76.40 96.21 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-Talbanken 68.35 90.43 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tamil-TTB 81.00 91.02 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tamil-TTB 77.44 95.95 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Turkish-IMST 81.15 97.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Ukrainian-IU 65.00 95.03 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Uprainian-UFAL 71.73 92.48 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Uprainian-UFAL 67.66 86.37 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Vietnamese-VTB 83.72 98.49 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Yoruba-YTB	UD_French-Sequoia	81.50	98.15	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Spanish-GSD	81.90	95.88	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
86.05 98.44 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-PUD 76.40 96.21 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Swedish-PUD 68.35 90.43 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tagalog-TRG 81.00 91.02 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tamil-TTB 77.44 95.95 UFALRES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Turkish-PUD 80.60 95.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Ukrainian-UFAL 71.73 92.48 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Uprainian-UFAL 67.66 86.37 UFALRRAGUE-01 UD.Uretinanese-VTB 83.72 98.49 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Yetunanese-VTB	UD_French-Spoken	84.48 8 7	98.60	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Swedish-LinES	76.93	94.75	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
76.40 96.21 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tagalogish-Talbanken 68.35 90.43 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tagalogish-TRG 81.00 91.02 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Tunkish-IMST 77.44 95.95 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Tunkish-PUD 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Ukrainian-1U 65.90 95.03 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Upgue-Sorbian-UFAL 71.73 92.48 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Urdu-UDTB 67.66 86.37 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Vietnamese-VTB 83.72 98.49 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Yoruba-YTB	UD_Galician-CTG	86.65	98.44	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Swedish-PUD	79.97	95.85	UFALPRAGUE-01
No.25	UD_Galician-TreeGal	76.40	17.06	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Swedish-Talbanken	61.57	98.09	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
81.05 71.02 LTARLES-SARLAND-02 UD_Lamil. 77.44 95.95 UFALPRAGGE-01 UD_Turkish-IMST 81.15 97.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Urakish-PUD 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Ukrainian-IU 65.90 95.03 UFALPRAGGE-01 UD_Urdu-UDTB 71.73 92.48 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Urdu-UDTB 67.66 86.37 UFALPRAGGE-01 UD_Yoruba-VTB 83.72 98.49 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Yoruba-YTB	UD_German-GSD	08.33	50.45	CHARLES-SAARLAIND-02	UD_lagalog-1RG	70.70	91.09	CHAKLES-SAAKLAIND-02 / UFALFRAGUE-UI
77.24 95.50 UFALLTRACUE-01 UD.Turkish-IAN3 I 81.15 97.67 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Turkish-PUD 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Ukarinian-IU 65.90 95.03 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Upper_Sorbian-UFAL 71.73 92.48 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Urdu-UDTB 67.66 86.37 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Vertnamese-VTB 83.72 98.49 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Yoruba-YTB	UD_Gounte-PROIEL	81.00	20.16	CHAKLES-SAAKLAND-02	UD_Iamil-11B	65.67	20.17	UFALFRAGUE-UI
81.15 97.07 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Ukrainian-PUD 80.60 93.65 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Upper_Sorbian-UFAL 65.09 95.03 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Upper_Sorbian-UFAL 71.73 92.48 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Uretnamese-VTB 67.66 86.37 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD.Vertnamese-VTB 83.72 98.49 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD.Yoruba-YTB	UD_Gleek-GDI	1.7	52.50	OFALTINGOE-01	UP_TIMESISTEMS I	66.30	17.76	UFALFINACUE-UI
65.00 95.03 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD-Upper-Sorbian-UFAL 17.73 92.48 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD-Upper-Sorbian-UFAL UD-Upper-Sorbian-UFAL 07.66 86.37 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD-Vietnamese-VTB UD-Vietnamese-VTB UD-Yoruba-YTB	IID Hind: HDTB	05.19	03.65	CHAPTES SAAPI AND 02	UP_ININISHIT OF	63.50	97.50	CHAPTES SAAPTAND 02
71.73 92.48 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Urdu-UDTB (7.66 86.37 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD_Vietnamese-VTB 83.72 98.49 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Yoruba-YTB	IID Hungarian-Szeged	65 90	95.03	LIFAL PRACTIF-01	IID Unner Sorbian-UFAL	57.70	87.02	UFAL PRAGITE-01
67.66 86.37 UFALPRAGUE-01 UD_Vietnamese-VTB 83.72 98.49 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Yoruba-YTB	UD_Indonesian-GSD	71.73	92.48	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Urdu-UDTB	69.97	80.90	UFALPRAGUE-01
83.72 98.49 CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 UD_Yoruba-YTB	UD_Irish-IDT	99'.29	86.37	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Vietnamese-VTB	69.42	94.54	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
	UD_Italian-ISDT	83.72	98.49	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Yoruba-YTB	73.26	93.80	CMU-DataAug-01
83.51 98.72	UD_Italian-ParTUT	83.51	98.72	UFALPRAGUE-01				,

Table 8: Task 2 Morph Accuracy scores

UD_Afrikaans-AfriBooms UD_Akadian-PISANDUB UD_Amharic-ATT UD_Amicint_Greek-Perseus UD_Ancient_Greek-PROIEL UD_Ancient_Greek-PROIEL UD_Ancient_Greek-PROIEL UD_Ancient_Greek-PROIEL UD_Ancient_Greek-PROIEL UD_Ancient_Greek-PROIE UD_Ancient_Greek-PROIE UD_Bandpara-CRB UD_Bandpara-CRB UD_Basque-BDT UD_Basque-BDT	79 00			Luiguago (Trocomin)	Dascillic		
UD_Akkadian-PISANDUB UD_Amharic-ATT UD_Ancient_Greek-Perseus UD_Ancient_Greek-PROIEL UD_Arabic-PADT UD_Arabic-PUD UD_Basque-BDT UD_Basque-BDT	10.76	99.40	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Italian-PoSTWITA	84.98	97.90	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD.Amharic-ATT UD.Ancient.Greek-Perseus UD.Ancient.Greek-PROIEL UD.Arabic-PUD UD.Arabic-PUD UD.Arabic-PUD UD.Amenian-Am/TDP UD.Basque-BDT UD.Basque-BDT UD.Belansian-HSE	80.41	89.06	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Italian-PUD	92.24	98.42	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD.Ancient.Greek-Perseus UD.Ancient.Greek-PROIEL UD.Arabic-PADT UD.Arabic-PUD UD.Arabic-PUD UD.Amenian-AmTDP UD.Banbara-CRB UD.Basque-BDT UD.Basque-BDT	87.57	93.15	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Japanese-GSD	90.64	98.21	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD.Ancient.Greek-PROIEL. UD.Arabic-PADT UD.Arabic-PUD UD.Amenian-ArmTDP UD.Banbura-CRB UD.Basque-BDT UD.Belansian-HSE	88.97	96.72	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Japanese-Modern	95.64	97.50	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD.Arabic-PADT UD.Arabic-PUD UD.Arabic-PUD UD.Bambura-CRB UD.Basque-BDT UD.Basque-BDT UD.Basque-BDT	93.55	88.76	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Japanese-PUD	89.64	98.49	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD.Arabic-PUD UD.Amenian-Am.TDP UD.Bambara-CRB UD.Basque-BDT UD.Basque-BDT	91.82	97.65	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Komi_Zyrian-IKDP	59.52	82.99	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Armenian-ArmTDP UD_Bambara-CRB UD_Basque-BDT UD_Belanusian-HSE	86.35	94.66	RUG-01	UD_Komi_Zyrian-Lattice	74.12	82.99	RUG-01 / RUG-02
UD_Bambara-CRB UD_Basque-BDT UD_Belarusian-HSE	86.74	99.96	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Korean-GSD	85.90	96.27	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Belausian-HSE	88.94	95.55	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Korean-Kaist	89.45	97.58	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Belarusian-HSE	87.54	96.30	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Korean-PUD	88.15	96.76	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UT77 UT71	78.80	95.68	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Kurmanji-MG	86.54	91.28	UFALPRAGUE-01
OD_Breton-NEB	88.34	93.79	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Latin-ITTB	93.12	98.96	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Bulgarian-BTB	93.85	99.18	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Latin-Perseus	78.91	94.65	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Buryat-BDT	80.94	90.50	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Latin-PROIEL	91.42	78.76	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Cantonese-HK	76.80	92.83	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Latvian-LVTB	89.55	98.04	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Catalan-AnCora	95.73	99.45	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Lithuanian-HSE	67.39	87.97	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Chinese-CFL	82.05	93.21	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Marathi-UFAL	69.71	80.19	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Chinese-GSD	83.79	97.04	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Naija-NSC	76.73	95.47	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Coptic-Scriptorium	93.56	97.17	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_North_Sami-Giella	85.45	95.33	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Croatian-SET	90.39	97.82	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Norwegian-Bokmaal	93.17	99.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Czech-CAC	93.94	99.48	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Norwegian-Nynorsk	92.85	98.97	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Czech-CLTT	92.61	98.32	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Norwegian-NynorskLIA	89.21	97.39	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Czech-FicTree	90.32	98.90	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Old_Church_Slavonic-PROIEL	91.17	97.13	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Czech-PDT	94.23	99.47	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Persian-Seraji	93.76	89.86	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Czech-PUD	85.73	98.23	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Polish-LFG	88.73	98.86	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Danish-DDT	90.19	89.86	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Polish-SZ	86.24	98.11	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Dutch-Alpino	91.25	98.62	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Portuguese-Bosque	92.36	98.26	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Dutch-LassySmall	87.97	98.83	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Portuguese-GSD	91.73	99.10	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_English-EWT	90.91	98.52	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Romanian-Nonstandard	91.70	97.65	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_English-GUM	89.81	98.11	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Romanian-RRT	93.88	98.89	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_English-LinES	90.58	98.30	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Russian-GSD	87.49	97.95	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_English-ParTUT	89.46	97.35	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Russian-PUD	84.31	96.27	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_English-PUD	87.70	97.58	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Russian-SynTagRus	92.73	99.23	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Estonian-EDT	91.52	69.86	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Russian-Taiga	76.77	95.56	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Faroese-OFT	85.73	93.98	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Sanskrit-UFAL	57.80	69.63	RUG-01 / RUG-02
UD_Finnish-FTB	80.68	98.38	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Serbian-SET	91.75	98.64	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Finnish-PUD	87.77	97.98	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Slovak-SNK	88.04	98.24	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Finnish-IDI	90.06	48.54	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Slovenian-SSJ	90.12	98.80	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_French-GSD	94.03	70.66	CHARLES-SAARLAIND-02	UL-Slovenian-551	02.70	90.20	CHARLES-SAARLAIND-02
IID French-Segucia	93.04	99 11	TIEAL PRACTIE-01	IID Spanish-GSD	93.95	80.86	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD-French-Spoken	94.80	98.65	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Swedish-LinES	89.99	79.76	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Galician-CTG	91.35	98.29	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Swedish-PUD	90.49	97.40	UFAL PRAGUE-01
UD_Galician-TreeGal	89.33	97.88	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Swedish-Talbanken	92.65	99.05	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_German-GSD	88.91	95.90	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Tagalog-TRG	87.07	95.04	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02 / UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Gothic-PROIEL	90.02	96.64	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Tamil-TTB	89.22	96.00	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Greek-GDT	93.45	98.37	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Turkish-IMST	86.10	96.30	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Hebrew-HTB	91.79	98.47	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Turkish-PUD	87.62	94.96	post_deadline_RUG-01
UD_Hindi-HDTB	93.92	98.04	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Ukrainian-IU	86.81	98.10	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Hungarian-Szeged	87.62	98.25	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Upper_Sorbian-UFAL	81.04	93.51	UFALPRAGUE-01
UD_Indonesian-GSD	86.12	95.16	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Urdu-UDTB	89.46	93.45	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Irish-IDT	81.58	91.46	UFALPRAGUE-01	UD_Vietnamese-VTB	78.00	94.02	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02
UD_Italian-ISDT	94.46	99.19	CHARLES-SAARLAND-02	UD_Yoruba-YTB	85.47	94.19	CMU-DataAug-01
UD_Italian-ParTUT	93.88	17:66	UFALPKAGUE-01				

Table 9: Task 2 Morph F1 scores

ent languages, in particular those with idiosyncratic orthographies, may offer new challenges in this respect.⁷

Only one team tried to learn inflection in a multilingual setting—i.e. to use all training data to train one model. Such transfer learning is an interesting avenue of future research, but evaluation could be difficult. Whether any cross-language transfer is actually being learned vs. whether having more data better biases the networks to copy strings is an evaluation step to disentangle.⁸

Creating new data sets that accurately reflect learner exposure (whether L1 or L2) is also an important consideration in the design of future shared tasks. One pertinent facet of this is information about inflectional categories—often the inflectional information is insufficiently prescribed by the lemma, as with the Romanian verbal inflection classes or nominal gender in German.

As we move toward multilingual models for morphology, it becomes important to understand which representations are critical or irrelevant for adapting to new languages; this may be probed in the style of (Thompson et al., 2018), and it can be used as a first step toward designing systems that avoid "catastrophic forgetting" as they learn to inflect new languages (Thompson et al., 2019).

Future directions for Task 2 include exploring cross-lingual analysis—in stride with both Task 1 and Malaviya et al. (2018)—and leveraging these analyses in downstream tasks.

7 Conclusions

The SIGMORPHON 2019 shared task provided a type-level evaluation on 100 language pairs in 79 languages and a token-level evaluation on 107 tree-banks in 66 languages, of systems for inflection and analysis. On task 1 (low-resource inflection with cross-lingual transfer), 14 systems were submitted, while on task 2 (lemmatization and morphological feature analysis), 16 systems were submitted. All used neural network models, completing a trend in past years' shared tasks and other recent work on morphology.

In task 1, gains from cross-lingual training were generally modest, with gains positively correlating with the linguistic similarity of the two languages. In the second task, several methods were implemented by multiple groups, with the most successful systems implementing variations of multiheaded attention, multi-level encoding, multiple decoders, and ELMo and BERT contextual embeddings.

We have released the training, development, and test sets, and expect these datasets to provide a useful benchmark for future research into learning of inflectional morphology and string-to-string transduction.

Acknowledgments

MS has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 771113).

References

Željko Agić and Natalie Schluter. 2017. How (not) to train a dependency parser: The curious case of jack-knifing part-of-speech taggers. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 679–684, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Inaki Alegria, Izaskun Etxeberria, Mans Hulden, and Montserrat Maritxalar. 2009. Porting Basque morphological grammars to *foma*, an open-source tool. In *International Workshop on Finite-State Methods and Natural Language Processing*, pages 105–113. Springer.

Toms Bergmanis and Sharon Goldwater. 2018. Context sensitive neural lemmatization with Lematus. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers), pages 1391–1400, New Orleans, Louisiana. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Ryan Cotterell, Christo Kirov, John Sylak-Glassman, Géraldine Walther, Ekaterina Vylomova, Arya D. McCarthy, Katharina Kann, Sabrina J. Mielke, Garrett Nicolai, Miikka Silfverberg, David Yarowsky, Jason Eisner, and Mans Hulden. 2018. The CoNLL—SIGMORPHON 2018 shared task: Universal morphological reinflection. In *Proceedings of the CoNLL—SIGMORPHON 2018 Shared Task: Universal Morphological Reinflection*, pages 1–27, Brussels. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Ryan Cotterell, Christo Kirov, John Sylak-Glassman, Gëraldine Walther, Ekaterina Vylomova, Patrick Xia, Manaal Faruqui, Sandra Kübler, David Yarowsky, Jason Eisner, and Mans Hulden. 2017.

⁷Although some work suggests that working with IPA or phonological distinctive features in this context yields very similar results to working with graphemes (Wiemerslage et al., 2018).

⁸This has been addressed by Jin and Kann (2017).

- Conll-Sigmorphon 2017 shared task: Universal morphological reinflection in 52 languages. *Proceedings of the Conll Sigmorphon 2017 Shared Task: Universal Morphological Reinflection*, pages 1–30.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Daniela Gerz, Ivan Vulić, Edoardo Ponti, Jason Naradowsky, Roi Reichart, and Anna Korhonen. 2018. Language modeling for morphologically rich languages: Character-aware modeling for word-level prediction. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 6:451–465.
- Huiming Jin and Katharina Kann. 2017. Exploring cross-lingual transfer of morphological knowledge in sequence-to-sequence models. In *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Subword and Character Level Models in NLP*, pages 70–75, Copenhagen, Denmark. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Katharina Kann and Hinrich Schütze. 2016. MED: The LMU system for the SIGMORPHON 2016 shared task on morphological reinflection. In *Proceedings of the 14th SIGMORPHON Workshop on Computational Research in Phonetics, Phonology, and Morphology*, pages 62–70, Berlin, Germany. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Aleksandr E. Kibrik. 1998. Archi. In Andrew Spencer and Arnold M. Zwicky, editors, *The Handbook of Morphology*, pages 455–476. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.
- Christo Kirov, Ryan Cotterell, John Sylak-Glassman, Géraldine Walther, Ekaterina Vylomova, Patrick Xia, Manaal Faruqui, Sabrina J. Mielke, Arya D. McCarthy, Sandra Kübler, David Yarowsky, Jason Eisner, and Mans Hulden. 2018. UniMorph 2.0: Universal Morphology. In *Proceedings of the 11th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, Miyazaki, Japan. European Language Resource Association.
- Thang Luong, Hieu Pham, and Christopher D. Manning. 2015. Effective approaches to attention-based neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1412–1421, Lisbon, Portugal. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chaitanya Malaviya, Matthew R. Gormley, and Graham Neubig. 2018. Neural factor graph models for cross-lingual morphological tagging. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association*

- for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 2653–2663, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chaitanya Malaviya, Shijie Wu, and Ryan Cotterell. 2019. A simple joint model for improved contextual neural lemmatization. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 1517–1528, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- John Mansfield. 2019. Murrinhpatha morphology and phonology, volume 653. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG.
- Arya D. McCarthy, Miikka Silfverberg, Ryan Cotterell, Mans Hulden, and David Yarowsky. 2018. Marrying Universal Dependencies and Universal Morphology. In *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Universal Dependencies (UDW 2018)*, pages 91–101, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Thomas Müller, Ryan Cotterell, Alexander Fraser, and Hinrich Schütze. 2015. Joint lemmatization and morphological tagging with LEMMING. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2268–2274, Lisbon, Portugal. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Graham Neubig and Junjie Hu. 2018. Rapid adaptation of neural machine translation to new languages. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 875–880, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Joakim Nivre, Mitchell Abrams, Željko Agić, Lars Ahrenberg, Lene Antonsen, Katya Aplonova, Maria Jesus Aranzabe, Gashaw Arutie, Masayuki Asahara, Luma Ateyah, Mohammed Attia, Aitziber Atutxa, Liesbeth Augustinus, Elena Badmaeva, Miguel Ballesteros, Esha Banerjee, Sebastian Bank, Verginica Barbu Mititelu, Victoria Basmov, John Bauer, Sandra Bellato, Kepa Bengoetxea, Yevgeni Berzak, Irshad Ahmad Bhat, Riyaz Ahmad Bhat, Erica Biagetti, Eckhard Bick, Rogier Blokland, Victoria Bobicev, Carl Börstell, Cristina Bosco, Gosse Bouma, Sam Bowman, Adriane Boyd, Aljoscha Burchardt, Marie Candito, Bernard Caron, Gauthier Caron, Gülşen Cebiroğlu Eryiğit, Flavio Massimiliano Cecchini, Giuseppe G. A. Celano, Slavomír Čéplö, Savas Cetin, Fabricio Chalub, Jinho Choi, Yongseok Cho, Jayeol Chun, Silvie Cinková, Aurélie Collomb, Çağrı Çöltekin, Miriam Connor, Marine Courtin, Elizabeth Davidson, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, Valeria de Paiva, Arantza Diaz de Ilarraza, Carly Dickerson, Peter Dirix, Kaja Dobrovoljc, Timothy Dozat, Kira Droganova, Puneet Dwivedi, Marhaba Eli, Ali Elkahky, Binyam Ephrem, Tomaž Erjavec, Aline

Etienne, Richárd Farkas, Hector Fernandez Alcalde, Jennifer Foster, Cláudia Freitas, Katarína Gajdošová, Daniel Galbraith, Marcos Garcia, Moa Gärdenfors, Sebastian Garza, Kim Gerdes, Filip Ginter, Iakes Goenaga, Koldo Gojenola, Memduh Gökırmak, Yoav Goldberg, Xavier Gómez Guinovart, Berta Gonzáles Saavedra, Matias Grioni, Normunds Grūzītis, Bruno Guillaume, Céline Guillot-Barbance, Nizar Habash, Jan Hajič, Jan Hajič jr., Linh Hà Mỹ, Na-Rae Han, Kim Harris, Dag Haug, Barbora Hladká, Jaroslava Hlaváčová, Florinel Hociung, Petter Hohle, Jena Hwang, Radu Ion, Elena Irimia, Olájídé Ishola, Tomáš Jelínek, Anders Johannsen, Fredrik Jørgensen, Hüner Kaşıkara, Sylvain Kahane, Hiroshi Kanayama, Jenna Kanerva, Boris Katz, Tolga Kayadelen, Jessica Kenney, Václava Kettnerová, Jesse Kirchner, Kamil Kopacewicz, Natalia Kotsyba, Simon Krek, Sookyoung Kwak, Veronika Laippala, Lorenzo Lambertino, Lucia Lam, Tatiana Lando, Septina Dian Larasati, Alexei Lavrentiev, John Lee, Phuong Lê H'ông, Alessandro Lenci, Saran Lertpradit, Herman Leung, Cheuk Ying Li, Josie Li, Keying Li, KyungTae Lim, Nikola Ljubešić, Olga Loginova, Olga Lyashevskaya, Teresa Lynn, Vivien Macketanz, Aibek Makazhanov, Michael Mandl, Christopher Manning, Ruli Manurung, Cătălina Mărănduc, David Mareček, Katrin Marheinecke, Héctor Martínez Alonso, André Martins, Jan Mašek, Yuji Matsumoto, Ryan McDonald, Gustavo Mendonça, Niko Miekka, Margarita Misirpashayeva, Anna Missilä, Cătălin Mititelu, Yusuke Miyao, Simonetta Montemagni, Amir More, Laura Moreno Romero, Keiko Sophie Mori, Shinsuke Mori, Bjartur Mortensen, Bohdan Moskalevskyi, Kadri Muischnek, Yugo Murawaki, Kaili Müürisep, Pinkey Nainwani, Juan Ignacio Navarro Horñiacek, Anna Nedoluzhko, Gunta Nešpore-Bērzkalne, Luong Nguy ên Thị, Huy ên Nguy ên Thị Minh, Vitaly Nikolaev, Rattima Nitisaroj, Hanna Nurmi, Stina Ojala, Adédayo Olúòkun, Mai Omura, Petya Osenova, Robert Östling, Lilja Øvrelid, Niko Partanen, Elena Pascual, Marco Passarotti, Agnieszka Patejuk, Guilherme Paulino-Passos, Siyao Peng, Cenel-Augusto Perez, Guy Perrier, Slav Petrov, Jussi Piitulainen, Emily Pitler, Barbara Plank, Thierry Poibeau, Martin Popel, Lauma Pretkalnina, Sophie Prévost, Prokopis Prokopidis, Adam Przepiórkowski, Tiina Puolakainen, Sampo Pyysalo, Andriela Rääbis, Alexandre Rademaker, Loganathan Ramasamy, Taraka Rama, Carlos Ramisch, Vinit Ravishankar, Livy Real, Siva Reddy, Georg Rehm, Michael Rießler, Larissa Rinaldi, Laura Rituma, Luisa Rocha, Mykhailo Romanenko, Rudolf Rosa, Davide Rovati, Valentin Roca, Olga Rudina, Jack Rueter, Shoval Sadde, Benoît Sagot, Shadi Saleh, Tanja Samardžić, Stephanie Samson, Manuela Sanguinetti, Baiba Saulīte, Yanin Sawanakunanon, Nathan Schneider, Sebastian Schuster, Djamé Seddah, Wolfgang Seeker, Mojgan Seraji, Mo Shen, Atsuko Shimada, Muh Shohibussirri, Dmitry Sichinava, Natalia Silveira, Maria Simi, Radu Simionescu, Katalin Simkó, Mária Šimková, Kiril Simov, Aaron Smith,

Isabela Soares-Bastos, Carolyn Spadine, Antonio Stella, Milan Straka, Jana Strnadová, Alane Suhr, Umut Sulubacak, Zsolt Szántó, Dima Taji, Yuta Takahashi, Takaaki Tanaka, Isabelle Tellier, Trond Trosterud, Anna Trukhina, Reut Tsarfaty, Francis Tyers, Sumire Uematsu, Zdeňka Urešová, Larraitz Uria, Hans Uszkoreit, Sowmya Vajjala, Daniel van Niekerk, Gertjan van Noord, Viktor Varga, Eric Villemonte de la Clergerie, Veronika Vincze, Lars Wallin, Jing Xian Wang, Jonathan North Washington, Seyi Williams, Mats Wirén, Tsegay Woldemariam, Tak-sum Wong, Chunxiao Yan, Marat M. Yavrumyan, Zhuoran Yu, Zdeněk Žabokrtský, Amir Zeldes, Daniel Zeman, Manying Zhang, and Hanzhi Zhu. 2018. Universal dependencies 2.3. LIN-DAT/CLARIN digital library at the Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics (ÚFAL), Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University.

Edoardo Maria Ponti, Helen O'Horan, Yevgeni Berzak, Ivan Vulic, Roi Reichart, Thierry Poibeau, Ekaterina Shutova, and Anna Korhonen. 2018. Modeling language variation and universals: A survey on typological linguistics for natural language processing. *CoRR*, abs/1807.00914.

John Sylak-Glassman, Christo Kirov, Matt Post, Roger Que, and David Yarowsky. 2015a. A universal feature schema for rich morphological annotation and fine-grained cross-lingual part-of-speech tagging. In Cerstin Mahlow and Michael Piotrowski, editors, Proceedings of the 4th Workshop on Systems and Frameworks for Computational Morphology (SFCM), Communications in Computer and Information Science, pages 72–93. Springer, Berlin.

John Sylak-Glassman, Christo Kirov, David Yarowsky, and Roger Que. 2015b. A language-independent feature schema for inflectional morphology. In Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 7th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 2: Short Papers), pages 674–680, Beijing, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Wilson L Taylor. 1953. "Cloze procedure": A new tool for measuring readability. *Journalism Bulletin*, 30(4):415–433.

Brian Thompson, Jeremy Gwinnup, Huda Khayrallah, Kevin Duh, and Philipp Koehn. 2019. Overcoming catastrophic forgetting during domain adaptation of neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 2062–2068, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Brian Thompson, Huda Khayrallah, Antonios Anastasopoulos, Arya D. McCarthy, Kevin Duh, Rebecca Marvin, Paul McNamee, Jeremy Gwinnup, Tim Anderson, and Philipp Koehn. 2018. Freezing subnetworks to analyze domain adaptation in neural ma-

- chine translation. In *Proceedings of the Third Conference on Machine Translation: Research Papers*, pages 124–132, Belgium, Brussels. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Géraldine Walther and Benoît Sagot. 2010. Developing a large-scale lexicon for a less-resourced language: General methodology and preliminary experiments on Sorani Kurdish. In *Proceedings of the 7th SaLTMiL Workshop on Creation and use of basic lexical resources for less-resourced languages (LREC 2010 Workshop)*, Valetta, Malta.
- Géraldine Walther, Benoît Sagot, and Karën Fort. 2010. Fast development of basic NLP tools: Towards a lexicon and a POS tagger for Kurmanji Kurdish. In *International conference on lexis and grammar*.
- Adam Wiemerslage, Miikka Silfverberg, and Mans Hulden. 2018. Phonological features for morphological inflection. In *Proceedings of the Fifteenth Workshop on Computational Research in Phonetics, Phonology, and Morphology*, pages 161–166, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shijie Wu and Ryan Cotterell. 2019. Exact hard monotonic attention for character-level transduction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.06319v1*.
- Shijie Wu, Pamela Shapiro, and Ryan Cotterell. 2018. Hard non-monotonic attention for character-level transduction. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 4425–4438, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Barret Zoph, Deniz Yuret, Jonathan May, and Kevin Knight. 2016. Transfer learning for low-resource neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1568–1575, Austin, Texas. Association for Computational Linguistics.